

A RELATED FIXED POINT THEOREM IN N COMPLETE METRIC SPACES

FAYÇAL MERGHADI, ABDELKRIM ALIOUCHE, BRIAN FISHER

ABSTRACT. We prove a related fixed point theorem for n mappings in n complete metric spaces via implicit relations. Our result generalizes Theorem 1.1 of [1].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 47H10, 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, A. Aliouche and B. Fisher [1] proved the following related fixed point theorem in two complete metric spaces.

Theorem 1.1 *Let (X, d) and (Y, ρ) be complete metric spaces and let S, T be mappings of Y into X and of X into Y respectively, satisfying the inequalities*

$$f(\rho(Tx, TSy), d(x, Sy), \rho(y, Tx), \rho(y, TSy)) \leq 0,$$

$$g(d(Sy, STx), \rho(y, Tx), d(x, Sy), d(x, STx)) \leq 0$$

for all x in X and y in Y , where $f, g \in F$. Then ST has a unique fixed point in X and TS has a unique fixed point v in Y . Further, $Tu = v$ and $Sv = u$.

We denote by \mathbb{R}_+ the set of non-negative reals and by Φ the set of all functions $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

- (i) ϕ is upper semi continuous in each coordinate variable,
- (ii) if either $\phi(u, v, 0, u) \leq 0$ or $\phi(u, v, u, 0) \leq 0$ for all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then there exists a real constant c , with $0 \leq c < 1$, such that $u \leq cv$.

Example 1.2. $\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = t_1 - c \max\{t_2, t_3, t_4\}$, $0 \leq c < 1$.

Example 1.3. $\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = t_1^2 - c_1 \max\{t_2^2, t_3^2, t_4^2\} - c_2 \max\{t_1 t_3, t_2 t_4\} - c_3 t_3 t_4$, where $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $c_1 + c_2 < 1$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. Let (X_i, d_i) be n complete metric spaces and let $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{i=n}$ be n mappings such that $A_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $A_n : X_n \rightarrow X_1$, satisfying the inequalities

$$\phi_1 \left(\begin{array}{c} d_1 (A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 x_2, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_1 x_1), \\ d_2 (x_2, A_1 A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 x_2), \\ d_1 (x_1, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_2 x_2), d_1 (x_1, A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_1 x_1) \end{array} \right) \leq 0 \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x_1 \in X_1$ and $x_2 \in X_2$, in general

$$\phi_i \left(\begin{array}{c} d_i (A_{i-1} A_{i-2} \dots A_1 A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_{i+1} x_{i+1}, A_{i-1} A_{i-2} \dots A_1 A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_i x_i), \\ d_{i+1} (x_{i+1}, A_i A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_{i+1} x_{i+1}), \\ d_i (x_i, A_{i-1} A_{i-2} \dots A_1 A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_{i+1} x_{i+1}), \\ d_i (x_i, A_{i-1} A_{i-2} \dots A_1 A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_i x_i) \end{array} \right) \leq 0 \quad (2.i)$$

for all $x_i \in X_i$, $x_{i+1} \in X_{i+1}$ and $i = 2, \dots, n-1$, and

$$\phi_n \left(\begin{array}{c} d_n (A_{n-1} A_{n-2} \dots A_1 x_1, A_{n-1} A_{n-2} \dots A_1 A_n x_n), \\ d_1 (x_1, A_n A_{n-1} A_{n-2} \dots A_1 x_1), \\ d_n (x_n, A_{n-1} A_{n-2} \dots A_1 x_1), \\ d_n (x_n, A_{n-1} A_{n-2} \dots A_1 A_n x_n) \end{array} \right) \leq 0 \quad (2.n)$$

for all $x_1 \in X_1$, $x_n \in X_n$, where $\phi_i \in \Phi$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$A_{i-1} A_{i-2} \dots A_1 A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_i$$

has a unique fixed point $p_i \in X_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Further, $A_i p_i = p_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $A_n p_n = p_1$.

Proof. Let $\{x_r^{(1)}\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$, $\{x_r^{(2)}\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$, \dots , $\{x_r^{(i)}\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$, \dots , $\{x_r^{(r)}\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ be sequences in $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_i, \dots, X_n$, respectively.

Now let $x_0^{(1)}$ be an arbitrary point in X_1 . We define the sequences $\{x_r^{(i)}\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ by

$$\begin{aligned} x_r^{(1)} &= (A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)}, \\ x_r^{(i)} &= A_{i-1} A_{i-2} \dots A_1 (A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)} \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 2, \dots, n$.

For $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we assume that $x_n^{(1)} \neq x_{n+1}^{(1)}$. Applying the inequality (2.1) for $x_2 = A_1 (A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_1)^{r-1} x_0^{(1)}$, $x_1 = (A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 \left(\begin{array}{l} d_1 \left((A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)}, (A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_1)^{r+1} x_0^{(1)} \right), \\ d_2 \left(A_1 (A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_1)^{r-1} x_0^{(1)}, A_1 (A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)} \right), \\ d_1 \left((A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)}, (A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)} \right), \\ d_1 \left((A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)}, (A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_1)^{r+1} x_0^{(1)} \right) \end{array} \right) \\ = \phi_1 \left(\begin{array}{ll} d_1 \left(x_r^{(1)}, x_{r+1}^{(1)} \right), & d_2 \left(x_{r-1}^{(2)}, x_r^{(2)} \right), \\ 0, & d_1 \left(x_r^{(1)}, x_{r+1}^{(1)} \right) \end{array} \right) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the implicit relation we have

$$d_1 \left(x_r^{(1)}, x_{r+1}^{(1)} \right) \leq cd_2 \left(x_{r-1}^{(2)}, x_r^{(2)} \right), \quad (3.1)$$

for $r = 1, 2, \dots$.

Applying the inequality (2.i) for $x_{i+1} = A_i \dots A_1 (A_n \dots A_1)^{r-1} x_0^{(1)}$ and $x_i = A_{i-1} \dots A_1 (A_n \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_i \left(\begin{array}{l} d_i \left(A_{i-1} \dots A_1 (A_n \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)}, A_{i-1} (A_n \dots A_1)^{r+1} x_0^{(1)} \right), \\ d_{i+1} \left(A_i \dots A_1 (A_n \dots A_1)^{r-1} x_0^{(1)}, A_i \dots A_1 (A_n \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)} \right), \\ d_i \left(A_{i-1} \dots A_1 (A_n \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)}, A_{i-1} \dots A_1 (A_n \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)} \right), \\ d_i \left(A_{i-1} \dots A_1 (A_{i-1} \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)}, A_{i-1} \dots A_1 (A_n \dots A_1)^{r+1} x_0^{(1)} \right) \end{array} \right) \\ = \phi_i \left(\begin{array}{ll} d_i \left(x_r^{(i)}, x_{r+1}^{(i)} \right), & d_{i+1} \left(x_{r-1}^{(i+1)}, x_r^{(i+1)} \right), \\ 0, & d_{i+1} \left(x_r^{(i+1)}, x_{r+1}^{(i+1)} \right) \end{array} \right) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$d_i \left(x_r^{(i)}, x_{r+1}^{(i)} \right) \leq cd_{i+1} \left(x_{r-1}^{(i+1)}, x_r^{(i+1)} \right), \quad (3.i)$$

for $i = 2, \dots, n-1$ and $r = 1, 2, \dots$.

Now applying the inequality (2.n) for $x_n = A_{n-1} \dots A_1 (A_n \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)}$ and $x_1 =$

$(A_n \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_n \left(\begin{array}{l} d_n \left(A_{n-1} \dots A_1 (A_n \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)}, A_{n-1} \dots A_1 (A_n \dots A_1)^{r+1} x_0^{(1)} \right), \\ d_1 \left((A_n \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)}, (A_n \dots A_1)^{r+1} x_0^{(1)} \right), \\ d_n \left(A_{n-1} \dots A_1 (A_n \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)}, A_{n-1} \dots A_1 (A_n \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)} \right), \\ d_n \left(A_{n-1} \dots A_1 (A_n \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)}, A_{n-1} \dots A_1 (A_n \dots A_1)^r x_0^{(1)} \right) \end{array} \right) \\ = \phi_n \left(\begin{array}{ll} d_n \left(x_n^{(n)}, x_{n+1}^{(n)} \right), & d_1 \left(x_{n-1}^{(1)}, x_n^{(1)} \right), \\ 0, & d_n \left(x_n^{(n)}, x_{n+1}^{(n)} \right) \end{array} \right) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$d_n \left(x_r^{(n)}, x_{r+1}^{(n)} \right) \leq c d_1 \left(x_{r-1}^{(1)}, x_r^{(1)} \right), \quad (3.n)$$

for $r = 1, 2, \dots$

It now follows from (3.1), (3.i) and (3.n) that for large enough n

$$\begin{aligned} d_i \left(x_r^{(i)}, x_{r+1}^{(i)} \right) &\leq c d_{i+1} \left(x_{r-1}^{(i+1)}, x_r^{(i+1)} \right) \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq c^{n-i} d_n \left(x_{r+i-n}^{(n)}, x_{r+i-n+1}^{(n)} \right) \\ &\leq c^{n-i+1} d_1 \left(x_{r+i-n-1}^{(1)}, x_{r+i-n}^{(1)} \right) \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq c^{2n-i+1} d_1 \left(x_{r+i-2n-1}^{(1)}, x_{r+i-2n}^{(1)} \right) \\ &\leq \dots \\ &\leq c^{mn-i+1} d_1 \left(x_{r+i-mn-1}^{(1)}, x_{r+i-mn}^{(1)} \right) \\ &\leq c^{mn} \max \left\{ d_1 \left(x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)} \right), \dots, d_n \left(x_1^{(n)}, x_2^{(n)} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $c < 1$, it follows that $\{x_r^{(i)}\}$ is Cauchy sequences in X_i with a limit p_i in X_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

To prove that p_i is a fixed point of $A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_i p_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, n-1$, suppose that $A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_i p_i \neq p_i$. Using the inequality (2.i) for $x_i = p_i$ and $x_{i+1} = x_r^{(i+1)}$, we obtain

$$\phi_i \left(\begin{array}{l} d_i \left(x_{r+1}^{(i)}, A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_i p_i \right), \\ d_{i+1} \left(x_r^{(i+1)}, x_{r+1}^{(i+1)} \right), d_i \left(p_i, x_r^{(i)} \right), \\ d_i \left(p_i, A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_i p_i \right) \end{array} \right) \leq 0.$$

Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\phi_i \left(\begin{array}{c} d_i(p_i, A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_i p_i), 0, 0, \\ d_i(p_i, A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_i p_i) \end{array} \right) \leq 0.$$

It follows from (ii) that $p_i = A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_i p_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, n-1$.

For the case $i = 1$, we use (2.1) with $x_1 = p_1$ and $x_2 = A_1(A_n \dots A_1)^{r-1} x_0^{(1)}$, giving

$$\phi_1 \left(\begin{array}{c} d_1(x_r^{(1)}, A_n \dots A_1 p_1), \\ d_2(x_r^{(2)}, x_{r+1}^{(2)}), d_1(p_1, x_r^{(1)}), \\ d_1(p_1, A_n \dots A_1 p_1) \end{array} \right) \leq 0.$$

Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\phi_i \left(\begin{array}{c} d_1(p_1, A_n \dots A_1 p_1), 0, 0, \\ d_1(p_1, A_n \dots A_1 p_1) \end{array} \right) \leq 0.$$

It follows from (ii) that $p_1 = A_n \dots A_1 p_1$.

Finally, if $i = n$, using the inequality (2.n) for $x_n = p_n$ and $x_1 = x_n^{(1)}$ we get

$$\phi_n \left(\begin{array}{c} d_n(x_{r+1}^{(n)}, A_{n-1} \dots A_1 A_n p_n), \\ d_1(x_r^{(1)}, x_{r+1}^{(1)}), d_n(p_n, x_{r+1}^{(n)}), \\ d_n(p_n, A_{n-1} \dots A_1 A_n p_n) \end{array} \right) \leq 0.$$

Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\phi_n \left(\begin{array}{c} d_n(p_n, A_{n-1} \dots A_1 A_n p_n), 0, 0, \\ d_n(p_n, A_{n-1} \dots A_1 A_n p_n) \end{array} \right) \leq 0$$

and by (ii), $p_n = A_{n-1} \dots A_1 A_n p_n$.

To prove the uniqueness, suppose that $A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_i$ has a second fixed point $z_i \neq p_i$ in X_i .

Using the inequality (2.i) for $x_{i+1} = A_i z_i$ and $x_i = p_i$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_1 \left(\begin{array}{c} d_1(A_i \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_i z_i, A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_i p_i), \\ d_{i+1}(A_i z_i, A_i \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_{i+1} z_i) \\ d_i(p_i, A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_{i+1} z_i), d_i(p_i, A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_i p_i) \end{array} \right) \\ &= \phi_1(d_i(z_i, p_i), 0, d_i(p_i, z_i), 0) \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $z_i = p_i$, proving the uniqueness of p_i for $i = 2, \dots, n-1$. The uniqueness of p_1 in X_1 and p_n in X_n follow similarly.

We finally note that

$$A_i p_i = A_i \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_{i+1} (A_i p_i),$$

so that $A_i p_i$ is a fixed point of $A_i \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_{i+1}$. Since the fixed point is unique, it follows that $A_i p_i = p_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. It follows similarly that $A_n p_n = p_1$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Example 2.2. Let (X_i, d) for $i = 1, \dots, n$ be n complete metric spaces where $X_i = \{x_i : i-1 \leq x_i \leq i\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and d is the usual metric for the real numbers. Define $A_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $A_n : X_n \rightarrow X_1$ by

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 x_1 &= \begin{cases} 5/4 & \text{if } 0 \leq x_1 < 1/2, \\ 3/2 & \text{if } 1/2 \leq x_1 \leq 1, \end{cases} \\ A_i x_i &= \begin{cases} i+1/4 & \text{if } i-1 \leq x_i < i-3/4, \\ i+1/2 & \text{if } i-3/4 \leq x_i \leq i \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 2, \dots, n-1$,

$$A_n x_n = \begin{cases} 3/4 & \text{if } n-1 \leq x_n < n-3/4, \\ 1 & \text{if } n-3/4 \leq x_n \leq n \end{cases}$$

and $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = \dots = \phi_n = \phi \in \Phi$ such that $\phi(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = t_1 - c \max\{t_2, t_3, t_4\}$ and $0 \leq c < 1$

Note that there exists p_i in X_i such that $A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_i p_i = p_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. For example if we put

(a) $i = n$, we get $A_{n-1} \dots A_1 A_n p_n = p_n$ if $w_n = n - \frac{1}{2}$ because

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n-1} \dots A_1 A_n (n-1/2) &= A_{n-1} \dots A_1 (1) \\ &= A_{n-1} A_{n-2} \dots A_2 (3/2) \\ &= A_{n-1} \dots A_{i+1} (i+1/2) \\ &= \dots \\ &= A_{n-1} (n-5/2) \\ &= A_{n-1} (n-3/2) = n-1/2 \end{aligned}$$

and $n \leq n-3/2 \leq n-3/4$.

(b) Note that for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $i-3/4 \leq x_i < i$, $(i+1)-3/4 \leq A_i x_i < i+1$ and $1/2 \leq A_n x_n \leq 1$ with $n-3/4 \leq x_n < n$, there exists $p_i = i-1/2$ such that $A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_i (i-1/2) = i-1/2$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Further,

$$d(A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_{i+1} x_{i+1}, A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_i x_i) = 0$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_i \left(\begin{array}{c} d(A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_{i+1} x_{i+1}, A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_i x_i), \\ d(x_{i+1}, A_i \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_{i+1} x_{i+1}), \\ d(x_i, A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_{i+1} x_{i+1}), \\ d(x_i, A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_i x_i) \end{array} \right) \\ &= -c \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c} d(x_{i+1}, A_i \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_{i+1} x_{i+1}), \\ d(x_i, A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_{i+1} x_{i+1}), \\ d(x_i, A_{i-1} \dots A_1 A_n \dots A_i x_i) \end{array} \right\} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

which is true for all $x_i \in X_i$, $x_{i+1} \in X_{i+1}$ and $0 \leq c < 1$. Thus all conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied.

If we take $n = 5$ in Theorem 2.1, we get the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.3. *Let (X_i, d_i) , $i = 1, \dots, 5$ be 5 complete metric spaces, $A_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $A_5 : X_5 \rightarrow X_1$ be 5 mappings satisfying*

$$\phi_1 \left(\begin{array}{c} d_1(A_5 A_4 A_3 A_2 x_2, A_5 A_4 A_3 A_2 A_1 x_1), \\ d_2(x_2, A_1 A_5 A_4 A_3 A_2 x_2) \\ d_1(x_1, A_5 A_4 A_3 A_2 x_2), d_1(x_1, A_5 A_4 A_3 A_2 A_1 x_1) \end{array} \right) \leq 0 \quad (7.1)$$

for all $x_1 \in X_1$ and $x_2 \in X_2$ with $x_2 \neq A_1 x_1$,

$$\phi_2 \left(\begin{array}{c} d_2(A_1 A_5 A_4 A_3 x_3, A_1 A_5 A_4 A_3 A_2 x_2), \\ d_3(x_3, A_2 A_1 A_5 A_4 A_3 x_3) \\ d_2(x_2, A_1 A_5 A_4 A_3 x_3), d_2(x_2, A_1 A_5 A_4 A_3 A_2 x_2) \end{array} \right) \leq 0 \quad (7.2)$$

for all $x_2 \in X_2$ and $x_3 \in X_3$ with $x_3 \neq A_2 x_2$,

$$\phi_3 \left(\begin{array}{c} d_3(A_2 A_1 A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_4 x_4, A_2 A_1 A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_3 x_3), \\ d_4(x_4, A_3 A_2 A_1 A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_4 x_4) \\ d_3(x_3, A_2 A_1 A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_4 x_4), \\ d_3(x_3, A_2 A_1 A_n A_{n-1} \dots A_3 x_3) \end{array} \right) \leq 0 \quad (7.3)$$

for all $x_3 \in X_2$ and $x_4 \in X_3$ with $x_4 \neq A_3 x_3$,

$$\phi_4 \left(\begin{array}{c} d_4(A_3 A_2 A_1 A_5 x_5, A_3 A_2 A_1 A_5 A_4 x_4), \\ d_5(x_5, A_4 A_3 A_2 A_1 A_5 x_5) \\ d_4(x_4, A_3 A_2 A_1 A_5 x_5), \\ d_4(x_4, A_3 A_2 A_1 A_5 x_5 A_4 x_4) \end{array} \right) \leq 0$$

for all $x_4 \in X_4$ and $x_5 \in X_5$ with $x_5 \neq A_4x_4$.

$$\phi_5 \left(\begin{array}{c} d_5(A_4A_3A_2A_1x_1, A_4A_3A_2A_1A_5x_5), \\ d_1(x_1, A_5A_4A_3A_2A_1x_1) \\ d_5(x_5, A_4A_3A_2A_1x_1), \\ d_5(x_5, A_4A_3A_2A_1A_5x_5) \end{array} \right) \leq 0 \quad (7.5)$$

for all $x_1 \in X_1$ and $x_5 \in X_5$ with $x_1 \neq A_5x_5$. Then $A_{i-1}A_{i-2}\dots A_1A_nA_{n-1}\dots A_i$ has a unique fixed point $p_i \in X_i$, for all $i = 1, \dots, 5$

The following example illustrates our Corollary 2.3.

Example 2.4. Let (X_i, d) for $i = 1, \dots, 5$ be 5 metric spaces where $X_i = \{x_i : i-1 \leq i \leq i\}$ for $i = 1, \dots, 5$ and d is the usual metric for the real numbers. Define $A_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i+1}$, for $i = 1, \dots, 4$ and $A_5 : X_5 \rightarrow X_1$ by

$$\begin{aligned} A_1x_1 &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq x_1 < 3/4, \\ 3/2 & \text{if } 3/4 \leq x_1 \leq 1 \end{cases}, & A_2x_2 &= \begin{cases} 5/2 & \text{if } 1 \leq x_2 < 3/2, \\ 3 & \text{if } 3/2 \leq x_2 \leq 2 \end{cases}, \\ A_3x_3 &= \begin{cases} 13/4 & \text{if } 2 \leq x_3 < 5/2, \\ 7/2 & \text{if } 5/2 \leq x_3 \leq 3 \end{cases}, & A_4x_4 &= \begin{cases} 17/4 & \text{if } x_4 \leq 3 < 7/2 \\ 9/2 & \text{if } x_4 \in [7/2, 4[\end{cases}, \\ A_5x_5 &= \begin{cases} 3/4 & \text{if } 4 \leq x_5 < 9/2 \\ 1 & \text{if } 9/2 \leq x_5 \leq 5 \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\phi_1(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = t_1 - c \max\{t_2, t_3, t_4\}$ and $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = \phi_3 = \phi_4 = \phi_5$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} A_5A_4A_3A_2A_1\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) &= \frac{3}{2}, \\ A_1A_5A_4A_3A_2(3) &= 3, \\ A_2A_1A_5A_4A_3\left(\frac{7}{2}\right) &= \frac{7}{2}, \\ A_3A_2A_1A_5A_4\left(\frac{9}{2}\right) &= \frac{9}{2}, \\ A_4A_3A_2A_1A_5(1) &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

The inequalities (7.1), (7.2), (7.3), (7.4) and (7.5) are satisfied for $i = 1, \dots, 5$ since the value of the left hand side of each inequality is 0. Hence, all the conditions of Corollary 2.3 are satisfied.

We finally note that if we take $n = 2$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain Theorem 2.3 of [1].

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aliouche and B. Fisher, *Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying implicit relation on two complete and compact metric spaces*, Applied Mathematics and Mechanics., 27 (9) (2006), 1217-1222.
- [2] R. K. Jain, H. K. Sahu and B. Fisher, *Related fixed point theorems for three metric spaces*, Novi Sad J. Math. 26 (1996), 11-17.
- [3] R. K. Jain, H. K. Sahu and B. Fisher, *A related fixed point theorem on three metric spaces*, Kyungpook Math. J. 36 (1996), 151-154.
- [4] R. K. Jain, A. K Shrivastava and B. Fisher, *Fixed point theorems on three complete metric spaces*, Novi Sad J. Math. 27 (1997), 27-35.
- [5] S. Jain and B. Fisher, *A related fixed point theorem for three metric spaces*, Hacettepe J. Math. and Stat. 31 (2002), 19-24.
- [6] N. P. Nung, *A fixed point theorem in three metric spaces*, Math. Sem. Notes, Kobe Univ. 11 (1983) 77-79.
- [7] K. P. R. Rao, N. Srinivasa Rao and B. V. S. N. Hari Prasad, *Three fixed point results for three maps*, J. Nat. Phy. Sci., 18 (2004) 41-48.
- [8] K. P. R. Rao, B. V. S. N. Hari Prasad and N. Srinivasa Rao, *Generalizations of some fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces*, Acta Ciencia Indica, Vol. XXIX, M. 1 (2003), 31-34.

Fayçal Merghadi

Department of Mathematics

University of Larbi Tebessa Tebessa, 12000, Algeria.

email: *faycel_mr@yahoo.fr*

Abdelkrim Aliouche

Department of Mathematics

University of Larbi Ben M'Hidi Oum-El-Bouaghi, 04000, Algeria.

email: *alioumath@yahoo.fr*

Brian Fisher

Department of Mathematics

University of Leicester, Leicester, LE8 5PJ, UK.

email: *fbr@le.ac.uk*