

A NEW APPLICATION OF GENERALIZED ALMOST INCREASING SEQUENCES

(COMMUNICATED BY EBERHARD MALKOWSKY)

HİKMET SEYHAN ÖZARSLAN

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, a general theorem dealing with $|A, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability factors of infinite series has been proved by using almost increasing sequence. This theorem also includes some known and new results.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with partial sums (s_n) , and let $A = (a_{nv})$ be a normal matrix, i.e., a lower triangular matrix of nonzero diagonal entries. Then A defines the sequence-to-sequence transformation, mapping the sequence $s = (s_n)$ to $As = (A_n(s))$, where

$$A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_{nv} s_v, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (1.1)$$

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|A|_k$, $k \geq 1$, if (see [10])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |\bar{\Delta} A_n(s)|^k < \infty, \quad (1.2)$$

where

$$\bar{\Delta} A_n(s) = A_n(s) - A_{n-1}(s).$$

Let (p_n) be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = \sum_{v=0}^n p_v \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (P_{-i} = p_{-i} = 0, i \geq 1). \quad (1.3)$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_v s_v \quad (1.4)$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D15, 40D15, 40F05, 40G99.

Key words and phrases. Summability factors, absolute matrix summability, almost increasing sequence, infinite series, Hölder inequality, Minkowski inequality.

©2016 Universiteti i Prishtinës, Prishtinë, Kosovë.

Submitted February 22, 2016. Published April 23, 2016.

defines the sequence (σ_n) of the Riesz mean or simply the (\bar{N}, p_n) mean of the sequence (s_n) , generated by the sequence of coefficients (p_n) (see [5]). The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$, $k \geq 1$, if (see [2])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{k-1} |\Delta \sigma_{n-1}|^k < \infty, \quad (1.5)$$

and it is said to be summable $|A, p_n; \delta|_k$, $k \geq 1$ and $\delta \geq 0$, if (see [7])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} |\bar{\Delta} A_n(s)|^k < \infty. \quad (1.6)$$

If we take $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ and $\delta = 0$, then $|A, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability reduces to $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability. Also, if we take $\delta = 0$, then $|A, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability reduces to $|A, p_n|_k$ summability (see [9]). In the special case $\delta = 0$ and $p_n = 1$ for all n , $|A, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability is the same as $|A|_k$ summability. Furthermore, if we take $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$, then $|A, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability is the same as $|\bar{N}, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability.

Before stating the main theorem we must first introduce some further notations. Given a normal matrix $A = (a_{nv})$, we associate two lower semimatrices $\bar{A} = (\bar{a}_{nv})$ and $\hat{A} = (\hat{a}_{nv})$ as follows:

$$\bar{a}_{nv} = \sum_{i=v}^n a_{ni}, \quad n, v = 0, 1, \dots \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$\hat{a}_{00} = \bar{a}_{00} = a_{00}, \quad \hat{a}_{nv} = \bar{a}_{nv} - \bar{a}_{n-1, v}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (1.8)$$

It may be noted that \bar{A} and \hat{A} are the well-known matrices of series-to-sequence and series-to-series transformations, respectively. Then, we have

$$A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_{nv} s_v = \sum_{v=0}^n \bar{a}_{nv} a_v \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$\bar{\Delta} A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n \hat{a}_{nv} a_v. \quad (1.10)$$

2. KNOWN RESULT

In [3], Bor has proved the following theorem for $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability factors of infinite series.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (X_n) be a positive non-decreasing sequence and let there be sequences (β_n) and (λ_n) such that*

$$|\Delta \lambda_n| \leq \beta_n, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\beta_n \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |\Delta \beta_n| X_n < \infty, \quad (2.3)$$

$$|\lambda_n| X_n = O(1). \quad (2.4)$$

If

$$\sum_{v=1}^n \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} = O(X_n) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.5)$$

where (t_n) is the n th $(C, 1)$ mean of the sequence (na_n) , and (p_n) is a sequence such that

$$P_n = O(np_n), \quad (2.6)$$

$$P_n \Delta p_n = O(p_n p_{n+1}), \quad (2.7)$$

then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{P_n \lambda_n}{np_n}$ is summable $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$.

3. MAIN RESULT

The aim of this paper is to generalize Theorem 2.1 for $|A, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability by using almost increasing sequence. For this we need the concept of an almost increasing sequence. A positive sequence (b_n) is said to be almost increasing if there exist a positive increasing sequence (c_n) and two positive constants A and B such that $Ac_n \leq b_n \leq Bc_n$ (see [1]). Obviously every increasing sequence is almost increasing. However, the converse need not be true as can be seen by taking the example, say $b_n = ne^{(-1)^n}$.

Now, we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X_n) be an almost increasing sequence. The conditions (2.1)-(2.4) and (2.6)-(2.7) of Theorem 2.1 and the conditions*

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k - 1} |t_n|^k = O(X_m) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| = O \left\{ \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v} \right)^{\delta k - 1} \right\} \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.2)$$

where (t_n) as is in Theorem 2.1, are satisfied. If $A = (a_{nv})$ is a positive normal matrix such that

$$\bar{a}_{n0} = 1, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (3.3)$$

$$a_{n-1, v} \geq a_{nv}, \quad \text{for } n \geq v + 1, \quad (3.4)$$

$$a_{nn} = O \left(\frac{p_n}{P_n} \right), \quad (3.5)$$

$$|\hat{a}_{n, v+1}| = O(v |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})|), \quad (3.6)$$

then the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \frac{P_n \lambda_n}{np_n}$ is summable $|A, p_n; \delta|_k, k \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1/k$.

We need the following lemmas for the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. ([6]) *If (X_n) is an almost increasing sequence, then under the conditions (2.2)-(2.3) we have that*

$$nX_n\beta_n = O(1), \quad (3.7)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n X_n < \infty. \quad (3.8)$$

Lemma 3.3. ([3]) *If conditions (2.6) and (2.7) are satisfied, then we have*

$$\Delta \left(\frac{P_n}{n^2 p_n} \right) = O \left(\frac{1}{n^2} \right). \quad (3.9)$$

Lemma 3.4. ([3]) *If conditions (2.1)-(2.4) are satisfied, then we have that*

$$\lambda_n = O(1), \quad (3.10)$$

$$\Delta \lambda_n = O \left(\frac{1}{n} \right). \quad (3.11)$$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1

Let (I_n) denotes A-transform of the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n P_n \lambda_n}{n p_n}$. Then, we have by (1.9) and (1.10)

$$\bar{\Delta} I_n = \sum_{v=1}^n \hat{a}_{nv} \frac{a_v P_v \lambda_v}{v p_v}.$$

Applying Abel's transformation to this sum, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Delta} I_n &= \sum_{v=1}^n \hat{a}_{nv} \frac{v a_v P_v \lambda_v}{v^2 p_v} \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v \left(\frac{\hat{a}_{nv} P_v \lambda_v}{v^2 p_v} \right) \sum_{r=1}^v r a_r + \frac{\hat{a}_{nn} P_n \lambda_n}{n^2 p_n} \sum_{r=1}^n r a_r \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v \left(\frac{\hat{a}_{nv} P_v \lambda_v}{v^2 p_v} \right) (v+1) t_v + \frac{a_{nn} P_n \lambda_n}{n^2 p_n} (n+1) t_n \\ &= \frac{a_{nn} P_n \lambda_n}{n^2 p_n} (n+1) t_n + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v (\hat{a}_{nv}) \frac{(v+1) P_v \lambda_v}{v^2} \frac{1}{p_v} t_v \\ &\quad + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{\hat{a}_{n,v+1} P_v}{p_v} \Delta \lambda_v t_v \frac{(v+1)}{v^2} + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \lambda_{v+1} \Delta \left(\frac{P_v}{v^2 p_v} \right) t_v (v+1) \\ &= I_{n,1} + I_{n,2} + I_{n,3} + I_{n,4}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$|I_{n,1} + I_{n,2} + I_{n,3} + I_{n,4}|^k \leq 4^k (|I_{n,1}|^k + |I_{n,2}|^k + |I_{n,3}|^k + |I_{n,4}|^k)$$

to complete the proof of Theorem 3.1, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} |I_{n,r}|^k < \infty, \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3, 4. \quad (4.1)$$

First, by using Abel's transformation, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k+k-1} |I_{n,1}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k+k-1} a_{nn}^k \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^k |\lambda_n|^k \frac{|t_n|^k}{n^k} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k-1} |\lambda_n|^{k-1} |\lambda_n| |t_n|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k-1} |\lambda_n| |t_n|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \Delta |\lambda_n| \sum_{r=1}^n \left(\frac{P_r}{p_r}\right)^{\delta k-1} |t_r|^k + O(1) |\lambda_m| \sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k-1} |t_n|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_n| X_n + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \beta_n X_n + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m \\
 &= O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

by (2.1), (2.4), (2.6), (3.1), (3.5), (3.8), (3.10) and (3.11).

Now, using the fact that $P_v = O(vp_v)$ by (2.6), we have that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k+k-1} |I_{n,2}|^k = O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k+k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| |\lambda_v| |t_v|\right)^k.$$

Now, applying Hölder's inequality with indices k and k' , where $k > 1$ and $\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k'} = 1$, as in $I_{n,1}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k+k-1} |I_{n,2}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k+k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| |\lambda_v| |t_v|\right)^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k+k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| |\lambda_v|^k |t_v|^k\right) \times \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})|\right)^{k-1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| |\lambda_v|^k |t_v|^k\right) \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_v|^{k-1} |\lambda_v| |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^{\delta k-1} |\lambda_v| |t_v|^k \\
 &= O(1) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

by (2.1), (2.4), (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), (3.8) and (3.11).
Now, using Hölder's inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k+k-1} |I_{n,3}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k+k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\Delta \lambda_v| |t_v|\right)^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k+k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| \beta_v |t_v|^k\right) \times \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| \beta_v\right)^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} v |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| \beta_v |t_v|^k\right) \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v \beta_v |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m v \beta_v |t_v|^k \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^{\delta k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta(v \beta_v) \sum_{r=1}^v \left(\frac{P_r}{p_r}\right)^{\delta k-1} |t_r|^k + O(1) m \beta_m \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^{\delta k-1} |t_v|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta(v \beta_v) X_v + O(1) m \beta_m X_m \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} (v+1) |\Delta \beta_v| X_v + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \beta_v X_v + O(1) m \beta_m X_m \\
&= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

by (2.1), (2.3), (2.6), (3.1), (3.2), (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8).

Finally, since $\Delta\left(\frac{P_v}{v^2 p_v}\right) = O\left(\frac{1}{v^2}\right)$ by Lemma 3.3, as in $I_{n,1}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k+k-1} |I_{n,4}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k+k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\lambda_{v+1}| \frac{|t_v|}{v}\right)^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k+k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| |\lambda_{v+1}| |t_v|\right)^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k+k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| |\lambda_{v+1}|^k |t_v|^k\right) \times \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}|\right)^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| |\lambda_{v+1}|^k |t_v|^k\right) \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_{v+1}|^{k-1} |\lambda_{v+1}| |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \left(\frac{P_v}{p_v}\right)^{\delta k-1} |\lambda_{v+1}| |t_v|^k = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

by (2.1), (2.4), (2.6), (3.1), (3.2), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.11).

Therefore we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} |I_{n,r}|^k = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

If we take $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ and $\delta = 0$, then we get a result of Bor [4] for $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability factors. Also, if we take $\delta = 0$, then we get a result of Özarslan [8] for $|A, p_n|_k$ summability factors. Furthermore, if we take (X_n) as a positive non-decreasing sequence, then we get a new result dealing with $|A, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability factors.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee for his/her comments that helped us improve this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. K. Bari and S. B. Stečkin, *Best approximations and differential properties of two conjugate functions*, (Russian) Trudy. Moskov. Mat. Obšč. **5** (1956) 483-522.
- [2] H. Bor, *On two summability methods*, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **97** (1985) 147-149.
- [3] H. Bor, *Absolute summability factors for infinite series*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **19** (1988) 664-671.
- [4] H. Bor, *A note on absolute Riesz summability factors*, Math. Inequal. Appl. **10** (2007) 619-625.
- [5] G. H. Hardy, *Divergent Series*, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1949.
- [6] S. M. Mazhar, *A note on absolute summability factors*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **25** (1997) 233-242.
- [7] H. S. Özarslan and H. N. Öğdük, *Generalizations of two theorems on absolute summability methods*, Aust. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **1** (2004) 7 pp.
- [8] H. S. Özarslan, *A new application of almost increasing sequences*, Miskolc Math. Notes **14** (2013) 201-208.
- [9] W. T. Sulaiman, *Inclusion theorems for absolute matrix summability methods of an infinite series. IV*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **34** (11) (2003) 1547-1557.
- [10] N. Tanovič-Müller, *On strong summability*, Glas. Mat. Ser. III **14** (34) (1979) 87-97.

HİKMET SEYHAN ÖZARSLAN

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ERCIYES UNIVERSITY, 38039 KAYSERİ, TURKEY

E-mail address: seyhan@erciyes.edu.tr; hseyhan38@gmail.com