

**A NOTE ON KÖTHE-TOEPLITZ DUALS OF CERTAIN SEQUENCE SPACES AND THEIR MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS**

**B. CHOUDHARY and S.K. MISHRA**

**Department of Mathematics  
 Indian Institute of Technology  
 Hauz Khas, New Delhi - 110016  
 India**

(In the memory of Late Professor B. Kuttner)

(Received November 5, 1992 and in revised form September 22, 1993)

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we define the sequence spaces  $S\ell_\infty(p)$ ,  $Sc(p)$  and  $Sc_0(p)$  and determine the Köthe-Toeplitz duals of  $S\ell_\infty(p)$ . We also obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for a matrix  $A$  to map  $S\ell_\infty(p)$  to  $\ell_\infty$  and investigate some related problems.

**KEY WORDS AND PHRASES.** Sequence spaces, Köthe-Toeplitz duals, Matrix transformations.  
 1992 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 40H05

**1. INTRODUCTION.**

If  $\{p_k\}$  is a sequence of strictly positive real numbers, then

$$\ell_\infty(p) = \{ x : \sup_k |x_k|^{p_k} < \infty \};$$

$$c(p) = \{ x : |x_k - \ell|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \text{ for some } \ell \};$$

$$c_0(p) = \{ x : |x_k|^{p_k} \rightarrow 0 \}.$$

For detailed discussion on these spaces we refer [1,4,5,6,7,8].

Recently Kizmaz [3] defined the following sequence spaces:

If  $\Delta x = (x_k - x_{k+1})$ , then

$$\ell_\infty(\Delta) = \{ x = \{x_k\} : \Delta x \in \ell_\infty \};$$

$$c(\Delta) = \{ x = \{x_k\} : \Delta x \in c \};$$

$$c_0(\Delta) = \{ x = \{x_k\} : \Delta x \in c_0 \}.$$

These spaces are Banach spaces with norm

$$\|x\|_\Delta = \|x\| + \|\Delta x\|_\infty$$

Furthermore, since  $\ell_\infty(\Delta)$  is a Banach space with continuous co-ordinates (that is,  $\|x^{(n)} - x\|_\Delta \rightarrow 0$  implies  $|x_k^{(n)} - x_k| \rightarrow 0$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), it is a BK-space.

If  $X$  is a sequene space, we define [2]

$$X^\alpha = \{ a = (a_k) : \sum_{k=1}^\infty | a_k x_k | < \infty \text{ for each } x \in X \};$$

$$X^\beta = \{ a = (a_k) : \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_k x_k \text{ is convergent for each } x \in X \};$$

$X^\alpha$  and  $X^\beta$  are called the  $\alpha$ -(or Köthe-Toeplitz) and  $\beta$ -(or generalized Köthe-Toeplitz), dual spaces of  $X$  respectively.

We now define some new sequence spaces. If  $\Delta x = x_k - x_{k-1}$ , we define

$$S\ell_\infty(p) = \{ x = (x_k) : \Delta x \in \ell_\infty(p) \};$$

$$Sc(p) = \{ x = (x_k) : \Delta x \in c(p) \};$$

$$Sc_0(p) = \{ x = (x_k) : \Delta x \in c_0(p) \}.$$

We observe that if  $x_k = k$ (for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) then  $x \in S\ell_\infty(p)$  but  $x \notin \ell_\infty(p)$ .

**PROPERTIES :** (i)  $S\ell_\infty(p)$  and  $Sc(p)$  are paranormed spaces with the paranorm

$$g(x) = \sup_k | \Delta x_k |^{p_k/M} \text{ where } M = \max(1, \sup p_k) \text{ if and only if } 0 < \inf p_k \leq \sup p_k < \infty.$$

(ii) If  $p = \{p_k\}$  is a bounded sequence, then  $Sc_0(p)$  is a paranormed space with the paranorm

$$g(x) = \sup_k | \Delta x_k |^{p_k/M}$$

The proof of these properties are similar to the proof given in [6, Th.1].

## 2. DUALS

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $p_k > 0$  for every  $k$ . Then

$$(S\ell_\infty(p))^\alpha = \bigcap_{N=1}^\infty \left\{ y = (y_n) : \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^n N^{1/p_m} \right\} | y_n | < \infty \right\}$$

**PROOF.** We need to prove that  $(S\ell_\infty(p))^\alpha$  is the set of all sequences  $y$  such that, for every positive integer  $N$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^n N^{1/p_m} \right\} | y_n | < \infty.$$

If  $x \in S\ell_\infty(p)$ , then by definition,  $|\Delta X_n|^{p_n}$  is bounded, so that, for some  $N$ ,  $|\Delta X_n|^{p_n} \leq N$ ; thus  $|\Delta X_n| \leq N^{1/p_n}$ . So

$$|x_n| \leq \sum_{m=1}^n N^{1/p_m}; \tag{2.1}$$

(by the relation  $x_n = \sum_{v=1}^n \Delta x_v$ )

Thus, if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^n N^{1/p_m} \right\} |y_n| < \infty \quad (2.2)$$

holds, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n y_n| < \infty$$

Hence, (2.2) is a sufficient condition for  $y \in (S\ell_{\infty}(p))^{\alpha}$ .

Conversely, if  $N$  is given we can define  $x \in S\ell_{\infty}(p)$  by  $x_m = \sum_{n=1}^m N^{1/p_n}$ ,

so that (2.2) is necessary for  $y$  to be in  $(S\ell_{\infty}(p))^{\alpha}$ .

Now we raise the following question :

Is it true that  $(S\ell_{\infty}(p))^{\alpha}$  is the set of sequences  $y$  such that, for every positive integer  $N$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n N^{1/p_n} |y_n| < \infty ? \quad (2.3)$$

In other words, is it true that

$$(S\ell_{\infty}(p))^{\alpha} = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \left\{ y = (y_n) : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n N^{1/p_n} |y_n| < \infty \right\} ?$$

It does not follow at once from Theorem 1 that this conjecture is false, since it is not obvious that the assertion that (2.2) holds for all  $N$  is not equivalent to the assertion that (2.3) holds for all  $N$ . Indeed, there are some sequences  $\{p_n\}$  for which these assertions are equivalent. However, for general  $\{p_n\}$  they need not be equivalent. We give examples to show that

- (A) It is possible to choose  $\{p_n\}$  such that there is a  $y = \{y_n\}$  for which (2.3) holds for all  $N$ , but (2.2) does not. Thus (2.3) is not always sufficient.
- (B) It is possible to choose  $\{p_n\}$  such that there is a  $y$  for which (2.2) holds for all  $n$ , but (2.3) does not. Thus (2.3) is not always necessary.

EXAMPLE 1. Take

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} p_{2k-1} = 1 \\ p_{2k} = 1/k \end{array} \right\} \quad k = (1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

Then take

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} y_{2k-1} = \frac{1}{k^3} \\ y_{2k} = 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

Since  $y_{2k} = 0$ , it is only the odd terms which contribute to (2.2) or (2.3). For these terms we take  $p_n = 1$  and thus the sum on the left of (2.3) is

$$N \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2k-1}{k^3} < \infty$$

But for  $n = 2k-1$ ,  $k \geq 2$ ,

$$\sum_{m=1}^n N^{1/p_m} \geq N^{1/p_{2k-2}} = N^{k-1}.$$

Thus the sum on the left of (2.2) is greater than or equal to

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{N^{k-1}}{k^3} = \infty \text{ if } N > 1.$$

EXAMPLE 2. Take

$$p_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\log r} & (n = 2^r, r=2,3,4,\dots) \\ 1 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}$$

Then take

$$y_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^r r^2} & (n = 2^r, r=2,3,4,\dots) \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}) \end{cases}$$

In the sums (2.2), (2.3) all the terms vanish except for  $n = 2^r$ ,  $r = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ . So we need consider only those terms. If  $n = 2^r$ ,  $r \geq 2$  then there are  $2^r - (r-1)$  terms in the sum

$$\sum_{m=1}^n N^{1/p_m} \text{ for which } p_m = 1 \text{ so that}$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^n N^{1/p_m} = (2^r - (r-1))N + \sum_{\rho=2}^r N^{r \log \rho}$$

But  $N^{\log \rho} = \rho^{\log N}$  so that for fixed  $N$

$$\sum_{\rho=2}^r N^{r \log \rho} = \sum_{\rho=2}^r \rho^{\log N} = O(r^{\log N + 1}) = o(2^r).$$

Thus, for fixed  $N$ , and  $n = 2^r$  we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^n N^{1/p_m} = O(2^r)$$

so that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{m=1}^n N^{1/p_m} \right) |y_n| = O \left( \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^2} \right) < \infty$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n N^{1/p_n} |y_n| &= \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} 2^r N^{\log r} \frac{1}{2^r r^2} \\ &= \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \frac{r^{\log N}}{r^2} \text{ (since } N^{\log r} = r^{\log N} \text{)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \infty \text{ if } \log N \geq 1,$$

i.e. for  $N = 3, 4, 5, \dots$ .

We now consider the second dual of  $(S\ell_\infty(p))$  i.e.  $(S\ell_\infty(p))^{**}$ .

Is it true that

$$(S\ell_\infty(p))^{**} = \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} \left\{ Z: \sup_n \frac{|z_n|}{\sum_{m=1}^n N^{1/p_m}} < \infty \right\} ?$$

In other words, is it true that  $(S\ell_\infty(P))^{**}$  is the set of sequences  $z = \{z_n\}$  which are such that, for some  $N$

$$z_n = O\left(\sum_{m=1}^n N^{1/p_m}\right) ? \tag{2.4}$$

In order to see that this conjecture is true, we shall first prove a lemma.

LEMMA 1. Suppose that, for each  $N$ ,  $\{a_n^{(N)}\}$  is a sequence of positive numbers, and that, for fixed  $n$ ,  $a_n^{(N)}$  is non-decreasing in  $N$ . Let  $X$  denote the set of sequences  $\{y_n\}$  which are such that, for all  $N$ ,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n^{(N)} |y_n| < \infty \tag{2.5}$$

Then  $X^*$  is the set of all  $\{z_n\}$  such that, for some  $N$

$$z_n = O(a_n^{(N)}) \tag{2.6}$$

PROOF. The result that (2.6) is sufficient for  $z \in X^*$  is trivial; for, if (2.6) holds for some  $N$  then since (2.5) holds for all  $N$  it holds for that particular  $N$ , whence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n z_n| < \infty$$

The result that (2.6) is necessary is not so obvious. Suppose it is false that there is some  $N$  for which (2.6) holds.

Then, for every  $N$ ,

$$\frac{z_n}{a_n^{(N)}} \text{ is unbounded.}$$

Hence, we can determine an increasing sequence  $\{n_N\}$  of positive integers such that

$$\frac{|z_{n_N}|}{a_{n_N}^{(N)}} \geq N^2$$

Now define  $y = \{y_n\}$  by

$$y_n = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{N^2 a_{n_N}^{(N)}} & (n = n_N, N = 1, 2, 3, \dots) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now given any fixed  $N$  we have for all  $M \geq N$

$$y_{n_M} = \frac{1}{M^2 a_{n_M}^{(M)}} \leq \frac{1}{M^2 a_{n_M}^{(N)}}$$

(since  $a_n^{(N)}$  is non-decreasing for fixed  $n$ ).

The terms in (2.5) for which  $n$  is not equal to  $n_M$  for some  $M$  are 0; hence the contribution to (2.5) of these terms with  $n \geq n_N$  is less than or equal to

$$\sum_{M=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M^2} < \infty$$

Since there are only a finite number of terms with  $n < n_N$  the series (2.6) converges. This holds for every  $N$ ; hence  $y \in X$ .

But when  $n = n_N$  we have  $|y_n z_n| \geq 1$ . Hence  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |y_n z_n|$  diverges so that  $z \notin X^\alpha$

The conjecture preceding Lemma 1 now follows from the result for  $(S\ell_\infty(p))^\alpha$  by taking

$$X = (S\ell_\infty(p))^\alpha, \quad a_n^{(N)} = \sum_{m=1}^n N^{1/p_m}$$

### 3. MATRIX TRANSFORMATIONS

In this section we find necessary and sufficient conditions for  $A \in (S\ell_\infty(p), \ell_\infty)$ . We need the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. Let  $p_k > 0$  for every  $k$ . Then

$$(S\ell_\infty(p))^\beta = \bigcap_{N=2}^{\infty} \left\{ a = (a_k): \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \sum_{m=1}^k N^{1/p_m} \text{ converges, } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |R_k| N^{1/p_k} < \infty \right\}$$

Where  $R_k = \sum_{v=k}^{\infty} a_v$ .

PROOF. Suppose that  $x \in S\ell_\infty(p)$ . Then there is an integer

$$N > \max \left( 1, \sup_k |\Delta x_k|^{p_k} \right) \text{ such that}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n a_k x_k = \sum_{k=1}^n R_k \Delta x_k - R_{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^n \Delta x_k \tag{3.1}$$

where  $n \in N$ .

Since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |R_k| |\Delta x_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |R_k| N^{1/p_k} < \infty,$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_k \Delta x_k \text{ is absolutely convergent.}$$

Also, by Corollary 2 [3], the convergence of

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \left( \sum_{m=1}^k N^{1/p_m} \right) \text{ implies that } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{n+1} \sum_{m=1}^k N^{1/p_m} = 0$$

Hence, it follows from (3.1) that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x_k \text{ is convergent for each } x \in S\ell_{\infty}(p).$$

This gives  $a \in (S\ell_{\infty}(p))^{\beta}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $a \in (S\ell_{\infty}(p))^{\beta}$ , then by definition,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k x_k$  is convergent for each  $x \in S\ell_{\infty}(p)$ .

Since  $e = (1,1,1,\dots) \in S\ell_{\infty}(p)$  and  $x = \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^k N^{1/p_m} \right\} \in S\ell_{\infty}(p)$ , then  $\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_v$  and  $\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} a_v \left( \sum_{m=1}^v N^{1/p_m} \right)$  are convergent. By using Corollary 2 [3] we find that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_{n+1} \sum_{m=1}^k N^{1/p_m} = 0.$$

Thus, we obtain from (3.1) that the series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_k \Delta x_k$  converges for each  $x \in S\ell_{\infty}(p)$ .

Note that  $x \in S\ell_{\infty}(p)$  if and only if  $\Delta x \in \ell_{\infty}(p)$ . This implies that  $R = \{R_k\} \in (\ell_{\infty}(p))^{\beta}$ . It now follows from Theorem 2 [4] that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |R_k| N^{1/p_k} \text{ converges for all } N > 1.$$

We now find necessary and sufficient conditions for a matrix  $A$  to map  $S\ell_{\infty}(p)$  to  $\ell_{\infty}$ .

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $p_k > 0$  for every  $k$ . Then  $A \in (S\ell_{\infty}(p), \ell_{\infty})$  if and only if

(i) 
$$\sup_n \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left( \sum_{m=1}^k N^{1/p_m} \right) \right| < \infty, N > 1;$$

(ii) 
$$\sup_n \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N^{1/p_k} \left| \sum_{v=k}^{\infty} a_{nv} \right| \right] < \infty, N > 1.$$

**PROOF.** We first prove that these conditions are necessary.

Suppose that  $A \in (S\ell_{\infty}(p), \ell_{\infty})$ . Since  $x = \left( \sum_{m=1}^k N^{1/p_m} \right)$  belongs to  $S\ell_{\infty}(p)$ , the condition (i) holds. In order to see that (ii) is necessary we assume that for  $N > 1$ ,

$$\sup_n \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N^{1/p_k} \left| \sum_{v=k}^{\infty} a_{nv} \right| \right] = \infty.$$

Let the matrix  $B$  be defined by  $B = (b_{nk}) = \left( \sum_{v=k}^{\infty} a_{nv} \right)$ .

Then it follows from Theorem 3 [4] that  $B \notin (\ell_\infty(p), \ell_\infty)$ . Hence, there is a sequence  $x \in \ell_\infty(p)$  such that  $\sup_k |x_k|^{p_k} = 1$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{nk} x_k \neq O(1)$ .

We now define the sequence  $y$  by

$$y_k = \sum_{v=1}^k x_v (k \in \mathbb{N}), \quad y_0 = 0. \quad \text{Then } y \in S\ell_\infty(p)$$

$$\text{and } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} y_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{nk} x_k \neq O(1).$$

This contradicts that  $A \in (S\ell_\infty(p), \ell_\infty)$ . Thus, (ii) is necessary.

We now prove the sufficiency part of the theorem. Suppose that (i) and (ii) of the theorem hold. Then  $A_n \in (S\ell_\infty(p))^\beta$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Hence  $A_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k$  converges for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for each  $x \in S\ell_\infty(p)$ . Following the argument used in Lemma 2, we find that if  $x \in S\ell_\infty(p)$  such that  $\sup_k |\Delta x_k|^{p_k} < N$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k \right| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N^{1/p_k} \left| \sum_{v=k}^{\infty} a_{nv} \right| \\ &\leq \sup_n \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} N^{1/p_k} \left| \sum_{v=k}^{\infty} a_{nv} \right| \right] < \infty \end{aligned}$$

This proves that  $Ax \in \ell_\infty$ . Hence, the theorem is proved.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** The authors thank the referee for helpful suggestions.

### REFERENCES

1. Choudhary, B. and Nanda, S., Functional Analysis with Applications, Wiley Eastern Limited, 1989.
2. Garling, D.G.H., The  $\beta$  - and  $\gamma$  - duality of sequence spaces, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **63** (1967), 963-981.
3. Kizmaz, H., On certain sequence spaces, Canadian Math. Bull. **24** (2) (1981), 169-176.
4. Lascarides, C.G., and Maddox, I.J., Matrix transformations between some classes of sequences, proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **68** (1970), 99-104.
5. Maddox, I.J., Elements of Functional Analysis, Cambridge, 1970.
6. Maddox, I.J., Paranormed sequence spaces generated by infinite matrices, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **64** (1968), 335-340.
7. Maddox, I.J., Spaces of strongly summable sequences. Quart J. Math. Oxford **18**(2) (1967), 345-355.
8. Simons, S., The sequence spaces  $\ell(p_v)$  and  $m(p_v)$ , Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **15** (1965), 422-436.
9. Wilansky, A., Functional Analysis, Blaisdell, New York, 1964.
10. Zeller, K., Allgemeine Eigenschaften von Limitierung Verfahren, Math. Z. **53** (1951), 463-487.