

Koszul Cohomology and k -Normality of a Projective Variety

A. Alzati G. M. Besana

*Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Milano
via C. Saldini 50, 20133-Milano, Italia
e-mail: alzati@mat.unimi.it*

*Department of Mathematics, Eastern Michigan University
Ypsilanti, MI-48197, U.S.A.
e-mail: gbesana@emunix.emich.edu*

Abstract. Let X be a smooth projective variety and let L be a very ample divisor of X embedding it in \mathbb{P}^N . In this paper we use the Koszul groups of X to get information about the k -normality of X (i.e. the surjectivity of the map $H^0(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(k)) \rightarrow H^0(X, kL)$ via an upper bound for the degree of the generators of $\bigoplus_{t \geq 0} H^0(X, tL)$). The above idea is applied to some scrolls over curves and surfaces and to some other varieties, by using also results due to Green and Butler.

MSC 1991: 14J40

Keywords: Koszul cohomology, k -normality, scrolls

1. Introduction

It is well-known that “there are fewer ways to compute Koszul cohomology groups than reasons to compute them” (see [4]). In this paper we want to give another reason to compute them: almost every work on Koszul cohomology of a smooth projective subvariety X of \mathbb{P}^N considers only the case in which X is projectively normal, (p.n.) (see [6], [7], [8], [13]) in which case Koszul groups give immediately a free resolution of the ideal sheaf \mathcal{I}_X of X in \mathbb{P}^N which is the main interest of the above papers. We know only Birkenhake’s works [10] and [11] treating the case in which X is not linearly normal. When X is not p.n. Koszul groups give only an upper bound for the degree of the generators of the ring $R(X) = \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} H^0(X, tL)$ where L is the very ample line bundle of X giving the embedding of X in \mathbb{P}^N . Note that $R(X)$

is the coordinate ring of X if X is projectively normal. In some cases, e.g. for some scrolls, the information given by Koszul groups on $R(X)$ is sufficient to establish the k -normality of X .

More precisely in this paper we prove that for a scroll X over a smooth curve, whose dimension is at least three, the ring $R(X)$ is generated in degree 2 if a condition weaker than Butler's one (see [9]) is satisfied. The same fact is true for varieties, 4-dimensional at least, which are fibered in hypersurfaces of degree 2 and 3 over a smooth curve. Hence these varieties are projectively normal if and only if they are 2-normal, moreover, this fact is true for scrolls over a genus 2 curve without any other assumptions.

As a consequence of a suitable use of corollary 1.d.4 of [4], we get that for a regular surface (X, L) such that there exists a smooth curve in $|L - K_X|$, $R(X)$ is generated in degree 2 and 3. We also obtain some conditions assuring the projective normality of scrolls on surfaces.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we fix notation and recall some facts about Koszul cohomology; in Section 3 we use Butler's work to compute some Koszul vanishings for scrolls and varieties which are fibered in hypersurfaces; in Section 4 we show some other vanishings for Koszul cohomology of scrolls; in Section 5 we consider another method to compute vanishings and we apply it to regular surfaces and to scrolls over surfaces.

2. Notation and background material

\mathbb{P}^N	N -dimensional projective space over \mathbb{C}
S	$\mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_N]$ the coordinate ring of \mathbb{P}^N
$S(a)$	the graded ring S twisted by the integer a
X	smooth n -dimensional projective subvariety of \mathbb{P}^N
K_X	canonical divisor of X
L	very ample line bundle embedding X in \mathbb{P}^N via $H^0(X, L)$
I_X	the homogeneous ideal of X in the ring S
\mathcal{I}_X	the ideal sheaf of X in \mathbb{P}^N
\mathcal{O}_X	the structural sheaf of X
Ω_X	cotangent bundle of X
$R(X)$	the graded ring $\bigoplus_{t \geq 0} H^0(X, tL)$ which is an S -module
C	smooth algebraic curve of genus g
E	rank r vector bundle over a smooth variety X
E^*	its dual
$\mu(E)$	slope of E
$\mu^-(E)$	minimal slope of a quotient vector bundle of E over C
$\mu^+(E)$	maximal slope of a subbundle of E over C
$\mathbb{P}(E)$	projectivized of E
p	natural projection from $\mathbb{P}(E)$ to X
T	tautological line bundle of $\mathbb{P}(E)$
F	numerical class of a fibre in $\mathbb{P}(E)$ or generic fibre of p
\sim	linear equivalence among divisors
\equiv	numerical equivalence among divisors

Let (X, L) be as above, i.e. a smooth, linearly normal subvariety of \mathbb{P}^N , embedded by $H^0(X, L)$, where $N = h^0(X, L) - 1$. $R_t = H^0(X, tL)$, $R_0 = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, then $R(X) = \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} R_t$ is a graded S -module having a minimal free resolution $\cdots \rightarrow E_{p+1} \rightarrow E_p \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow 0$ in which $E_0 = \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} (B_{0,q} \otimes S(-q))$, $E_1 = \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} (B_{1,q} \otimes S(-q))$ and so on, where $B_{p,q}$ are \mathbb{C} vector spaces whose dimensions $b_{p,q}$ keep track of how many $S(-q)$ appear in E_p ; the $b_{p,q}$ do not depend on the choice of the minimal free resolution, (see [6]). We will write $b_{p,q}$ instead of $b_{p,q}(X)$, R instead of $R(X)$, when any confusion is impossible.

Note that $S = \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(t))$, so we have a natural graded map $\rho : S \rightarrow R$ and the S -module structure on R is given by $sr = \rho(s)r$. X is p.n. if every graded piece of ρ is surjective.

E_0 is the free S -module corresponding to the generators of R , $b_{0,q}$ is the number of generators of R whose degree is q . Let us be careful: every R_t is also a \mathbb{C} vector space of finite dimension, but we are considering R as an S -module: there is only one generator of degree 0, the multiplicative identity $\mathbf{1}$ of the ring R , which is also the generator of the \mathbb{C} vector space R_0 . There are no generators in degree 1 because, as X is linearly normal, every element of R_1 comes from S by ρ , so it is the product of an element of S and the generator $\mathbf{1}$, hence $b_{0,1} = 0$.

If X is p.n., for the same reason we have no other generators for R as an S -module, so that E_0 is isomorphic to S and the kernel of the map $E_0 \rightarrow R$ is precisely I_X , in this case $\cdots \rightarrow E_p \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow I_X \rightarrow 0$ is a free resolution for I_X ; this is the point of view of [6], [7], [8], [13], but what can we say when X is not p.n.? Let us examine E_0 firstly. We have the following

Proposition 2.1. *Let X be as above, then:*

- $b_{0,0} = 1$,
- $b_{0,1} = 0$,
- X is 2-normal if and only if $b_{0,2} = 0$,
- for $q \geq 3$, if X is q -normal then $b_{0,q} = 0$ (but not vice versa),
- X is p.n. if and only if $b_{0,q} = 0$ for any $q \geq 2$.

Proof. The values of $b_{0,0}$ and $b_{0,1}$ were discussed above. As X is linearly normal, the 2-normality of X is equivalent to the vanishing of $b_{0,2}$: in fact if X is 2-normal then $b_{0,2} = 0$ because any element of R_2 is a multiple of $\mathbf{1}$ by an element of S . If there are no degree 2 generators in R , as S -module, every element of R_2 must be an S -linear combination of the generators of R of degree 0 or 1, i.e. it must be a multiple of $\mathbf{1}$ and then it comes from S by ρ . In any case if we consider the \mathbb{C} -linear map between $H^0(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(2))$ and R_2 , $b_{0,2}$ is the \mathbb{C} -dimension of the cokernel of this map.

For $q \geq 3$ we have that if X is q -normal then $b_{0,q} = 0$ for the above reason, but not vice versa because $b_{0,q}$ is always the number of the degree q generators of R , but when we consider the \mathbb{C} -linear map between $H^0(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^N}(q))$ and R_q , $b_{0,q}$ is only less than or equal the \mathbb{C} -dimension of the cokernel of this map because in the cokernel there can be also elements which are S -linear combinations of generators of R whose degree is less than q .

If X is p.n., R is generated over S by $\mathbf{1}$, hence $b_{0,q} = 0$ for all $q \geq 2$, the vice versa is obvious. □

Let us consider E_1 , i.e. the free S -module of the primitive syzygies among the generators of R , where primitive means, according to Green [4], that every considered degree q syzygy is not an S -linear combination of syzygies whose degree is less than q . Then $b_{1,q}$ is the number of the degree q generators of this S -module. We have the following

Proposition 2.2. *Let X be as above, then:*

- $b_{1,0} = b_{1,1} = 0$,
- $b_{1,2} = h^0(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{J}_X(2))$,
- if $b_{1,q} = 0$ for $q \geq k + 1$ then $b_{0,q} = 0$ for $q \geq k$.

Proof. The first vanishings are obvious. $b_{1,2}$ is the number of the generators of the S -module of degree 2 syzygies (in this degree every syzygy is primitive). As a degree q syzygy can involve only generators of R whose degree is less than or equal to $q - 1$, a degree 2 syzygy is always of the following type: $s\mathbf{1} = 0$ with $s \in S$, because there are no degree 1 generators in R . Moreover, the number of the generators of the S -module of the degree 2 syzygies coincides with the dimension of this S -module viewed as a \mathbb{C} vector space. Note that if $q \geq 3$ this is not longer true: the submodule of the primitive degree q syzygies of type $s\mathbf{1} = 0$ always corresponds to degree q \mathbb{C} -independent hypersurfaces of \mathbb{P}^N containing X , but we have only that $b_{1,q}$ is greater than or equal to the \mathbb{C} -dimension of the \mathbb{C} -vector space of irreducible hypersurfaces of degree q containing X (which is less than or equal to $h^0(\mathbb{P}^N, \mathcal{J}_X(q))$): there can be primitive degree q syzygies which have no links with the hypersurfaces containing X .

Now let us assume that k is the maximal degree for the primitive syzygies among the generators of R : $\mathbf{1}, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_h$, and, by contradiction, let us assume that one of these generators, say x_h , belongs to R_q with $q \geq k$, and thus there are no primitive syzygies involving x_h . However, it is easy to see that any generator is involved by a syzygy, so we have $\alpha_0\mathbf{1} + \alpha_1x_1 + \dots + \alpha_hx_h = 0$ where $\alpha_i \in S$, $\deg(\alpha_i) \geq 1$, and this is not a primitive syzygy. Hence it must be an S -linear combination of primitive syzygies, but this is not possible because no primitive syzygy involves x_h . □

Let us consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ of vector bundles over X , where M is the kernel of the evaluation map $V \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow L$ and $V = H^0(X, L)$. Let $q \geq 2$; to estimate $b_{1,q}$ we have

Proposition 2.3. *Let X, L, M be as above, then $b_{1,q} = 0$ if $H^1(X, \Lambda^2 M \otimes L^{q-2}) = 0$.*

Proof. By [7], we have that $b_{1,q}$ is the dimension of the \mathbb{C} -vector space which is the homology at the middle level in the following piece of the Koszul complex:

$$\dots \rightarrow \Lambda^2(V) \otimes R_{q-2} \rightarrow V \otimes R_{q-1} \rightarrow R_q \dots$$

Let us call $\alpha_q : \Lambda^2(V) \otimes R_{q-2} \rightarrow V \otimes R_{q-1}$ and $\beta_q : V \otimes R_{q-1} \rightarrow R_q$. From it we get: $0 \rightarrow \Lambda^2 M \rightarrow \Lambda^2(V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow V \otimes L \rightarrow S^2(L) = L \otimes L \rightarrow 0$ which splits as

$$0 \rightarrow M \otimes L \rightarrow V \otimes L \rightarrow L \otimes L \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \rightarrow \Lambda^2 M \rightarrow \Lambda^2(V) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow M \otimes L \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence we have, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$: $0 \rightarrow \Lambda^2 M \otimes L^m \rightarrow \Lambda^2(V) \otimes L^m \rightarrow M \otimes L^{m+1} \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow M \otimes L^m \rightarrow V \otimes L^m \rightarrow L^{m+1} \rightarrow 0$. By choosing $m = q - 2$ in the first case we get the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, \Lambda^2 M \otimes L^{q-2}) \rightarrow \Lambda^2(V) \otimes R_{q-2} \rightarrow H^0(X, M \otimes L^{q-1}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Lambda^2 M \otimes L^{q-2}) \rightarrow \dots$$

By choosing $m = q - 1$ in the second case we get this exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(X, M \otimes L^{q-1}) \rightarrow V \otimes R_{q-1} \rightarrow R_q \rightarrow \dots$$

If we call $\gamma_q : \Lambda^2(V) \otimes R_{q-2} \rightarrow H^0(X, M \otimes L^{q-1})$, $\delta_q : H^0(X, M \otimes L^{q-1}) \rightarrow V \otimes R_{q-1}$ and $\varepsilon_q : V \otimes R_{q-1} \rightarrow R_q$, we have that $\beta_q = \varepsilon_q$ and $\alpha_q = \delta_q \circ \gamma_q$.

Hence if $H^1(X, \Lambda^2 M \otimes L^{q-2}) = 0$ we get that γ_q is surjective, $\text{kern}(\beta_q) = \text{Im}(\alpha_q)$ and therefore $b_{1,q} = 0$. □

Remark 2.4. Note that, in the same way, it is possible to get that $b_{0,q} = 0$ if $H^1(X, M \otimes L^{q-1}) = 0$, i.e. the condition $H^1(X, M \otimes L^{q-1}) = 0$ for $q \geq 2$ implies that X is p.n.; in this form this condition is used by many authors (see [9], [14], [15] for instance). When X is p.n. and $H^1(X, \Lambda^2 M \otimes L^{q-2}) = 0$ for $q \geq 3$ then I_X is generated in degree 2, when X is not p.n. the condition yields only some information about the generators of R .

3. Syzygies of scrolls

In this section we consider the vanishing of $H^1(X, \Lambda^2 M \otimes L^{q-2})$, $q \geq 2$, for r -dimensional scrolls $X = \mathbb{P}(E)$ over smooth curves C , $r \geq 2$, where E is a very ample rank r vector bundle over C . In this case L is the tautological bundle T , $p_*T = E$ and we have the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M_E \rightarrow H^0(C, E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$, where $H^0(C, E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow E$ is the natural evaluation map. Our strategy will be to calculate $h^1(X, \Lambda^2 M \otimes T^{q-2})$ by using $h^1(C, p_*(\Lambda^2 M \otimes T^{q-2}))$. It is well-known that the two numbers are equal if $R^i p_*(\Lambda^2 M \otimes T^{q-2}) = 0, \forall i \geq 1$ (see [12], p. 253) and this is true if $h^j(F, (\Lambda^2 M \otimes T^{q-2})|_F) = 0, \forall j \geq 1$. We have the following

Lemma 3.1. *With the above notations $h^j(F, (\Lambda^2 M \otimes T^{q-2})|_F) = 0, \forall j \geq 1$.*

Proof. Recall that $F \cong \mathbb{P}^{r-1}$, $(T^{q-2})|_F = \mathcal{O}_F(q-2)$, so that $h^j(F, (\Lambda^2 M \otimes T^{q-2})|_F) = h^j(F, (\Lambda^2 M|_F \otimes \mathcal{O}_F(q-2)))$.

Now let us consider $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(T-F) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F(T|_F) \rightarrow 0$ and the long exact sequence $0 \rightarrow H^0(X, T-F) \rightarrow H^0(X, T) \rightarrow H^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F(1)) \rightarrow H^1(X, T-F) \rightarrow \dots$. As $p_*T = E$ is generated by global sections we have that $H^0(X, T) = H^0(X, T-F) \oplus H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_F(1))$.

By considering the restriction to F of $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow H^0(X, T) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$ we get $0 \rightarrow M|_F \rightarrow H^0(X, T) \otimes \mathcal{O}_F \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_F(1) \rightarrow 0$.

By using the Euler sequence for F we get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & H^0(X, T-F) \otimes \mathcal{O}_F & & H^0(X, T-F) \otimes \mathcal{O}_F & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M|_F & \longrightarrow & H^0(X, T-F) \oplus H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_F(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_F & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_F(1) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \Omega_F(1) & \longrightarrow & H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_F(1)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_F & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_F(1) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

The left column splits so that $M|_F \cong H^0(X, T - F) \otimes \mathcal{O}_F \oplus \Omega_F(1)$, $\Lambda^2(M|_F) = \Omega_F^2(2) \oplus \Omega_F(1) \otimes H^0(X, T - F) \otimes \mathcal{O}_F \oplus \Lambda^2(H^0(X, T - F)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_F$, and $\Lambda^2(M|_F) \otimes \mathcal{O}_F(q-2) = \Omega_F^2(q) \oplus \Omega_F(q-1) \otimes H^0(X, T - F) \otimes \mathcal{O}_F \oplus \Lambda^2(H^0(X, T - F)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_F(q-2)$, now it is very easy to see that $h^j(F, (\Lambda^2 M \otimes T^{q-2})|_F) = 0, \forall j \geq 1$. \square

Now we can prove

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X, T) be a scroll as above over a genus g curve, $r \geq 3, q \geq 4$, then $H^1(X, \Lambda^2 M \otimes L^{q-2}) = 0$ if $\mu^-(M_E) + \mu^-(E) > g - 1$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 we have to show that $h^1(C, p_*(\Lambda^2 M \otimes T^{q-2})) = 0$. As $p_*(M \otimes M \otimes T^{q-2}) = p_*[\Lambda^2 M \otimes T^{q-2}] \oplus p_*[S^2 M \otimes T^{q-2}]$ it suffices to show that $h^1(C, p_*(M \otimes M \otimes T^{q-2})) = 0$. This is true if $\mu^-[p_*(M \otimes M \otimes T^{q-2})] > 2g - 2$, (see [9]).

We can use Prop. 4.2 of [9], in fact $p_*T = E$ is generated by global sections, T is 0 p -regular and $M \otimes T^{q-2}$ is -1 p -regular, hence we have that $\mu^-[p_*(M \otimes M \otimes T^{q-2})] \geq \mu^-(M_E) + \mu^-[p_*(M \otimes T^{q-2})]$; (note that, in this case, the inequality given by Prop. 4.2 of [9] is very simple because for $i = r - 2$ we have that $R^i p_*(T^{-i}) = 0$, so that $\min\{\mu^-(M_E) + \mu^-[p_*(M \otimes T^{q-2})], +\infty\} = \mu^-(M_E) + \mu^-[p_*(M \otimes T^{q-2})]$; see [9] for the notion of k p -regular vector bundles).

Now we can use the same proposition for $\mu^-[p_*(M \otimes T^{q-2})]$, in fact T is 0 p -regular and T^{q-2} is -1 p -regular. By the same previous reason we get: $\mu^-[p_*(M \otimes T^{q-2})] \geq \mu^-(M_E) + \mu^-[S^{q-2}(E)]$ and $\mu^-[S^{q-2}(E)] = (q - 2)\mu^-(E)$.

Hence we have $\mu^-[p_*(M \otimes M \otimes T^{q-2})] \geq 2\mu^-(M_E) + (q - 2)\mu^-(E)$, but as T is very ample, this inequality is satisfied for $q \geq 4$ if it is true for $q = 4$, so that the condition is simply $\mu^-(M_E) + \mu^-(E) > g - 1$. \square

Remark 3.3. Assume that E is semistable, $g \geq 2$ and $\mu(E) < 2g$, then we have $\mu^-(M_E) > r(\mu^-(E) - 2g) - 2 + 2h^1(E)$ (see [9], Prop. 1.5), so the condition in Theorem 3.2 becomes: $(2 + r)\mu(E) + 2h^1(E) > (2r + 1)g + 1$.

Remark 3.4. Although Theorem 3.2 is stated for E very ample, exactly the same proof works also when E is ample and generated by global sections.

For the rest of this section we are concerned with the vanishing of $H^1(X, \Lambda^2 M \otimes L^{q-2})$, $q \geq 2$, when X is a divisor of $W = \mathbb{P}(E)$ where E is an ample, globally generated vector bundle over a smooth, genus g , curve C . We assume that L , the restriction to X of the tautological divisor T of W , is very ample. X is fibered over C and the generic fibre is a smooth hypersurface of \mathbb{P}^{r-1} whose degree is fixed. We can prove the following

Proposition 3.5. *Let (X, L) be as above, assume that $X \equiv aT + bF$ with $a \geq 2$, then $H^1(X, \Lambda^2 M \otimes L^{q-2}) = 0$ if $r \geq 4, q \geq 4, \mu^-(M_E) + \mu^-(E) > g - 1$ except, possibly, for $q = a$ and $q = a + 1$.*

Proof. As E is generated by global sections we can consider the usual exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_T \rightarrow H^0(W, T) \otimes \mathcal{O}_W \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0.$$

By restricting it to X we get: $0 \rightarrow (M_T)|_X \rightarrow H^0(W, T) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ as $L = T|_X$. On the other hand we have $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow H^0(X, L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$, but it is easy to see that

$H^0(W, T) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X = H^0(X, L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ so that $(M_T)|_X = M$. Hence we can tensorize the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_W(-X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_W \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow 0$ with $\Lambda^2 M_T \otimes T^{q-2}$ and in cohomology we have

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^1(W, \Lambda^2 M_T \otimes T^{q-2}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Lambda^2 M \otimes L^{q-2}) \rightarrow H^2(W, \Lambda^2 M_T \otimes \mathcal{O}_W(-X + (q-2)T)) \rightarrow \cdots$$

as $H^1(X, \Lambda^2 M \otimes L^{q-2}) = H^1(X, \Lambda^2(M_T)|_X \otimes (T|_X)^{q-2})$. By arguing as in 3.2 and by recalling that E ample implies $\mu^-(E) > 0$, we have $H^1(W, \Lambda^2 M_T \otimes T^{q-2}) = 0$. To deal with $H^2(W, \Lambda^2 M_T \otimes \mathcal{O}_W(-X + (q-2)T))$ recalling Remark 3.4, we proceed as in the proof of 3.2. Thus that group vanishes if $h^j(F, (\Lambda^2 M_T \otimes \mathcal{O}_W(-X + (q-2)T))|_F) = 0, \forall j \geq 1$.

As in the proof of 3.1 we have that $(\Lambda^2 M_T \otimes \mathcal{O}_W(-X + (q-2)T))|_F$ is the direct sum of some copies of $\Omega_F^2(q-a), \Omega_F^1(q-a-1)$ and $\mathcal{O}_F(q-a-2)$ so that we get the vanishing for $q \geq a+2$. If $q \leq a-1$ (if necessary, recall that $a \geq 1$ in any case) we can consider $H^{r-2}(W, [\Lambda^2 M_T \otimes \mathcal{O}_W(-X + (q-2)T)]^* \otimes K_W)$ and we can proceed analogously as $r-2 \geq 2$. □

Remark 3.6. If $a = 2$ or $a = 3$ (i.e. the fibres are hypersurfaces of degree 2 or 3) Proposition 3.5 shows that under the same assumptions of 3.2 for $\mathbb{P}(E)$, with $r \geq 4, b_{0,q}(X) = 0$ if $q \geq 3$ and therefore X is p.n. if and only if it is 2-normal. If $r = 3$ the previous proof works only for $q \geq a+2$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let (X, L) as above, with the same assumptions of 3.5, then $b_{1,a}(X) = 0$ if $b \geq 1$.*

Proof. We have only to show that $H^2(W, \Lambda^2 M_T \otimes \mathcal{O}_W(-X + (a-2)T)) = 0$. By using $0 \rightarrow \Lambda^2 M_T \rightarrow \Lambda^2(H^0(W, T)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_W \rightarrow H^0(W, T) \otimes T \rightarrow S^2(T) = T \otimes T \rightarrow 0$ tensorized by $\mathcal{O}_W(-X + (a-2)T)$ we see that

$$H^2(W, \Lambda^2 M_T \otimes \mathcal{O}_W(-X + (a-2)T)) = H^1(W, M_T \otimes \mathcal{O}_W(-X + (a-1)T))$$

as $M_T \otimes T$ is the kernel of $H^0(W, T) \otimes T \rightarrow T \otimes T$.

Let B be a degree b divisor on C such that $X = aT + p^*B$, then $-X + (a-1)T = -T + p^*(-B)$ and $H^1(W, M_T \otimes \mathcal{O}_W(-T + p^*(-B))) = H^0(C, -B)$ by using Leray’s spectral sequence as usual. If $b \geq 1$ we have the required vanishing. □

Remark 3.8. By arguing as in the previous proof we can show that

$$H^2(W, \Lambda^2 M_T \otimes \mathcal{O}_W(-X + (a-1)T)) = H^1(C, M_E \otimes B)$$

which does not vanish for $b \geq 1$, so that it is not possible to get conditions under which $b_{1,a+1}(X) = b_{1,a}(X) = 0$ by using this method.

4. Koszul groups for $X = \mathbb{P}(E)$

For any smooth n -dimensional X , embedded in \mathbb{P}^N by a very ample line bundle L and for any vector bundle \mathcal{E} over X we can consider the Koszul \mathbb{C} -vector spaces $K_{p,q}(X, \mathcal{E}, L)$ (see [4]). Let $k_{p,q}(X, \mathcal{E}, L)$ be the dimension of $K_{p,q}(X, \mathcal{E}, L)$. It is $k_{p,q}(X, \mathcal{O}_X, L) = b_{p,p+q}$ for any p, q , so that the computation of these Koszul groups is related to the minimal resolutions of R .

For the convenience of the reader we recall the following basic results, due to M. Green, which will be used in the sequel:

Theorem 4.1. ([4], Th. 3.a.1) $K_{p,q}(X, \mathcal{E}, L) = 0$ if $h^0(X, \mathcal{E} \otimes L^q) \leq p$.

Theorem 4.2. ([4], Th. 2.c.6)

$K_{p,q}(X, \mathcal{E}, L)^* \cong K_{N-n-p, n+1-q}(X, \mathcal{E}^* \otimes K_X, L)$ if $h^i(X, \mathcal{E} \otimes L^{q-i}) = 0$ and $h^i(X, \mathcal{E} \otimes L^{q-i-1}) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$.

In this section E is a rank r vector bundle over a smooth genus g curve C , $r \geq 2$, $X = \mathbb{P}(E)$ is embedded in \mathbb{P}^N by a very ample line bundle $L \sim aT + p^*B$, where B is a divisor of C , $\deg(B) = b$. X is linearly normal, $N = h^0(L) - 1$, $n = r$, $L \equiv aT + bF$, $\delta = c_1(E)$. We want to compute $k_{p,q}$ by using 4.1 and 4.2 when $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_X$.

First of all we consider $h^i(X, L^{q-i})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r - 1$ and $q \geq 2$. Recall that $L^{q-i} \sim (q - i)aT + p^*[(q - i)B]$ and that $a \geq 1$ and $a\mu^-(E) + b > 0$ as L is very ample; moreover, by using Leray’s spectral sequence and Kodaira’s vanishing we have that all cohomology groups vanish but for $i = 1$, in this case we have $h^1(X, L^{q-1}) = h^1(C, S^{(q-1)a}(E) \otimes (q - 1)B) = 0$ if $(q - 1)(a\mu^-(E) + b) > 2g - 2$.

Now we consider $h^i(X, L^{q-i-1})$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r - 1$ and $q \geq 3$. Reasoning as in the previous case we get that all groups vanish if $(q - 2)(a\mu^-(E) + b) > 2g - 2$. Note that if $q = 2$, $i = 1$ the corresponding group does not vanish unless $g = 0$.

We have proved the following

Lemma 4.3. *With the notation as in this section let $q \geq 3$, $g \geq 1$, then $K_{p,q}(X, \mathcal{O}_X, L)^* \cong K_{N-r-p, r+1-q}(X, K_X, L)$ if $(q - 2)(a\mu^-(E) + b) > 2g - 2$.*

Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 4.2 tell us that, under some conditions, for our varieties $k_{p,q}(X, \mathcal{O}_X, L) = 0$ if $N - r - p < 0$ and $k_{p,q}(X, \mathcal{O}_X, L) = 0$ if $N - r - p \geq 0$ and $h^0(X, K_X + (r + 1 - q)L) \leq N - r - p$. If $g \geq 1$ it is well-known that $N \geq 2r$, hence $N - r - p \geq r - p$, so that for $p = 0, 1, \dots, r$ to get $k_{p,q}(X, \mathcal{O}_X, L) = 0$ it suffices that $h^0(X, K_X + (r + 1 - q)L) = 0$.

We have $K_X + (r + 1 - q)L \equiv [(r + 1 - q)a - r]T + [d + 2g - 2 + (r + 1 - q)b]F$, and such a line bundle has no sections if $(r + 1 - q)a - r < 0$ or (see [9], Lemma 1.12) if $[(r + 1 - q)a - r]\mu^+(E) + \delta + 2g - 2 + (r + 1 - q)b < 0$ and $(r + 1 - q)a - r \geq 0$. Then we have proved the following:

Lemma 4.4. *With the notation as in this section let $q \geq 3$, $r \geq p \geq 0$, $g \geq 1$, then $K_{p,q}(X, \mathcal{O}_X, L) = 0$ if $(q - 2)(a\mu^-(E) + b) > 2g - 2$ and $(r + 1 - q)a - r < 0$ or if $(r + 1 - q)a - r \geq 0$ and $[(r + 1 - q)a - r]\mu^+(E) + \delta + 2g - 2 + (r + 1 - q)b < 0$.*

Corollary 4.5. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}(E)$ as above with $r = 2$, $g \geq 1$, then $b_{0,q}(X) = 0$ for $q \geq 3$ if $a\mu^-(E) + b > 2g - 2$.*

Corollary 4.6. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}(E)$ be a scroll over a curve of genus $g \geq 1$ (hence $a = 1$, $b = 0$) then $b_{0,q}(X) = 0$ for $q \geq 3$ if $\mu^-(E) > 2g - 2$, therefore X is p.n. if and only if it is 2-normal.*

Corollary 4.7. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}(E)$ be a scroll over a curve of genus $g = 2$ then X is p.n. if and only if it is 2-normal; in fact in this case $\mu^-(E) > 3$, (see [2]); moreover, $b_{p,p+q}(X) = 0$ for $q \geq 3$, $r \geq p \geq 0$.*

Corollary 4.8. *Let $X = \mathbb{P}(E)$ be a scroll over a curve of genus $g \geq 1$ with $\mu^-(E) > 2g$, then X is projectively normal (see [9]) and I_X is generated in degree two.*

Remark 4.9. The previous results hold also if X is embedded by a linear subspace W of $H^0(X, L)$, i.e. if X is not linearly normal. To see this it is enough to use Green's Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, being careful to use $N' = \dim W$ instead of N in the previous formulas.

5. Long exact sequences for Koszul groups and applications

Let X be a smooth variety in \mathbb{P}^N as usual and let Y be a smooth, one codimensional subvariety of X . Let L be a very ample divisor of X . Then from the natural exact sequence given by Y : $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow 0$ we get $0 \rightarrow H^0(X, qL - Y) \rightarrow H^0(X, qL) \rightarrow H^0(Y, qL|_Y) \cdots$ for any $q \geq 0$.

Assume that the previous sequence is exact for any $q \geq 0$, then if we put

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} H^0(X, qL - Y), \quad \mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} H^0(X, qL) \text{ and } \mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} H^0(Y, qL|_Y)$$

we get an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0$ of S -modules from which we deduce some long exact sequences for Koszul groups (see [4], Cor. 1.d.4):

$$\cdots \rightarrow K_{p,q}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow K_{p,q}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K_{p-1,q+1}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K_{p-1,q+1}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where $K_{p,q}(\mathcal{A}) = K_{p,q}(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-Y), L)$, $K_{p,q}(\mathcal{B}) = K_{p,q}(X, \mathcal{O}_X, L)$, $K_{p,q}(\mathcal{C}) = K_{p,q}(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y, L|_Y)$.

In [3] the authors considered the projective normality of (X, L) in the case in which L is interesting from the point of view of adjunction theory, i.e. when $L = aK_X + bA$ where A is a suitable divisor of X and a, b are integers. Notice that it is the same point of view of [8] and [14], [15], [16]. Here, by using the previous ideas we can prove the following proposition:

Proposition 5.1. *Let X be a regular surface and let L be a very ample line bundle on X . Assume that there exists a smooth curve in $|L - K_X|$. Then $b_{0,q}(X) = 0$ for $q \geq 4$.*

Proof. Firstly notice that the proposition is true for $(X, L) = (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$. Let now $(X, L) \neq (\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1))$ and let Y be a smooth curve in $|L - K_X|$. From the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K_X \rightarrow L \rightarrow L|_Y \rightarrow 0$, as X is regular it is easy to see that $h^1(X, L) = h^2(X, L) = 0$ and that Y is linearly normal in the embedding given by L . Now let

$$\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} H^0(X, qL - Y), \quad \mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} H^0(X, qL), \quad \mathcal{C} = \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} H^0(Y, qL|_Y).$$

The regularity of X and Kodaira's vanishing theorem give an exact sequence of S -modules $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0$, which in turn gives the exact sequences

$$\cdots \rightarrow K_{0,q}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K_{0,q}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow K_{0,q}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow 0$$

for any $q \geq 0$.

$K_{0,q}(\mathcal{C}) = 0$ for $q \geq 2$ because Y is p.n. in \mathbb{P}^{N-1} as it is canonically embedded by $L|_Y = K_Y$, hence it suffices to show that $b_{0,q}(\mathcal{A}) = K_{0,q}(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-Y), L) = 0$ for $q \geq 4$. Note that here \mathcal{C} is considered as an S -module, not a $\mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}]$ -module, however, Y is p.n. in \mathbb{P}^N too, so that $b_{0,q}(\mathcal{C}) = k_{0,q}(\mathcal{C}) = 0, \forall q \geq 2$.

We can use Theorem 4.2 as $h^1(X, -Y + (q - 1)L) = h^1(X, -Y + (q - 2)L) = 0$ for $q \geq 4$, so we have to consider $K_{N-2,3-q}(X, \mathcal{O}_X(Y + K_X), L)$. Now we can use Theorem 4.1 because $h^0(X, (4 - q)L) \leq h^0(X, L) - 3$ for $q \geq 4$. \square

The previous ideas can be applied in other cases, for instance when $X = \mathbb{P}(E)$ is the projectivized of a rank r vector bundle E over a surface Σ . In this case let T be the tautological bundle and $p : X \rightarrow \Sigma$ the natural projection as usual. Let C be a smooth curve on Σ , $C' = \pi^{-1}(C)$ and let us consider, for any $j \geq 0$, the exact sequences:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(jT - p^*C) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(jT) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C'}(jT|_{C'}) \rightarrow 0.$$

If we assume that $H^1(X, jT - p^*C) = 0, \forall j \geq 0, K_{0,q}(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-p^*C), T) = 0, \forall q \geq 2$, and $T|_{C'}$ embeds C' p.n. in \mathbb{P}^{N-1} , then we have that X is p.n. In fact by these assumptions there is an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow 0$ of S -modules, and from the sequences $\dots \rightarrow K_{0,q}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K_{0,q}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow K_{0,q}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \dots$ we have that $b_{0,q}(\mathcal{B}) = 0, \forall q \geq 2$, (i.e. X is p.n.) as $b_{0,q}(\mathcal{A}) = b_{0,q}(\mathcal{C}) = 0, \forall q \geq 2$, by assumptions. Note that here \mathcal{C} is considered as an S -module, not a $\mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}]$ -module, however, C' is p.n. in \mathbb{P}^N too, so that $b_{0,q}(\mathcal{C}) = 0, \forall q \geq 2$.

Now we translate our assumptions into conditions on Σ . The first one is simply $H^1(\Sigma, S^j(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(-C)) = 0, \forall j \geq 1$, the third one is satisfied if we assume that $\mu^-(E|_C) > 2g(C)$ by Butler's results [9]; for the second one we use Green's Theorems 4.1 and 4.2. Let us consider $h^i(X, (q - i)T + p^*(-C))$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1 = r$, by standard calculations they vanish if $h^i(\Sigma, S^{q-i}(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(-C)) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $q \geq i$, so we have only to assume further that $h^2(\Sigma, S^{q-2}(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(-C)) = 0$ for $q \geq 2$. In order to have $h^i(X, (q - i - 1)T + p^*(-C)) = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$, it suffices to ask that $h^1(\Sigma, \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(-C)) = 0$ by similar arguments. Hence we can apply Theorem 4.2 and we consider, for $q \geq 2, K_{N-n,n+1-q}(X, \mathcal{O}_X(p^*C + K_X), T)$. This group vanishes if $h^0(X, p^*C + K_X + (n + 1 - q)T) \leq N - n$, i.e. $h^0(\Sigma, C + \det(E) + K_\Sigma) \leq h^0(E) - r - 2$, by Theorem 4.1.

Thus we have proved the following

Theorem 5.2. *Let E be a very ample, rank r , vector bundle over a smooth surface Σ , let $X = \mathbb{P}(E)$ and let T be the tautological bundle. Moreover, let C be a smooth genus g curve on S . Then (X, T) is p.n. if*

- 1) $h^1(\Sigma, S^j(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(-C)) = 0$ for $j \geq 0$,
- 2) $h^2(\Sigma, S^j(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(-C)) = 0$ for $j \geq 0$,
- 3) $h^0(\Sigma, C + \det(E) + K_\Sigma) \leq h^0(E) - r - 2$,
- 4) $\mu^-(E|_C) > 2g$.

Remark 5.3. If C is a rational curve 4) is satisfied; if C is an ample divisor 1) and 2) are satisfied for $j = 0$; if $-K_\Sigma$ is effective 3) is more easily satisfied.

Now we want to give some examples in which Theorem 5.2 can be applied.

Example 5.4. Let $\pi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the blowing up of \mathbb{P}^2 of k points in general position with $1 \leq k \leq 5$, let L be the generator of $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^2)$, let E_1, \dots, E_k be the exceptional divisors. Σ is

a well-known Del Pezzo surface and it is known that, in this range, $-K_\Sigma$ is very ample. Let E be $-K_\Sigma \oplus -K_\Sigma$ and let C be E_1 . Then Theorem 5.2 proves that (X, T) is p.n.

In fact by looking at the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Sigma(-E_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \rightarrow 0$ we get that 1) and 2) are true for $j = 0$. 4) is true as E_1 is a rational curve. By recalling that $h^0(\Sigma, -K_\Sigma) = 10 - k$ we have that $h^0(E) - r - 2 = 16 - 2k$. Moreover, $h^0(\Sigma, C + \det(E) + K_\Sigma) = h^0(\Sigma, -K_\Sigma + E_1) = h^0(\Sigma, 3\pi^*\mathbf{L} - E_2, \dots, -E_k) = 11 - k$ as the k points are in general position, hence 3) is satisfied. Now let us consider 1) and 2) for $j \geq 1$. It suffices to show that $h^i(\Sigma, -tK_\Sigma - E_1) = 0$ for $t \geq 1, i = 1, 2$. For $i = 2$ we can use Serre duality. For $i = 1$ we can use Kodaira vanishing because $-tK_\Sigma - E_1 = K_\Sigma - (t + 1)K_\Sigma - E_1$ and $-(t + 1)K_\Sigma - E_1$ is ample by Nakai-Moishezon criterion: $(-(t + 1)K_\Sigma - E_1)^2 > 0$ and for any curve Γ on Σ we have:

$$(-(t + 1)K_\Sigma - E_1) \Gamma = -(t + 1)K_\Sigma \Gamma - E_1 \Gamma = -tK_\Sigma \Gamma - K_\Sigma \Gamma - E_1 \Gamma \geq -tK_\Sigma \Gamma > 0$$

because $\Phi_{|-K_\Sigma|}$ embeds E_1 as a line so that

$$-K_\Sigma \Gamma = \text{deg} [\Phi_{|-K_\Sigma|}(\Gamma)] \geq \Phi_{|-K_\Sigma|}(E_1) \Phi_{|-K_\Sigma|}(\Gamma) = E_1 \Gamma.$$

Remark 5.5. Obviously, in the previous example, when $k = 1$ Butler’s criterion can be used (see [9], Theorem 5.1A), to get the projective normality of (X, T) .

Example 5.6. Let Σ be \mathbb{P}^2 , let C be a line, let E be a rank 2 very ample vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 , let $\delta\mathbf{L}$ and \mathbf{c} be, respectively, the first and second Chern classes of E (\mathbf{L} is the generator of $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^2)$ as above), let $p : \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ be the natural projection. Under which assumptions can we apply Theorem 5.2?

First of all 4) is true as C is a rational curve. 1) and 2) are true for $j = 0$ as C is ample. $h^0(\Sigma, C + \det(E) + K_\Sigma) = h^0(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(\delta - 2)) = \delta(\delta - 1)/2$, so condition 3) becomes: $h^0(E) \geq 4 + \delta(\delta - 1)/2$. Now let us consider 1) and 2) for $j \geq 1$. Let Y be a smooth element of $|T|$, so that Y is isomorphic to the blowing up of \mathbb{P}^2 at \mathbf{c} points. Let π be the blowing up and let $E_1, \dots, E_{\mathbf{c}}$ be the exceptional divisors as before. We consider $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(-Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow 0$ tensorized with $jT - p^*\mathbf{L}$ and we have:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X((j - 1)T - p^*\mathbf{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(jT - p^*\mathbf{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(jT - p^*\mathbf{L})|_Y \rightarrow 0.$$

We can proceed by induction on $j \geq 1$ as $h^i(X, -p^*\mathbf{L}) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, so we have only to consider $h^i(Y, (jT - p^*\mathbf{L})|_Y) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$. Recall that $T|_Y = \delta\pi^*\mathbf{L} - E_1 \cdots - E_{\mathbf{c}}$. Now if $i = 2$ we can use Serre duality, if $i = 1$ we can use Kodaira vanishing as in Example 5.4 when $\delta \geq 4$ and $0 \leq \mathbf{c} \leq 6$ (or $\delta \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \mathbf{c} \leq 2$).

Hence, by using Theorem 5.2, with the previously introduced notation, we get the projective normality of (X, T) if

$$h^0(E) \geq 4 + \delta(\delta - 1)/2, \delta \geq 4 \text{ and } 0 \leq \mathbf{c} \leq 6.$$

Example 5.7. Let Σ be any surface, let C be any rational curve on Σ , choose $E = L$, a very ample line bundle L . When $r = 1$ Theorem 5.2 is true too, moreover, condition 2) is unnecessary. So we get that (X, L) is p.n. if:

$$h^1(\Sigma, jL - C) = 0 \text{ for } j \geq 0,$$

$$h^0(\Sigma, C + (3 - q)L + K_\Sigma) \leq h^0(L) - 3.$$

Such conditions are satisfied, for example, in many cases when Σ is the blowing up of \mathbb{P}^2 in k points in general position and $C = E_1$.

References

- [1] Alzati, A.; Bertolini, M.; Besana, G. M.: *On the projective normality of varieties of low degree*. Comm. Algebra **25** (1997), 3761–3771.
- [2] Alzati, A.; Besana, G. M.: *On the k -regularity of some projective varieties*. Collect. Math. **49** (1998), 149–178.
- [3] Andreatta, M.; Ballico, E.; Sommese, A. J.: *On the projective normality of adjunction bundles*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **112** (1991), 919–924.
- [4] Green, M. L.: *Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties*. J. Diff. Geom. **19** (1984), 125–171.
- [5] Green, M. L.: *Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties II*. J. Diff. Geom. **20** (1984), 279–289.
- [6] Green, M. L.: *Koszul cohomology and geometry*. Proc. of “College on Riemann surfaces”, International Centre of Theoretical Physics, Trieste 1987.
- [7] Green, M. L.; Lazarsfeld, R. L.: *Some results on the syzygies of finite sets and algebraic curves*. Compositio Math. **67** (1988), 301–314.
- [8] Ein, L.; Lazarsfeld, R. L.: *Syzygies and Koszul Cohomology of Smooth Projective Varieties of Arbitrary Dimension*. Invent. Math. **111** (1993), 51–67.
- [9] Butler, R.: *Normal generation of vector bundles over a curve*. J. Diff. Geom. **39** (1994), 1–34.
- [10] Birkenhake, C.: *Linear Systems on Projective Spaces*. Manuscr. Math. **88** (1995), 177–184.
- [11] Birkenhake, C.: *Noncomplete linear systems on Abelian varieties*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 1885–1908.
- [12] Hartshorne, R.: *Algebraic Geometry*. Grad. Texts in Math. **52**, Springer Verlag 1977.
- [13] Schenzel, P.: *Applications of Koszul homology to number of generators and syzygies*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **114** (1997), 287–303.
- [14] Gallego, F. J.; Purnaprajna, B. P.: *Normal presentation of elliptic ruled surfaces*. J. Algebra **186** (1996), 597–625.
- [15] Gallego, F. J.; Purnaprajna, B. P.: *Vanishing theorems and syzygies for K3 surfaces and Fano varieties*. Preprint 1996.
- [16] Gallego, F. J.; Purnaprajna, B. P.: *Projective normality and syzygies of algebraic surfaces and Calabi-Yau threefolds*. Preprint 1997.

Received May 20, 1998