

## EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR P-KIRCHHOFF TYPE PROBLEMS WITH CRITICAL EXPONENT

AHMED HAMYDY, MOHAMMED MASSAR, NAJIB TSOULI

ABSTRACT. We study the existence of solutions for the p-Kirchhoff type problem involving the critical Sobolev exponent,

$$-\left[g\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx\right)\right] \Delta_p u = \lambda f(x, u) + |u|^{p^*-2} u \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded smooth domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $1 < p < N$ ,  $p^* = Np/(N - p)$  is the critical Sobolev exponent,  $\lambda$  is a positive parameter,  $f$  and  $g$  are continuous functions. The main results of this paper establish, via the variational method. The concentration-compactness principle allows to prove that the Palais-Smale condition is satisfied below a certain level.

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

We are concerned with the existence of solutions for the p-Kirchhoff type problem

$$-\left[g\left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx\right)\right] \Delta_p u = \lambda f(x, u) + |u|^{p^*-2} u \quad \text{in } \Omega \tag{1.1}$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded smooth domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $1 < p < N$ ,  $p^* = Np/(N - p)$  is the critical Sobolev exponent, and  $f : \bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  are continuous functions that satisfy the following conditions:

- (F1)  $f(x, t) = o(|t|^{p-1})$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , uniformly for  $x \in \Omega$ ;
- (F2) There exists  $q \in (p, p^*)$  such that

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(x, t)}{|t|^{q-2}t} = 0, \quad \text{uniformly for } x \in \Omega.$$

- (F3) There exists  $\theta \in (p/\sigma, p^*)$  such that  $0 < \theta F(x, t) \leq tf(x, t)$  for all  $x \in \Omega$  and  $t \neq 0$ , where  $F(x, t) = \int_0^t f(x, s) ds$  and  $\sigma$  is given by (G2) below.
- (G1) There exists  $\alpha_0 > 0$  such that  $g(t) \geq \alpha_0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ;
- (G2) There exists  $\sigma > p/p^*$  such that  $G(t) \geq \sigma g(t)t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , where  $G(t) = \int_0^t g(s) ds$ ;

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A15, 35B33, 35J62.

*Key words and phrases.* p-Kirchhoff; critical exponent; parameter; Lions principle.

©2011 Texas State University - San Marcos.

Submitted July 26, 2011. Published August 16, 2011.

Much interest has grown on problems involving critical exponents, starting from the celebrated paper by Brezis and Nirenberg [5], where the case  $p = 2$  is considered. We refer the reader to [1, 9, 10] and reference therein for the study of problems with critical exponent.

Problem (1.1) is a general version of a model presented by Kirchhoff [11]. More precisely, Kirchhoff introduced a model

$$\rho \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} - \left( \frac{\rho_0}{h} + \frac{E}{2L} \int_0^L \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|^2 dx \right) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\rho, \rho_0, h, E, L$  are constants, which extends the classical D'Alembert's wave equation by considering the effects of the changes in the length of the strings during the vibrations. The problem

$$\begin{aligned} - \left( a + b \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right) \Delta u &= f(x, u) \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

received much attention, mainly after the article by Lions [12]. Problems like (1.3) are also introduced as models for other physical phenomena as, for example, biological systems where  $u$  describes a process which depends on the average of itself (for example, population density). See [3] and its references therein. For a more detailed reference on this subject we refer the interested reader to [4, 6, 7, 8, 14, 15].

Motivated by the ideas in [2], our approach for studying problem (1.1) is variational and uses minimax critical point theorems. The difficulty is due to the lack of compactness of the imbedding  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p^*}(\Omega)$  and the Palais-Smale condition for the corresponding energy functional could not be checked directly. So the concentration-compact principle of Lions [13] is applied to deal with this difficulty.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that (G1)–(G2), (F1)–(F3) hold. Then, there exists  $\lambda_* > 0$ , such that (1.1) has a nontrivial solution for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_*$ .*

## 2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

We consider the energy functional  $I : W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{p} G(\|u\|^p) - \lambda \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx - \frac{1}{p^*} \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p^*} dx, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is the Sobolev space endowed with the norm  $\|u\|^p = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx$ . It is well known that a critical point of  $I$  is a weak solution of problem (1.1).

To use variational methods, we give some results related to the Palais-Smale compactness condition. Recall that a sequence  $(u_n)$  is a Palais-Smale sequence of  $I$  at the level  $c$ , if  $I(u_n) \rightarrow c$  and  $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ .

In the sequel, we show that the functional  $I$  has the mountain pass geometry. This purpose is proved in the next lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Suppose that (F1), (F2), (G1) hold. Then, there exist  $r, \rho > 0$  such that  $\inf_{\|u\|=r} I(u) \geq \rho > 0$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from (F1) and (F2) that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $C(\varepsilon) > 0$ .

$$F(x, t) \leq \frac{1}{p} \varepsilon |t|^p + C(\varepsilon) |t|^q \quad \text{for all } t. \quad (2.2)$$

By (G1) and the Sobolev embedding, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I(u) &\geq \frac{\alpha_0}{p} \|u\|^p - \lambda C_1 \varepsilon \|u\|^p - \lambda C_2(\varepsilon) \|u\|^q - C_3 \|u\|^{p^*} \\ &= \|u\| \left[ \left( \frac{\alpha_0}{p} - \lambda C_1 \varepsilon \right) \|u\|^{p-1} - \lambda C_2(\varepsilon) \|u\|^{q-1} - C_3 \|u\|^{p^*-1} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Taking  $\varepsilon = \alpha_0 / (2p\lambda C_1)$  and setting

$$\xi(t) = \frac{\alpha_0}{2p} t^{p-1} - \lambda C_2 t^{q-1} - C_3 t^{p^*-1}.$$

Since  $p < q < p^*$ , we see that there exist  $r > 0$  such that  $\max_{t \geq 0} \xi(t) = \xi(r)$ . Then, by (2.3), there exists  $\rho > 0$  such that  $I(u) \geq \rho$  for all  $\|u\| = r$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose that (G2), (F3) hold. Then for all  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists a nonnegative function  $e \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  independent of  $\lambda$ , such that  $\|e\| > r$  and  $I(e) < 0$ .*

*Proof.* Choose a nonnegative function  $\phi_0 \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  with  $\|\phi_0\| = 1$ . By integrating (G2), we obtain

$$G(t) \leq \frac{G(t_0)}{t_0^{1/\sigma}} t^{1/\sigma} = C_0 t^{1/\sigma} \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_0 > 0. \quad (2.4)$$

By (F3),  $\int_\Omega F(x, t\phi_0) dx \geq 0$ . Hence

$$I(t\phi_0) \leq \frac{C_0}{p} t^{p/\sigma} - \frac{t^{p^*}}{p^*} \int_\Omega \phi_0^{p^*} dx \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_0.$$

Since  $p/\sigma < p^*$ , the lemma is proved by choosing  $e = t_* \phi_0$  with  $t_* > 0$  large enough.  $\square$

In view of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we may apply a version of the Mountain Pass theorem without Palais-Smale condition to obtain a sequence  $(u_n) \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that

$$I(u_n) \rightarrow c_* \quad \text{and} \quad I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$c_* = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I(\gamma(t)) > 0, \quad (2.5)$$

with

$$\Gamma = \{ \gamma \in C([0,1], W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)) : \gamma(0) = 0, I(\gamma(1)) < 0 \}.$$

Denoted by  $S_*$  the best positive constant of the Sobolev embedding  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p^*}(\Omega)$  given by

$$S_* = \inf \left\{ \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx : u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \int_\Omega |u|^{p^*} dx = 1 \right\}. \quad (2.6)$$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that (G1)–(G2), (F1)–(F3) hold. Then there exists  $\lambda_* > 0$  such that  $c_* \in (0, (\frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{p^*})(\alpha_0 S_*)^{\frac{N}{p}})$  for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_*$ , where  $c_*$  is given by (2.5).*

*Proof.* For  $e$  given by Lemma 2.2, we have  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} I(te) = -\infty$ , then there exists  $t_\lambda > 0$  such that  $I(t_\lambda e) = \max_{t \geq 0} I(te)$ . Therefore,

$$t_\lambda^{p-1} g(\|t_\lambda e\|^p) \|e\|^p = \lambda \int_\Omega f(x, t_\lambda e) e dx + t_\lambda^{p^*-1} \int_\Omega e^{p^*} dx;$$

thus

$$g(\|t_\lambda e\|^p)\|t_\lambda e\|^p = \lambda t_\lambda \int_\Omega f(x, t_\lambda e) e \, dx + t_\lambda^{p^*} \int_\Omega e^{p^*} \, dx. \quad (2.7)$$

By (2.4), it follows that

$$\frac{C_0}{\sigma} \|e\|^{p/\sigma} t_\lambda^{p/\sigma} \geq t_\lambda^{p^*} \int_\Omega e^{p^*} \, dx, \quad \text{with } t_0 < t_\lambda.$$

Since  $p/\sigma < p^*$ ,  $(t_\lambda)$  is bounded. So, there exists a sequence  $\lambda_n \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $s_0 \geq 0$  such that  $t_{\lambda_n} \rightarrow s_0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$g(\|t_{\lambda_n} e\|^p)\|t_{\lambda_n} e\|^p \leq C \quad \text{for all } n;$$

that is,

$$\lambda_n t_{\lambda_n} \int_\Omega f(x, t_{\lambda_n} e) e \, dx + t_{\lambda_n}^{p^*} \int_\Omega e^{p^*} \, dx \leq C \quad \text{for all } n.$$

If  $s_0 > 0$ , the above inequality implies that

$$\lambda_n t_{\lambda_n} \int_\Omega f(x, t_{\lambda_n} e) e \, dx + t_{\lambda_n}^{p^*} \int_\Omega e^{p^*} \, dx \rightarrow +\infty \leq C, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which is impossible, and consequently  $s_0 = 0$ . Let  $\gamma_*(t) = te$ . Clearly  $\gamma_* \in \Gamma$ , thus

$$0 < c_* \leq \max_{t \geq 0} I(\gamma_*(t)) = I(t_\lambda e) \leq \frac{1}{p} G(\|t_\lambda e\|^p).$$

Since  $t_{\lambda_n} \rightarrow 0$  and  $(\frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{p^*})(\alpha_0 S_*)^{N/p} > 0$ , for  $\lambda > 0$  sufficiently large, we have

$$\frac{1}{p} G(\|t_\lambda e\|^p) < (\frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{p^*})(\alpha_0 S_*)^{N/p},$$

and hence

$$0 < c_* < (\frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{p^*})(\alpha_0 S_*)^{N/p}.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* From Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3, there exists a sequence  $(u_n) \subset W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that

$$I(u_n) \rightarrow c_* \quad \text{and} \quad I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.8)$$

with  $c_* \in (0, (\frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{p^*})(\alpha_0 S_*)^{N/p})$  for  $\lambda \geq \lambda_*$ . Then, there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $|I(u_n)| \leq C$ , and by (F3) for  $n$  large enough, it follows from (G1) and (G2) that

$$\begin{aligned} C + \|u_n\| &\geq I(u_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} \langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} G(\|u\|^p) - \frac{1}{\theta} g(\|u_n\|^p) \|u_n\|^p \\ &\geq (\frac{\sigma}{p} - \frac{1}{\theta}) \alpha_0 \|u_n\|^p. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Since  $\theta > p/\sigma$ ,  $(u_n)$  is bounded. Hence, up to a subsequence, we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n &\rightharpoonup u \quad \text{weakly in } W_0^{1,p}(\Omega), \\ u_n &\rightarrow u \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \\ u_n &\rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^s(\Omega), \quad 1 \leq s < p^*, \\ |\nabla u_n|^p &\rightharpoonup \mu \quad (\text{weak* -sense of measures}) \\ |u_n|^{p^*} &\rightharpoonup \nu \quad (\text{weak* -sense of measures}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are a nonnegative bounded measures on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Then, by concentration-compactness principle due to Lions [13], there exists some at most countable index set  $J$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= |u|^{p^*} + \sum_{j \in J} \nu_j \delta_{x_j}, \quad \nu_j > 0, \\ \mu &\geq |\nabla u|^p + \sum_{j \in J} \mu_j \delta_{x_j}, \quad \mu_j > 0, \\ S_* \nu_j^{p/p^*} &\leq \mu_j, \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where  $\delta_{x_j}$  is the Dirac measure mass at  $x_j \in \bar{\Omega}$ .

Let  $\psi(x) \in C_0^\infty$  such that  $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ ,

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| < 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \geq 2 \end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

and  $|\nabla \psi|_\infty \leq 2$ .

For  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $j \in J$ , denote  $\psi_\varepsilon^j(x) = \psi((x - x_j)/\varepsilon)$ . Since  $I'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  and  $(\psi_\varepsilon^j u_n)$  is bounded,  $\langle I'(u_n), \psi_\varepsilon^j u_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; that is,

$$\begin{aligned} &g(\|u_n\|^p) \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^p \psi_\varepsilon^j dx \\ &= -g(\|u_n\|^p) \int_\Omega u_n |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \psi_\varepsilon^j dx \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_\Omega f(x, u_n) u_n \psi_\varepsilon^j dx + \int_\Omega |u_n|^{p^*} \psi_\varepsilon^j dx + o_n(1). \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

By (2.10) and Vitali's theorem, we see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_\Omega |u_n \nabla \psi_\varepsilon^j|^p dx = \int_\Omega |u \nabla \psi_\varepsilon^j|^p dx$$

Hence, by Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_\Omega u_n |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla \psi_\varepsilon^j dx \right| \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^p dx \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_\Omega |u_n \nabla \psi_\varepsilon^j|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C_1 \left( \int_{B(x_j, 2\varepsilon)} |u|^p |\nabla \psi_\varepsilon^j|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C_1 \left( \int_{B(x_j, 2\varepsilon)} |\nabla \psi_\varepsilon^j|^N dx \right)^{1/N} \left( \int_{B(x_j, 2\varepsilon)} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{1/p^*} \\ &\leq C_2 \left( \int_{B(x_j, 2\varepsilon)} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{1/p^*} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

On the other hand, from (2.10) we have

$$f(x, u_n) u_n \rightarrow f(x, u) u \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega,$$

and  $u_n \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $L^p(\Omega)$  and in  $L^q(\Omega)$ . By (F1)–(F3), for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $C_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$|f(x, t)| \leq \varepsilon |t|^{p-1} + C_\varepsilon |t|^{q-1} \quad \text{for all } (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}; \tag{2.15}$$

thus

$$|f(x, u_n)u_n| \leq \varepsilon|u_n|^p + C_\varepsilon|u_n|^q.$$

This is what we need to apply Vitali's theorem, which yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n)u_n dx = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)u dx.$$

Since  $\psi_\varepsilon^j$  has compact support, letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.13) we deduce from (2.10) and (2.14) that

$$\alpha_0 \int_{\Omega} \psi_\varepsilon^j d\mu \leq C_2 \left( \int_{B(x_j, 2\varepsilon)} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{1/p^*} + \lambda \int_{B(x_j, 2\varepsilon)} f(x, u)u dx + \int_{\Omega} \psi_\varepsilon^j d\nu.$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain  $\alpha_0 \mu_j \leq \nu_j$ . Therefore,

$$(\alpha_0 S_*)^{N/p} \leq \nu_j. \quad (2.16)$$

We will prove that this inequality is not possible. Let us assume that  $(\alpha_0 S_*)^{N/p} \leq \nu_{j_0}$  for some  $j_0 \in J$ . From (G2) we see that

$$\frac{1}{p} G(\|u_n\|^p) - \frac{1}{\theta} g(\|u_n\|^p) \|u_n\|^p \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Since

$$c_* = I(u_n) - \frac{1}{\theta} \langle I'(u_n), u_n \rangle + o_n(1),$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} c_* &\geq \left( \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p^*} dx + o_n(1) \\ &\geq \left( \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \int_{\Omega} \psi_\varepsilon^{j_0} |u_n|^{p^*} dx + o_n(1) \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$c_* \geq \left( \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) \sum_{j \in J} \psi_\varepsilon^{j_0}(x_j) \nu_j \geq \left( \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{p^*} \right) (\alpha_0 S_*)^{N/p}.$$

This contradicts Lemma 2.3. Then  $J = \emptyset$ , and hence  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $L^{p^*}(\Omega)$ . By (2.15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |f(x, u_n)(u_n - u)| dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} (\varepsilon|u_n|^{p-1} + C_\varepsilon|u_n|^{q-1})|u_n - u| dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^p dx \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n - u|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\quad + C_\varepsilon \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^q dx \right)^{(q-1)/q} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n - u|^q dx \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using again (2.10), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n)(u_n - u) dx = 0. \quad (2.17)$$

Since  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $L^{p^*}(\Omega)$ , we see that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p^*-2} u_n (u_n - u) dx = 0. \quad (2.18)$$

From  $\langle I'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle = o_n(1)$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle &= g(\|u_n\|^p) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla (u_n - u) dx \\ &\quad - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n)(u_n - u) dx - \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p^*-2} u_n (u_n - u) dx = o_n(1) \end{aligned}$$

This, (2.17) and (2.18) imply

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(\|u_n\|^p) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla (u_n - u) dx = 0.$$

Since  $u_n$  is bounded and  $g$  is continuous, up to subsequence, there is  $t_0 \geq 0$  such that

$$g(\|u_n\|^p) \rightarrow g(t_0^p) \geq \alpha_0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla (u_n - u) dx = 0.$$

Thus by the  $(S_+)$  property,  $u_n \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , and hence  $I'(u) = 0$ . The proof is complete.  $\square$

### 3. A SPECIAL CASE

We consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\left(\alpha + \beta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx\right) \Delta_p u &= \lambda f(x, u) + |u|^{p^*-2} u \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded smooth domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $1 < p < N < 2p$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are a positive constants.

Set  $g(t) = \alpha + \beta t$ . Then,  $g(t) \geq \alpha$  and

$$G(t) = \int_0^1 g(s) ds = \alpha t + \frac{1}{2} \beta t^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} (\alpha + \beta t) t = \sigma g(t) t$$

where  $\sigma = 1/2$ . Hence the conditions (G1) and (G2) are satisfied.

For this case, a typical example of a function satisfying the conditions (F1)–(F3) is given by

$$f(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^k a_i(x) |t|^{q_i-2} t,$$

where  $k \geq 1$ ,  $2p < q_i < p^*$  and  $a_i(x) \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ . In view of Theorem 1.1, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Suppose that (F1)–(F3) hold. Then, there exists  $\lambda_* > 0$ , such that problem (3.1) has a nontrivial solution for all  $\lambda \geq \lambda_*$ .*

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. G. Azorero, I. P. Alonso; *Multiplicity of solutions for elliptic problems with critical exponent or with a non symmetric term*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, 323, 2 (1991), 877-895.
- [2] C. O. Alves, F. J. S. A. Corrêa, G. M. Figueiredo; *On a class of nonlocal elliptic problems with critical growth*, Differential Equation and Applications, 2, 3 (2010), 409-417.
- [3] C. O. Alves, F. J. S. A. Corrêa, T. F. Ma; *Positive solutions for a quasilinear elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type*, Comput. Math. Appl, 49 (2005), no. 1, 85-93.
- [4] A. Arosio, S. Pannizi; *On the well-posedness of the Kirchhoff string*, Trans. Amer. Math.Soc, 348 (1996) 305-330.
- [5] H. Brezis, L. Nirenberg; *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math, 36 (1983) 437-477.
- [6] M. M. Cavalcanti, V. N. Cavacanti, J. A. Soriano; *Global existence and uniform decay rates for the Kirchhoff-Carrier equation with nonlinear dissipation*, Adv. Differential Equations, 6 (2001), 701-730.
- [7] F. J. S. A. Corrêa, G. M. Figueiredo; *On a elliptic equation of  $p$ -Kirchhoff type via variational methods*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc, 74, 2 (2006), 263-277.
- [8] F. J. S. A. Corrêa, R. G. Nascimento; *On a nonlocal elliptic system of  $p$ -Kirchhoff type under Neumann boundary condition*, Mathematical and Computer Modelling (2008), doi:10.1016/j.mcm.2008.03.013.
- [9] P. Drábek, Y. X. Huang; *Multiplicity of positive solutions for some quasilinear elliptic equation in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with critical Sobolev exponent*, J. Differential Equations, 140 (1997), 106-132.
- [10] G. M. Figueiredo, M. F. Furtado; *Positive solutions for some quasilinear equations with critical and supercritical growth* Nonlinear Anal, 66 (2007), 1600-1616.
- [11] G. Kirchhoff; *Mechanik*, Teubner, Leipzig, 1883.
- [12] J. L. Lions; *On some questions in boundary value problems of mathematical physics*, International Symposium on Continuum Mechanics and Partial Differential Equations, Rio de Janeiro, 1977, Mathematics Studies, vol. 30, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1978), 284-346.
- [13] P. L. Lions; *The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations*, The limit case, part 1, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 1 (1985), 145-201.
- [14] T. F. Ma; *Remarks on an elliptic equation of Kirchhoff type*, Nolinear Anal, 63, 5-7 (2005), 1967-1977.
- [15] K. Perera, Z. Zhang; *Nontrivial solutions of Kirchhoff type problems via the Yang index*, J. Differential Equations, 221 (2006), 246-255.

AHMED HAMYDY

UNIVERSITY MOHAMED I, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OUJDA, MOROCCO

*E-mail address:* a.hamydy@yahoo.fr

MOHAMMED MASSAR

UNIVERSITY MOHAMED I, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OUJDA, MOROCCO

*E-mail address:* massarmed@hotmail.com

NAJIB TSOULI

UNIVERSITY MOHAMED I, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OUJDA, MOROCCO

*E-mail address:* tsouli@hotmail.com