

# A Short Note on the Non-negativity of Partial Euler Characteristics

Tony J. Puthenpurakal

*Department of Mathematics  
IIT Bombay, Powai, Mumbai 400 076  
e-mail: tputhen@math.iitb.ac.in*

**Abstract.** Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring,  $M$  a finite  $A$ -module and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that  $\lambda(M/\mathbf{x}M)$  is finite. Serre ([2, Appendix 2]) proved that all partial Euler characteristics of  $M$  with respect to  $\mathbf{x}$  is non-negative. This fact is easy to show when  $A$  contains a field ([1, 4.7.12]). We give an elementary proof of Serre's result when  $A$  does not contain a field.

Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring and  $M$  a finite  $A$ -module. Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{m}$  be a multiplicity system of  $M$  i.e.  $\lambda(M/(\mathbf{x})M)$  is finite. (Here  $\lambda(-)$  denotes length.) Let  $K(\mathbf{x}, M)$  be the Koszul complex of  $\mathbf{x}$  with coefficients in  $M$  and let  $H_\bullet(\mathbf{x}, M)$  be its homology. Note that  $H_\bullet(\mathbf{x}, M)$  has finite length. One defines for all  $j \geq 0$  the *partial Euler characteristics*

$$\chi_j(\mathbf{x}, M) = \sum_{i \geq j} (-1)^{i-j} \lambda(H_i(\mathbf{x}, M))$$

of  $M$  with respect to  $\mathbf{x}$ . Serre showed all the partial Euler characteristics are non-negative. It is well known that  $\chi_0(\mathbf{x}, M)$  is either zero or the multiplicity of  $M$  with respect to the ideal  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . It is also easy to see that  $\chi_1(\mathbf{x}, M)$  is non-negative, ([1, 4.7.10]). The non-negativity of  $\chi_j(\mathbf{x}, M)$  for  $j \geq 2$  can be easily proved if  $A$  contains a field, ([1, 4.7.12]). In this short note we give an elementary proof of Serre's theorem when  $A$  does not contain a field.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(A, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring,  $A$  not containing a field. Let  $M$  be a finite  $A$ -module and  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{m}$  a multiplicity system of  $M$ . Then*

$$\chi_j(\mathbf{x}, M) \geq 0 \quad \text{for each } j \geq 0.$$

*Proof.* We may assume that  $A$  is complete. To prove the theorem we construct a local Noetherian ring  $(B, \mathfrak{m})$  with a local homomorphism  $\varphi : B \rightarrow A$ , and  $y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that

1.  $\varphi(y_i) = x_i$ .
2.  $M$  becomes a finite  $B$ -module (via  $\varphi$ ).
3.  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  is a regular sequence and a s.o.p of  $B$ .

Since  $K(\mathbf{y}, M) \simeq K(\mathbf{x}, M)$  (as  $B$ -modules), we have  $H_\bullet(\mathbf{y}, M) \cong H_\bullet(\mathbf{x}, M)$  and so  $\chi_j(\mathbf{y}, M) = \chi_j(\mathbf{x}, M)$  for each  $j \geq 0$ .

Suppose we have constructed  $B$  as above. The result then follows on similar lines as in [1, 4.7.12]. We give the proof here for the readers convenience. We prove the result by induction on  $j$ . For  $j = 0, 1$  the result is already known. Let  $j > 1$  and consider an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

where  $F$  is a finite free  $B$ -module. Since  $\mathbf{y}$  is  $B$ -regular we have  $H_i(\mathbf{y}, F) = 0$  for  $i > 0$ . Therefore  $H_i(\mathbf{y}, M) \simeq H_{i-1}(\mathbf{y}, U)$  for all  $i > 1$ . This yields  $\chi_j(\mathbf{y}, M) = \chi_{j-1}(\mathbf{y}, U)$  and the proof is complete by induction hypothesis.

*Construction of  $B$ .* Since  $A$  is complete there exists a DVR,  $(R, \rho)$  and a ring homomorphism  $\varphi : R \rightarrow A$  which induces an isomorphism  $R/\rho R \rightarrow A/\mathfrak{m}$ . Set  $S = R[[X_1, \dots, X_n]]$  and let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be its maximal ideal and consider the natural ring map  $\phi : S \rightarrow A$ , with  $\phi(X_i) = x_i$ .

We consider  $M$  as an  $S$ -module via  $\phi$ . Since  $M/(\mathbf{X})M = M/(\mathbf{x})M$  is a finite length  $A$ -module and so a finite length  $S$ -module, since  $S/\mathfrak{q}S \cong A/\mathfrak{m}$ . So  $M$  is a finite  $S$ -module. Also note that

$$\mathfrak{q} = \sqrt{\text{ann}_S(M/\mathbf{X}M)} = \sqrt{\text{ann}_S(M) + (\mathbf{X})}.$$

So there exists  $\Delta \in \text{ann}_S(M) \setminus (\mathbf{X})$ . Observe that  $\Delta, X_1, \dots, X_n$  is an s.o.p. of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is regular local ring of dimension  $n + 1$ , we have that  $\Delta, X_1, \dots, X_n$  is an  $S$ -regular sequence. Set  $B = S/\Delta$  and  $y_i = \overline{X}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Note that  $B$  satisfies our requirements.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The author thanks Prof. W. Bruns and Prof. J. Herzog for helpful discussions.

## References

- [1] Bruns, W.; Herzog, J.: *Cohen-Macaulay rings*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **39**, Cambridge 1993. [Zbl 0788.13005](#)
- [2] Serre, J. P.: *Local Algebra*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2000. [Zbl 0959.13010](#)

Received August 10, 2004