



## $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -DOUBLE DERIVATIONS ON BANACH ALGEBRAS

SHIRIN HEJAZIAN<sup>1\*</sup>, HUSSEIN MAHDAVIAN RAD<sup>2</sup> AND MADJID MIRZAVAZIRI<sup>3</sup>

Communicated by S.-M. Jung

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra and let  $\delta, \varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be two linear mappings. A  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation is a linear mapping  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  satisfying  $d(ab) = d(a)b + ad(b) + \delta(a)\varepsilon(b) + \varepsilon(a)\delta(b)$  ( $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ). We study some algebraic properties of these mappings and give a formula for calculating  $d^n(ab)$ . We show that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Banach algebra such that either is semi-simple or every derivation from  $\mathcal{A}$  into any Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule is continuous then every  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$  is continuous whenever so are  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$ . We also discuss the continuity of  $\varepsilon$  when  $d$  and  $\delta$  are assumed to be continuous.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra. A linear mapping  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is said to be a derivation if it satisfies the Leibniz rule  $\delta(xy) = \delta(x)y + x\delta(y)$  for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ . Now suppose that  $\delta, \varepsilon$  are two ordinary derivations. We see that  $d = \delta\varepsilon$  satisfies

$$d(ab) = d(a)b + ad(b) + \delta(a)\varepsilon(b) + \varepsilon(a)\delta(b) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}). \quad (1.1)$$

This can be assumed as a generalization of the concept of a derivation.

Now let  $\delta, \varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be two linear mappings. A linear mapping  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is said to be a  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation if it satisfies (1.1). By a  $\delta$ -double derivation we mean a  $(\delta, \delta)$ -double derivation. See [7] for an initial study of  $\delta$ -double derivations. Clearly, if  $d$  is a derivation then  $d^2$  is a  $d$ -double derivation, and also  $d$  is a 0-double derivation where 0 denotes the zero mapping. Moreover, if  $I$  denotes the identity mapping on  $\mathcal{A}$ , then each  $\sigma$ -derivation  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a  $(\sigma - I, d)$ -double derivation. Here by a  $\sigma$ -derivation we mean a linear mapping  $d$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfying  $d(ab) = d(a)\sigma(b) + \sigma(a)d(b)$  ( $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ), for some linear mapping  $\sigma$  on  $\mathcal{A}$ , see

---

*Date:* Received: 20 November 2010; Accepted: 25 December 2010.

\* Corresponding author.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B47; Secondary 46H40.

*Key words and phrases.* derivation,  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation, automatic continuity.

[4, 6] for more about  $\sigma$ -derivations. Also, every homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a  $(\frac{\varphi}{2} - I, \varphi)$ -double derivation.

In Section 2, we study some algebraic properties of  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivations and give a formula to calculate  $d^n(ab)$ . Section 3 is devoted to the study of automatic continuity of  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivations on Banach algebras and to extension of some results of [7]. We will observe that under the assumption of continuity of any pair of the linear mappings  $d, \delta$  and  $\varepsilon$ , what happens for the third one. Assuming that  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  are continuous on  $\mathcal{A}$ , we show that if every derivation from  $\mathcal{A}$  into a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule is continuous then every  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$  is continuous. Also, it is proved that every  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation on a semi-simple Banach algebra is continuous whenever so are  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$ . Next we assume that  $d$  and  $\delta$  are continuous and obtain some results concerning the separating space of  $\varepsilon$ . We will show that if  $d$  is a continuous  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation on a commutative unital prime Banach algebra, then  $\varepsilon$  is continuous whenever  $\delta$  is nonzero and continuous. We also obtain some results concerning  $\delta$ -double derivations.

## 2. ALGEBRAIC PROPERTIES

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra. Suppose that  $\delta, \varepsilon$  are two linear mappings on  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation, that is

$$d(ab) = d(a)b + ad(b) + \delta(a)\varepsilon(b) + \varepsilon(a)\delta(b) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}).$$

For simplicity, we consider a bilinear mapping  $\lambda : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  defined by

$$\lambda(a, b) = \delta(a)\varepsilon(b) + \varepsilon(a)\delta(b) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}).$$

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra and let  $\delta, \varepsilon$  be two linear mappings on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation.*

(i) *For each idempotent  $e \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $ed(e)e = -e\lambda(e, e)e$ .*

*Moreover, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is unital, then*

(ii)  *$\lambda(a, 1) = -ad(1)$ ,  $\lambda(1, a) = -d(1)a$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , and  $d(1) = -\lambda(1, 1)$ ;*

(iii)  *$\lambda(ab, 1) = a\lambda(b, 1)$ ,  $\lambda(1, ab) = \lambda(1, a)b$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ;*

(iv)  *$d(1) = 0$  if and only if  $\lambda(a, 1) = 0 = \lambda(1, a)$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $e$  be an idempotent in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then

$$d(e) = d(e^2) = ed(e) + d(e)e + \lambda(e, e). \quad (2.1)$$

Multiplying (2.1) by  $e$  gives the result.

(ii) For each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$d(a) = ad(1) + d(a)1 + \lambda(a, 1). \quad (2.2)$$

Hence  $\lambda(a, 1) = -ad(1)$ . Similarly  $\lambda(1, a) = -d(1)a$ . The last assertion is now obvious.

(iii) By (ii), for  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  we have  $\lambda(ab, 1) = -abd(1) = a\lambda(b, 1)$ ,  $\lambda(1, ab) = -d(1)ab = \lambda(1, a)b$ .

(iv) It follows from (2.2). □

If  $\delta, \varepsilon$  are derivations on an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , it is easy to see that  $\delta\varepsilon$  is a  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation. Now let  $\delta, \varepsilon$  be derivations and let  $d$  be a  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation. What can we say about  $d$ ?

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $\delta, \varepsilon$  be derivations and let  $d$  be a  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation on an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then there exists a derivation  $D$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $d = \delta\varepsilon + D$ .*

*Proof.* Straightforward. □

It is well known that every derivation on a commutative Banach algebra maps it into its radical, see [8]. As a consequence of Proposition 2.2, every  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation  $d$  on a commutative Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , for which  $\delta, \varepsilon$  are derivations, maps into the radical. If moreover,  $\mathcal{A}$  is semi-simple, then  $d = 0$ .

Now we are going to find a formula for  $d^n(ab)$ , where  $d$  is a  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation. This is not as simple as the one for an ordinary derivation. In fact what we give here is something such as an algorithm to calculate  $d^n(ab)$ .

Let  $\delta, \varepsilon$  be arbitrary linear mappings on an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . We construct a family of linear mappings  $\{\phi_{n,k}^{\delta,\varepsilon}\}$ , ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq 2^n - 1$ ), which is called the *binary family* for the ordered pair of linear mappings  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ , as follows.

Write the non-negative integer  $k$  in base 2 with exactly  $n$  digits, and put  $\delta$  in place of 1's and  $\varepsilon$  in place of 0's. For example, if  $n = 4$  then  $6 = (0110)_2$ ,  $10 = (1010)_2$ ,  $\phi_{4,6}^{\delta,\varepsilon} = \varepsilon\delta\delta\varepsilon = \varepsilon\delta^2\varepsilon$  and  $\phi_{4,10}^{\delta,\varepsilon} = \delta\varepsilon\delta\varepsilon$ . When there is no risk of ambiguity, we simply write  $\phi_{n,k}$  instead of  $\phi_{n,k}^{\delta,\varepsilon}$ . The following lemma is stated in [6]. We give its proof for the sake of convenience.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $k$  be a non-negative integer such that  $0 \leq k \leq 2^n - 1$ . Then*

- (i)  $\delta\phi_{n,k} = \phi_{n+1,k+2^n}$ ;
- (ii)  $\varepsilon\phi_{n,k} = \phi_{n+1,k}$ ;
- (iii)  $\phi_{n,k}\delta = \phi_{n+1,2k+1}$ ;
- (iv)  $\phi_{n,k}\varepsilon = \phi_{n+1,2k}$ .

*Proof.* Write  $k$  in the base 2 as  $(c_n \dots c_2 c_1)_2$ , where  $c_j \in \{0, 1\}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then

- (i)  $\delta\phi_{n,k} = \phi_{n+1,(1c_n \dots c_2 c_1)_2} = \phi_{n+1,k+2^n}$ ;
- (ii)  $\varepsilon\phi_{n,k} = \phi_{n+1,(0c_n \dots c_2 c_1)_2} = \phi_{n+1,k}$ ;
- (iii)  $\phi_{n,k}\delta = \phi_{n+1,(c_n \dots c_2 c_1 1)_2} = \phi_{n+1,2k+1}$ ;
- (iv)  $\phi_{n,k}\varepsilon = \phi_{n+1,(c_n \dots c_2 c_1 0)_2} = \phi_{n+1,2k}$ . □

Now consider the algebraic tensor product  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\delta, \varepsilon$  and  $d$  be arbitrary linear mappings on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Consider two bilinear mappings  $(a, b) \mapsto d(a) \otimes b + a \otimes d(b)$  and  $(a, b) \mapsto \delta(a) \otimes \varepsilon(b) + \varepsilon(a) \otimes \delta(b)$  from  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$  to  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$ . Then we have two linear mappings  $\alpha, \beta : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$  satisfying

$$\alpha(a \otimes b) = d(a) \otimes b + a \otimes d(b), \tag{2.3}$$

$$\beta(a \otimes b) = \delta(a) \otimes \varepsilon(b) + \varepsilon(a) \otimes \delta(b) \tag{2.4}$$

for  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $\delta, \varepsilon$  and  $d$  are linear mappings on an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  are defined as above, then for each positive integer  $n$*

$$(i) \quad \alpha^n(a \otimes b) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} d^k(a) \otimes d^{n-k}(b);$$

$$(ii) \quad \beta^n(a \otimes b) = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \phi_{n,k}(a) \otimes \phi_{n,2^n-1-k}(b).$$

*Proof.* (i) We proceed by induction. Clearly the equality in (i) holds for  $n = 1$ . Assume that the result is true for the positive integer  $n$ . Then from (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{n+1}(a \otimes b) &= \alpha \left( \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} d^k(a) \otimes d^{n-k}(b) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} d^{k+1}(a) \otimes d^{n-k}(b) + \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} d^k(a) \otimes d^{n+1-k}(b) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} d^{k+1}(a) \otimes d^{n+1-(k+1)}(b) + \binom{n}{n} d^{n+1}(a) \otimes b \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k+1} d^{k+1}(a) \otimes d^{n+1-(k+1)}(b) + \binom{n}{0} a \otimes d^{n+1}(b) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left( \binom{n}{k+1} + \binom{n}{k} \right) d^{k+1}(a) \otimes d^{n+1-(k+1)}(b) \\ &\quad + \binom{n}{0} a \otimes d^{n+1}(b) + \binom{n}{n} d^{n+1}(a) \otimes b \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n+1}{k} d^k(a) \otimes d^{n+1-k}(b) + \binom{n+1}{0} a \otimes d^{n+1}(b) + \binom{n+1}{n+1} d^{n+1}(a) \otimes b \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k} d^k(a) \otimes d^{n+1-k}(b). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Obviously, the result is true for  $n = 1$ . Let (ii) hold for  $n$ . Then from (2.4) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^{n+1}(a \otimes b) &= \beta \left( \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \phi_{n,i}(a) \otimes \phi_{n,2^n-1-j}(b) \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \delta \phi_{n,k}(a) \otimes \varepsilon \phi_{n,2^n-1-k}(b) + \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \varepsilon \phi_{n,k}(a) \otimes \delta \phi_{n,2^n-1-k}(b) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \phi_{n+1,k+2^n}(a) \otimes \phi_{n+1,2^n-1-k}(b) + \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \phi_{n+1,k}(a) \otimes \phi_{n+1,2^{n+1}-1-k}(b) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{k=2^n}^{2^{n+1}-1} \phi_{n+1,k}(a) \otimes \phi_{n+1,2^{n+1}-1-k}(b) + \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} \phi_{n+1,k}(a) \otimes \phi_{n+1,2^{n+1}-1-k}(b) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{2^{n+1}-1} \phi_{n+1,k}(a) \otimes \phi_{n+1,2^{n+1}-1-k}(b)
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Suppose that  $\delta, \varepsilon, d, \alpha$  and  $\beta$  are as above. Let  $\{\psi_{n,j}\}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq 2^n - 1$ ), be the binary family for  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . We calculate  $\{\psi_{n,j}\}$  for  $n = 3$ .

**Example 2.5.** Take  $n = 3$ . By the definition of  $\{\psi_{n,j}\}$  and Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= (000)_2, \psi_{3,0}(a \otimes b) = \beta^3(a \otimes b) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^3-1} \phi_{3,i}(a) \otimes \phi_{3,2^3-1-i}(b) \\
 1 &= (001)_2, \psi_{3,1}(a \otimes b) = \beta^2\alpha(a \otimes b) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^2-1} \sum_{k=0}^1 \binom{1}{k} \phi_{2,i}(d^k(a)) \otimes \phi_{2,2^2-1-i}(d^{1-k}(b)) \\
 2 &= (010)_2, \psi_{3,2}(a \otimes b) = \alpha\beta\alpha(a \otimes b) \\
 &= \sum_{r=0}^{2^1-1} \sum_{k=0}^1 \sum_{i=0}^{2^1-1} \binom{1}{k} \phi_{1,r}(d^k(\phi_{1,i}(a))) \otimes \phi_{1,2^1-1-r}(d^{1-k}(\phi_{1,2^1-1-i}(b))) \\
 3 &= (011)_2, \psi_{3,3}(a \otimes b) = \beta\alpha^2(a \otimes b) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^1-1} \sum_{k=0}^2 \binom{2}{k} \phi_{1,i}(d^k(a)) \otimes \phi_{1,2^1-1-i}(d^{1-k}(b)) \\
 4 &= (100)_2, \psi_{3,4}(a \otimes b) = \alpha\beta^2(a \otimes b) = \sum_{k=0}^1 \sum_{i=0}^{2^2-1} \binom{1}{k} d^k(\phi_{2,i}(a)) \otimes d^{1-k}(\phi_{2,2^2-1-i}(b)) \\
 5 &= (101)_2, \psi_{3,5}(a \otimes b) = \alpha\beta\alpha(a \otimes b) \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^1 \sum_{i=0}^{2^1-1} \sum_{s=0}^1 \binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{s} d^k(\phi_{1,i}(d^s(a))) \otimes d^{1-k}(\phi_{1,2^1-1-i}(d^{1-s}(b))) \\
 6 &= (110)_2, \psi_{3,6}(a \otimes b) = \alpha^2\beta(a \otimes b) = \sum_{k=0}^2 \sum_{i=0}^{2^1-1} \binom{2}{k} d^k(\phi_{1,i}(a)) \otimes d^{2-k}(\phi_{1,2^1-1-i}(b)) \\
 7 &= (111)_2, \psi_{3,7}(a \otimes b) = \alpha^3(a \otimes b) = \sum_{k=0}^3 \binom{3}{k} d^k(a) \otimes d^{3-k}(b).
 \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.6.**  $(\alpha + \beta)^n = \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \psi_{n,j}$ .

*Proof.* The equality holds for  $n = 1$ . Suppose that we have the equality for  $n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha + \beta)^{n+1}(a \otimes b) &= (\alpha + \beta)((\alpha + \beta)^n(a \otimes b)) = (\alpha + \beta)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \psi_{n,j}(a \otimes b)\right) \\
&= \alpha\left(\sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \psi_{n,j}(a \otimes b)\right) + \beta\left(\sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \psi_{n,j}(a \otimes b)\right) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \psi_{n+1,j+2^n}(a \otimes b).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra and  $d$  a  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that  $\sigma : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ , is the linear mapping defined by  $\sigma(a \otimes b) = ab$  ( $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ). If  $\alpha, \beta$  are defined as above, then it is easy to see that  $d(ab) = \sigma((\alpha + \beta)(a \otimes b))$ . In other words,  $d(\sigma(a \otimes b)) = \sigma((\alpha + \beta)(a \otimes b))$ , that is  $d\sigma = \sigma(\alpha + \beta)$ .

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $d$  be a  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation on an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then*

$$d^n(ab) = \sigma((\alpha + \beta)^n(a \otimes b)) = \sigma\left(\sum_{j=0}^{2^n-1} \psi_{n,j}(a \otimes b)\right). \quad (2.5)$$

*Proof.* We apply an induction argument. The result is clear for  $n = 1$ . Let (2.5) hold for  $n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
d^{n+1}(ab) &= d(d^n(ab)) = d(\sigma(\alpha + \beta)^n(a \otimes b)) = \sigma(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + \beta)^n(a \otimes b) \\
&= \sigma((\alpha + \beta)^{n+1}(a \otimes b)).
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from Lemma 2.6. □

### 3. AUTOMATIC CONTINUITY

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $d$  a  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$ . We recall that for a linear mapping  $T : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ , the separating space of  $T$  is the set

$$\mathcal{S}(T) = \{a \in \mathcal{A} : \exists \{a_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \text{ s.t. } a_n \rightarrow 0, T(a_n) \rightarrow a\}.$$

By the closed graph theorem  $T$  is continuous if and only if  $\mathcal{S}(T) = \{0\}$ .

We are going to find out under which conditions the continuity of any pair of the linear mappings  $d, \delta$  and  $\varepsilon$ , implies the continuity of the third one. First we assume that  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  are continuous and observe what happens for  $d$ . In the second step we assume continuity of  $d$  and one of  $\delta$  or  $\varepsilon$ , say  $\delta$ , and observe what happens for the third one. We also prove some results concerning  $\delta$ -double derivations. For the first step we need some preliminaries.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $\mathcal{X}$  a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. A linear mapping  $S : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is said to be left-intertwining if the mapping

$$b \mapsto aS(b) - S(ab), \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{X},$$

is continuous for each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , and right-intertwining if the mapping

$$a \longmapsto S(a)b - S(ab), \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X},$$

is continuous for all  $b \in \mathcal{A}$ . A linear mapping  $S : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}$  is intertwining if it is both left- and right-intertwining. For more about these objects see [1, Section 2.7].

**Theorem 3.1.** [2, Theorem 2.1] *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra. Suppose that each derivation from  $\mathcal{A}$  to a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule is continuous. Then each left intertwining map from  $\mathcal{A}$  to each Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule is continuous.*

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra. Suppose that each derivation from  $\mathcal{A}$  into a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule is continuous. Then each  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation  $d$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  with continuous  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Since  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  are continuous, it is easy to see that  $d$  is an intertwining map when we consider  $\mathcal{A}$  as a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule in a natural way. Thus, by Theorem 3.1,  $d$  is continuous.  $\square$

It is a well known result due to B. E. Johnson and A. M. Sinclair [5] that every derivation on a semi-simple Banach algebra is continuous. Here we give a similar result for double derivations.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a semi-simple Banach algebra and let  $\delta, \varepsilon$  be continuous linear mappings on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then every  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Consider  $\mathcal{A}$  as a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule with it's own product. Let  $d$  be a  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Thus  $d$  is an intertwining map and the separating space  $\mathcal{S}(d)$  of  $d$  is a separating ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ , see [1, Theorem 5.2.24]. Therefore by [1, Lemma 5.2.25],  $\mathcal{S}(d)$  is finite dimensional and hence it contains a nonzero idempotent  $e$ , whenever  $\mathcal{S}(d) \neq \{0\}$ , [1, Corollary 5.2.26]. Let  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $d(a_n) \rightarrow e$ . Then

$$d(ea_n) = ed(a_n) + d(e)a_n + \lambda(e, a_n)$$

which tends to  $e$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . But  $ea_n \in \mathcal{S}(d)$  and  $d$  is continuous on the finite dimensional Banach algebra  $\mathcal{S}(d)$ . Hence  $e = 0$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

In [7, Theorem 3.7] it is proved that every  $*$ - $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation on a  $C^*$ -algebra, with continuous  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$ , is continuous. Also in [7, Theorem 3.8] it is proved that a  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation on a  $C^*$ -algebra is continuous whenever  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  are continuous linear  $*$ -mappings. The next Corollary is a more general result.

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $\delta, \varepsilon$  be continuous linear mappings on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then every  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation on  $\mathcal{A}$  is continuous.*

Now we begin the second step.

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  be subsets of  $\mathcal{A}$ . By  $\mathcal{BC}$  we mean the set  $\{bc : b \in \mathcal{B}, c \in \mathcal{C}\}$ . We recall that, the left (resp. right) ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $\mathcal{B}$  is the linear span of  $\mathcal{AB}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{BA}$ ). The closed left (resp. right) ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $\mathcal{B}$  is defined to be the closure of the linear span of  $\mathcal{AB}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{BA}$ ). Clearly, if  $\mathcal{A}$  is commutative then the two sided ideal generated by  $\mathcal{B}$  is the linear span of  $\mathcal{AB}$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $d$  be a  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation on a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $d$  and  $\delta$  are continuous then  $\mathcal{S}(\varepsilon)\delta(\mathcal{A}) = \delta(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{S}(\varepsilon) = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $b \in \mathcal{S}(\varepsilon)$ . There is a sequence  $\{b_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  converging to 0 with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon(b_n) = b$ . We have

$$d(ab_n) = ad(b_n) + d(a)b_n + \delta(a)\varepsilon(b_n) + \varepsilon(a)\delta(b_n).$$

Continuity of  $d$  and  $\delta$  implies that  $\delta(a)b = 0$ . Similarly  $b\delta(a) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6.** *Let  $d$  be a  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation on a commutative unital prime Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . If  $d$  and  $\delta$  are continuous and  $\delta$  is nonzero, then  $\varepsilon$  is also continuous.*

*Proof.* We have  $\delta(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{S}(\varepsilon) = \{0\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{I}_1$  and  $\mathcal{I}_2$  be the ideals generated by  $\delta(\mathcal{A})$  and  $\mathcal{S}(\varepsilon)$ , respectively. Then  $\mathcal{I}_1\mathcal{I}_2 = \{0\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{I}_1 \neq \{0\}$ ,  $\mathcal{I}_2$  and hence  $\mathcal{S}(\varepsilon)$  is zero.  $\square$

Finally, we give some results concerning continuity of  $\delta$ -double derivations.

**Theorem 3.7.** *If  $d$  is a continuous  $\delta$ -double derivation on a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  then  $\mathcal{S}(\delta)\delta(\mathcal{A}) = \delta(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{S}(\delta) = \{0\}$ . Moreover, for each  $a \in \mathcal{S}(\delta)$ ,  $a^2 = 0$ .*

*Proof.* The same argument as in Theorem 3.5 gives that  $\mathcal{S}(\delta)\delta(\mathcal{A}) = \delta(\mathcal{A})\mathcal{S}(\delta) = \{0\}$ . Now let  $a_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\delta(a_n) \rightarrow a$ . Then

$$0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(a_n^2) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n d(a_n) + d(a_n)a_n + 2\delta(a_n)^2,$$

which implies that  $a^2 = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** *If  $d$  is a continuous  $\delta$ -double derivation on a commutative unital semi-prime Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\delta$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Consider  $\mathcal{I}$  to be the closed ideal generated by  $\mathcal{S}(\delta)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{I}$  contains  $\mathcal{S}(\delta)$  since  $\mathcal{A}$  is unital. Commutativity of  $\mathcal{A}$  and Theorem 3.7 imply that  $\mathcal{I}$  is a closed nil and hence nilpotent ideal, see [3]. Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is semi-prime,  $\mathcal{I} = \{0\}$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{S}(\delta) = \{0\}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.9.** *If  $D$  is a derivation on a Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $D^2$  is continuous, then  $\mathcal{S}(D)$  is nilpotent.*

*Proof.* When  $D$  is a derivation  $D^2$  is a  $D$ -double derivation and  $\mathcal{S}(D)$  is a closed nil and hence nilpotent ideal.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** The authors would like to thank the referee for valuable comments and suggestions.

## REFERENCES

1. H.G. Dales, *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.
2. H.G. Dales, and A.R. Villena, *Continuity of derivations, intertwining maps, and cocycles from Banach algebras*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **63** (2001), 215–225.
3. S. Grabiner, *The nilpotency of nil Banach algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1969) 510.
4. J.T. Hartwig, D. Larsson and S.D. Silvestrov, *Deformations of Lie algebras using  $\sigma$ -derivations*, J. Algebra **295** (2006), 314–361.



5. B.E. Johnson and A. M. Sinclair, *Continuity of derivations and a problem of Kaplansky*, Amer. J. Math. **90** (1968), 1067–1073.
6. M. Mirzavaziri and M.S. Moslehian,  *$\sigma$ -derivations in Banach algebras*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **32** (2006), 65–78.
7. M. Mirzavaziri, E. Omidvar Tehrani,  *$\delta$ -double derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **35** (2009), 147–154.
8. M.P. Thomas, *The image of a derivation is contained in the radical*, Ann. of Math. (2) **128** (1988), no. 3, 435–460.

<sup>1</sup> DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD, P. O. BOX 1159, MASHHAD 91775, IRAN.

*E-mail address:* [hejazian@um.ac.ir](mailto:hejazian@um.ac.ir)

<sup>2</sup> DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD, P. O. BOX 1159, MASHHAD 91775, IRAN;  
TUSI MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH GROUP (TMRG), MASHHAD, IRAN.

*E-mail address:* [hmahdavianrad@gmail.com](mailto:hmahdavianrad@gmail.com)

<sup>3</sup> DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, CENTER OF EXCELLENCE IN ANALYSIS ON ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES (CEAAS), FERDOWSI UNIVERSITY OF MASHHAD, P. O. BOX 1159, MASHHAD 91775, IRAN.

*E-mail address:* [mirzavaziri@math.um.ac.ir](mailto:mirzavaziri@math.um.ac.ir)