

GROWTH OF A CLASS OF ITERATED ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

(COMMUNICATED BY VICENTIU RADULESCU)

DIBYENDU BANERJEE, RATAN KUMAR DUTTA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we generalise a result of J. Sun to n -th iterations of $f(z)$ with respect to $g(z)$.

1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

We first consider two entire functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ and following Lahiri and Banerjee [5] form the iterations of $f(z)$ with respect to $g(z)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_1(z) &= f(z) \\
 f_2(z) &= f(g(z)) = f(g_1(z)) \\
 f_3(z) &= f(g(f(z))) = f(g_2(z)) = f(g(f_1(z))) \\
 &\dots \qquad \qquad \qquad \dots \qquad \qquad \dots \\
 &\dots \qquad \qquad \qquad \dots \qquad \qquad \dots \\
 f_n(z) &= f(g(f(\dots(f(z) \text{ or } g(z))\dots))) \\
 &\qquad \qquad \qquad \text{according as } n \text{ is odd or even} \\
 &= f(g_{n-1}(z)) = f(g(f_{n-2}(z))),
 \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_1(z) &= g(z) \\
 g_2(z) &= g(f(z)) = g(f_1(z)) \\
 &\dots \qquad \qquad \qquad \dots \\
 &\dots \qquad \qquad \qquad \dots \\
 g_n(z) &= g(f_{n-1}(z)) = g(f(g_{n-2}(z))).
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly all $f_n(z)$ and $g_n(z)$ are entire functions.

Notation 1.1. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two entire functions. Throughout the paper we use the notations $M_{f_1}(r), M_{f_2}(r), M_{f_3}(r)$ etc., to mean $M(r, f), M(M(r, f), g),$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30D35.
Key words and phrases. Entire function; growth; iteration; order.
 ©2011 Universiteti i Prishtinës, Prishtinë, Kosovë.
 Submitted January 10, 2011. Published January 20, 2011.

$M(M(M(r, f), g), f)$ respectively and $F(r) = O^*(G(r))$ to mean that there exist two positive constants K_1 and K_2 such that $K_1 \leq \frac{F(r)}{G(r)} \leq K_2$ for any r big enough.

In [2], C. Chuang and C. C. Yang posed the question:

For four entire functions f_1, f_2 and g_1, g_2 , when is $T(r, f_1 \circ g_1) \sim T(r, f_2 \circ g_2)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, provided $T(r, f_1) \sim T(r, f_2)$ and $T(r, g_1) \sim T(r, g_2)$?

In 2003, Sun [7] showed that in general there is no positive answer and he gave a condition under which there is a positive answer by proving the following theorem.

Theorem A. *Let f_1, f_2 and g_1, g_2 be four transcendental entire functions with*

$$T(r, f_1) = O^*((\log r)^\nu e^{(\log r)^\alpha}) \text{ and } T(r, g_1) = O^*((\log r)^\beta).$$

If $T(r, f_1) \sim T(r, f_2)$ and $T(r, g_1) \sim T(r, g_2)$ ($r \rightarrow \infty$), then

$$T(r, f_1(g_1)) \sim T(r, f_2(g_2)) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin E),$$

where $\nu > 0, 0 < \alpha < 1, \beta > 1$ and $\alpha\beta < 1$ and E is a set of finite logarithmic measure.

We extend Theorem A to iterated entire functions.

Theorem 1.2. *Let f, g and u, v be four transcendental entire functions with $T(r, f) \sim T(r, u)$, $T(r, g) \sim T(r, v)$, $T(r, f) = O^*((\log r)^\nu e^{(\log r)^\alpha})$ ($0 < \alpha < 1, \nu > 0$) and $T(r, g) = O^*((\log r)^\beta)$ where $\beta > 1$ is a constant, then $T(r, f_n) \sim T(r, u_n)$ for $n \geq 2$, where $u_n(z) = u(v(u(v(\dots(u(z) \text{ or } v(z))\dots)))$ according as n is odd or even.*

We do not explain the standard notations and definitions of the theory of meromorphic functions because they are available in [4].

2. LEMMAS

The following lemmas will be needed in the sequel.

Lemma 2.1. [4] *Let $f(z)$ be an entire function. For $0 \leq r < R < \infty$, we have*

$$T(r, f) \leq \log^+ M(r, f) \leq \frac{R+r}{R-r} T(R, f).$$

Lemma 2.2. [3] *Let $f(z)$ be an entire function of order ρ ($\rho < \infty$). If $k > \rho - 1$, then*

$$\log M(r, f) \sim \log M(r - r^{-k}, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

Lemma 2.3. [6] *Let $g(z)$ and $f(z)$ be two entire functions. Suppose that $|g(z)| > R > |g(0)|$ on the circumference $\{|z| = r\}$ for some $r > 0$. Then we have*

$$T(r, f(g)) \geq \frac{R - |g(0)|}{R + |g(0)|} T(R, f).$$

Lemma 2.4. [1] *Let f be an entire function of order zero and $z = re^{i\theta}$. Then for any $\zeta > 0$ and $\eta > 0$, there exist $R_0 = R_0(\zeta, \eta)$ and $k = k(\zeta, \eta)$ such that for all $R > R_0$ it holds*

$$\log |f(re^{i\theta})| - N(2R) - \log |c| > -kQ(2R), \quad \zeta R \leq r \leq R,$$

except in a set of circles enclosing the zeros of f , the sum of whose radii is at most ηR . Here

$$Q(r) = r \int_r^\infty \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t^2} dt \quad \text{and} \quad N(r) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t} dt.$$

Lemma 2.5. [7] *Let f be a transcendental entire function with*

$$T(r, f) = O^*((\log r)^\beta e^{(\log r)^\alpha}) \quad (0 < \alpha < 1, \beta > 0).$$

Then

1. $T(r, f) \sim \log M(r, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin E)$,
2. $T(\sigma r, f) \sim T(r, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, \sigma \geq 2, r \notin E)$,

where E is a set of finite logarithmic measure.

Lemma 2.6. *Let f be a transcendental entire function with $T(r, f) = O^*((\log r)^\beta)$ where $\beta > 1$. Then*

1. $T(r, f) \sim \log M(r, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin E)$,
2. $T(\sigma r, f) \sim T(r, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, \sigma \geq 2, r \notin E)$,

where E is a set of finite logarithmic measure.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $f(0) = 1$, otherwise we set $F(z) = f(z) - f(0) + 1$.

By Jensen's theorem,

$$N(r, 1/f) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq \log M(r, f)$$

and so,

$$n(r, 1/f) \log A \leq \int_r^{Ar} \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t} dt \leq \int_0^{Ar} \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t} dt \leq \log M(Ar, f),$$

for $r > 1$ and $A > 1$.

Therefore

$$n(r, 1/f) \leq \frac{\log M(Ar, f)}{\log A}. \quad (2.1)$$

Since $T(r, f) = O^*((\log r)^\beta)$, $\beta > 1$, by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\log M(r, f) = O^*((\log r)^\beta). \quad (2.2)$$

Take $A = r^{\sigma(r)}$ and $\sigma(r) = \frac{1}{(\log r)^{1/2}}$. Then by (2.1) we have

$$n(r, 1/f) \leq \frac{\log M(r^{1+\sigma(r)}, f)}{\sigma(r) \log r}. \quad (2.3)$$

Therefore, putting $r = e^u$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{(\log r^{1+\sigma(r)})^\beta}{r^{1/2}\sigma(r)\log r} &= \frac{(1+\sigma(r))^\beta(\log r)^\beta}{r^{1/2}\sigma(r)\log r} \\
&= \frac{\left(1+\frac{1}{u^{1/2}}\right)^\beta u^\beta}{e^{u/2}u^{-1/2}u} \\
&= \frac{\left(1+\frac{1}{u^{1/2}}\right)^\beta}{e^{u/2}u^{1-1/2-\beta}} \\
&= \frac{\left(1+\frac{1}{u^{1/2}}\right)^\beta}{e^{u/2}e^{(1/2-\beta)\log u}} \\
&= \frac{\left(1+\frac{1}{u^{1/2}}\right)^\beta}{e^{\frac{u}{2}-(\beta-1/2)\log u}}. \tag{2.4}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\beta > 1$, for sufficiently large values of u we have $\frac{u}{2} - (\beta - 1/2)\log u > 0$ and $\frac{u}{2} - (\beta - 1/2)\log u$ increases. By (2.4) for sufficiently large value of r , $\frac{(\log r^{1+\sigma(r)})^\beta}{r^{1/2}\sigma(r)\log r}$ decreases.

From Lemma 2.4, using (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(r) &= r \int_r^\infty \frac{n(t, 1/f) dt}{t^2} \\
&\leq r \int_r^\infty \frac{\log M(t^{1+\sigma(t)}, f)}{t^2 \sigma(t) \log t} dt \\
&= r \int_r^\infty \frac{O^* ((\log t^{1+\sigma(t)})^\beta)}{t^2 \sigma(t) \log t} dt \\
&\leq O^* \left(r \int_r^\infty \frac{(\log t^{1+\sigma(t)})^\beta}{t^2 \sigma(t) \log t} dt \right) \\
&\leq \frac{r^{1/2} O^* ((\log r^{1+\sigma(r)})^\beta)}{\sigma(r) \log r} \int_r^\infty t^{-3/2} dt \\
&= \frac{2O^* ((\log r^{1+\sigma(r)})^\beta)}{\sigma(r) \log r} \\
&= \frac{2 \log M(r^{1+\sigma(r)}, f)}{\sigma(r) \log r}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{Q(r)}{\log M(r, f)} &\leq \frac{2 \log M(r^{1+\sigma(r)}, f)}{\sigma(r) \log r \log M(r, f)} \\
&\leq \frac{2K_2 (\log r^{1+\sigma(r)})^\beta}{\sigma(r) \log r K_1 (\log r)^\beta}, \quad \text{for some suitable constants } K_1 \text{ and } K_2 \\
&= \frac{2K_2 (1+\sigma(r))^\beta (\log r)^\beta}{K_1 \sigma(r) \log r (\log r)^\beta} \\
&= \frac{2K_2 (1+\sigma(r))^\beta}{K_1 \sigma(r) \log r} \\
&\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$Q(r) = o(\log M(r, f)) \quad (2.5)$$

Since $T(r, f) = O^*((\log r)^\beta)$, $n(r, 1/f) = o(r)$.

The concluding part of the proof of the lemma is similar to that of Lemma 5 of J. Sun [7]. But still for the sake of completeness and for convenience of readers, we outline the proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \log M(r, f) &\leq \log \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + r/r_n) \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \log(1 + r/t) dn(t, 1/f) \\ &\leq \int_0^{\infty} \frac{r}{t} dn(t, 1/f) \\ &= r \int_0^{\infty} \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t(t+r)} dt \\ &= r \left(\int_0^r + \int_r^{\infty} \right) \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t(t+r)} dt \\ &\leq r \cdot \frac{1}{r} \int_0^r \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t} dt + r \int_r^{\infty} \frac{n(t, 1/f)}{t^2} dt \\ &= N(r) + Q(r) \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

So, from Lemma 2.4 and (2.5), (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log |f(re^{i\theta})| &> N(2R) - kQ(2R) \quad (\zeta R \leq r \leq R, r \notin E) \\ &= N(2R) + Q(2R) - (k+1)Q(2R) \\ &\geq \log M(2R, f) + (k+1)o(\log M(2R, f)) \\ &= \log M(2R, f)(1 - o(1)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\geq \log M(r, f)(1 - o(1)) \quad (2.8)$$

where E is a set of finite logarithmic measure.

On the other hand

$$\log |f(z)| \leq \log M(r, f) \leq \log M(\sigma r, f) \quad (|z| = r, \sigma \geq 2,) \quad (2.9)$$

Let $2R = \sigma r$, $\sigma \geq 2$ then from (2.7), (2.8) and (2.9) we have,

$$\log |f(z)| \sim \log M(\sigma r, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, \sigma \geq 2, r \notin E) \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\log |f(z)| \sim \log M(r, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin E). \quad (2.11)$$

From (2.11) for sufficiently large value of r , we have,

$$\begin{aligned} m(r, f) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log M(r, f)(1 + o(1)) d\theta \\ &= \log M(r, f)(1 + o(1)) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin E). \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f)}{\log M(r, f)} = 1, \quad (r \notin E)$$

i.e.

$$T(r, f) \sim \log M(r, f), \quad (r \notin E). \quad (2.12)$$

From (2.10) and (2.11) we have

$$\log M(r, f) \sim \log M(\sigma r, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, \sigma \geq 2, r \notin E). \quad (2.13)$$

From (2.12) and (2.13) we have

$$T(\sigma r, f) \sim T(r, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, \sigma \geq 2, r \notin E). \quad (2.14)$$

From (2.12) and (2.14) we have the required result.

This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 2.7. *Let f_1 and f_2 be two entire functions with $T(r, f_1) = O^*((\log r)^\beta)$ where $\beta > 1$ and $T(r, f_1) \sim T(r, f_2)$ then $M(r, f_1) \sim M(r, f_2)$.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.6 we have,

$$\log M(r, f_1) \sim T(r, f_1) \sim T(r, f_2) \sim \log M(r, f_2) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin E)$$

where E is a set of finite logarithmic measure.

Since $\log M(r, f_1) \sim \log M(r, f_2)$, so for given $\epsilon > 0$, there exist $r_1, r_2 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\log M(r, f_1)}{\log M(r, f_2)} < 1 + \frac{\log(1 + \epsilon)}{\log M(r, f_2)} \quad \text{for } r > r_1 \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$\frac{\log M(r, f_2)}{\log M(r, f_1)} < 1 + \frac{\log(1 + \epsilon)}{\log M(r, f_1)} \quad \text{for } r > r_2 \quad (2.16)$$

Now from (2.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \log M(r, f_1) &< \log M(r, f_2) + \log(1 + \epsilon). \\ \text{So, } \frac{M(r, f_1)}{M(r, f_2)} &< 1 + \epsilon \quad \text{for } r > r_1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Similarly from (2.16)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{M(r, f_2)}{M(r, f_1)} &< 1 + \epsilon \quad \text{for } r > r_2. \\ \text{i.e. } \frac{M(r, f_1)}{M(r, f_2)} &> 1 - \epsilon \quad \text{for } r > r_2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

From (2.17) and (2.18) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \epsilon &< \frac{M(r, f_1)}{M(r, f_2)} < 1 + \epsilon \quad \text{for } r > r_0 = \max \{r_1, r_2\}. \\ \text{So, } M(r, f_1) &\sim M(r, f_2). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Let f_1 and f_2 be two entire functions with $T(r, f_1) = O^*((\log r)^\nu e^{(\log r)^\alpha})$ where $\nu > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $T(r, f_1) \sim T(r, f_2)$ then $M(r, f_1) \sim M(r, f_2)$.*

Proof. From Lemma 2.5 we have,

$$\log M(r, f_1) \sim T(r, f_1) \sim T(r, f_2) \sim \log M(r, f_2) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin E)$$

where E is a set of finite logarithmic measure and concluding part follows from Lemma 2.7. \square

3. THEOREMS

In [6] K. Niino and N. Suita proved the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be entire functions. If $M(r, g) > \frac{2+\epsilon}{\epsilon}|g(0)|$ for any $\epsilon > 0$, then we have*

$$T(r, f(g)) \leq (1 + \epsilon)T(M(r, g), f).$$

In particular, if $g(0) = 0$, then

$$T(r, f(g)) \leq T(M(r, g), f)$$

for all $r > 0$.

The following theorem is the generalization of the above.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two entire functions. Then we have*

$$T(R_2, f) \leq T(r, f_n) \leq T(R_3, f) \tag{3.1}$$

where $|f(z)| > R_1 > \frac{2+\epsilon}{\epsilon}|f(0)|$ and $|g(z)| > R_2 > \frac{2+\epsilon}{\epsilon}|g(0)|$, $R_3 = \max\{M_{f_{n-1}}(r), M_{g_{n-1}}(r)\}$ for sufficiently large values of r and any integer $n \geq 2$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.1 we have for odd n and any $\epsilon > 0$ arbitrary small

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f_n) &= T(r, f_{n-1}(f)) \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon)T(M(r, f), f_{n-1}) \\ &= (1 + \epsilon)T(M_{f_1}(r), f_{n-2}(g)) \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon)^2T(M_{f_2}(r), f_{n-2}) \\ &= (1 + \epsilon)^2T(M_{f_2}(r), f_{n-3}(f)) \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon)^3T(M_{f_3}(r), f_{n-3}) \\ &\dots \qquad \dots \\ &\dots \qquad \dots \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon)^{n-1}T(M_{f_{n-1}}(r), f) \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon)^{n-1}T(R_3, f). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly when n is even, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f_n) &= T(r, f_{n-1}(g)) \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon)T(M(r, g), f_{n-1}) \\ &= (1 + \epsilon)T(M_{g_1}(r), f_{n-2}(f)) \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon)^2T(M_{g_2}(r), f_{n-2}) \\ &\dots \qquad \dots \\ &\dots \qquad \dots \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon)^{n-1}T(M_{g_{n-1}}(r), f) \\ &\leq (1 + \epsilon)^{n-1}T(R_3, f). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$T(r, f_n) \leq (1 + \epsilon)^{n-1}T(R_3, f) \text{ for any integer } n \geq 2.$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we have for sufficiently large values of r

$$T(r, f_n) \leq T(R_3, f). \tag{3.2}$$

Also using Lemma 2.3 we have for odd n

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, f_n) &= T(r, f_{n-1}(f)) \\
&\geq \left(\frac{R_1 - |f(0)|}{R_1 + |f(0)|} \right) T(R_1, f_{n-1}) \\
&> (1 - \epsilon) T(R_1, f_{n-2}(g)) \\
&\geq (1 - \epsilon) \left(\frac{R_2 - |g(0)|}{R_2 + |g(0)|} \right) T(R_2, f_{n-2}) \\
&> (1 - \epsilon)^2 T(R_2, f_{n-2}) \\
&\geq (1 - \epsilon)^3 T(R_1, f_{n-3}) \\
&\quad \dots \quad \dots \\
&\quad \dots \quad \dots \\
&\geq (1 - \epsilon)^{n-2} T(R_1, f(g)) \\
&\geq (1 - \epsilon)^{n-1} T(R_2, f).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly when n is even we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
T(r, f_n) &= T(r, f_{n-1}(g)) \\
&\geq \left(\frac{R_2 - |g(0)|}{R_2 + |g(0)|} \right) T(R_2, f_{n-1}) \\
&> (1 - \epsilon) T(R_2, f_{n-2}(f)) \\
&\quad \dots \quad \dots \\
&\quad \dots \quad \dots \\
&\geq (1 - \epsilon)^{n-2} T(R_1, f(g)) \\
&\geq (1 - \epsilon)^{n-1} T(R_2, f).
\end{aligned}$$

So,

$$T(r, f_n) \geq (1 - \epsilon)^{n-1} T(R_2, f).$$

Since $\epsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we have for sufficiently large values of r

$$T(r, f_n) \geq T(R_2, f). \quad (3.3)$$

Hence from (3.2) and (3.3) we obtain (3.1).

This proves the theorem. \square

4. PROOF OF THE THEOREM 1.2

Proof. From Theorem 3.2 we have

$$T(R_1, f) \leq T(r, f_n) \leq T(R_2, f) \quad (4.1)$$

$$T(R'_1, u) \leq T(r, u_n) \leq T(R'_2, u) \quad (4.2)$$

and choose R_1 and R'_1 in such way that $|g(z)| > R_1 > \frac{2+\epsilon}{\epsilon}|g(0)|$, $|v(z)| > R'_1 > \frac{2+\epsilon}{\epsilon}|v(0)|$ and $T(R_1, f) \sim T(R'_1, f)$, where $R_2 = \max\{M_{f_{n-1}}(r), M_{g_{n-1}}(r)\}$ and $R'_2 = \max\{M_{u_{n-1}}(r), M_{v_{n-1}}(r)\}$ for sufficiently large value of r and arbitrary small $\epsilon > 0$.

Since $T(r, f) \sim T(r, u)$, so

$$\begin{aligned}
T(R_1, f) &\sim T(R'_1, f) \sim T(R'_1, u) \\
\text{i.e. } T(R_1, f) &\sim T(R'_1, u) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty, r \notin E). \quad (4.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Also from Lemma 2.8 we have $M(r, f) \sim M(r, u)$.

So,

$$\begin{aligned} M(M(r, f), g) &\sim M(M(r, u), v) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty), \text{ using Lemma 2.2} \\ \text{i.e. } M(M(M(r, f), g), f) &\sim M(M(M(r, u), v), u) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for odd n ,

$$M_{f_{n-1}}(r) \sim M_{u_{n-1}}(r) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty). \quad (4.4)$$

Similarly, for even n ,

$$M_{g_{n-1}}(r) \sim M_{v_{n-1}}(r) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty). \quad (4.5)$$

From (4.4) and (4.5) for any integer $n \geq 2$, we have $R_2 \sim R'_2$ for large r . So from $T(r, f) \sim T(r, u)$ and $R_2 \sim R'_2$ we have

$$T(R_2, u) \sim T(R'_2, f) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty) \quad (4.6)$$

So from (4.1), (4.2), (4.3) and (4.6) we have $T(r, f_n) \sim T(r, u_n)$.

This proves the theorem. \square

Theorem 4.1. *Let f, g and u, v be four transcendental entire functions with $T(r, f) \sim T(r, u)$, $T(r, g) \sim T(r, v)$, $T(r, f) = O^*((\log r)^\beta)$ and $T(r, g) = O^*((\log r)^\beta)$ where $\beta > 1$ is a constant, then $T(r, f_n) \sim T(r, u_n)$.*

Note 4.2. *The conditions of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 4.1 are not strictly sharp. Which are illustrated by the following examples.*

Example 4.3. *Let $f(z) = e^z, g(z) = z$ and $u(z) = 2e^z, v(z) = 2z$. Then we have $f_2 = f(g) = e^z, u_2 = u(v) = 2e^{2z}$ and $f_4 = f(g(f(g))) = e^{e^z}, u_4 = u(v(u(v))) = 2e^{4e^{2z}}$.*

Also

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &= \frac{r}{\pi}, \quad T(r, u) = \frac{r}{\pi} + \log 2, \\ T(r, g) &= \log r, \quad T(r, v) = \log r + \log 2, \\ T(r, f_2) &= \frac{r}{\pi}, \quad T(r, u_2) = \frac{2r}{\pi} + \log 2, \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$T(r, f) \sim T(r, u), T(r, g) \sim T(r, v) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

But

$$\frac{T(r, f_2)}{T(r, u_2)} = 2 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

so

$$T(r, f_2) \not\sim T(r, u_2).$$

Also

$$T(r, f_4) \leq \log M(r, f_4) = e^r$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 3T(2r, u_4) &\geq \log M(r, u_4) = \log 2 + 4e^{2r} \\ \text{i.e. } T(r, u_4) &\geq \frac{1}{3} \log 2 + \frac{4}{3}e^r \\ \text{i.e. } \frac{1}{T(r, u_4)} &\leq \frac{1}{\frac{1}{3} \log 2 + \frac{4}{3}e^r}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{T(r, f_4)}{T(r, u_4)} \leq \frac{e^r}{\frac{1}{3} \log 2 + \frac{4}{3} e^r} = 3/4 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

so

$$T(r, f_4) \approx T(r, u_4).$$

Thus, $T(r, f_n) \sim T(r, u_n)$ does not hold for all $n \geq 2$. Here $T(r, f) \neq O^*((\log r)^\beta)$ where $\beta > 1$ is a constant.

Example 4.4. Let $f(z) = e^z, g(z) = \log z$ and $u(z) = 2e^z, v(z) = \log 2z$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 &= f(g) = z, u_2 = u(v) = 4z, \\ f_3 &= f(g(f)) = e^z, u_3 = u(v(u)) = 8e^z, \\ f_4 &= f(g(f(g))) = z, u_4 = u(v(u(v))) = 16z. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &= \frac{r}{\pi}, \quad T(r, u) = \frac{r}{\pi} + \log 2, \\ \therefore T(r, f) &\sim T(r, u) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$T(r, g) \leq \log \log r,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 3T(2r, v) &\geq \log \log 2r \\ \text{i.e. } T(r, v) &\geq \frac{\log \log r}{3} \\ \text{i.e. } \frac{1}{T(r, v)} &\leq \frac{3}{\log \log r}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\frac{T(r, g)}{T(r, v)} \leq 3.$$

Again

$$T(r, v) \leq \log \log 2r,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 3T(2r, g) &\geq \log \log r \\ \text{i.e. } T(r, g) &\geq \frac{\log \log r/2}{3} \\ \text{i.e. } \frac{1}{T(r, g)} &\leq \frac{3}{\log \log r/2}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{T(r, v)}{T(r, g)} &\leq 3 \frac{\log \log 2r}{\log \log r/2} \\ &\leq 3 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty. \\ \therefore \frac{1}{3} &\leq \frac{T(r, g)}{T(r, v)} \leq 3 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f_2) &= \log r, \quad T(r, u_2) = \log r + \log 4, \\ T(r, f_3) &= \frac{r}{\pi}, \quad T(r, u_3) = \frac{r}{\pi} + \log 8, \\ T(r, f_4) &= \log r, \quad T(r, u_4) = \log r + \log 16. \end{aligned}$$

Here $T(r, g) \asymp T(r, v)$. But still $T(r, f_n) \sim T(r, u_n)$ for $n = 2, 3, 4$.

Example 4.5. Let $f(z) = e^z, g(z) = (\log z)^2$ and $u(z) = 2e^z, v(z) = (\log 2z)^2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 &= f(g) = e^{(\log z)^2}, u_2 = u(v) = 2e^{(\log 2z)^2}, \\ f_3 &= f(g(f)) = e^{z^2}, u_3 = u(v(u)) = 2e^{(\log 4)^2} 4^{2z} e^{z^2}, \\ f_4 &= f(g(f(g))) = e^{(\log z)^4}, u_4 = u(v(u(v))) = 2e^{(\log 4)^2} 4^{2(\log 2z)^2} e^{(\log 2z)^4}, \\ f_5 &= f(g(f(g(f)))) = e^{z^4}, u_5 = u(v(u(v(u)))) = 32e^{(\log 4)^2} 4^{2(\log 4e^z)^2} e^{(\log 4e^z)^4}. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f) &= \frac{r}{\pi}, \quad T(r, u) = \frac{r}{\pi} + \log 2, \\ \therefore T(r, f) &\sim T(r, u). \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{3} \leq \frac{T(r, g)}{T(r, v)} \leq 3 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here $T(r, f) \neq O^*((\log r)^\beta)$ where $\beta > 1$ is a constant and $T(r, g) \asymp T(r, v)$. But

$$T(r, f_2) = (\log r)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad T(r, u_2) = (\log r)^2 + 2 \log 2 \log r + (\log 2)^2 + \log 2$$

so

$$T(r, f_2) \sim T(r, u_2) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$T(r, f_3) = \frac{r^2}{\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad T(r, u_3) = \log 2 + (\log 4)^2 + 2r \log 4 + \frac{r^2}{\pi},$$

so

$$T(r, f_3) \sim T(r, u_3) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$T(r, f_4) = (\log r)^4 \quad \text{and} \quad T(r, u_4) = \log 2 + (\log 4)^2 + O(\log r)^2 + (\log 2r)^4,$$

so

$$T(r, f_4) \sim T(r, u_4) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$T(r, f_5) = \frac{r^4}{\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad T(r, u_5) = \log 2 + (\log 4)^2 + O(r^2) + \frac{r^4}{\pi},$$

so

$$T(r, f_5) \sim T(r, u_5) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty,$$

and so on.

Acknowledgments. The authors are thankful to the referee for several suggestions which considerably improve the presentation of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. L. Cartwright, *Integral Function*, Cambridge University Press, 1956.
- [2] C. Chuang, C. C. Yang, *The Fixpoint of Meromorphic and Factorization Theory*, Beijing University Press, 1988.
- [3] J. Clunie, *The composition of entire and meromorphic functions*, Mathematical Essays dedicated to A. J. Macintyre, Ohio. Univ. Press, (1970), 75-92.
- [4] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, Oxford University Press, 1964.
- [5] B. K. Lahiri, D. Banerjee, *Relative fix points of entire functions*, J. Indian Acad. Math., **19(1)** (1997), 87-97.
- [6] K. Niino, N. Suita, *Growth of a composite function of entire functions*, Kodai Math. J., **3** (1980), 374-379.
- [7] J. Sun, *Growth of a class of composite entire functions*, Acta Math. Vietnamica, **28(2)** (2003), 175-183.

DIBYENDU BANERJEE

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VISVA-BHARATI, SANTINIKETAN-731235, WEST BENGAL, INDIA

E-mail address: `dibyendu192@yahoo.com`

RATAN KUMAR DUTTA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SILIGURI INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, POST.-SUKNA, SILIGURI, DARJEELING-734009, WEST BENGAL, INDIA

E-mail address: `ratan.3128@yahoo.com`