

**A NOTE ON SOME SPACES  $L_\gamma$  OF DISTRIBUTIONS WITH LAPLACE TRANSFORM**

**SALVADOR PÉREZ ESTEVA**

Instituto de Matemáticas  
 Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México  
 México, D.F. 04510 México

(Received March 2, 1989)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we calculate the dual of the spaces of distributions  $L_\gamma$  introduced in [1]. Then we prove that  $L_\gamma$  is the dual of a subspace of  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** Convolution, Laplace Transform, Strict Inductive Limit.

**1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE.** Primary 44A35, Secondary 44A10

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathcal{D}'$  and  $\mathcal{S}'$  be the classical Schwartz's spaces of distributions in  $\mathbb{R}$  and denote by  $L$  the Laplace transformation. In (Pérez-Esteva [1]) were introduced spaces  $L_{p\gamma}^a$  as follows:

$L_{o\gamma}^a$  is the subspace of  $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  of functions  $f$  with  $\text{supp } f \subset [a, \infty)$  and  $e_{-\gamma} f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $e_{-\gamma}(x) = e^{-\gamma x}$ .  $L_{o\gamma}^a$  is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$(f, g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e_{-2\gamma} f \bar{g} \, dx$$

then we define  $L_{p\gamma}^a = D^p L_{o\gamma}^a$  where  $D^p$  is the distributional derivative of order  $p$ . Since  $D^p: L_{o\gamma}^a \rightarrow L_{p\gamma}^a$  is bijective, we can copy the Hilbert space structure of  $L_{o\gamma}^a$  on  $L_{p\gamma}^a$ . We have the continuous inclusions

$$L_{p\gamma}^a \subset L_{p\gamma}^b, \text{ for } a > b$$

$$L_{p\gamma}^a \subset L_{q\gamma}^a, \text{ if } p \leq q$$

Hence for  $p = \{0, 1, \dots\}$  the strict inductive limit

$$L_{p\gamma} = \text{ind } \lim_{a \rightarrow -\infty} L_{p\gamma}^a$$

makes sense. Then

$$L_\gamma = \text{ind } \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} L_{p\gamma} = \text{ind } \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} L_{p\gamma}^{-p}$$

is also well defined.

In [1] it was studied the spaces of distributions  $g$  for which the convolution

$$f \rightarrow f * g: L_\gamma \rightarrow L_\gamma$$

is continuous.

Here we describe the strong dual of  $L_\gamma$ , which turns out to be a subspace  $S_\gamma$  of  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . Then we prove the reflexivity of  $S_\gamma$  and conclude that  $(S_\gamma)' = L_\gamma$ , which is the main result of the paper.  $\|\cdot\|_2$  will denote the norm of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\gamma$  will be assumed to be a positive constant, and  $N$  will be the set of nonnegative integers.

2. THE DUAL OF  $L_\gamma$

DEFINITION 1. Let  $L_\gamma$  be the space of all complex measurable functions  $g$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\chi_{[a,\infty)} e^{-\gamma g} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  for every  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\chi_{[a,\infty)}$  stands for the characteristic function of  $[a,\infty)$ . We provide  $L_\gamma$  with the topology given by the seminorms

$$P_a(g) = \|\chi_{[a,\infty)} e^{-\gamma g}\|_2, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Next we denote by  $S_\gamma$  the subspace of  $L_\gamma$  such that  $D^n f \in L_\gamma$  for every  $n \in N$ . Define the topology of  $S_\gamma$  by the system of seminorms

$$P_{an}(g) = \|\chi_{[a,\infty)} e^{-\gamma D^n g}\|_2 \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n \in N$$

It is clear that  $L_\gamma$  and  $S_\gamma$  are Frechet spaces and since  $D^n g \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$  for any  $n \in N$  and  $g \in S_\gamma$ , we have that  $S_\gamma \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ .

LEMMA 1. Let  $\phi \in L'_\gamma$ , then for every  $p \in N$ , there exists  $g_p \in L_\gamma$  such that

$$\phi(D^p f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\gamma f} g_p dx, \quad f \in L_{o\gamma}$$

The sequence  $\{g_p\}_{p \in N}$  satisfies

$$g_{p+1} = -Dg_p + 2\gamma g_p, \quad p \in N \tag{2.1}$$

Hence  $\phi$  is determined by  $g_0 \in S_\gamma$ .

PROOF. Fix  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p \in N$ . Then  $\phi \in (L^a_{p\gamma})'$ , and there exists  $g_{pa} \in L^a_{o\gamma}$  such that

$$(D^p f) = \int e^{-2\gamma f} g_{pa} dx, \quad D^p f \in L^a_{p\gamma}$$

If  $a < b$ , we have  $L^b_{p\gamma} \subset L^a_{p\gamma}$ , then

$$\phi(D^p f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\gamma f} g_{pb} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\gamma f} \chi_{[b,\infty)} g_{pa} dx$$

for  $D^p f \in L^b_{p\gamma}$ , which shows that

$$g_{pb} = \chi_{[b,\infty)} g_{pa}$$

If  $\tilde{g}_{pa}$  is the restriction of  $g_{pa}$  to  $[a,\infty)$ , then  $g_p = \bigcup_a \tilde{g}_{pa}$  is well defined, belongs to  $L_\gamma$  and

$$\phi(D^p f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-2\gamma f} g_p dx, \quad D^p f \in L_{p\gamma}$$

Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ . Since  $D^{p+1}\varphi \in L_{p+1\gamma} \cap L_{p\gamma}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(D^{p+1}\varphi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e_{-2\gamma} \varphi g_{p+1} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e_{-2\gamma} D\varphi g_p dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \{D(e_{-2\gamma}\varphi) + 2\gamma e_{-2\gamma}\varphi\} g_p dx \\ &= \langle -e_{-2\gamma} Dg_p + 2\gamma e_{-2\gamma} g_p, \varphi \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  represents the duality between  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}'$ . It follows that

$$g_{p+1} = -Dg_p + 2\gamma g_p$$

or

$$e_{-2\gamma} g_{p+1} = -D(e_{-2\gamma} g_p)$$

Hence, every  $g_p$  belongs to  $S_\gamma$ .

LEMMA 2. Let  $g \in S_\gamma$  and  $H$  be the differential operator defined by  $H = -D + 2\gamma I$ . Then the functional

$$\phi(D^p f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e_{-2\gamma} f H^{(p)} g dx, \quad f \in L_{0\gamma}$$

is well defined in  $L_\gamma$  and is continuous.

PROOF. Let  $f \in L_{0\gamma}^a$  be such that  $f = Dh$  with  $h \in L_{0\gamma}$ . There exists a sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{D}$  converging to  $f$  in  $L_{0\gamma}^b$  if  $b < a$ .

Let

$$\varphi_n(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f_n dy$$

Then  $f_n \in L_{0\gamma}^b$ ,  $D(\varphi_n - h) = f_n - f$ , and since the inclusion  $L_{0\gamma}^b \subset L_{1\gamma}^b$  is continuous, we have that  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $h$  in  $L_{0\gamma}$ . It follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e_{-2\gamma} h H(g) dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e_{-2\gamma} \varphi_n H(g) dx \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e_{-2\gamma} f g dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e_{-2\gamma} f_n g dx \tag{2.3}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^B e_{-2\gamma} \varphi_n H(g) dx &= - \int_{-\infty}^B \varphi_n D(e_{-2\gamma} g) dx \\ &= -\varphi_n(B) e_{-2\gamma}(B) g(B) + \int_b^B f_n e_{-2\gamma} g dx \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

But we have the estimate

$$|g(x)| \leq |g(b)| + e_\gamma(x) \|\chi_{[b, \infty)} e_{-\gamma}(Dg - \gamma g)\|_2 (x-b)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } x > b$$

Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e_{-2\gamma} \varphi_n H(g) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e_{-2\gamma} f_n g dx$$

From (2.2) and (2.3) it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e_{-2\gamma} f g dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e_{-2\gamma} h H(g) dx \tag{2.5}$$

By induction we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e_{-2\gamma} f g \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e_{-2\gamma} h H^{(p)}(g) \, dx \tag{2.6}$$

if  $f = D^p h$  and  $f, h \in L_{0\gamma}$ .

Finally, if  $D^p f = D^q h$  with  $f, h \in L_{0\gamma}$  and  $q \geq p$ , then  $f = D^{q-p} h$ , hence by (2.6) we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e_{-2\gamma} f H^{(p)}(g) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e_{-2\gamma} h H^{(q)}(g) \, dx$$

Thus  $\phi$  is well defined and it is clearly continuous.

**THEOREM 1.** The strong dual of  $L_{\gamma}$  is  $S_{\gamma}$ .

**PROOF.** By lemmas 1 and 2 we know that  $L_{\gamma}' = S_{\gamma}$ . It remains to prove that the strong topology  $\beta(L_{\gamma}', L_{\gamma})$  coincides with the topology  $\tau$  of  $S_{\gamma}$ . First notice that  $\tau$  is defined by the system of seminorms

$$q_{ap}(g) = \|\chi_{[a, \infty)} e_{-\gamma} H^{(p)}(g)\|_2, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}$$

Fix  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $V = \{g \in S_{\gamma} : q_{ap}(g) \leq 1\}$ . Denote by  $U$  the unit ball in  $L_{0\gamma}^a$ , then the set  $B = D^p U$  is bounded in  $L_{p\gamma}$  and hence in  $L_{\gamma}$ . If  $g \in B^0$  (the polar of  $B$ ), then for every  $f \in U$  we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e_{-2\gamma} f H^{(p)}(g) \, dx \right| = |\langle D^p f, g \rangle| \leq 1$$

Thus

$$\|e_{-\gamma} \chi_{[a, \infty)} H^{(p)}(g)\|_2 \leq 1$$

It follows that  $B^0 \subset V$  and  $\tau \subset \beta(L_{\gamma}', L_{\gamma})$ . Now, let  $B$  be a bounded set in  $L_{\gamma}$ . Then for some  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $B \subset L_{p\gamma}^{-p}$  and is bounded there (see Kucera, McKennon [2]). Hence  $B \subset \varepsilon D^p U$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , where  $U$  is the unit ball in  $L_{0\gamma}^{-p}$ . Let  $V = \{g \in S_{\gamma} : q_{-p p}(g) \leq \varepsilon^{-1}\}$ , then  $g \in V$  implies for  $f \in \varepsilon U$  that

$$\langle D^p f, g \rangle = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e_{-2\gamma} f H^{(p)}(g) \, dx \right| \leq 1$$

Then  $g \in B^0$ , so we proved that  $V \subset B^0$ . This completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 1.**  $L_{\gamma}$  is the strong dual of  $S_{\gamma}$ .

**PROOF.** By (Kucera, McKennon [2], Theorem 4) we know that  $L_{\gamma}$  is reflexive. Hence the corollary follows from Theorem 1.

REFERENCES

[ 1 ] S. Pérez-Esteva, "Convolution Operators for the one-sided Laplace Transformation" *Casopis pro pestování matematiky*, Vol. 110(1985), 69-76.  
 [ 2 ] J. Kucera, K. McKennon, "Dieudonné-Schwartz theorem on bounded sets in inductive limits", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 78(1980), 366-368.  
 [ 3 ] J. Kucera, "Multiple Laplace Integral", *Czech. Math. J.* 19(1969), 181-189.  
 [ 4 ] L. Schwartz, Theory of Distributions, Hermann, Paris, 1966.