

On certain subclass of meromorphic p-valent functions with negative coefficients ¹

M. K. Aouf

Abstract

A certain subclass $B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$ consisting of meromorphic p-valent functions with negative coefficients in $U^* = \{z : z \in C \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\}$ is introduced. In this paper we obtain coefficient inequalities, distortion theorem, closure theorems and class preserving integral operators for functions in the class $B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

Key words and phrases: Regular, Meromorphic, Starlike, Integral operator.

1 Introduction

Let Σ_p denote the class of functions of the form :

$$(1) \quad f(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_{-p} \neq 0; p \in N = \{1, 2, \dots\})$$

¹Received 23 October, 2008

Accepted for publication (in revised form) 11 November, 2008

which are regular in the punctured disc $U^* = \{z : z \in C \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\} = U \setminus \{0\}$. For a function $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$, we define the following differential operator:

$$(2) \quad D_{\lambda,p}^0 f(z) = f(z),$$

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{\lambda,p}^1 f(z) &= (1 - \lambda)f(z) + \frac{\lambda}{z^p}(z^{p+1}f(z))' \quad (\lambda \geq 0; p \in N) \\ &= \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)] a_k z^k, \end{aligned}$$

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{\lambda,p}^2 f(z) &= D(D_{\lambda,p}^1 f(z)) \\ &= \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^2 a_k z^k, \end{aligned}$$

and for $n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z) &= D(D_{\lambda,p}^{n-1} f(z)) \\ &= \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n a_k z^k. \end{aligned}$$

Also we can write $D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z)$ as follows :

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z) &= (f * \left\{ \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n z^k \right\})(z) \\ &= (f * \phi_{n,\lambda}^p)(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi_{n,\lambda}^p(z) = \frac{1}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n z^k$ and (\star) denotes convolution.

In [1] Aouf and Hossen obtained new criteria for meromorphic p -valent starlike functions of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < p$) via the basic inclusion relationship $B_{n+1}(\alpha) \subset B_n(\alpha)$ ($0 \leq \alpha < p, n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}, p \in N$), where $B_n(\alpha)$ is the class consisting of functions in Σ_p satisfying

$$(7) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - (p+1) \right\} < -\alpha \quad (z \in U^*; 0 \leq \alpha < p; p \in N; n \in N_0).$$

We note that $B_0(\alpha) = \Sigma_p^*(\alpha)$ (the class of meromorphic p -valent starlike functions of order α).

Let σ_p denote the subclass of Σ_p consisting of functions of the form :

$$(8) \quad f(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_{-p} > 0; a_k \geq 0; p \in N).$$

With the aid of the differential operator $D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z)$ we define the class $B_n(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$ as follows :

A function $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ is said to be in the class $B_n(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$ if it satisfies the condition

$$(9) \quad \left| \frac{\frac{D_{\lambda,p}^{n+1} f(z)}{D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z)} - 1}{B \left[\frac{D_{\lambda,p}^{n+1} f(z)}{D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z)} - (p+1) \right] + [pB + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]} \right| < 1 \quad (z \in U^*)$$

for some $0 \leq \alpha < p; \lambda \geq 0; p \in N, n \in N_0, -1 \leq A < B \leq 1$ and $0 < B \leq 1$.

Let us write :

$$B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B) = B_n(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B) \cap \sigma_p .$$

We note that :

(i) $B_n^*(p, \alpha, 0, -1, 1) = \sigma_p(n, \alpha)$ (Darwish [2]);

(ii) $B_0(p, \alpha, -1, 1) = \Sigma_p^*(\alpha) (0 \leq \alpha < p)$;

(iii) $B_0(p, \alpha, 1, -\beta, \beta) = \Sigma_p^*(\alpha, \beta) (0 \leq \alpha < p; 0 < \beta \leq 1)$ is the class of meromorphically p -valent starlike functions of order α and type β ;

(iv) $B_0(\alpha, 1, -\beta, \beta) = \Sigma^*(\alpha, \beta) (0 \leq \alpha < 1; 0 < \beta \leq 1)$ is the class of meromorphically starlike functions of order α and type β (Mogra et al. [3]).

Also we note that:

(i) $B_n(p, \alpha, \lambda, -\beta, \beta) = B_n(p, \alpha, \lambda, \beta)$

$$= \left\{ f(z) \in \Sigma_p : \left| \frac{\frac{D_{\lambda,p}^{n+1} f(z)}{D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z)} - 1}{\frac{D_{\lambda,p}^{n+1} f(z)}{D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z)} + 2\alpha - (2p+1)} \right| < \beta \right. ,$$

$$(10) \quad (z \in U^*, 0 \leq \alpha < p; 0 < \beta \leq 1; \lambda \geq 0; n \in N_0; p \in N) \}.$$

In this paper coefficient inequalities, distortion theorem and closure theorems for the class $B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$ are obtained. Finally, the class preserving integral operators of the form

$$F_{c+p-1}(z) = c \int_0^1 u^{c+p-1} f(uz) du \quad (c > 0)$$

for the class $B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$ is considered. We employ techniques similar to these used earlier by Silverman [4] (see also Srivastava and Owa [5]).

2 Coefficient Inequalities

Theorem 1 *Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (1). If*

$$(11) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{ \lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha) \} |a_k| \\ \leq (B-A)(p-\alpha) |a_{-p}|.$$

Then $f(z) \in B_n(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$.

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$(12) \quad \left| \frac{\frac{D_{\lambda,p}^{n+1} f(z)}{D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z)} - 1}{B \left[\frac{D_{\lambda,p}^{n+1} f(z)}{D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z)} - (p+1) \right] + [pB + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]} \right| < 1 \quad (|z| < 1).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\frac{D_{\lambda,p}^{n+1}f(z)}{D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z)} - 1}{B \frac{D_{\lambda,p}^{n+1}f(z)}{D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z)} + [pB + (A - B)(p - \alpha)]} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \lambda(k+p) a_k z^{k+p}}{(A - B)(p - \alpha) a_{-p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n [\lambda B(k+p) + (A - B)(p - \alpha)] a_k z^{k+p}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \lambda(k+p) |a_k|}{(B - A)(p - \alpha) |a_{-p}| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n [\lambda(k+p)B + (A - B)(p - \alpha)] |a_k|}. \end{aligned}$$

The last expression is bounded by 1 if

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \lambda(k+p) |a_k| &\leq (B - A)(p - \alpha) |a_{-p}| - \\ &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{ \lambda(k+p)B + (A - B)(p - \alpha) \} |a_k| \end{aligned}$$

which reduces to

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n [\lambda(k+p)(1 + B) + (A - B)(p - \alpha)] |a_k| \\ \leq (B - A)(p - \alpha) |a_{-p}|. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 *Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (8). Then $f(z) \in B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$ if and only if*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{ \lambda(k+p)(1 + B) + (A - B)(p - \alpha) \} a_k \leq (B - A)(p - \alpha) a_{-p} .$$

Proof. In view of Theorem 1, it is sufficient to prove the "only if" part. Let us assume that $f(z)$ defined by (8) is in $B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\frac{D_{\lambda,p}^{n+1}f(z)}{D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z)} - 1}{B \left[\frac{D_{\lambda,p}^{n+1}f(z)}{D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z)} - (p+1) \right] + [pB + (A-B)(p-\alpha)]} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \lambda(k+p) a_k z^{k+p}}{(B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{ \lambda(k+p)B + (A-B)(p-\alpha) \} a_k z^{k+p}} \right| \\ &< 1 \quad (z \in U^*). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|Re(z)| \leq |z|$ for all z , we have

$$(14) \quad Re \left\{ \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \lambda(k+p) a_k z^{k+p}}{(B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{ \lambda(k+p)B + (A-B)(p-\alpha) \} a_k} \right\} < 1.$$

Choose values of z on the real axis so that $\frac{D_{\lambda,p}^{n+1}f(z)}{D_{\lambda,p}^n f(z)}$ is real. Upon clearing the denominator in (14) and letting $z \rightarrow 1^-$ through real values, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \lambda(k+p) a_k &\leq (B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p} - \\ &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{ \lambda(k+p)B + (A-B)(p-\alpha) \} a_k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{ \lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha) \} a_k \leq (B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p}.$$

Hence the result follows.

Corollary 1 Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (8) be in the class $B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$. Then

$$(15) \quad a_k \leq \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p}}{[1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{ \lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha) \}} \quad (k \in N).$$

The result is sharp for the functions of the form

$$(16) \quad f_k(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} - \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p}}{[1+\lambda(k+p)]^n \{\lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)\}} z^k \quad (k \in N).$$

3 Distortion Theorem

Theorem 3 Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (8) be in the class $B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$. Then for $0 < |z| = r < 1$,

$$(17) \quad \frac{a_{-p}}{r^p} - \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p}}{[1+\lambda(1+p)]^n \{\lambda(1+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)\}} r \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{a_{-p}}{r^p} + \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p}}{[1+\lambda(1+p)]^n \{\lambda(1+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)\}} r$$

with equality holds for the function

$$(18) \quad f_1(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} - \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p}}{[1+\lambda(1+p)]^n \{\lambda(1+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)\}} z \quad (z = ir, r),$$

and

$$(19) \quad \frac{pa_{-p}}{r^{p+1}} - \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p}}{[1+\lambda(1+p)]^n \{\lambda(1+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)\}} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{pa_{-p}}{r^{p+1}} + \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p}}{[1+\lambda(1+p)]^n \{\lambda(1+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)\}},$$

where equality holds for the function $f_1(z)$ given by (18) at $z = \pm ir, \pm r$.

Proof. In view of Theorem 2, we have

$$(20) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p}}{[1+\lambda(1+p)]^n \{\lambda(1+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)\}}.$$

Thus, for $0 < |z| = r < 1$,

$$(21) \quad |f(z)| \leq \frac{a_{-p}}{r^p} + r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \\ \leq \frac{a_{-p}}{r^p} + \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p}}{[1+\lambda(1+p)]^n \{\lambda(1+p)(1+B)+(A-B)(p-\alpha)\}} r$$

and

$$(22) \quad |f(z)| \geq \frac{a_{-p}}{r^p} - r \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \\ \geq \frac{a_{-p}}{r^p} - \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p}}{[1+\lambda(1+p)]^n \{\lambda(1+p)(1+B)+(A-B)(p-\alpha)\}} r,$$

which, together, yield (17). Furthermore, it follows from Theorem 2 that

$$[1 + \lambda(1 + p)]^n \{ \lambda(1 + p)(1 + B) + (A - B)(p - \alpha) \} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k \leq \\ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(1 + p)]^n \{ \lambda(k + p)(1 + B) + (A - B)(p - \alpha) \} a_k \leq (B - A)(p - \alpha) a_{-p},$$

that is, that

$$(23) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k \leq \frac{(B - A)(p - \alpha) a_{-p}}{[1 + \lambda(1 + p)]^n \{ \lambda(1 + p)(1 + B) + (A - B)(p - \alpha) \}}.$$

Hence

$$(24) \quad |f'(z)| \leq \frac{p a_{-p}}{r^{p+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k r^{k-1} \leq \frac{p a_{-p}}{r^{p+1}} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k \\ \leq \frac{p a_{-p}}{r^{p+1}} + \frac{(B - A)(p - \alpha) a_{-p}}{[1 + \lambda(1 + p)]^n \{ \lambda(1 + p)(1 + B) + (A - B)(p - \alpha) \}}$$

and

$$(25) \quad |f'(z)| \geq \frac{p a_{-p}}{r^{p+1}} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k r^{k-1} \geq \frac{p a_{-p}}{r^{p+1}} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k a_k \\ \geq \frac{p a_{-p}}{r^{p+1}} - \frac{(B - A)(p - \alpha) a_{-p}}{[1 + \lambda(1 + p)]^n \{ \lambda(1 + p)(1 + B) + (A - B)(p - \alpha) \}},$$

which, together, yield (19). It can easily be seen that the function $f_1(z)$ defined by (18) is extremal for Theorem 3.

4 Closure Theorems

Let the functions $f_j(z)$ be defined for $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, by

$$(26) \quad f_j(z) = \frac{a_{-p,j}}{z^p} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^k \quad (a_{-p,j} > 0; a_{k,j} \geq 0; p \in N)$$

for $z \in U^*$.

Theorem 4 *Let the functions $f_j(z)$ be defined by (26) be in the class $B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$ for every $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then the function $F(z)$ defined by*

$$(27) \quad F(z) = \frac{b_{-p}}{z^p} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k z^k \quad (b_{-p} > 0; b_k \geq 0; p \in N)$$

is a member of the class $B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$, where

$$(28) \quad b_{-p} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m a_{-p,j} \quad \text{and} \quad b_k = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m a_{k,j} \quad (k \in N).$$

Proof. Since $f_j(z) \in B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$, it follows from Theorem 2 that

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{ \lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha) \} a_{k,j} \\ & \leq (B-A)(p-\alpha) a_{-p,j}, \end{aligned}$$

for every $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{ \lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha) \} b_k \\ = & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{ \lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha) \} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m a_{k,j} \right\} \\ = & \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{ \lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha) \} a_{k,j} \right) \\ \leq & (B-A)(p-\alpha) \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m a_{-p,j} \right) = (B-A)(p-\alpha) b_{-p}, \end{aligned}$$

which (in view of Theorem 2) implies that $F(z) \in B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$.

Theorem 5 *The class $B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$ is closed under convex linear combination.*

Proof. Let the functions $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) defined by (26) be in the class $B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$, it is sufficient to prove that the function

$$(30) \quad H(z) = tf_1(z) + (1-t)f_2(z) \quad (0 \leq t \leq 1)$$

is also in the class $B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$. Since, for $0 \leq t \leq 1$,

$$(31) \quad H(z) = \frac{ta_{-p,1} + (1-t)a_{-p,2}}{z^p} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{ta_{k,1} + (1-t)a_{k,2}\} z^k,$$

we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (32) \quad & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{ \lambda(k+p)(1+B) \\ & + (A-B)(p-\alpha) \} \{ ta_{k,1} + (1-t)a_{k,2} \} \\ & = t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{ \lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha) \} a_{k,1} + \\ & (1-t) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{ \lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha) \} a_{k,2} \\ & \leq (B-A)(p-\alpha) \{ ta_{-p,1} + (1-t)a_{-p,2} \} \end{aligned}$$

with the aid of Theorem 2. Hence $H(z) \in B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 5.

Theorem 6 *Let*

$$(33) \quad f_0(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p}$$

and

$$(34) \quad f_k(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} - \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p}}{[1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{ \lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha) \}} z^k \quad (k \in N).$$

Then $f(z) \in B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$(35) \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z),$$

where $\mu_k \geq 0 (k \geq 0)$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1$.

Proof. Suppose that

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z),$$

where $\mu_k \geq 0 (k \geq 0)$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k = 1$. Then

$$(36) \quad \begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z) = \mu_0 f_0(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z) \\ &= \frac{a_{-p}}{z^{-p}} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p}}{[1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{\lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)\}} z^k, \\ &\quad (k \in N). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(37) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{\lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)\} \\ &\quad \cdot \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p}}{[1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{\lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)\}}^{\mu_k} \\ &\quad (B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k = (B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p}(1 - \mu_0) \\ &\quad \leq (B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p}, \end{aligned}$$

we have $f(z) \in B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$, by Theorem 2. Conversely, suppose that the function $f(z)$ defined by (8) belongs to the class $B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$. Since

$$(38) \quad a_k \leq \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p}}{[1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{\lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)\}} \quad (k \in N)$$

by Corollary 1, setting

$$(39) \quad \mu_k = \frac{[1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{\lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)\}}{(B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p}} a_k \quad (k \in N)$$

and

$$(40) \quad \mu_0 = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k,$$

it follows that

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k(z).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

5 Integral Operators

In this section we consider integral transforms of functions in the class $B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$.

Theorem 7 *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (8) be in the class $B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$. Then the integral transforms*

$$(41) \quad F_{c+p-1}(z) = c \int_0^1 u^{c+p-1} f(uz) du \quad (c > 0)$$

are in the class $B_n^*(p, \gamma, \lambda, A, B)$, where

$$\gamma = p - \frac{c\lambda(1+p)(1+B)(p-\alpha)}{(c+p+1)[\lambda(1+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] - c(A-B)(p-\alpha)}$$

for $F_{c+p-1}(z) \neq 0 (z \in U^*)$. The result is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$(42) \quad f(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} - \frac{(B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p}}{[1 + \lambda(1+p)]^n \{\lambda(1+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)\}} z.$$

Proof. Suppose $f(z) = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ ($a_{-p} > 0; a_k \geq 0; p \in N$) $\in B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$. Then we have

$$(43) \quad F_{c+p-1}(z) = c \int_0^1 u^{c+p-1} f(uz) du = \frac{a_{-p}}{z^p} - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c}{c+p+k} a_k z^k.$$

Since $f(z) \in B_n^*(p, \alpha, \lambda, A, B)$, we have

$$(44) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{ \lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha) \}}{(B-A)(p-\alpha)a_{-p}} a_k \leq 1.$$

In view of Theorem 2, we shall find the largest γ for which

$$(45) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[1 + \lambda(k+p)]^n \{ \lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\gamma) \}}{(B-A)(p-\gamma)a_{-p}} \left(\frac{c}{c+p+k} \right) a_k \leq 1.$$

It suffices to find the range of values of γ for which

$$\frac{c \{ \lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\gamma) \}}{(c+p+k)(p-\gamma)} \leq \frac{ \{ \lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha) \}}{(p-\alpha)}$$

for each $k \in N$. Form the above inequality, we obtain

$$\gamma \leq p - \frac{c\lambda(k+p)(1+B)(p-\alpha)}{(c+p+k) [\lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] - c(A-B)(p-\alpha)}$$

for each α, p, λ, A and for c fixed, let

$$F(k) = p - \frac{c\lambda(k+p)(1+B)(p-\alpha)}{(c+p+k) [\lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] - c(A-B)(p-\alpha)}.$$

Then

$$F(k+1) - F(k) = \frac{c\lambda^2(1+B)^2(p-\alpha)(k+p)(k+p+1)}{A_1 B_1} > 0$$

for each $k \in N$, where

$$A_1 = (c+p+k) [\lambda(k+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] - c(A-B)(p-\alpha)$$

and

$$B_1 = (c+p+k+1) [\lambda(k+p+1)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] - c(A-B)(p-\alpha) .$$

Hence $F(k)$ is an increasing function of k . Since

$$F(1) = p - \frac{c\lambda(1+p)(1+B)(p-\alpha)}{(c+p+1) [\lambda(1+p)(1+B) + (A-B)(p-\alpha)] - c(A-B)(p-\alpha)} ,$$

the assertion of Theorem 7 follows.

References

- [1] M. K. Aouf, H. M. Hossen, *New criteria for meromorphic p -valent starlike functions*, Tsukuba J. Math. 17, 1993, no. 2, 481-484.
- [2] H. E. Darwish, *Meromorphic p -valent starlike functions with negative coefficients*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 33, 2002, no. 7, 967-976.
- [3] M. L. Mogra, T. R. Reddy, O. P. Juneja, *Meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 32, 1985, 161-176.
- [4] H. Silverman, *Univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51, 1975, 109-116.
- [5] H. M. Srivastava, S. Owa (Editors), *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific Publishing Company Singapore, New Jersey, London, and Hong Kong, 1992.

M. K. Aouf

Mansoura University

Department of Mathematics

Faculty of Science, Mansoura 35516, Egypt

mkaouf127@yahoo.com