

A NEW CRITERION FOR STARLIKE FUNCTIONS

LING YI

Department of Mathematics
Harbin Institute of Technology
Harbin, P. R. China

SHUSEN DING

Department of Mathematics
The Florida State University
Tallahassee, Florida, 32306-3027

(Received November 22, 1994 and in revised form January 19, 1995)

ABSTRACT. In this paper we shall get a new criterion for starlikeness, and the hypothesis of this criterion is much weaker than those in [1] and [2].

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. Starlike functions, univalent functions, analytic functions.

1991 AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODES. 30C45, 30C55.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES.

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of functions $f(z)$, which are analytic in the unit disc $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$, with $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$. Let S be the set of starlike functions, $S = \{f(z) \in \mathcal{A}, \operatorname{Re}(zf'(z)/f(z)) > 0, z \in D\}$.

R. Singh and S. Singh in [1] proved that if $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\operatorname{Re}[f'(z) + zf''(z)] > 0, z \in D$, then $f(z) \in S$.

Recently, R. Singh and S. Singh in [2] proved that if $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\operatorname{Re}[f'(z) + zf''(z)] > -\frac{1}{4}, z \in D$, then $f(z) \in S$.

In this paper we shall show that the assertion of R. Singh and S. Singh holds under a much weaker hypothesis.

LEMMA 1. Suppose that the function $\psi: C^2 \times D \rightarrow C$ satisfies the condition $\operatorname{Re}\psi(ix, y; z) \leq \delta$ for all real $x, y \leq -\frac{(1+x^2)}{2}$ and all $z \in D$. If $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + \dots$ is analytic in D and

$$\operatorname{Re}\psi(p(z), zp'(z); z) > \delta, \text{ for } z \in D$$

then $\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > 0$ in D .

A general form of this lemma can be found in [3]. In [4] the authors got the following result.

LEMMA 2. Let $\alpha > 0, \beta < 1$. If the function p is analytic in D , with $p(0) = 1$ and

$$\operatorname{Re}[p(z) + \alpha zp'(z)] > \beta, \quad z \in D$$

then $\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > (2\beta - 1) + 2(1 - \beta)F(1, \frac{1}{\alpha}, \frac{1}{\alpha} + 1; -1), z \in D$, where $F(a, b, c; x)$ is a hypergeometric function. This result is sharp.

By taking $\alpha = 1$ in lemma 2, we obtain

LEMMA 3. Let $\beta < 1$. If the function p is analytic in D , with $p(0) = 1$ and

$$\operatorname{Re}[p(z) + zp'(z)] > \beta, \quad z \in D$$

then $\operatorname{Re}(p(z)) > (2\beta - 1) + 2(1 - \beta) \ln 2, z \in D$, and the result is sharp.

2. MAIN RESULT

THEOREM. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ and

$$\operatorname{Re} [f'(z) + zf''(z)] > 1 - \frac{3}{4(1 - \ln 2)^2 + 2} \approx -0.263, \quad z \in D \quad (1)$$

then $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$.

PROOF. By using lemma 3, from (1) we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(f'(z)) > 1 - \frac{3(1 - \ln 2)}{2(1 - \ln 2)^2 + 1} > 0, \quad z \in D. \quad (2)$$

From (2) and lemma 3, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{f(z)}{z} > -2 + \frac{3}{2(1 - \ln 2)^2 + 1} \approx 0.526, \quad z \in D. \quad (3)$$

Now, we let $p(z) = zf'(z)/f(z)$ and $\lambda(z) = f(z)/z$, then $p(z)$ is analytic in D and $p(0) = 1$, $\operatorname{Re}\{\lambda(z)\} > -2 + \frac{3}{2(1 - \ln 2)^2 + 1}$. A simple computation shows that

$$f'(z) + zf''(z) = \lambda(z)[p^2(z) + zp'(z)] = \psi(p(z), zp'(z); z),$$

where $\psi(u, v; z) = \lambda(z)(u^2 + v)$. Using (1), we have $\operatorname{Re}\{\psi(p(z), zp'(z); z)\} > 1 - \frac{3}{4(1 - \ln 2)^2 + 2}$ for each $z \in D$.

Now for all real $x, y \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + x^2)$, we have

$$\operatorname{Re}\{\psi(ix, y; z)\} = (y - x^2)\operatorname{Re}\{\lambda(z)\} \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + 3x^2)\operatorname{Re}\{\lambda(z)\} \leq -\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Re}\{\lambda(z)\} \quad (4)$$

for each $z \in D$. Note that $\operatorname{Re}\{\lambda(z)\} > -2 + \frac{3}{2(1 - \ln 2)^2 + 1}$, from (4) we get

$$\operatorname{Re}\{\psi(ix, y; z)\} \leq 1 - \frac{3}{4(1 - \ln 2)^2 + 2}$$

for all $z \in D$. Thus by lemma 1, $\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\} > 0$ in D , that is, $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}$.

REMARK. For $\beta < 1$, let $R(\beta) = \{f \in \mathcal{A} : \operatorname{Re}\{f'(z) + zf''(z)\} > \beta, z \in D\}$. It was proved in [4] that if $f(z) \in R(\alpha_0)$ ($\alpha_0 = \frac{1-2\ln 2}{2-2\ln 2} \approx -0.61$), then $f(z)$ is univalent, and the constant α_0 can not be replaced by any less one. Our present theorem yields $R\left(1 - \frac{3}{4(1 - \ln 2)^2 + 2}\right) \subset \mathcal{S}$. Thus, a natural problem which arises is to find $\inf\{\beta : R(\beta) \subset \mathcal{S}\}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. SINGH and S. SINGH, Starlikeness and convexity of certain integrals. *Ann. University MariaeCurie - Skłodowska, Lublin*, XXXV, 16, Sec.A(1981), 45 - 47.
- [2] R. SINGH and S. SINGH, Convolution properties of a class of starlike functions. *Proc. A.M.S.* 106 (1)(1989), 145 - 152.
- [3] S. S. MILLER and P. T. MOCANU, Second order differential equations in the complex plane. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 65(1978), 289 - 305.
- [4] LING YI, BO GEJUN and LUO SHENGZHENG, On an inequality for some analytic functions, *J. Math. Res. and Exp.* (2)13(1993), 259 - 262.