

SUBCLASS OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

G. SWAPNA, B. VENKATESWARLU, P. THIRUPATHI REDDY

ABSTRACT. In this work, we introduce and investigate a new class $k - \tilde{U}S_s^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, t)$ of analytic functions in the open unit disc U with negative coefficients. The object of the present paper is to determine coefficient estimates, neighborhoods and partial sums for functions f belonging to this class.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 30C45.

Keywords: analytic function, uniformly starlike function, coefficient estimate, neighborhood, partial sums.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A denote the class of analytic functions f defined on the unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ with normalization $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. Such a function has the Taylor series expansion about the origin in the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1)$$

denoted by S , the subclass of A consisting of functions that are univalent in U .

For $f \in A$ given by (1) and $g(z)$ given by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad (2)$$

their convolution (or Hadamard product), denoted by $(f * g)$, is defined as

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n = (g * f)(z) \quad (z \in U). \quad (3)$$

Note that $f * g \in A$.

A function $f \in A$ is said to be in $k - US(\gamma)$, the class of k -uniformly starlike functions of order $\gamma, 0 \leq \gamma < 1$, if satisfies the condition

$$Re \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > k \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right| + \gamma, \quad (k \geq 0), \quad (4)$$

and a function $f \in A$ is said to be in $k - UC(\gamma)$, the class of k -uniformly convex functions of order $\gamma, 0 \leq \gamma < 1$, if satisfies the condition

$$Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > k \left| \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| + \gamma, \quad (k \geq 0). \quad (5)$$

Uniformly starlike and uniformly convex functions were first introduced by Goodman [8] and then studied by various authors. It is known that $f \in k - UC(\gamma)$ or $f \in k - US(\gamma)$ if and only if $1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}$ or $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$, respectively, takes all the values in the conic domain $\mathcal{R}_{k,\gamma}$ which is included in the right half plane given by

$$\mathcal{R}_{k,\gamma} = \left\{ w = u + iv \in C : u > k\sqrt{(u-1)^2 + v^2} + \gamma, \quad k \geq 0 \text{ and } \gamma \in [0, 1) \right\}. \quad (6)$$

Denote by $\mathcal{P}(P_{k,\gamma}), (k \geq 0, 0 \leq \gamma < 1)$ the family of functions p , such that $p \in \mathcal{P}$, where \mathcal{P} denotes well-known class of Caratheodory functions. The function $P_{k,\gamma}$ maps the unit disk conformally onto the domain $\mathcal{R}_{k,\gamma}$ such that $1 \in \mathcal{R}_{k,\gamma}$ and $\partial\mathcal{R}_{k,\gamma}$ is a curve defined by the equality

$$\partial\mathcal{R}_{k,\gamma} = \left\{ w = u + iv \in C : u^2 = \left(k\sqrt{(u-1)^2 + v^2} + \gamma \right)^2, \quad k \geq 0 \text{ and } \gamma \in [0, 1) \right\}. \quad (7)$$

From elementary computations we see that (7) represents conic sections symmetric about the real axis. Thus $\mathcal{R}_{k,\gamma}$ is an elliptic domain for $k > 1$, a parabolic domain for $k = 1$, a hyperbolic domain for $0 < k < 1$ and the right half plane $u > \gamma$, for $k = 0$.

In [11], Sakaguchi defined the class S_s of starlike functions with respect to symmetric points as follows:

Let $f \in A$. Then f is said to be starlike with respect to symmetric points in U if and only if

$$Re \left\{ \frac{2zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(-z)} \right\} > 0, \quad (z \in U).$$

Recently, Owa et al. [9] defined the class $S_s(\xi, t)$ as follows:

$$Re \left\{ \frac{(1-t)zf'(z)}{f(z) - f(tz)} \right\} > \xi, \quad (z \in U),$$

where $0 \leq \xi < 1, |t| \leq 1, t \neq 1$. Note that $S_s(0, -1) = S_s$ and $S_s(\xi, -1) = S_s(\xi)$ is called Sakaguchi function of order ξ .

In [6], Darus and Faisal introduced the following differential operator.

For a function $f \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda^0(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) &= f(z) \\ D_\lambda^1(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) &= \left(\frac{\alpha - \mu + \beta - \lambda}{\alpha + \beta}\right) f(z) + \left(\frac{\mu + \lambda}{\alpha + \beta}\right) z f'(z) \\ D_\lambda^2(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) &= D(D_\lambda^1(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z)) \\ &\vdots \\ D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) &= D_\lambda(D_\lambda^{m-1}(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda \geq 0, \alpha + \beta \neq 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

If f is given by (1) then from the definition of the operator $D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f$ it is easy to see that

$$D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) a_n z^n \quad (8)$$

where

$$\phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) = \left(\frac{\alpha + (\mu + \lambda)(n-1) + \beta}{\alpha + \beta}\right)^m \quad (9)$$

By specializing the parameters of $D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z)$, we get the following differential operators. If we substitute

- $\beta = 0$, we get $D^m f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha + (\mu + \lambda)(n-1)}{\alpha}\right)^m a_n z^n$ of differential operator given by Darus and Faisal [5].
- $\beta = 1, \mu = 0$, we get $D^m f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha + \lambda(n-1) + 1}{\alpha + 1}\right)^m a_n z^n$ of differential operator given by Aouf et al. [2].
- $\alpha = 1, \beta = 0$ and $\mu = 0$, we get $D^m f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1 + \lambda(n-1))^m a_n z^n$ of differential operator given by Al-Oboudi [1].
- $\alpha = 1, \beta = 0, \mu = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$, we get $D^m f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n)^m a_n z^n$ of Salageanis differential operator [12].

- $\alpha = 1, \beta = 1, \mu = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$, we get $D^m f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)^m a_n z^n$ of differential operator given by Uralegaddi and Somanatha [16].
- $\beta = 1, \mu = 0$ and $\lambda = 1$, we get $D^m f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{n+\alpha}{\alpha+1}\right)^m a_n z^n$ of differential operator given by Cho and Srivastava [3, 4].

Now, by making use of the differential operator $D_{\lambda}^m f$, we define a new subclass of functions belonging to the class A .

Definition 1. A function $f \in A$ is said to be in the class $k - US_s^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, t)$ if for all $z \in U$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1-t)z (D_{\lambda}^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{D_{\lambda}^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) - D_{\lambda}^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(tz)} \right\} \geq k \left| \frac{(1-t)z (D_{\lambda}^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{D_{\lambda}^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) - D_{\lambda}^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(tz)} - 1 \right| + \gamma,$$

for $\lambda \geq 0, m, k \geq 0, |t| \leq 1, t \neq 1, 0 \leq \gamma < 1$.

Furthermore, we say that a function $f \in k - US_s^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, t)$ is in the subclass $k - \tilde{U}S_s^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, t)$ if $f(z)$ is of the following form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_n \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}, z \in U. \quad (10)$$

The aim of the present paper is to study the coefficient bounds, partial sums and certain neighborhood results of the class $k - \tilde{U}S_s^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, t)$.

Firstly, we shall need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let $w = u + iv$. Then

$$\operatorname{Re} w \geq \alpha \quad \text{if and only if} \quad |w - (1 + \alpha)| \leq |w + (1 - \alpha)|.$$

Lemma 2. Let $w = u + iv$ and α, γ be real numbers. Then

$$\operatorname{Re} w > \alpha |w - 1| + \gamma \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \operatorname{Re}\{w(1 + \alpha e^{i\theta}) - \alpha e^{i\theta}\} > \gamma$$

2. COEFFICIENT BOUNDS OF THE FUNCTION CLASS $k - \tilde{U}S_s^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, t)$

Theorem 3. The function f defined by (10) is in the class $k - \tilde{U}S_s^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, t)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) |n(k+1) - u_n(k+\gamma)| a_n \leq 1 - \gamma, \quad (11)$$

where $\lambda \geq 0$, $m, k \geq 0$, $|t| \leq 1$, $t \neq 1$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $u_n = 1 + t + \dots + t^{n-1}$.

The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$f(z) = z - \frac{1 - \gamma}{\phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) |n(k+1) - u_n(k+\gamma)|} z^n$$

Proof. By Definition 1, we get

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1-t)z (D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) - D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(tz)} \right\} \geq k \left| \frac{(1-t)z (D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) - D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(tz)} - 1 \right| + \gamma.$$

Then by Lemma 2, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1-t)z (D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'}{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) - D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(tz)} (1 + ke^{i\theta}) - ke^{i\theta} \right\} \geq \gamma, \quad -\pi < \theta \leq \pi$$

or equivalently

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1-t)z (D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))' (1 + ke^{i\theta})}{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) - D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(tz)} - \frac{ke^{i\theta} [D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) - D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(tz)]}{D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) - D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(tz)} \right\} \geq \gamma. \quad (12)$$

Let $F(z) = (1-t)z (D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))' (1 + ke^{i\theta}) - ke^{i\theta} [D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) - D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(tz)]$ and $E(z) = D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) - D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(tz)$.

By Lemma 1, (12) is equivalent to

$$|F(z) + (1 - \gamma)E(z)| \geq |F(z) - (1 + \gamma)E(z)|, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \gamma < 1.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} |F(z) + (1 - \gamma)E(z)| &= \left| (1-t) \left\{ (2 - \gamma)z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) (n + u_n(1 - \gamma)) a_n z^n \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - ke^{i\theta} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) (n - u_n) a_n z^n \right\} \right| \\ &\geq |1 - t| \left\{ (2 - \gamma)|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) |n + u_n(1 - \gamma)| a_n |z^n| \right. \\ &\quad \left. - k \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) |n - u_n| a_n |z^n| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} |F(z) - (1 + \gamma)E(z)| &= \left| (1 - t) \left\{ -\gamma z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m)(n - u_n(1 + \gamma))a_n z^n \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - k e^{i\theta} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m)(n - u_n)a_n z^n \right\} \right| \\ &\leq |1 - t| \left\{ |\gamma| |z| + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) |n - u_n(1 + \gamma)| |a_n| |z^n| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + k \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) |n - u_n| |a_n| |z^n| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} &|F(z) + (1 - \gamma)E(z)| - |F(z) - (1 + \gamma)E(z)| \\ &\geq |1 - t| \left\{ 2(1 - \gamma)|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) [|n + u_n(1 - \gamma)| + |n - u_n(1 + \gamma)| + 2k|n - u_n|] |a_n| |z^n| \right\} \\ &\geq 2(1 - \gamma)|z| - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} 2\phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) |n(k + 1) - u_n(k + \gamma)| |a_n| |z^n| \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) |n(k + 1) - u_n(k + \gamma)| |a_n| \leq 1 - \gamma.$$

Conversely, suppose that (11) holds. Then we must show

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1 - t)z (D_{\lambda}^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu) f(z))' (1 + k e^{i\theta}) - k e^{i\theta} [D_{\lambda}^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu) f(z) - D_{\lambda}^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu) f(tz)]}{D_{\lambda}^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu) f(z) - D_{\lambda}^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu) f(tz)} \right\} \geq \gamma.$$

Upon choosing the values of z on the positive real axis where $0 \leq z = r < 1$, the above inequality reduces to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \gamma) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) [n(1 + k e^{i\theta}) - u_n(\gamma + k e^{i\theta})] a_n r^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) u_n a_n r^{n-1}} \right\} \geq 0.$$

Since $\operatorname{Re}(-e^{i\theta}) \geq -|e^{i\theta}| = -1$, the above inequality reduces to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \gamma) - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) [n(1 + k) - u_n(\gamma + k)] a_n r^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) u_n a_n r^{n-1}} \right\} \geq 0.$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1^-$, we have desired conclusion.

Corollary 4. *If $f(z) \in k - \tilde{U}S_s^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, t)$ then*

$$a_n \leq \frac{1 - \gamma}{\phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) |n(k+1) - u_n(k+\gamma)|}$$

where $\lambda \geq 0$, $m, k \geq 0$, $|t| \leq 1$, $t \neq 1$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ and $u_n = 1 + t + \dots + t^{n-1}$.

3. NEIGHBORHOOD OF THE FUNCTION CLASS $k - \tilde{U}S_s^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, t)$

The concept of neighborhoods of analytic functions was introduced and studied by Goodman [7], Ruscheweyh [10] and Santosh et al. [13].

Definition 2. *Let $\lambda \geq 0$, $m, k \geq 0$, $|t| \leq 1$, $t \neq 1$, $0 \leq \gamma < 1$, $\varsigma \geq 0$ and $u_n = 1 + t + \dots + t^{n-1}$. We define the ς -neighborhood of a function $f \in A$ and denote by $N_\varsigma(f)$ consisting of all functions $g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in S(b_n \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N})$ satisfying*

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) |n(k+1) - u_n(k+\gamma)|}{1 - \gamma} |a_n - b_n| \leq 1 - \varsigma.$$

Theorem 5. *Let $f(z) \in k - \tilde{U}S_s^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, t)$ and for all real θ we have $\gamma(e^{i\theta} - 1) - 2e^{i\theta} \neq 0$. For any complex number ϵ with $|\epsilon| < \varsigma$ ($\varsigma \geq 0$), if f satisfies the following condition:*

$$\frac{f(z) + \epsilon z}{1 + \epsilon} \in k - \tilde{U}S_s^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, t)$$

then $N_\varsigma(f) \subset k - \tilde{U}S_s^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, t)$.

Proof. It is obvious that $f \in k - \tilde{U}S_s^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, t)$ if and only if

$$\left| \frac{(1-t)z(D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'(1+ke^{i\theta}) - (ke^{i\theta} + 1 + \gamma)(D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) - D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(tz))}{(1-t)z(D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'(1+ke^{i\theta}) + (1-ke^{i\theta} - \gamma)(D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) - D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(tz))} \right| < 1,$$

$$(-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi),$$

for any complex number s with $|s| = 1$, we have

$$\frac{(1-t)z(D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'(1+ke^{i\theta}) - (ke^{i\theta} + 1 + \gamma)(D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) - D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(tz))}{(1-t)z(D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'(1+ke^{i\theta}) + (1-ke^{i\theta} - \gamma)(D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) - D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(tz))} \neq s.$$

In other words, we must have

$$(1-s)(1-t)z(D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z))'(1+ke^{i\theta}) - (ke^{i\theta} + 1 + \gamma + s(-1+ke^{i\theta} + \gamma)) \\ \times (D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(z) - D_\lambda^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu)f(tz)) \neq 0.$$

which is equivalent to

$$z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) ((n-u_n)(1+ke^{i\theta} - ske^{i\theta}) - s(n+u_n) - u_n\gamma(1-s))}{\gamma(s-1) - 2s} z^n \neq 0.$$

However, $f \in k - \tilde{U}S_s^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, t)$ if and only $\frac{(f*h)}{z} \neq 0, z \in U - \{0\}$, where $h(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ and

$$c_n = \frac{\phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) ((n-u_n)(1+ke^{i\theta} - ske^{i\theta}) - s(n+u_n) - u_n\gamma(1-s))}{\gamma(s-1) - 2s}$$

we note that

$$|c_n| \leq \frac{\phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) |n(1+k) - u_n(k+\gamma)|}{1-\gamma}$$

since $\frac{f(z)+\epsilon z}{1+\epsilon} \in k - \tilde{U}S_s^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, t)$, therefore $z^{-1} \left(\frac{f(z)+\epsilon z}{1+\epsilon} * h(z) \right) \neq 0$, which is equivalent to

$$\frac{(f*h)(z)}{(1+\epsilon)z} + \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} \neq 0. \quad (13)$$

Now suppose that $\left| \frac{(f*h)(z)}{z} \right| < \varsigma$. Then by (13), we must have

$$\left| \frac{(f*h)(z)}{(1+\epsilon)z} + \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} \right| \geq \frac{|\epsilon|}{|1+\epsilon|} - \frac{1}{|1+\epsilon|} \left| \frac{(f*h)(z)}{z} \right| \\ > \frac{|\epsilon| - \varsigma}{|1+\epsilon|} \geq 0,$$

this is a contradiction by $|\epsilon| < \varsigma$ and however, we have $\left| \frac{(f*h)(z)}{z} \right| \geq \varsigma$. If $g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \in N_\varsigma(f)$, then

$$\varsigma - \left| \frac{(g*h)(z)}{z} \right| \leq \left| \frac{((f-g)*h)(z)}{z} \right| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} |a_n - b_n| |c_n| |z^n| \\ < \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) |n(1+k) - u_n(k+\gamma)|}{1-\gamma} |a_n - b_n| \leq \varsigma.$$

4. PARTIAL SUMS OF THE FUNCTION CLASS $k - \tilde{U}S_s^m(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, \gamma, t)$

In this section, applying methods used by Silverman [14] and Silvia [15], we investigate the ratio of a function of the form (10) to its sequence of partial sums $f_m(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$.

Theorem 6. *If f of the form (1) satisfies the condition (11) then*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)} \right\} \geq 1 - \frac{1}{\delta_{m+1}} \tag{14}$$

and

$$\delta_n = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 2, 3, \dots, m; \\ \delta_{m+1}, & \text{if } n = m + 1, m + 2, \dots \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

where

$$\delta_n = \frac{\phi_n(\alpha, \beta, \mu, \lambda, m) |n(1+k) - u_n(k+\gamma)|}{1-\gamma}. \tag{16}$$

The result in (14) is sharp for every m , with the extremal function

$$f(z) = z + \frac{z^{m+1}}{\delta_{m+1}} \tag{17}$$

Proof. Define the function w , we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} &= \delta_{m+1} \left\{ \frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\delta_{m+1}} \right) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1 + \sum_{n=2}^m a_n z^{n-1} + \delta_{m+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^m a_n z^{n-1}} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Then, from (18), we can obtain

$$w(z) = \frac{\delta_{m+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1}}{2 + 2 \sum_{n=2}^m a_n z^{n-1} + \delta_{m+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1}}$$

and

$$|w(z)| \leq \frac{\delta_{m+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n}{2 - 2 \sum_{n=2}^m a_n - \delta_{m+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n}.$$

Now $|w(z)| \leq 1$ if

$$2\delta_{m+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n \leq 2 - 2 \sum_{n=2}^m a_n,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=2}^m a_n + \delta_{m+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n \leq 1. \quad (19)$$

It suffices to show that the left hand side of (19) is bounded above by $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \delta_n a_n$, which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=2}^m (\delta_n - 1)a_n + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} (\delta_n - \delta_{m+1})a_n \geq 0.$$

To see that the function given by (17) gives the sharp result, we observe that for $z = re^{i\pi/n}$,

$$\frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)} = 1 + \frac{z^m}{\delta_{m+1}}. \quad (20)$$

Taking $z \rightarrow 1^-$, we have

$$\frac{f(z)}{f_m(z)} = 1 - \frac{1}{\delta_{m+1}}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

We next determine bounds for $\frac{f_m(z)}{f(z)}$.

Theorem 7. *If f of the form (1) satisfies the condition (11) then*

$$Re \left\{ \frac{f_m(z)}{f(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{\delta_{m+1}}{1 + \delta_{m+1}}. \quad (21)$$

The result is sharp with the function given by (17).

Proof. We may write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} &= (1 + \delta_{m+1}) \left\{ \frac{f_m(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{\delta_{m+1}}{1 + \delta_{m+1}} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1 + \sum_{n=2}^m a_n z^{n-1} - \delta_{m+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1}} \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where

$$w(z) = \frac{(1 + \delta_{m+1}) \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1}}{- \left(2 + 2 \sum_{n=2}^m a_n z^{n-1} - (1 - \delta_{m+1}) \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1} \right)}$$

and

$$|w(z)| \leq \frac{(1 + \delta_{m+1}) \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n}{2 - 2 \sum_{n=2}^m a_n + (1 - \delta_{m+1}) \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n} \leq 1. \quad (23)$$

This last inequality is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=2}^m a_n + \delta_{m+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n \leq 1. \quad (24)$$

It suffices to show that the left hand side of (24) is bounded above by $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \delta_n a_n$, which is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=2}^m (\delta_n - 1) a_n + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} (\delta_n - \delta_{m+1}) a_n \geq 0.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 7

We next turn to ratios involving derivatives.

Theorem 8. *If f of the form (1) satisfies the condition (11) then*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{f'_m(z)} \right\} \geq 1 - \frac{m+1}{\delta_{m+1}}, \quad (25)$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{f'_m(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} \geq \frac{\delta_{m+1}}{1 + m + \delta_{m+1}} \quad (26)$$

where

$$\delta_n \geq \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, m; \\ n \frac{\delta_{m+1}}{m+1}, & \text{if } n = m+1, m+2, \dots. \end{cases}$$

and δ_n is defined by (16). The estimates in (25) and (26) are sharp with the extremal function given by (17).

Proof. Firstly, we will give proof of (25). We write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1+w(z)}{1-w(z)} &= \delta_{m+1} \left\{ \frac{f'(z)}{f'_m(z)} - \left(1 - \frac{m+1}{\delta_{m+1}} \right) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \frac{1 + \sum_{n=2}^m na_n z^{n-1} + \frac{\delta_{m+1}}{m+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-1}}{1 + \sum_{n=2}^m a_n z^{n-1}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$w(z) = \frac{\frac{\delta_{m+1}}{m+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-1}}{2 + 2 \sum_{n=2}^m na_n z^{n-1} + \frac{\delta_{m+1}}{m+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-1}}$$

and

$$|w(z)| \leq \frac{\frac{\delta_{m+1}}{m+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} na_n}{2 - 2 \sum_{n=2}^m na_n + \frac{\delta_{m+1}}{m+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} na_n}.$$

Now $|w(z)| \leq 1$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^m na_n + \frac{\delta_{m+1}}{m+1} \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} na_n \leq 1, \quad (27)$$

since the left hand side of (27) is bounded above by $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \delta_n a_n$. The proof of (26) follows the pattern of that in Theorem (15).

This completes the proof of Theorem 8.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I warmly thank the referees for the careful reading of the paper and their comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] F.M. Al-oboudi, *On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci., (2004), 1429-1436.

- [2] M. K. Aouf, R. M. El-Ashwah, S. M. El-Deeb, *Some inequalities for certain p -valent functions involving extended multiplier transformations*, Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci., 46, (2009), 217–221.
- [3] N. E. Cho, H. M. Srivastava, *Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by class of multiplier transformations*, Math. Comput. Modeling, 37, (2003), 39–49.
- [4] N. E. Cho, T. H. Kim, *Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-convex functions*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 40, (2003), 399–410.
- [5] M. Darus, I. Faisal, *Characterization properties for a class of analytic functions defined by generalized Cho and Srivastava operator*, In Proc. 2nd Inter. Conf. Math. Sci., Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, (2010), 1106–1113.
- [6] M. Darus, I. Faisal, *A different approach to normalized analytic functions through meromorphic functions defined by extended multiplier transformations operator*, Int. J. App. Math. Stat., 23(11), (2011), 112–121.
- [7] A. W. Goodman, *Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 8, (1957), 598–601.
- [8] A. W. Goodman, *On uniformly starlike functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 155, (1991), 364–370.
- [9] S. Owa, T. Sekine, R. Yamakawa, *On Sakaguchi type functions*, Appl. Math. Comput., 187, (2007), 356–361.
- [10] S. Ruscheweyh, *Neighborhoods of univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 81 (4), (1981), 521–527.
- [11] K. Sakaguchi, *On a certain univalent mapping*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 11, (1959), 72–75.
- [12] G. S. Salagean, *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Math., 1013, Springer-verlag (1983), 362–372.
- [13] M. P. Santosh, N. I. Rajkumar, P. Thirupathi Reddy and B. Venkateswarlu, *A new subclass of analytic functions defined by linear operator*, Adv. Math. Sci. Journal, 9 (1), (2020), 205–217.
- [14] H. Silverman, *Partial sums of starlike and convex functions*, J. Anal. Appl., 209, (1997), 221–227.
- [15] E. M. Silvia, *Partial sums of convex functions of order α* , Houston, J. Math., 11 (3), (1985), 397–404.
- [16] B. A. Uralegaddi, C. Somanatha, *Certain classes of univalent functions*, In: *CurrTopics in Analytic Function Theory*, Eds. , World ScienPublishing Company, Singapore, (1992), 371–374.

G Swapna

Department of Mathematics, GSS, GITAM University,
Doddaballapur- 562 163, Bengaluru Rural,
Karnataka, India.

email: *swapna.priya38@gmail.com*

B Venkateswarlu (Corresponding author)

Department of Mathematics, GSS, GITAM University,
Doddaballapur- 562 163, Bengaluru Rural,
Karnataka, India.

email: *bvlmaths@gmail.com*

P. Thirupathi Reddy

Department of Mathematics, Kakatiya Univeristy,
Warangal- 506 009, Telangana, India.

email: *reddypt2@gmail.com*