

Research Article

Topological Entropy and Special α -Limit Points of Graph Maps

Taixiang Sun, Guangwang Su, Hailan Liang, and Qiuli He

College of Mathematics and Information Science, Guangxi University, Nanning, Guangxi 530004, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Taixiang Sun, stx1963@163.com

Received 11 December 2010; Revised 4 February 2011; Accepted 2 March 2011

Academic Editor: M. De la Sen

Copyright © 2011 Taixiang Sun et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Let G a graph and $f : G \rightarrow G$ be a continuous map. Denote by $h(f)$, $R(f)$, and $SA(f)$ the topological entropy, the set of recurrent points, and the set of special α -limit points of f , respectively. In this paper, we show that $h(f) > 0$ if and only if $SA(f) - R(f) \neq \emptyset$.

1. Introduction

Let (X, d) be a metric space. For any $Y \subset X$, denote by $\overset{\circ}{Y}$, ∂Y , and \overline{Y} the interior, the boundary, and the closure of Y in X , respectively. For any $y \in X$ and any $r > 0$, write $B(y, r) = \{x \in X : d(x, y) < r\}$. Let \mathbb{N} be the set of all positive integers and $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Denote by $C^0(X)$ the set of all continuous maps from X to X . For any $f \in C^0(X)$, let f^0 be the identity map of X and $f^n = f \circ f^{n-1}$ the composition map of f and f^{n-1} . A point $x \in X$ is called a periodic point of f with period n if $f^n(x) = x$ and $f^i(x) \neq x$ for $1 \leq i < n$. The orbit of x under f is the set $O(x, f) \equiv \{f^n(x) : n \in \mathbb{Z}_+\}$. Write $\omega(x, f) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} \overline{O(f^i(x), f)}$, called the ω -limit set of x under f . In fact, $y \in \omega(x, f)$ if and only if there exists a sequence of positive integers $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f^{n_i}(x) = y$. x is called a recurrent point of f if $x \in \omega(x, f)$. x is called a special α -limit point of f if there exist a sequence of positive integers $\{n_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and a sequence of points $\{y_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ such that $f^{n_i}(y_i) = y_{i-1}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} y_i = x$. Denote by $P(f)$, $R(f)$, and $SA(f)$ the sets of periodic points, recurrent points, and special α -limit points of f , respectively. From the definitions it is easy to see that $P(f) \subset SA(f)$ and $P(f) \subset R(f)$. Let $h(f)$ denote the topological entropy of f , for the definition see [1, Chapter VIII].

A metric space X is called an arc (resp., an open arc, a circle) if it is homeomorphic to the interval $[0, 1]$ (resp., the open interval $(0, 1)$, the unit circle S^1). Let A be an arc and

$h : [0, 1] \rightarrow A$ a homeomorphism. The points $h(0)$ and $h(1)$ are called the endpoints of A , and we write $\text{End}(A) = \{h(0), h(1)\}$. A compact connected metric space G is called a graph if there are finitely many arcs A_1, \dots, A_n ($n \geq 1$) in G such that $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ and $A_i \cap A_j = \text{End}(A_i) \cap \text{End}(A_j)$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. A graph T is called a tree if it contains no circle. A continuous map from a graph (resp., a tree, an interval) to itself is called a graph map (resp., a tree map, an interval map).

Let G be a given graph. Take a metric d on G such that, for any $x \in G$ and any $r > 0$, the open ball $B(x, r) \equiv \{y \in G : d(y, x) < r\}$ is always connected. For any finite set S , let $|S|$ denote the number of elements of S . For any $x \in G$, write $\text{val}(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow +0} |\partial B(x, r)|$, which is called the valence of x . x is called a branching point (resp., an endpoint) of G if $\text{val}(x) > 2$ (resp., $\text{val}(x) = 1$). Denote by $\text{End}(G)$ and $\text{Br}(G)$ the sets of endpoints and branching points of G , respectively. Take a finite subset $V(G)$ of G containing $\text{End}(G) \cup \text{Br}(G)$ such that, for any connected component E of $G - V(G)$, the closure \overline{E} is an arc. Such a subset $V(G)$ is called the set of vertexes of G , and the closure of every connected component of $G - V(G)$ is called an edge. For any edge I of G and any $a, b \in I$, we denote by $[a, b]_I$ (or simply $[a, b]$ if there is no confusion) the smallest connected closed subset of I containing $\{a, b\}$, which is called a closed interval of G . So, a closed interval is always a subset of an edge. Write $(a, b) = [b, a] - \{a\}$ and $(a, b) = (a, b) - \{b\}$. Let G be a graph and $J, K \subset G$ closed intervals, and $f \in C^0(G)$. We write $f(J) \supseteq K$ if there exists a closed subinterval $L \subset J$ such that $f(L) = K$.

In the study of dynamical systems, recurrent points, topological entropy, and special α -limit points play an important role. For interval maps, Hero [2] obtained the following result.

Theorem A (see [2, Corollary]). *Let I be a compact interval and $f \in C^0(I)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *some point y that is not recurrent is a special α -limit point;*
- (2) *some periodic point has period that is not a power of two.*

It is known [1, Chapter VIII, Proposition 34] that $h(f) > 0$ if and only if some periodic point of f has period that is not a power of two for interval map f .

In [3], Llibre and Misiurewicz studied the topological entropy of a graph map and obtained the following theorem.

Theorem B (see [3, Theorems 1 and 2]). *Let G be a graph and $f \in C^0(G)$. Then $h(f) > 0$ if and only if there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and closed intervals $L, J, K \subset G$ with $J, K \subset L$ and $|K \cap J| \leq 1$ such that $f^n(J) \supseteq L$ and $f^n(K) \supseteq L$.*

Recently, there has been a lot of work on the dynamics of graph maps (see [4–13]). In this paper, we will study the topological entropy and special α -limit points of graph maps. Our main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a graph and $f \in C^0(G)$. Then $h(f) > 0$ if and only if $SA(f) - R(f) \neq \emptyset$.*

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we will prove Theorem 1.1. To do this, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 (see [11, Theorem 1]). *Let G be a graph and $f \in C^0(G)$. If $x \in SA(f)$, then there exist a sequence of positive integers $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq n_3 \leq \dots$ and a sequence of points $\{y_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ with $y_0 = x$ such that $f^{n_i}(y_i) = y_{i-1}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} y_i = x$.*

Remark 2.2. The main idea of the proof of Theorem 1 in [11] is similar to the one of Main Theorem in [2].

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a graph and $f \in C^0(G)$. Then $SA(f) \subset f(SA(f))$.*

Proof. Let $x \in SA(f)$. Then there exist a sequence of points $\{x_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ and a sequence of positive integers $2 \leq m_1 \leq m_2 \leq \dots$ such that $f^{m_i}(x_i) = x_{i-1}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = x$. Write $y_i = f^{m_i-1}(x_i)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $y_{k_0} = y_1, y_{k_1}, y_{k_2}, \dots, y_{k_i}, \dots$ be a convergence subsequence of $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, and let $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} y_{k_i} = y$. Then

$$f(y) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f(y_{k_i}) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f^{m_{k_i}}(x_{k_i}) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_{k_i-1} = x. \quad (2.1)$$

Write

$$\mu_i = \begin{cases} m_{k_{i-1}} + \dots + m_1, & \text{if } i = 1, \\ m_{k_{i-1}} + m_{k_{i-2}} + \dots + m_{k_{i-1}}, & \text{if } i \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Then $f^{\mu_i}(y_{k_i}) = f^{\mu_i+m_{k_i}-1}(x_{k_i}) = f^{m_{k_i}-1}(x_{k_i-1}) = y_{k_i-1}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies that $y \in SA(f)$ and $SA(f) \subset f(SA(f))$. The proof is completed. \square

Lemma 2.4 (see [3, Lemma 2.4]). *Let G be a graph and $f \in C^0(G)$. Suppose that J and $L = [a, b]$ are intervals of G . If there exist $x \in (a, b)$ and $y \notin (a, b)$ such that $\{x, y\} \subset f(J)$, then $f(J) \supset [a, x]$ or $f(J) \supset [x, b]$.*

Theorem 2.5. *Let G be a graph and $f \in C^0(G)$. Then $h(f) > 0$ if and only if $SA(f) - R(f) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof Necessity

If $SA(f) - R(f) \neq \emptyset$, then take a point $w_0 \in SA(f) - R(f)$. By Lemma 2.3 and $f(R(f)) = R(f)$, for every $i = 1, 2, \dots$, there exists a point $w_i \in SA(f) - R(f)$ such that $f(w_i) = w_{i-1}$. Note that w_0, w_1, w_2, \dots are mutually different. Since the numbers of vertexes and edges of G are finite, there exists an edge I of G such that $I \cap \{w_0, w_1, w_2, \dots\}$ is an infinite set. We can choose integers $1 < i_1 < i_2 < \dots$ such that $\{w_{i_k} : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset I$ and $w_{i_k} \in (w_{i_1}, w_{i_{k+1}})$ for every $k \geq 2$. Take points $\{y, x, z\} \subset \overset{\circ}{I} \cap (SA(f) - R(f))$ with $x \in (y, z)$ such that $f^m(y) = x$ and $f^n(x) = z$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $I = [0, 1]$ and $0 < y < x < z < 1$. Since $y \in SA(f) - R(f)$, we can take points $\{y_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset (0, 1)$ and positive integers $m + n < m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < \dots$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) the sequence (y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots) is strictly monotonic with $f^{m_i}(y_i) = y_{i-1}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y_0 = y$ (see Lemma 2.1) and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} y_i = y$;
- (2) $m_i > m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_{i-1}$ for any $i \geq 2$.

Let $x_i = f^m(y_i)$ and $z_i = f^n(x_i)$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = x$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} z_i = z$. Noting that $x, z \in \text{SA}(f) - R(f)$, we can assume that $\{x_i, z_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset (0, 1)$, and there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the following conditions hold:

- (3) $f^i(x) \notin [x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon]$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (4) the sequences (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) and (z_1, z_2, z_3, \dots) are strictly monotonic, and $\{x_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset [x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon] \subset (y, z)$.

In the following we may consider only the case that (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) is strictly decreasing since the other case that (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) is strictly increasing is similar.

Write $\mu_i = m_i + m_{i-1} + \dots + m_1$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Put $I_i = [x_i, x_{i-1}]$ and $A_i = f^{\mu_{i-1}}(I_i)$ for any $i \geq 2$. Then A_i is a connected set, and

$$\{f^{\mu_{i-1}}(x_{i-1}), f^{\mu_{i-1}}(x_i)\} = \{x, f^{\mu_{i-1}}(x_i)\} \subset A_i. \quad (2.3)$$

Noting that $f^{m_i}(f^{\mu_{i-1}}(x_i)) = f^{\mu_i}(x_i) = x$, we have $x \in f^{m_i}(A_i) \cap A_i$. Write $S_i = \bigcup_{j=0}^{\infty} f^{jm_i}(A_i)$. Then S_i is a connected set containing x and $f^{m_i}(S_i) \subset S_i$ for every $i \geq 2$.

Since $f^{m_i}(x_{i-1}) = f^{m_i - \mu_{i-1}}(x)$ and $f^{m_i}(x_i) = x_{i-1}$ for any $i \geq 2$, by Lemma 2.4 it follows that $f^{m_i}(I_i) \supset [x - \varepsilon, x_{i-1}]$ or $f^{m_i}(I_i) \supset [x_{i-1}, x + \varepsilon]$. There are two cases to consider.

Case 1. There exist $2 \leq \alpha < \beta < \lambda$ such that $f^{m_i}(I_i) \supset [x - \varepsilon, x_{i-1}]$ for every $i \in \{\alpha, \beta, \lambda\}$.

Subcase 1.1. There exists $\lambda \leq \tau$ such that $S_\tau \not\subset (0, 1)$. Then $S_\tau \cap \{y_\alpha, z_{\alpha+1}\} \neq \emptyset$, and there exist $r \geq \mu_{\tau-1}$ and $u \in I_\tau$ such that $f^r(u) \in \{y_\alpha, z_{\alpha+1}\}$, from which and $m_{\alpha+1} > m + n$ it follows

$$f^{m+r}(u) = f^m(y_\alpha) = x_\alpha \quad \text{or} \quad f^{m_{\alpha+1}-n+r}(u) = f^{m_{\alpha+1}-n}(z_{\alpha+1}) = x_\alpha. \quad (2.4)$$

Noting $f^{m+r}(x_{\tau-1}) = f^{m+r-\mu_{\tau-1}}(x)$ and $f^{m_{\alpha+1}-n+r}(x_{\tau-1}) = f^{m_{\alpha+1}-n+r-\mu_{\tau-1}}(x)$, we have

$$\{f^{m+r-\mu_{\tau-1}}(x), f^{m_{\alpha+1}-n+r-\mu_{\tau-1}}(x)\} \cap [x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon] = \emptyset. \quad (2.5)$$

There exists $s \in \{m + r, m_{\alpha+1} - n + r\}$ such that $f^s(I_\tau) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\lambda$ or $f^s(I_\tau) \supset I_\alpha$, which implies

$$f^{s+m_\lambda}(I_\lambda) \supset f^s(I_\tau) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\lambda \quad \text{or} \quad f^{s+m_\alpha+m_\lambda}(I_\lambda) \supset f^{s+m_\alpha}(I_\tau) \supset f^{m_\alpha}(I_\alpha) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\lambda. \quad (2.6)$$

On the other hand, $f^{m_\beta}(I_\beta) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\lambda$. Thus we can obtain $f^l(I_\lambda) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\lambda$ and $f^l(I_\beta) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\lambda$ for some $l \in \{(s + m_\lambda)m_\beta, (s + m_\alpha + m_\lambda)m_\beta\}$. By Theorem B it follows that $h(f) > 0$.

Subcase 1.2. $S_i \subset (0, 1)$ for all $i \geq \lambda$, and there exists $\tau \geq \lambda$ such that $x < \sup S_\tau$. Then we can take $j \geq \tau$ such that $[x, x_j] \subset S_\tau$. Thus there exist $r \geq \mu_{\tau-1}$ and $u \in I_\tau$ such that $f^r(u) = x_j$, which implies $f^{r+m_j+\dots+m_{\alpha+1}}(u) = x_\alpha$. Write $s = r + m_j + \dots + m_{\alpha+1}$. Then $f^s(I_\tau) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\lambda$ or $f^s(I_\tau) \supset I_\alpha$ since $f^s(x_{\tau-1}) = f^{s-\mu_{\tau-1}}(x) \notin [x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon]$, which implies

$$f^{s+m_\lambda}(I_\lambda) \supset f^s(I_\tau) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\lambda \quad \text{or} \quad f^{s+m_\alpha+m_\lambda}(I_\lambda) \supset f^{s+m_\alpha}(I_\tau) \supset f^{m_\alpha}(I_\alpha) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\lambda. \quad (2.7)$$

On the other hand, $f^{m_\beta}(I_\beta) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\lambda$. Thus we can obtain $f^l(I_\lambda) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\lambda$ and $f^l(I_\beta) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\lambda$ for some $l \in \{(s + m_\lambda)m_\beta, (s + m_\alpha + m_\lambda)m_\beta\}$. By Theorem B it follows that $h(f) > 0$.

Subcase 1.3. One has $S_i \subset (0, 1)$ and $x = \sup S_i$ for all $i \geq \lambda$.

If $f^{m_r}(x) < f^{2m_r}(x) < x$ for some $r \geq \lambda$, then there exist $j \geq r + 2$ and $u \in I_r$ such that $f^{\mu_r}(u) = f^{2m_r}(x_j)$ since $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f^{2m_r}(x_i) = f^{2m_r}(x)$ and $\{f^{m_r}(x), x\} \subset f^{\mu_r}(I_r)$, which implies $f^{\mu_r+m_j+m_{j-1}+\dots+m_{\alpha+1}-2m_r}(u) = x_\alpha$. Using arguments similar to ones developed in the proof of Subcase 1.2, we can obtain $f^l(I_\lambda) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\lambda$ and $f^l(I_\beta) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\lambda$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem B it follows that $h(f) > 0$. Now we assume $f^{2m_r}(x) \leq f^{m_r}(x) < x$ for all $r \geq \lambda$. Note $f^{\mu_{r-1}}(x_r) \notin O(f^{m_r}, x)$ since $x \notin R(f)$.

If $f^{2m_r}(x) \leq f^{m_r}(x) < f^{\mu_{r-1}}(x_r) < x$ for some $r \geq \lambda$, then $f^{m_r}([f^{m_r}(x), f^{\mu_{r-1}}(x_r)]) \supset [f^{m_r}(x), x]$ and $f^{m_r}([f^{\mu_{r-1}}(x_r), x]) \supset [f^{m_r}(x), x]$. By Theorem B it follows that $h(f) > 0$.

If $f^{\mu_{r-1}}(x_r) < f^{m_r}(x)$ for some $r \geq \lambda$, then there exist $j \geq r + 2$ and $u \in I_r$ such that $f^{\mu_{r-1}}(u) = f^{m_r}(x_j)$ since $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f^{m_r}(x_i) = f^{m_r}(x)$ and $\{f^{\mu_{r-1}}(x_r), x\} \subset f^{\mu_{r-1}}(I_r)$, which implies $f^{\mu_{r-1}+m_j+m_{j-1}+\dots+m_{\alpha+1}-m_r}(u) = x_\alpha$. Using arguments similar to ones developed in the proof of Subcase 1.2, we can obtain $f^l(I_\lambda) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\lambda$ and $f^l(I_\beta) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\lambda$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem B it follows that $h(f) > 0$.

Case 2. There exists $\kappa \geq 2$ such that $f^{m_i}(I_i) \supset [x_{i-1}, x + \varepsilon]$ for all $i \geq \kappa$.

Subcase 2.1. There exist $\kappa \leq \alpha < \beta$ such that $S_i \not\subset (0, 1)$ for every $i \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$. Then $S_\beta \cap \{y_\beta, z_{\beta+1}\} \neq \emptyset$ and $S_\alpha \cap \{y_\beta, z_{\beta+1}\} \neq \emptyset$. Thus there exist $r \geq \mu_{\beta-1}$ and $u \in I_\beta$ such that $f^r(u) \in \{y_\beta, z_{\beta+1}\}$, from which it follows that $f^{m+r}(u) = x_\beta$ or $f^{m_{\beta+1}-n+r}(u) = x_\beta$. Since $f^{m+r}(x_{\beta-1}) = f^{m+r-\mu_{\beta-1}}(x)$, $f^{m_{\beta+1}-n+r}(x_{\beta-1}) = f^{m_{\beta+1}-n+r-\mu_{\beta-1}}(x)$, and

$$\{f^{m+r-\mu_{\beta-1}}(x), f^{m_{\beta+1}-n+r-\mu_{\beta-1}}(x)\} \cap [x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon] = \emptyset, \quad (2.8)$$

there exists $s \in \{m+r, m_{\beta+1}-n+r\}$ such that $f^s(I_\beta) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\alpha$ or $f^s(I_\beta) \supset I_{\beta+1}$, which implies $f^s(I_\beta) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\alpha$ or $f^{s+m_{\beta+1}}(I_\beta) \supset f^{m_{\beta+1}}(I_{\beta+1}) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\alpha$. In similar fashion, we can show $f^t(I_\alpha) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\alpha$ for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus we get $f^l(I_\beta) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\alpha$ and $f^l(I_\alpha) \supset I_\beta \cup I_\alpha$ for some $l \in \{st, (s+m_{\beta+1})t\}$. It follows from Theorem B that $h(f) > 0$.

Subcase 2.2. There exists $\vartheta \geq \kappa$ such that $S_i \subset (0, 1)$ for all $i \geq \vartheta$ and there exists $\tau \geq \lambda \geq \vartheta$ such that $x < \sup S_\tau$ and $x < \sup S_\lambda$. Take $j \geq \tau + 2$ such that $S_i \supset [x, x_j]$ for $i \in \{\lambda, \tau\}$. Then there exist $r_1 \geq \mu_{\tau-1}$, $r_2 \geq \mu_{\lambda-1}$, and $u \in I_\tau$, $v \in I_\lambda$ such that $f^{r_1}(u) = f^{r_2}(v) = x_j$. Using arguments similar to ones developed in the proof of Subcase 2.1, we can obtain $f^l(I_\lambda) \supset I_\tau \cup I_\lambda$ and $f^l(I_\tau) \supset I_\tau \cup I_\lambda$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem B it follows that $h(f) > 0$.

Subcase 2.3. There exists $\vartheta \geq \kappa$ such that $S_i \subset (0, 1)$ and $x = \sup S_i$ for all $i \geq \vartheta$.

If there exist $\tau > \lambda \geq \vartheta$ such that $f^{m_i}(x) < f^{2m_i}(x) < x$ for $i \in \{\tau, \lambda\}$, then there exist $j \geq \tau + 2$, $u \in I_\tau$, and $v \in I_\lambda$ such that $f^{\mu_\tau}(u) = f^{2m_\tau}(x_j)$ and $f^{\mu_\lambda}(v) = f^{2m_\lambda}(x_j)$, which implies $f^{\mu_\tau+m_j+m_{j-1}+\dots+m_{\tau+1}-2m_\tau}(u) = x_\tau$ and $f^{\mu_\lambda+m_j+m_{j-1}+\dots+m_{\tau+1}-2m_\lambda}(v) = x_\tau$. Using arguments similar to ones developed in the proof of Subcase 2.1, we can obtain $f^l(I_\lambda) \supset I_\tau \cup I_\lambda$ and $f^l(I_\tau) \supset I_\tau \cup I_\lambda$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem B it follows that $h(f) > 0$. Now we assume that there exists $\theta \geq \vartheta$ such that $f^{2m_i}(x) \leq f^{m_i}(x) < x$ for all $i \geq \theta$.

If $f^{\mu_{i-1}}(x_i) < f^{m_i}(x) < x$ for all $i \geq \theta$, then using arguments similar to ones developed in the above proof, we can obtain $h(f) > 0$.

If $f^{2m_r}(x) \leq f^{m_r}(x) < f^{\mu_{r-1}}(x_r) < x$ for some $r \geq \theta$, then $f^{m_r}([f^{m_r}(x), f^{\mu_{r-1}}(x_r)]) \supset [f^{m_r}(x), x]$ and $f^{m_r}([f^{\mu_{r-1}}(x_r), x]) \supset [f^{m_r}(x), x]$. By Theorem B it follows $h(f) > 0$.

Sufficiency

If $h(f) > 0$, then it follows from Theorem B that there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and closed intervals $L, J, K \subset G$ with $J, K \subset L$ and $|K \cap J| \leq 1$ such that $f^n(J) = L$ and $f^n(K) = L$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $L = [0, 1]$ and $J = [a, b]$ and $K = [c, d]$ with $0 \leq a < b \leq c < d \leq 1$ such that $f^n([a, b]) = [0, 1]$ and $f^n([c, d]) = [0, 1]$. By [1, Chapter II, Lemma 2] we can choose $u, v, w \in [0, 1]$ with $u < v < w$ such that one of the following statements holds:

- (i) $f^n(u) = f^n(w) = u$, $f^n(v) = w$, $f^n(x) > u$ for $u < x < w$ and $x < f^n(x) < w$ for $u < x < v$.
- (ii) $f^n(u) = f^n(w) = w$, $f^n(v) = u$, $f^n(x) < w$ for $u < x < w$ and $u < f^n(x) < x$ for $v < x < w$.

We may consider only case (i) since case (ii) is similar. We claim that, for any $x \in (v, w)$ and any $0 < \varepsilon < w - x$, there exist $y \in [w - \varepsilon, w)$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^{sn}(y) = x$. In fact, we can choose $u < \dots < x_i < x_{i-1} < \dots < x_1 \leq v < x_0 = x$ such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = u$ and $f^n(x_i) = x_{i-1}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus there exists some $x_N \in f^n([w - \varepsilon, w))$. That is, we can choose $y \in [w - \varepsilon, w)$ satisfying $f^n(y) = x_N$, which implies $f^{(N+1)n}(y) = x$. The claim is proven.

By the above claim we can choose a sequence of positive integers $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and a sequence of points $v < y_0 < y_1 < y_2 < \dots < w$ such that $f^{n s_i}(y_i) = y_{i-1}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} y_i = w$. Note that $f^n(w) = f^n(u) = u$; then $w \in \text{SA}(f^n) - R(f^n) \subset \text{SA}(f) - R(f)$. The proof is completed.

Acknowledgments

Project Supported by NSF of China (10861002) and NSF of Guangxi (2010GXNSFA013106, 2011GXNSFA018135) and SF of Education Department of Guangxi (200911MS212).

References

- [1] L. S. Block and W. A. Coppel, *Dynamics in One Dimension*, vol. 1513 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1992.
- [2] M. W. Hero, "Special α -limit points for maps of the interval," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 116, no. 4, pp. 1015–1022, 1992.
- [3] J. Llibre and M. Misiurewicz, "Horseshoes, entropy and periods for graph maps," *Topology*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 649–664, 1993.
- [4] A. M. Blokh, "On transitive mappings of one-dimensional branched manifolds," in *Differential-Difference Equations and Problems of Mathematical Physics (Russian)*, pp. 3–9, Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR Inst. Mat., Kiev, Russia, 1984.
- [5] A. M. Blokh, "Dynamical systems on one-dimensional branched manifolds. I," *Theory of Functions, Functional Analysis and Applications*, no. 46, pp. 8–18, 1986 (Russian), translation in *Journal of Soviet Mathematics*, vol. 48, no. 5, pp. 500–508, 1990.
- [6] A. M. Blokh, "Dynamical systems on one-dimensional branched manifolds. II," *Theory of Functions, Functional Analysis and Applications*, no. 47, pp. 67–77, 1987 (Russian), translation in *Journal of Soviet Mathematics*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 668–674, 1990.
- [7] A. M. Blokh, "Dynamical systems on one-dimensional branched manifolds. III," *Theory of Functions, Functional Analysis and Applications*, no. 48, pp. 32–46, 1987 (Russian), translation in *Journal of Soviet Mathematics*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 875–883, 1990.
- [8] J.-H. Mai and S. Shao, "The structure of graph maps without periodic points," *Topology and Its Applications*, vol. 154, no. 14, pp. 2714–2728, 2007.
- [9] J. Mai and T. Sun, "The ω -limit set of a graph map," *Topology and Its Applications*, vol. 154, no. 11, pp. 2306–2311, 2007.

- [10] J.-H. Mai and T.-X. Sun, "Non-wandering points and the depth for graph maps," *Science in China. Series A*, vol. 50, no. 12, pp. 1818–1824, 2007.
- [11] T. X. Sun, H. J. Xi, Z. H. Chen, and Y. P. Zhang, "The attracting centre and the topological entropy of a graph map," *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 540–546, 2004 (Chinese).
- [12] X. D. Ye, "The centre and the depth of the centre of a tree map," *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, vol. 48, no. 2, pp. 347–350, 1993.
- [13] X. Ye, "Non-wandering points and the depth of a graph map," *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society. Series A*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 143–152, 2000.



Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at
<http://www.hindawi.com>

