Convexly independent subsets of the Minkowski sum of planar point sets

Friedrich Eisenbrand[1], János Pach[2], Thomas Rothvoß[1], and Nir B. Sopher[3]

[1] *Institute of Mathematics, École Polytechnique Féderale de Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland, {friedrich.eisenbrand,thomas.rothvoss}@epfl.ch*
[2] *Courant Institute, NYU and City College, CUNY , USA, pach@cims.nyu.edu*
[3] *School of Electrical Engineering, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv 69978, Israel, sopherni@post.tau.ac.il*

Submitted: Dec 14, 2007; Accepted: Mar 17, 2008; Published: Mar 20, 2008
Mathematics Subject Classification: 52C10, 52A10

Abstract

Let P and Q be finite sets of points in the plane. In this note we consider the largest cardinality of a subset of the Minkowski sum $S \subseteq P \oplus Q$ which consist of convexly independent points. We show that, if $|P| = m$ and $|Q| = n$ then $|S| = O(m^{2/3}n^{2/3} + m + n)$.

1 Introduction

In connection with a class of convex combinatorial optimization problems (Onn and Rothblum, 2004), Halman et al. (2007) raised the following question. Given a set X of n points in the plane, what is the maximum number of pairs that can be selected from X so that the midpoints of their connecting segments are *convexly independent*, that is, they form the vertex set of a convex polygon? In the special case when the elements of X themselves are convexly independent, they found a linear upper bound, $5n-6$, on this quantity. They asked whether there exists a subquadratic upper bound in the general case. In this note, we answer this question in the affirmative by establishing an upper bound of $O(n^{4/3})$.

We first reformulate the question in a slightly more general form. Let P and Q be sets of size m and n in the plane. The *Minkowski sum* of P and Q is $P \oplus Q = \{p + q \mid p \in P, q \in Q\}$.

What is the maximum size of a convexly independent subset of $P \oplus Q$?

More precisely, we would like to estimate the function $M(m,n)$, which is the largest cardinality of a convexly independent set S, which is a subset of the Minkowski sum of some planar point sets P and Q with $|P| = m$ and $|Q| = n$.

Notice that the set of all midpoints of the connecting segments of an n-element set P can be expressed as $\frac{1}{2}(P \oplus P)$, so that $M(n,n)$ is an upper bound on the quantity studied by Halman et al.

Let S be a convexly independent subset of $P \oplus Q$. Consider the bipartite graph G on the vertex set $P \cup Q$, in which $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$ are connected by an edge if and only if $p + q \in S$. It is easy to check that G cannot contain $K_{2,3}$ as a subgraph. Applying the *forbidden subgraph theorem* (Kővári et al., 1954), see also (Pach and Agarwal, 1995), it follows that $|S| = O(\sqrt{m} \cdot n + m)$.

Our next result provides a better bound.

Theorem 1. *Let P and Q be two planar point sets with $|P| = m$ and $|Q| = n$. For any convexly independent subset $S \subseteq P \oplus Q$, we have $|S| = O(m^{2/3}n^{2/3} + m + n)$.*

2 Proof of Theorem 1

We reduce the problem to a *point-curve incidence problem* in the plane. A closed set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is *strictly convex*, if for each $a, b \in K$ the interior of the line-segment $\mathrm{conv}(\{a, b\})$ is contained in the interior of K. A closed curve C is *strictly convex* if it is the boundary of a strictly convex set. Consider now n translated copies $C + t_1, \dots, C + t_n$ of C, and m points $p_1, \dots, p_m$. Let $I(m,n)$ denote the maximum number of point-curve incidences which occur in such a configuration. Notice that $C + t_i$ and $C + t_j$ intersect in at most two points for $i \neq j$. Furthermore, for any two distinct points p_μ and p_ν, there exist at most two curves $C + t_i$ incident to both p_μ and p_ν. We can apply the following well known upper bound on the number $I(m,n)$ of incidences between m points and n "well-behaved" curves with the above properties, see (Pach and Sharir, 1998).

$$I(m,n) = O(m^{2/3}n^{2/3} + m + n). \tag{1}$$

Thus, to establish Theorem 1, it remains to prove

Theorem 2. *For any positive integers m and n, we have $M(m,n) \leqslant I(m,n)$.*

Proof. Let $P = \{p_1, \dots, p_m\}$, $Q = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$, and assume that S is a convexly independent subset of $P \oplus Q$. Clearly, there is a strictly convex closed curve C passing through all points in S. Consider the n translates $C - q_1, \dots, C - q_n$ of C. Count the number of incidences between these curves and the elements of P. Notice that if the point $p + q$ belongs to S, then p is incident to $C - q$. Since no two distinct points $p_1 + q_1 \neq p_2 + q_2 \in S$ are associated with the same incidence, the result follows. □

Unit distances

Theorem 1 can also be deduced from the known upper bounds on the number of unit-distance pairs induced by n points in a normed (Minkowski) plane. For this, notice that one can replace C by a centrally symmetric strictly convex curve C' such that the number I' of incidences between the curves $C'-q_1,\ldots,C'-q_n$ and the points in P is at least half of the number I of incidences between the curves $C-q_1,\ldots,C-q_n$ and the points in P. The curve C' defines a *norm*, and thus a *metric*, in the plane, with respect to which the unit circle is a translate of C'. Therefore, I' can be bounded from above by the number of unit-distance pairs between the set of centers of the curves $C'-q_1,\ldots,C'-q_n$ and the elements of P, which is known to be $O(m^{2/3}n^{2/3}+m+n)$.

In particular, for $m=n$, this number cannot exceed the maximum number $u(2n)$ of unit-distance pairs in a set of $2n$ points in a normed plane with a strictly convex unit circle. It is known that $u(2n)=O(n^{4/3})$ (see e.g. (Brass, 1996)), and a gridlike construction shows that this bound can be attained for certain norms (Brass, 1998; Valtr, 2005). Note that in the Euclidean norm, the number of unit-distance pairs induced by n points is $ne^{\Omega(\log n/\log\log n)}$, and this estimate is conjectured to be not far from best possible (Erdős, 1946).

The question arises whether any of the examples establishing the tightness of the upper bounds on $I(m,n)$ and $u(n)$ can be used to show that Theorem 1 is also optimal. Unfortunately, in all known constructions, most elements of $P\oplus Q$ can be written in the form $p+q$ ($p\in P, q\in Q$) in many different ways. Therefore, any element of a convexly independent subset of $P\oplus Q$ may be associated with several incidences between a curve $C-q$ and a point of P. This suggests that the maximum size of a convexly independent subset of $P\oplus Q$ can be much smaller than $I(m,n)$. For $m=n$, we do not know any example for which $P\oplus Q$ has a convexly independent subset with a superlinear number of elements.

References

Brass, P. (1996). Erdős distance problems in normed spaces. *Computational Geometry. Theory and Applications*, 6(4):195–214.

Brass, P. (1998). On convex lattice polyhedra and pseudocircle arrangements. In *Charlemagne and his heritage. 1200 years of civilization and science in Europe, Vol. 2 (Aachen, 1995)*, pages 297–302. Brepols, Turnhout.

Erdős, P. (1946). On sets of distances of n points. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 53:248–250.

Halman, N., Onn, S., and Rothblum, U. (2007). The convex dimension of a graph. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 155:1373–1383.

Kővári, T., Sós, V. T., and Turán, P. (1954). On a problem of K. Zarankiewicz. *Colloquium Math.*, 3:50–57.

Onn, S. and Rothblum, U. (2004). Convex combinatorial optimization. *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, 32:549–566.

Pach, J. and Agarwal, P. K. (1995). *Combinatorial geometry.* Wiley-Interscience Publication. New York.

Pach, J. and Sharir, M. (1998). On the number of incidences between points and curves. *Combinatorics, Probability & Computing*, 7(1):121–127.

Valtr, P. (2005). Strictly convex norms allowing many unit distances and related touching questions. *Manuscript*.