

A Method to Estimate the Degree of C^0 -Sufficiency of Analytic Functions

Carles Bivià-Ausina

CONTENTS

- 1. Introduction
- 2. C^0 -Sufficiency of Jets and the Integral Closure of an Ideal
- 3. The Integral Closure and the Multiplicity of an Ideal
- Acknowledgements
- References

In this paper we develop a method for an estimation of the degree of C^0 -sufficiency of an analytic function germ $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$. The difference between the estimation we give and the degree of C^0 -sufficiency of f will be ≤ 1 , by virtue of the results of Chang-Lu and Bochnak-Kucharz.

1. INTRODUCTION

The problem of determining the degree of C^0 -sufficiency $s(f)$ of a given analytic function germ $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is well known in singularity theory. There is some previous work which gives an upper estimate for this number (see Section 2 for its definition). For instance, in the paper [Lichtin 81], the case $n = 2$ is considered. In the paper [Fukui 91], an estimate for the degree of C^0 -sufficiency of Newton non-degenerate function germs (in the sense of [Kouchnirenko 76]) is obtained for any n , thus generalizing the cited work of Lichtin.

In this paper, we use some facts from commutative algebra, particularly from multiplicity theory, to give a method providing an estimation for $s(f)$, where $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is any analytic function germ with an isolated singularity at the origin. The number thus obtained differs from $s(f)$ at most by one unit.

As we shall see, the characterization of $s(f)$ using Lojasiewicz type inequalities given by the results of [Chang and Lu 73] and [Bochnak and Kucharz 79] will play a fundamental role in our approach. The link between the algebraic tools we use and the language of Lojasiewicz type inequalities comes from [Lejeune and Teissier 74] characterizing the integral closure of an ideal in the ring \mathcal{O}_n of analytic function germs $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (see Theorem 2.4).

2000 AMS Subject Classification: Primary 58A20; Secondary 13H15

Keywords: Integral closure of ideals, Lojasiewicz inequalities, C^0 -sufficiency of jets

2. C^0 -SUFFICIENCY OF JETS AND THE INTEGRAL CLOSURE OF AN IDEAL

Let x_1, \dots, x_n be a coordinate system in \mathbb{C}^n that shall be fixed throughout the text. If $k = (k_1, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, where \mathbb{N} is the set of nonnegative integers, then we denote by x^k the monomial $x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_n^{k_n}$. Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be an analytic function germ and let $f(x) = \sum_k a_k x^k$ be the Taylor expansion of f near the origin. If r is a positive integer then the r -jet of f is defined as the polynomial $j^r f(x) = \sum_{|k| \leq r} a_k x^k$, where $|k| = k_1 + \cdots + k_n$.

Definition 2.1. We say that the r -jet $j^r f$ is C^0 -sufficient if, for each analytic map germ $g : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ such that $j^r g(x) = j^r f(x)$, there exists a germ of homeomorphism $\varphi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ such that $f = g \circ \varphi$. We call *degree of C^0 -sufficiency of f* , denoted by $s(f)$, the least $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $j^r f$ is C^0 -sufficient.

If $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$, let us denote by $J(f)$ the ideal of \mathcal{O}_n generated by the partial derivatives of f and by $\text{grad } f$ the map germ $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ given by

$$\text{grad } f = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right).$$

The next theorem is a nice characterization of the notion of C^0 -sufficiency.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be an analytic map germ, then the r -jet of f is C^0 -sufficient if and only if there exist some $C, \delta > 0$ such that*

$$|x|^{r-\delta} \leq C |(\text{grad } f)(x)|,$$

for all x in some open neighbourhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^n .

The *if part* of the above theorem is proved in [Chang and Lu 73] and the *only if part* is proved in [Bochnak and Kucharz 79].

In the hypotheses of Theorem 2.2, we denote by $\alpha_0(f)$ the greatest upper bound of those $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$|x|^\alpha \leq C |(\text{grad } f)(x)|,$$

for all x in some open neighbourhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^n and some constant $C > 0$. This number exists by [Łojasiewicz 76, p. 136] and it is a rational number by [Risler 74]. Therefore, $s(f) = [\alpha_0(f)] + 1$, where $[a]$ denotes the greatest integer $\leq a$.

Now, we are going to give the definition of integral closure of an ideal. As we shall see, this concept is related to the type of inequalities shown above.

Definition 2.3. Given a noetherian ring R and an ideal $I \subseteq R$, we say that $h \in R$ is *integral over I* when h satisfies a relation of the form $h^m + a_1 h^{m-1} + \cdots + a_{m-1} h + a_m = 0$, where $m \geq 1$ and $a_i \in I^i$, for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. The set of those elements which are integral over I forms an ideal $\bar{I} \subseteq R$ called *the integral closure of I* .

Obviously, we have $I \subseteq \bar{I}$. When the equality $I = \bar{I}$ holds, the ideal I is said to be *integrally closed*. The integral closure of an ideal can be characterized in analytical terms, as the following theorem shows.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$ be an ideal and $h \in \mathcal{O}_n$. Let g_1, \dots, g_s be a system of generators of I . Then $h \in \bar{I}$ if and only if there exists a constant $C > 0$ and an open neighbourhood U of 0 in \mathbb{C}^n such that*

$$|h(x)| \leq C \sup\{|g_i(x)| : i = 1, \dots, s\},$$

for all $x \in U$ [Lejeune and Teissier 74, p. 602].

The above result can also be found in [Teissier 81, p. 338]. We recall that an ideal I in a local ring (R, m) is said to be m -primary, where m is the maximal ideal of R , when there exists some $\ell \geq 1$ such that $m^\ell \subseteq I$. If m_n denotes the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_n , then an ideal $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$ is m_n -primary if and only if $V(I) = \{0\}$, where $V(I)$ is the zero set of I . In turn, this is equivalent to saying that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_n/I < \infty$ ([Eisenbud 94, p. 74]). We shall refer to the number $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_n/I$ as the *codimension of I* . Given an m_n -primary ideal $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$, we set $\alpha(I) = \min\{\ell \geq 1 : m^\ell \subseteq I\}$.

If $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is an analytic function germ with an isolated singularity at the origin (which means that $J(f)$ is an m_n -primary ideal), then $\alpha(J(f))$ is the least integer greater than or equal to $\alpha_0(f)$, by Theorem 2.4. Hence, if $\alpha_0(f) \notin \mathbb{N}$, then $s(f) = \alpha(J(f))$ and, if $\alpha_0(f) \in \mathbb{N}$, then $s(f) = \alpha(J(f)) + 1$. Therefore the number $\alpha(J(f)) + 1$ gives an estimate of $s(f)$ differing from $s(f)$ at most by 1. The next section is devoted to computing $\alpha(I)$, for an arbitrary m_n -primary ideal in \mathcal{O}_n . This computation can be done, for instance, using the program ‘‘Singular’’ [Greuel et al. 98].

3. THE INTEGRAL CLOSURE AND THE MULTIPLICITY OF AN IDEAL

The integral closure of an ideal is a notion closely related to the concepts of multiplicity and reduction of an ideal. Given two ideals $J \subseteq I$ in a local ring (R, m) , we say that J is a *reduction* of I when there exists an integer

$r \geq 1$ such that $I^{r+1} = JI^r$. If I is an m -primary ideal of R , then we denote by $e(I)$ the *multiplicity* of I in the Hilbert-Samuel sense, this number can be seen as follows ([Matsumura 86, p. 107]):

$$e(I) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d!}{n^d} \ell(R/I^n),$$

where $d = \dim R$ (the Krull dimension of R) and $\ell(R/I^n)$ denotes the length of R/I^n as an R -module, for all $n \geq 0$. Suppose that $J \subseteq I$ is another m -primary ideal, then we observe that $e(J) \geq e(I)$.

A ring R is said to be *equidimensional* if $\dim R = \dim R/P$, for every minimal prime ideal P of R (see [Eisenbud 94, p. 458]). The following result is known as Rees' theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let R be an equidimensional local ring and let $J \subseteq I \subseteq R$ be a pair of m -primary ideals of R , then the following statements are equivalent: [Rees 61]*

- (1) J is a reduction of I ;
- (2) $\bar{I} = \bar{J}$;
- (3) $e(I) = e(J)$.

We have the following immediate consequence of the above theorem.

Corollary 3.2. *Let I be an m -primary ideal of R and $h \in R$. Then $h \in \bar{I}$ if and only if $e(I) = e(I + hR)$.*

The following theorem, the proof of which can be found in [Matsumura 86, p. 112] and [Northcott and Rees 54, p. 153], is essential in order to apply computational methods to obtain the number $\alpha(I)$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_s \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$ be an m_n -primary ideal of \mathcal{O}_n . Then there exists a Zariski open set $W \subseteq \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^n$ such that whenever $(a_{11}, \dots, a_{1s}, \dots, a_{n1}, \dots, a_{ns})$ is an element of W , then the ideal of \mathcal{O}_n generated by $h_i = \sum_j a_{ij}g_j$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, is a reduction of I .*

If $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_s \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$ is an ideal and $a = (a_{11}, \dots, a_{1s}, \dots, a_{n1}, \dots, a_{ns}) \in \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^n$, then we denote by $I(a)$ the ideal of \mathcal{O}_n generated by $h_i = \sum_j a_{ij}g_j$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_s \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$ be a m_n -primary ideal of \mathcal{O}_n . Then there exists a Zariski open*

set $W \subseteq \mathbb{C}^s \times \mathbb{C}^n$ such that whenever $a \in W$, then

$$e(I) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_n}{I(a)}.$$

Proof: If W is the Zariski open set given in Theorem 3.3, then $I(a)$ is a reduction of I , for all $a \in W$, and by Theorem 3.1 both ideals have the same multiplicity. But the multiplicity of $I(a)$ is equal to its codimension $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_n/I(a)$, since it is generated by n elements in \mathcal{O}_n (see Theorem 17.11 of [Matsumura 86]). \square

By the above corollary, if $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_s \rangle$ is an m_n -primary ideal in \mathcal{O}_n , the multiplicity of I is the minimum value of the codimensions $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_n/J$ of those ideals J generated by n general linear combinations of g_1, \dots, g_s . It is worth to remark that $e(I) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_n/I$ and that the equality holds if and only if I is generated by n elements.

Definition 3.5. If $g(x) = \sum_k a_k x^k \in \mathcal{O}_n$, the *support* of g , denoted by $\text{supp}(g)$, is the set of those $k \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $a_k \neq 0$. Given any set $S \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$, we define the *support* of S as the union of the supports of the elements belonging to S and we denote this set by $\text{supp}(S)$. The *Newton polyhedron* of S is defined as the convex hull in \mathbb{R}_+^n of $\{k + v : k \in \text{supp}(S), v \in \mathbb{R}_+^n\}$, where $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, +\infty[$. If I is any ideal of \mathcal{O}_n , it is easy to check that $\Gamma_+(I)$ is equal to the convex hull of $\Gamma_+(g_1) \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_+(g_s)$, where g_1, \dots, g_s is any finite generating system of I .

If $J \subseteq I$ are two ideals in \mathcal{O}_n , then we observe that $\Gamma_+(J) \subseteq \Gamma_+(I)$. Hence, if I is an m_n -primary ideal of \mathcal{O}_n then $\Gamma_+(I)$ intersects all the coordinate axis in \mathbb{R}^n , since there is some power of m_n contained in I .

We say that an ideal I of \mathcal{O}_n is *monomial* when it is generated by monomials $x^k = x_1^{k_1} \dots x_n^{k_n}$, $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$ be a monomial ideal, then \bar{I} is equal to the ideal generated by those monomials x^k such that $k \in \Gamma_+(I)$. [Eisenbud 94, p. 141]*

Corollary 3.7. *Let $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$ be any ideal, then $\Gamma_+(I) = \Gamma_+(\bar{I})$.*

Proof: It is obvious that $I \subseteq \bar{I}$. Let I_0 be the ideal generated by those monomials x^k such that $k \in \Gamma_+(I)$. Then, we have that $\Gamma_+(I_0) = \Gamma_+(\bar{I}_0)$, by Lemma 3.6. In particular, it follows that

$$\Gamma_+(I) \subseteq \Gamma_+(\bar{I}) \subseteq \Gamma_+(\bar{I}_0) = \Gamma_+(I_0) = \Gamma_+(I).$$

\square

We will denote by K_I the ideal of \mathcal{O}_n generated by those monomials x^k belonging to \bar{I} . Observe that this is an integrally closed ideal, by Lemma 3.6. We also denote by e_1, \dots, e_n the canonical basis in \mathbb{R}^n .

Lemma 3.8. *Let $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$ be an m_n -primary ideal of \mathcal{O}_n and let $\alpha_i = \min\{\alpha > 0 : \alpha e_i \in \Gamma_+(K_I)\}$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\alpha(I) = \max\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$.*

Proof: Let $r = \max\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, if $m_n^\ell \subseteq \bar{I}$, for some $\ell \geq 1$, then $\ell \geq \alpha_i$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. In particular, we have that $\ell \geq r$. On the other hand, the set of all monomials x^k whose exponents are in the Newton polyhedron determined by $x_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_n^{\alpha_n}$, belong to K_I , by Lemma 3.6. In particular, this means that $m_n^r \subseteq \bar{I}$ and that $r \geq \alpha(I)$. \square

Given an m_n -primary ideal $I = \langle g_1, \dots, g_s \rangle \subseteq \mathcal{O}_n$ and an element $h \in \mathcal{O}_n$, we shall denote by $e(I, h)$ the multiplicity of the ideal generated by g_1, \dots, g_s, h . We also define the vector $\beta(I) = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$, where $\beta_i = \min\{\beta > 0 : \beta e_i \in \Gamma_+(I)\}$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

We now describe an algorithm to determine the number $\alpha(I)$:

- (1) First, we compute $e(I)$ as follows. If $s = n$, then $e(I) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_n/I$. If $s > n$, then we compute the codimension of the ideal generated by n generic linear combinations of g_1, \dots, g_s using the library LIB"random.lib" of Singular, thus obtaining $e(I)$, by Corollary 3.4.
- (2) Consider the vector $\beta(I) = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$. Let us fix an index $i = 1, \dots, n$.
- (3) We compute $e(I, x_i^{\beta_i})$ as in item (1).
- (4) We know that $e(I) \geq e(I, x_i^{\beta_i})$. If $e(I) = e(I, x_i^{\beta_i})$, we set $\alpha_i = \beta_i$. Otherwise, we compute $e(I, x_i^{\beta_i+1})$.
- (5) If $e(I) = e(I, x_i^{\beta_i+1})$ then we define $\alpha_i = \beta_i + 1$. Otherwise, we apply the same process to $\beta_i + 2$.
- (6) Since the ideal K_I is also an m_n -primary ideal, the Newton polyhedron of K_I intersects all the coordinate axis. Then, this process stops and we obtain the number $\alpha_i = \min\{\alpha > 0 : \alpha e_i \in \Gamma_+(K_I)\} = \min\{\alpha > 0 : e(I) = e(I, x_i^\alpha)\}$.
- (7) We compute the number α_i , for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, following items (3)-(6).
- (8) Finally, $\alpha(I) = \max\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$, by Lemma 3.8.

In the next example, we apply the above ideas to our initial objective, that is, the one of giving a sharp upper estimate to the degree of C^0 -sufficiency of an arbitrary function germ $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ with an isolated singularity at the origin.

Example 3.9. Consider the map germ $f : (\mathbb{C}^3, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ given by $f(x, y, z) = x^8 + y^7 + xz^5 + yz^3 + (xy^2 - x^2y)^2$. It is easy to check that f has an isolated singularity at the origin and that $\beta(J(f)) = (7, 6, 3)$. On the other hand, using "Singular," the Milnor number of f is $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_n/J(f) = 79$. If we apply the process described above, we find that $x^7, y^6 \in \overline{J(f)}$, $z^3 \notin \overline{J(f)}$ and $z^4 \in \overline{J(f)}$. Then $\alpha(J(f)) = 7$ and this means that $j^8 f$ is C^0 -sufficient (see the comment before Section 3).

For the sake of completeness, we also give the explicit computations that have lead us to state that $z^3 \notin \overline{J(f)}$:

```
>ring R= 0, (x,y,z), ds;
>poly f=x8+y7+xz5+yz3+(xy2-x2y)^2;
>ideal I=jacob(f);
>LIB"random.lib";
>ideal A=I, z3;
>ideal B=randomid(A,3);
>ideal C=std(B);
>vdim (C);
>77
```

We see that $e(J(f), z^3) = 77 < 79 = e(J(f))$, then $z^3 \notin \overline{J(f)}$ by Corollary 3.2. Analogously we also conclude that $e(J(f), z^3) = 79$, so $z^4 \in \overline{J(f)}$.

Remark 3.10. The map given in Example 3.9 is not Newton non-degenerate in the sense of [Kouchnirenko 76]; therefore the result in [Fukui 91] on the estimation of $\alpha_0(f)$ can not be applied in this case. Moreover, the described method to compute $\alpha(J(f)) + 1$ can be used as a tool to test the sharpness of other results estimating the number $\alpha_0(f)$.

By the papers [Kuo 69] and [Kuiper 72], the *if part* of Theorem 2.2 also holds for real analytic function germs (see [Bochnak and Łojasiewicz 71] for the version of Theorem 2.2 for real variables). If $f : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, 0)$ is analytic, we can complexify the coordinates in \mathbb{R}^n in order to obtain a complex analytic function germ $f_{\mathbb{C}} : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$.

Suppose that $f_{\mathbb{C}}$ defines an isolated singularity at the origin. Then the number $\alpha(J(f_{\mathbb{C}})) + 1$ is also an upper bound for the degree of C^0 -sufficiency of f .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Prof. G. M. Greuel for his helpful comments. This work was supported by DGICYT, Grant BFM 2000-1110.

REFERENCES

- [Bochnak and Kucharz 79] J. Bochnak and W. Kucharz. “Sur les germes d’applications différentiables à singularités isolées.” *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **252** (1979), 115–131.
- [Bochnak and Lojasiewicz 71] J. Bochnak and S. Lojasiewicz. “A converse of the Kuiper-Kuo theorem.” In *Proceedings of the Liverpool Singularities Symposium, I (1969/70)*, Lecture Notes in Math. 192, pp. 254–261, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [Chang and Lu 73] S. H. Chang and Y. C. Lu. “On C^0 -sufficiency of complex jets.” *Canad. J. Math.* **25** (1973), 874–880.
- [Eisenbud 94] Eisenbud, D. *Commutative algebra with a view toward algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994.
- [Fukui 91] T. Fukui. “Lojasiewicz type inequalities and Newton diagrams.” *Proc. of the Amer. Math. Soc.* **114:4** (1991), 1169–1183.
- [Greuel et al. 98] G. M. Greuel, G. Pfister, and H. Schönemann. “Singular version 1.2 User Manual.” In *Reports On Computer Algebra*, **21**, Centre for Computer Algebra, University of Kaiserslautern, June 1998. <http://www.mathematik.uni-kl.de/~zca/Singular>
- [Kouchnirenko 76] A. G. Kouchnirenko. “Polyèdres de Newton et nombres de Milnor.” *Invent. Math.* **32** (1976), 1–31.
- [Kuiper 72] N. Kuiper. “ C^1 -equivalence of functions near isolated critical points.” Sympos. Infinite Dimensional Topology (Baton Rouge 1967), *Ann. of Math. Studies* **69**, pp. 199–218 Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1972
- [Kuo 69] C. T. Kuo. “On C^0 -sufficiency of jets of potential functions.” *Topology* **8** (1969), 167–171.
- [Lejeune and Teissier 74] M. Lejeune and B. Teissier. *Clôture intégrale des idéaux et equisingularité*, Centre de Mathématiques, Université Scientifique et Médicale de Grenoble, 1974.
- [Lichtin 81] B. Lichtin. “Estimation of Lojasiewicz exponents and Newton polygons.” *Invent. Math.* **64** (1981), 417–429.
- [Lojasiewicz 76] S. Lojasiewicz. *Ensembles semi-analytiques*, IHES, 1965.
- [Matsumura 86] H. Matsumura. *Commutative ring theory. Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics* 8, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1986.
- [Northcott and Rees 54] D. G. Northcott and D. Rees. “Reductions of ideals in local rings.” *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.* **50** (1954), 145–158.
- [Rees 61] D. Rees. “ \mathfrak{a} -transforms of local rings and a theorem on multiplicities of ideals.” *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **57** (1961), 8–17.
- [Risler 74] J. J. Risler. “Les exposants de Lojasiewicz dans le cas analytique réel.” appendix to [Lejeune and Teissier 74].
- [Teissier 81] B. Teissier. “Variétés polaires II. Multiplicités polaires, sections planes et conditions de Whitney.” In *Algebraic Geometry, Proceedings La Rábida 1981*, Lecture Notes in Math. 961, pp. 314–491, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.

Carles Bivià-Ausina, Departament de Geometria i Topologia, Universitat de València, Campus de Burjassot, 46100 Burjassot (València), Spain (Carles.Bivia@uv.es)

Received January 18, 2001; accepted July 31, 2001.