

## TWIN POSITIVE SOLUTIONS FOR THREE-POINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS OF HIGHER-ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

YUJI LIU and WEIGAO GE

Received 7 September 2003

A new fixed point theorem on cones is applied to obtain the existence of at least two positive solutions of a higher-order three-point boundary value problem for the differential equation subject to a class of boundary value conditions. The associated Green's function is given. Some results obtained recently are generalized.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34B10.

**1. Introduction.** The multipoint boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations arise in a variety of different areas of applied mathematics and physics. Linear and nonlinear second-order multipoint boundary value problems have been studied by several authors, we refer the reader to [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15] and the references therein.

Consider the  $n$ th-order two-point boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned}y^{(n)} + f(t, y(t)) &= 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\y^{(i)}(0) &= 0, & 0 \leq i \leq n-2, & \quad y^{(n-1)}(1) = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

Recently, there have been some papers [1, 2, 3, 13, 14] discussing the existence of positive solutions for the BVP (1.1) by using the Guo-Krasnoselskii fixed-point theorem, that is, the expansion/compression-type fixed-point theorem on cones. It was proved that (1.1) has at least one positive solution under certain assumptions ( $f$  is sublinear or superlinear). Agarwal and O'Regan in [3] established the criteria of the existence of two positive solutions of BVP (1.1) when  $f_0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(t, x)/x = f_\infty = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(t, x)/x = +\infty$ . However, the problem of existence of multiple positive solutions of BVP (1.1) remains open when either  $f_0 = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(t, x)/x$  or  $f_\infty = \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(t, x)/x$  does not exist.

On the other hand, to the best of our knowledge, few authors have studied the existence of multiple positive solutions for higher-order multipoint boundary value problems. It is an interesting problem and one of the future research directions to discuss the solvability of the  $n$ th-order differential equations

$$x^{(n)}(t) = f(x(t)), \quad 0 < t < 1,\tag{1.2}$$

satisfying either  $k$ -point right focal boundary value conditions or  $k$ -point boundary value conditions [4, 5].

Motivated by the results [1, 2, 3, 4, 5], we, in this paper, study the existence of multiple positive solutions for the  $n$ th-order three-point boundary value problems consisting of the differential equation

$$y^{(n)}(t) + f(t, y(t), y'(t), \dots, y^{(n-2)}(t)) = 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \tag{1.3}$$

and following boundary value conditions:

$$y^{(i)}(0) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 2, \quad y^{(n-1)}(1) = \alpha y^{(n-1)}(\eta). \tag{1.4}$$

We give the following assumptions:

(H<sub>1</sub>)  $f : [0, 1] \times R_+^{n-1} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is continuous, where  $R_+ = [0, +\infty)$ ,

(H<sub>2</sub>)  $1 > \alpha \geq 0, 0 < \eta < 1$ , and  $n \geq 2$ , but fixed.

We will impose growth conditions on  $f$  to obtain two positive solutions of BVP (1.3)-(1.4). The main results in [1, 3, 13, 14] are corollaries of our theorems.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first introduce some definitions and a fixed-point theorem, which is the generalized form of the Leggett-Williams fixed-point theorem, founded in Avery and Henderson [6], and then we present our main results. Several corollaries to illustrate the main results are given in Section 3.

**2. Main results.** For convenience, we first introduce some definitions in Banach spaces, such as in [6, 9], and a fixed theorem, which is a generalization of the Leggett-Williams fixed point theorem, see Avery and Henderson [6]. The main results and their proofs will be presented at the end of this section.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a real Banach space; a nonempty closed convex set  $P \subset X$  is called a cone of  $X$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $x \in P, \lambda \geq 0$  implies  $\lambda x \in P$ ,
- (ii)  $x \in P, -x \in P$  implies  $x = 0$ .

Every cone  $P \subset X$  induces an ordering in  $X$ , which is given by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $y - x \in P$  [6].

**DEFINITION 2.2.** A map  $\psi : P \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is called a nonnegative, continuous, increasing functional, provided  $\psi$  is nonnegative and continuous and satisfies  $\psi(x) \leq \psi(y)$  for all  $x, y \in P$  with  $x \leq y$ .

**DEFINITION 2.3.** An operator is called completely continuous if it is continuous and maps bounded sets into precompact sets. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} P(\psi, d) &= \{x \in P : \psi(x) < d\}, \\ \partial P(\psi, d) &= \{x \in P : \psi(x) = d\}, \\ \overline{P(\psi, d)} &= \{x \in P : \psi(x) \leq d\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

**LEMMA 2.4** [6]. *Let  $X$  be a real Banach space,  $P$  a cone of  $X$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\phi$  two nonnegative increasing continuous maps,  $\theta$  a nonnegative continuous map with  $\theta(0) = 0$ . Suppose*

there are two positive numbers  $c$  and  $M$  such that

$$\gamma(x) \leq \theta(x) \leq \phi(x), \quad \|x\| \leq M\gamma(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \overline{P(\gamma, c)}. \quad (2.2)$$

Again, assume  $T: \overline{P(\gamma, c)} \rightarrow P$  is completely continuous, and that there are positive numbers  $0 < a < b < c$  such that

$$\theta(\lambda x) \leq \lambda \theta(x) \quad \forall \lambda \in [0, 1], x \in \partial P(\theta, b) \quad (2.3)$$

and

- (i)  $\gamma(Tx) > c$  for  $x \in \partial P(\gamma, c)$ ,
- (ii)  $\theta(Tx) < b$  for  $x \in \partial P(\theta, b)$ ,
- (iii)  $\phi(Tx) > a$  and  $P(\phi, a) \neq \emptyset$  for  $x \in \partial P(\phi, a)$ .

Then  $T$  has at least two fixed points  $x_1$  and  $x_2 \in \overline{P(\gamma, c)}$  satisfying

$$a < \phi(x_1), \quad \theta(x_1) < b, \quad b < \theta(x_2), \quad \gamma(x_2) < c. \quad (2.4)$$

The following lemma is similar to [Lemma 2.4](#), whose proof is omitted.

**LEMMA 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a real Banach space,  $P$  a cone of  $X$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\phi$  two nonnegative increasing continuous maps,  $\theta$  a nonnegative continuous map, and  $\theta(0) = 0$ . Suppose there are two positive numbers  $c$  and  $M$  such that*

$$\gamma(x) \leq \theta(x) \leq \phi(x), \quad \|x\| \leq M\gamma(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \overline{P(\gamma, c)}. \quad (2.5)$$

Again, assume  $T: \overline{P(\gamma, c)} \rightarrow P$  is completely continuous, and that there are positive numbers  $0 < a < b < c$  such that

$$\theta(\lambda x) \leq \lambda \theta(x) \quad \forall \lambda \in [0, 1], x \in \partial P(\theta, b) \quad (2.6)$$

and

- (i)  $\gamma(Tx) < c$  for  $x \in \partial P(\gamma, c)$ ,
- (ii)  $\theta(Tx) > b$  for  $x \in \partial P(\theta, b)$ ,
- (iii)  $\phi(Tx) < a$  and  $P(\phi, a) \neq \emptyset$  for  $x \in \partial P(\phi, a)$ .

Then  $T$  has at least two fixed points  $x_1$  and  $x_2 \in \overline{P(\gamma, c)}$  satisfying

$$a < \phi(x_1), \quad \theta(x_1) < b, \quad b < \theta(x_2), \quad \gamma(x_2) < c. \quad (2.7)$$

To be able to apply [Lemmas 2.4](#) and [2.5](#), we must define an operator on a cone in a suitable Banach space. In order to do this, we first observe the Green functions for the above  $n$ th-order three-point boundary value problem.

**LEMMA 2.6.** *Suppose  $N = 1 - \alpha \neq 0$ . If  $\gamma \in C[0, 1]$ , then the problem*

$$\begin{aligned} u^{(n)}(t) + \gamma(t) &= 0, & 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ u^{(i)}(0) &= 0, & i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \\ u^{(n-1)}(1) &= \alpha u^{(n-1)}(\eta), \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

has the unique solution

$$\begin{aligned}
 u(t) &= -\int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \mathcal{Y}(s) ds + \frac{t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!(1-\alpha)} \left[ \int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}(s) ds - \alpha \int_0^\eta \mathcal{Y}(s) ds \right] \\
 &= \int_0^1 G(t,s;\eta) \mathcal{Y}(s) ds,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.9}$$

where  $M = (n-1)!(1-\alpha)$  and

$$G(t,s;\eta) = \frac{1}{M} \begin{cases} t^{n-1} - (1-\alpha)(t-s)^{n-1} - \alpha t^{n-1}, & 0 \leq s \leq t < \eta < 1 \text{ or } 0 \leq s \leq \eta \leq t \leq 1, \\ t^{n-1} - \alpha t^{n-1}, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq \eta < 1, \\ t^{n-1} - (1-\alpha)(t-s)^{n-1}, & 0 \leq \eta \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\ t^{n-1}, & 0 < \eta \leq t \leq s \leq 1 \text{ or } 0 \leq t < \eta \leq s \leq 1. \end{cases}
 \tag{2.10}$$

Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{Y}(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then the unique solution  $u$  satisfies  $u(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

**PROOF.** Suppose that

$$u(t) = -\int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \mathcal{Y}(s) ds + At^{n-1}
 \tag{2.11}$$

is the unique solution of (2.8). One gets

$$-\int_0^1 \mathcal{Y}(s) ds + A(n-1)! = -\alpha \int_0^\eta \mathcal{Y}(s) ds + \alpha(n-1)!A
 \tag{2.12}$$

and then

$$A = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left[ \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \mathcal{Y}(s) ds - \alpha \int_0^\eta \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \mathcal{Y}(s) ds \right].
 \tag{2.13}$$

Substitute  $A$  into (2.11). Then the first part of the lemma is complete.

To prove that  $u(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , it suffices to prove that  $G(t,s;\eta) \geq 0$  for  $(t,s) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . This is simple and is omitted. □

Let  $E$  denote the Banach space  $C^{n-2}[0, 1]$  with the norm

$$\|\mathcal{Y}\| = \max \{ \|\mathcal{Y}\|_\infty, \dots, \|\mathcal{Y}^{(n-2)}\|_\infty \}.
 \tag{2.14}$$

We note that, for  $\mathcal{Y} \in E$  with  $\mathcal{Y}^{(i)}(0) = 0$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mathcal{Y}(t)| &= |\mathcal{Y}(t) - \mathcal{Y}(0)| = |t\mathcal{Y}'(\xi)| \leq |\mathcal{Y}'(\xi)| \leq \|\mathcal{Y}'\|_\infty, \\
 |\mathcal{Y}'(t)| &= |\mathcal{Y}'(t) - \mathcal{Y}'(0)| = |t\mathcal{Y}''(\xi_1)| \leq |\mathcal{Y}''(\xi_1)| \leq \|\mathcal{Y}''\|_\infty.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.15}$$

Hence,  $\|\mathcal{Y}\|_\infty \leq \|\mathcal{Y}'\|_\infty$  and  $\|\mathcal{Y}'\|_\infty \leq \|\mathcal{Y}''\|_\infty$ . By bootstrapping, one sees that

$$\|\mathcal{Y}\|_\infty \leq \|\mathcal{Y}'\|_\infty \leq \dots \leq \|\mathcal{Y}^{(p-1)}\|_\infty \leq \dots \leq \|\mathcal{Y}^{(n-2)}\|_\infty.
 \tag{2.16}$$

So

$$\|\mathcal{Y}\| = \|\mathcal{Y}^{(n-2)}\|_{\infty}. \quad (2.17)$$

Define the subset of  $E$  by

$$P = \left\{ \mathcal{Y} \in E : \mathcal{Y}^{(i)}(0) = 0, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \mathcal{Y}^{(n-2)}(t) \geq t \|\mathcal{Y}^{(n-2)}\|_{\infty}, \right. \\ \left. \mathcal{Y}^{(n-2)}(t) \text{ is nondecreasing on } [0, 1] \right\}. \quad (2.18)$$

Define an operator  $T$  by

$$Tx(t) = - \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} f(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \\ + \frac{t^{n-1}}{(1-\alpha)(n-1)!} \left[ \int_0^1 f(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \right. \\ \left. - \alpha \int_0^{\eta} f(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \right] \quad (2.19)$$

for  $x \in E$ . Then

$$(Tx)^{(n-2)}(t) = - \int_0^t (t-s) f(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \\ + \frac{t}{1-\alpha} \left[ \int_0^1 f(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \right. \\ \left. - \alpha \int_0^{\eta} f(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \right], \\ (Tx)^{(n-1)}(t) = - \int_0^t f(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \\ + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left[ \int_0^1 f(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \right. \\ \left. - \alpha \int_0^{\eta} f(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \right], \\ (Tx)^{(n)}(t) = -f(t, x(t), x'(t), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(t)). \quad (2.20)$$

Hence, we get the following lemma.

**LEMMA 2.7.** *Assume  $(H_1)$  and  $(H_2)$ . Then*

- (i)  $P$  is a cone in Banach space  $E$ ;
- (ii)  $TP \subset P$  and  $T$  is completely continuous;
- (iii) if  $x \in P$ , then  $Tx^{(i)}(0) = 0, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$ ;
- (iv)  $Tx(t) \geq 0, \dots, (Tx)^{(n-1)}(t) \geq 0, (Tx)^{(n)}(t) \leq 0$  for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ ;
- (v)  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a positive solution of BVP (1.3) and (1.4) if and only if  $\mathcal{Y}$  is a fixed point of the operator  $T$  in the  $P$ .

**PROOF.** The proofs of (i)-(v) are simple and are omitted. □

From now on, fix  $l$  such that  $0 < \eta < l < 1$ , and define the nonnegative, increasing, continuous functionals  $\gamma$ ,  $\theta$ , and  $\phi$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(u) &= \min_{\eta \leq t \leq l} u^{(n-2)}(t) = u^{(n-2)}(\eta), \\ \theta(u) &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq \eta} u^{(n-2)}(t) = u^{(n-2)}(\eta), \\ \phi(u) &= \min_{l \leq t \leq 1} u^{(n-2)}(t) = u^{(n-2)}(l) \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

for every  $u \in P$ . We see that  $\gamma(u) = \theta(u) \leq \phi(u)$ . In addition, for each  $u \in P$ ,  $\gamma(u) = u^{(n-2)}(\eta) \geq \eta u^{(n-2)}(1) = \eta \|u^{(n-2)}\|_\infty$ . Hence,

$$\|u\| = \|u^{(n-2)}\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\eta} \gamma(u) \quad \forall u \in P. \tag{2.22}$$

We also find that

$$\theta(\lambda u) = \lambda \theta(u) \quad \text{for } \lambda \in [0, 1], u \in P(\theta, b). \tag{2.23}$$

Finally, for notational convenience, we denote

$$\lambda = \frac{\eta(1-\eta)}{1-\alpha}, \quad \xi = \frac{1}{2}\eta^2 + \frac{\eta(1-\eta)}{1-\alpha}, \quad \lambda_l = \frac{l(1-l)}{1-\alpha}. \tag{2.24}$$

We now present our first result of this paper.

**THEOREM 2.8.** *Suppose  $0 < a < (\lambda_l/\xi)b < \eta(\lambda_l/\xi)c$ , and  $f$  satisfies the following conditions:*

- (A)  $f(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) > c/\lambda$  for  $(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) \in [\eta, 1] \times R_+^{n-2} \times [c, c/\eta]$ ;
- (B)  $f(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) < b/\xi$  for  $(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) \in [0, 1] \times R_+^{n-2} \times [0, b/\eta]$ ;
- (C)  $f(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) > a/\lambda_l$  for  $(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) \in [l, 1] \times R_+^{n-2} \times [a, a/l]$ .

Then the BVP (1.3)-(1.4) admits at least two positive solutions  $u_1, u_2$  such that

$$a < \phi(u_1) \quad \text{with } \theta(u_1) < b, \quad b < \theta(u_2) \quad \text{with } \gamma(u_2) < c. \tag{2.25}$$

**PROOF.** To begin, we define a completely continuous operator  $T : P \rightarrow E$  as above for every  $u \in P$ . Obviously,  $w(t) = Tu(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

From the definition of  $T$  and Lemma 2.7, we claim that for each  $u \in P$ ,  $w = Tu \in P$  and satisfies (1.4) and  $w(1)$  is the maximum value of  $w$  on  $[0, 1]$ .

It is well known that each fixed point of  $T$  in  $P$  is a solution of (1.3)-(1.4). We proceed to verify that the conditions of Lemma 2.4 are met.

As a result of Lemma 2.7, we conclude that  $T : \overline{P(\gamma, c)} \rightarrow P$  and  $T$  is completely continuous. We now show that (i), (ii), (iii) of Lemma 2.4 are satisfied.

Firstly, we prove that Lemma 2.4(i) is satisfied. For each  $u \in \partial P(\gamma, c)$ ,

$$\gamma(u) = \min_{\eta \leq t \leq l} u^{(n-2)}(t) = u^{(n-2)}(\eta) = c. \tag{2.26}$$

Then  $u^{(n-2)}(t) \geq c$  for  $\eta \leq t \leq 1$ . Recalling that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\| &= \|u^{(n-2)}\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\eta} \gamma(u) = \frac{1}{\eta} c, \\ u^{(i)}(t) &\geq 0 \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.27}$$

we have

$$c \leq u^{(n-2)}(t) \leq \frac{1}{\eta} c \quad \text{for } \eta \leq t \leq 1. \tag{2.28}$$

As a consequence of (A),

$$f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s)) > \frac{c}{\lambda} \quad \text{for } t \in [\eta, 1]. \tag{2.29}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(Tu) &= (Tu)^{(n-2)}(\eta) \\ &= - \int_0^\eta (\eta - s) f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\eta}{1 - \alpha} \left( \int_0^1 f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \alpha \int_0^\eta f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \right) \\ &= \int_0^\eta s f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\eta}{1 - \alpha} \int_\eta^1 f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \\ &\geq \frac{\eta}{1 - \alpha} \int_\eta^1 f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \\ &> \frac{c}{\lambda} \left( \frac{\eta}{1 - \alpha} \int_\eta^1 ds \right) \\ &= \frac{c}{\lambda} \left( \frac{\eta(1 - \eta)}{1 - \alpha} \right) \\ &= c. \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

Secondly, we show that [Lemma 2.4\(ii\)](#) is fulfilled. We choose  $u \in \partial P(\theta, b)$ . Then

$$\theta(u) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq \eta} u^{(n-2)}(t) = u^{(n-2)}(\eta) = b. \tag{2.31}$$

This implies

$$0 \leq u^{(n-2)}(t) \leq b, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \eta, \quad b \leq u^{(n-2)}(t) \leq \|u^{(n-2)}\|_\infty = u^{(n-2)}(1) \tag{2.32}$$

for  $t \in [\eta, 1]$ . Moreover,

$$\|u\| = \|u\|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{\eta} \gamma(u) = \frac{1}{\eta} \theta(u) = b \frac{1}{\eta}. \tag{2.33}$$

Thus  $u^{(i)}(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$ , and

$$0 \leq u^{(n-2)}(t) \leq b \frac{1}{\eta}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \tag{2.34}$$

By (B), we have

$$f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s)) < \frac{b}{\xi}, \quad t \in [0, 1], \tag{2.35}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(Tu) &= (Tu)^{(n-2)}(\eta) \\ &= - \int_0^\eta (\eta - s) f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\eta}{1 - \alpha} \left( \int_0^1 f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \alpha \int_0^\eta f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \right) \\ &= \int_0^\eta s f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{\eta}{1 - \alpha} \int_\eta^1 f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \\ &< \frac{b}{\xi} \left( \int_0^\eta s ds + \frac{\eta}{1 - \alpha} \int_\eta^1 ds \right) \\ &= \frac{b}{\xi} \left( \frac{1}{2} \eta^2 + \frac{\eta(1 - \eta)}{1 - \alpha} \right) \\ &= b. \end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

Finally, we verify that [Lemma 2.4\(iii\)](#) is also satisfied. It is easy to show that  $P(\phi, a) \neq \emptyset$ .

Now, let  $u \in \partial P(\phi, a)$ , then  $\phi(u) = \min_{l \leq t \leq 1} u^{(n-2)}(t) = u^{(n-2)}(l) = a$ . This means that

$$a \leq u^{(n-2)}(t) \leq \frac{a}{l}, \quad l \leq t \leq 1. \tag{2.37}$$

From assumption (C), we have  $u^{(i)}(t) \geq 0$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$ , and

$$f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s)) > \frac{a}{\lambda_l} \quad \text{for } t \in [l, 1], \tag{2.38}$$



and so

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi(Tu) &= (Tu)^{(n-2)}(l) \\
 &= -\int_0^l (l-s)f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s))ds \\
 &\quad + \frac{l}{1-\alpha} \left( \int_0^1 f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s))ds \right. \\
 &\quad \quad \left. - \alpha \int_0^\eta f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s))ds \right) \\
 &= \int_0^\eta sf(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s))ds \\
 &\quad + \int_\eta^l \left( \frac{l}{1-\alpha} - l + s \right) f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s))ds \quad (2.39) \\
 &\quad + \frac{l}{1-\alpha} \int_l^1 f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s))ds \\
 &\geq \frac{l}{1-\alpha} \int_l^1 f(s, u(s), u'(s), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(s))ds \\
 &> \frac{a}{\lambda_l} \left( \frac{l}{1-\alpha} \int_l^1 ds \right) \\
 &= \frac{a}{\lambda_l} \left( \frac{l(1-l)}{1-\alpha} \right) \\
 &= a.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, BVP (1.3)-(1.4) has at least two positive solutions  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  in  $\overline{P(\gamma, c)}$  such that

$$a < \phi(u_1) \quad \text{with } \theta(u_1) < b, \quad b < \theta(u_2) \quad \text{with } \gamma(u_2) < c. \quad (2.40)$$

This completes the proof of [Theorem 2.8](#). □

Now we deal with the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (-1)^n u^{(n)} + f(t, u(t), u'(t), \dots, u^{(n-2)}(t)) &= 0, \quad 0 < t < 1, \\
 u^{(i)}(1) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, \quad u^{(n-1)}(0) &= \alpha u^{(n-1)}(\eta),
 \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

where  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $0 < \eta < 1$ , but fixed,  $1 - \alpha > 0$ ,  $f : [0, 1] \times R^{n-1} \rightarrow R$  is continuous and satisfies

$$(-1)^n f(t, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-2}) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } (t, u_0, \dots, u_{n-2}) \in [0, 1] \times R_+^{n-1}. \quad (2.42)$$

If  $x$  is a solution of BVP (2.41), then

$$\begin{aligned}
 x(t) = & - \int_t^1 \frac{(s-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} f(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \\
 & + \frac{(1-t)^{n-1}}{(1-\alpha)(n-1)!} \left[ \int_0^1 f(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \alpha \int_\eta^1 f(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \right].
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.43}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (-1)^{n-2} x^{(n-2)}(t) = & - \int_t^1 (s-t) f(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \\
 & + \frac{1-t}{1-\alpha} \left[ \int_0^1 f(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \alpha \int_\eta^1 f(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \right], \\
 (-1)^{n-1} x^{(n-1)}(t) = & - \int_t^1 f(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \\
 & + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left[ \int_0^1 f(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \alpha \int_\eta^1 f(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \right], \\
 (-1)^n x^{(n)}(t) = & - f(t, x(t), x'(t), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(t)).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.44}$$

Let  $E$  denote the Banach space  $C^{n-2}[0, 1]$  with the norm

$$\|y\| = \max \{ \|y\|_\infty, \dots, \|y^{(n-2)}\|_\infty \}.
 \tag{2.45}$$

It is easy to see that  $\|y\| = \|y^{(n-2)}\|_\infty$  for all  $y \in E$ . Define the cone  $P \subset E$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
 P = \{ & u \in E : u^{(i)}(1) = 0, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-2, (-1)^{n-2} u^{(n-2)}(t) \\
 & \text{is nondecreasing, } (-1)^{n-2} u^{(n-2)}(t) \geq t \|u^{(n-2)}\|_\infty \text{ for } t \in [0, 1] \}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.46}$$

The method is just similar to what we have done above. We choose a fixed number  $l \in (0, \eta)$ , and define the nonnegative, increasing functionals  $\gamma$ ,  $\theta$ , and  $\phi$  on  $P$ , respectively, as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \gamma(u) = & \min_{l \leq t \leq \eta} (-1)^{n-2} u^{(n-2)}(t) = (-1)^{n-2} u^{(n-2)}(\eta), \\
 \theta(u) = & \max_{\eta \leq t \leq 1} (-1)^{n-2} u^{(n-2)}(t) = (-1)^{n-2} u^{(n-2)}(\eta), \\
 \phi(u) = & \min_{0 \leq t \leq l} (-1)^{n-2} u^{(n-2)}(t) = (-1)^{n-2} u^{(n-2)}(l).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.47}$$

Define the operator  $T : P \rightarrow X$  by

$$Tx(t) = - \int_t^1 \frac{(s-t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} f(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(s)) ds + \frac{(1-t)^{n-1}}{(1-\alpha)(n-1)!} \left[ \int_0^1 f(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(s)) ds - \alpha \int_\eta^1 f(s, x(s), x'(s), \dots, x^{(n-2)}(s)) ds \right]. \tag{2.48}$$

Let

$$\lambda = \frac{\eta(1-\eta)}{1-\alpha}, \quad \xi = \frac{1}{2}(1-\eta)^2 + \frac{\eta(1-\eta)}{1-\alpha}, \quad \lambda_l = \frac{l(1-l)}{1-\alpha}. \tag{2.49}$$

By a method similar to that of [Theorem 2.8](#), we have the following theorem and its proof is omitted.

**THEOREM 2.9.** *Suppose  $0 < a < (\lambda_r/\xi)b < \eta(\lambda_r/\xi)c$  and  $f$  satisfies the following conditions:*

- (D)  $(-1)^n f(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) > c/\lambda$  for  $(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) \in [\eta, 1] \times R_+^{n-2} \times [c, c/\eta]$ ;
- (E)  $(-1)^n f(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) < b/\xi$  for  $(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) \in [0, 1] \times R_+^{n-2} \times [0, b/\eta]$ ;
- (F)  $(-1)^n f(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) > a/\lambda_l$  for  $(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) \in [l, 1] \times R_+^{n-2} \times [a, a/l]$ .

Then the BVP (2.41) has at least two positive solutions  $u_1, u_2$  such that

$$a < \phi(u_1) \quad \text{with } \theta(u_1) < b, \quad b < \theta(u_2) \quad \text{with } y(u_2) < c. \tag{2.50}$$

We now denote  $\lambda', \xi'$ , and  $\lambda'_l$  by

$$\lambda' = \frac{1}{2}\eta^2 + \frac{\eta(1-\eta)}{1-\alpha}, \quad \xi' = \frac{\eta(1-\eta)}{1-\alpha}, \quad \lambda'_l = \frac{1}{2}l^2 + \frac{\alpha l(1-\eta)}{1-\alpha} + \frac{l(1-l)}{1-\alpha}. \tag{2.51}$$

**THEOREM 2.10.** *Suppose  $0 < a < lb < (\lambda/\xi)lc$ , and  $f$  satisfies the following conditions:*

- (A')  $f(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) < c/\lambda'$  for  $(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) \in [0, 1] \times R_+^{n-2} \times [0, c/\eta]$ ;
- (B')  $f(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) > b/\xi'$  for  $(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) \in [\eta, 1] \times R_+^{n-2} \times [b, b/\eta]$ ;
- (C')  $f(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) < a/\lambda'_l$  for  $(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) \in [0, 1] \times R_+^{n-2} \times [0, a/l]$ .

Then the BVP (1.3)-(1.4) admits at least two positive solutions  $u_1, u_2$  such that

$$a < \phi(u_1) \quad \text{with } \theta(u_1) < b, \quad b < \theta(u_2) \quad \text{with } y(u_2) < c. \tag{2.52}$$

We denote  $\lambda'$ ,  $\xi'$ , and  $\lambda'_r$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda' &= \frac{1}{2}(1-\eta)^2 + \frac{\eta(1-\eta)}{1-\alpha}, \\ \xi' &= \frac{\eta(1-\eta)}{1-\alpha}, \\ \lambda'_r &= \frac{1}{2}l^2 + \frac{l(1-l)}{1-\alpha} + \left(\frac{1-l}{1-\alpha} + \eta\right)(\eta-l) + (1+\eta-l)(1-\eta) - \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.53}$$

**THEOREM 2.11.** *Suppose  $0 < a < lb < (\xi'/\lambda')lc$ , and  $f$  satisfies the following conditions:*

- (D')  $(-1)^n f(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) < c/\lambda'$  for  $(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) \in [0, 1] \times R_+^{n-2} \times [0, c/\eta]$ ;
- (E')  $(-1)^n f(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) > b/\xi'$  for  $(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) \in [0, \eta] \times R_+^{n-2} \times [b, b/\eta]$ ;
- (F')  $(-1)^n f(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) < a/\lambda'_l$  for  $(t, w_0, w_1, \dots, w_{n-2}) \in [0, 1] \times R_+^{n-2} \times [0, a/l]$ .

Then the BVP (2.41) admits at least two positive solutions  $u_1, u_2$  such that

$$a < \phi(u_1) \quad \text{with } \theta(u_1) < b, \quad b < \theta(u_2) \quad \text{with } \gamma(u_2) < c. \tag{2.54}$$

**3. Applications.** In this section, we present the theorems which may be considered as the corollaries of Theorems 2.8, 2.9, 2.10, and 2.11, respectively.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Suppose that*

- (i)  $f_0 = \lim_{x_{n-2} \rightarrow 0} (f(t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2})/x_{n-2}) = +\infty$ ,  $f_\infty = \lim_{x_{n-2} \rightarrow +\infty} (f(t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2})/x_{n-2}) = +\infty$  are uniform in  $t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}$ ;
- (ii) there is  $0 < \eta < l < 1$  and  $x_0 > 0$  such that

$$f(t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}) < \frac{\eta}{\xi} x_0 \quad \text{for } (t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}) \in [0, 1] \times R_+^{n-2} \times [0, x_0], \tag{3.1}$$

where  $\lambda, \xi$ , and  $\lambda_l$  are given in Theorem 2.8.

Then BVP (1.3)-(1.4) has at least two positive solutions.

**PROOF.** Firstly, by (ii), choosing  $b = x_0\eta$ , one gets

$$f(t, w_0, \dots, w_{n-2}) < \frac{1}{\xi} b \quad \text{for } 0 \leq w_{n-2} \leq x_0, (t, w_0, \dots, w_{n-3}) \in [0, 1] \times R_+^{n-3}. \tag{3.2}$$

Secondly, choose  $K$  sufficiently large such that

$$K\lambda = K\left(\frac{\eta(1-\eta)}{1-\alpha}\right) > 1. \tag{3.3}$$

Since  $f_0 = +\infty$ , there is  $R_1 > 0$  sufficiently small such that

$$f(t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}) \geq Kx_{n-2} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq x_{n-2} \leq R_1, (t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-3}) \in [0, 1] \times R_+^{n-3}. \tag{3.4}$$

Without loss of generality, suppose  $R_1 \leq (\lambda_r/\xi)(1/\eta)b$ . Choose  $a > 0$  so that  $a < lR_1$  and  $a < (\lambda_r/l)b$ . For  $a \leq w_{n-2} \leq (1/l)a$ , we have  $w_{n-2} \leq R_1$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, w_0, \dots, w_{n-2}) &\geq Kw_{n-2} \geq Ka > \frac{a}{\lambda} \\ \text{for } a \leq w_{n-2} \leq \frac{1}{\eta}a, (t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-3}) &\in [0, 1] \times R_+^{n-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Thirdly, choose  $K_1$  sufficiently large such that

$$K_1\xi = K_1\left(\frac{l(1-l)}{1-\alpha}\right) > 1. \quad (3.6)$$

Since  $f_\infty = \infty$ , there is  $R_2 > 0$  sufficiently large such that

$$f(t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}) \geq K_1x_{n-2} \quad \text{for } x_{n-2} \geq R_2, (t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-3}) \in [0, 1] \times R_+^{n-3}. \quad (3.7)$$

Without loss of generality, suppose  $R_2 > (1/\eta)b$ . Choose  $c \geq R_2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, w_0, \dots, w_{n-2}) &\geq K_1w_{n-2} \geq K_1c > \frac{c}{\lambda_l} \\ \text{for } c \leq w_{n-2} \leq \frac{1}{l}c, (t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-3}) &\in [0, 1] \times R_+^{n-3}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Hence, it follows from the definition of  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  that

$$0 < a < \frac{\lambda_l}{\xi}b < \eta\frac{\lambda_l}{\xi}c, \quad (3.9)$$

and conditions in [Theorem 2.8](#) are satisfied. By [Theorem 2.8](#), BVP (1.3)-(1.4) has at least two positive solutions. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Suppose that*

- (i)  $f_0 = \lim_{x_{n-2} \rightarrow 0} (f(t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2})/x_{n-2}) = \infty$ ,  $f_\infty = \lim_{x_{n-2} \rightarrow +\infty} (f(t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2})/x_{n-2}) = \infty$  are uniform in  $t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}$ ;
- (ii) there are  $0 < l < \eta < 1$  and  $x_0 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{f(t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2})}{1-\eta} < \frac{\eta}{\xi}x_0 \quad \text{for } (t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}) \in [0, 1] \times R_+^{n-3} \times [0, x_0], \quad (3.10)$$

where  $\lambda$ ,  $\xi$ , and  $\lambda_l$  are given in [Theorem 2.9](#). Then BVP (2.41) has at least two positive solutions.

**PROOF.** The proof is similar to that of [Theorem 3.1](#) and is omitted. □

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Suppose that*

- (i)  $f_0 = \lim_{x_{n-2} \rightarrow 0} (f(t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2})/x_{n-2}) = 0$ ,  $f_\infty = \lim_{x_{n-2} \rightarrow +\infty} (f(t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2})/x_{n-2}) = 0$  are uniform in  $t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}$ ;
- (ii) there are  $0 < \eta < l < 1$  and  $x_0 > 0$  such that

$$f(t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}) > \frac{\eta}{\xi'} x_0 \quad \text{for } (t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}) \in [\eta, 1] \times \mathbb{R}_+^{n-3} \times [0, x_0], \quad (3.11)$$

where  $\xi'$  is given in [Theorem 2.10](#).

Then BVP (1.3)-(1.4) has at least two positive solutions.

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Suppose that*

- (i)  $f_0 = \lim_{x_{n-2} \rightarrow 0} (f(t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2})/x_{n-2}) = 0$ ,  $f_\infty = \lim_{x_{n-2} \rightarrow +\infty} (f(t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2})/x_{n-2}) = 0$  are uniform in  $t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}$ ;
- (ii) there are  $0 < l < \eta < 1$  and  $x_0 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{f(t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2})}{1 - \eta} > \frac{\eta}{\xi'} x_0 \quad \text{for } (t, x_0, \dots, x_{n-2}) \in [0, \eta] \times \mathbb{R}_+^{n-3} \times [0, x_0], \quad (3.12)$$

where  $\xi'$  is given in [Theorem 2.11](#).

Then BVP (2.41) has at least two positive solutions.

**PROOF.** The proofs of Theorems 3.3 and 3.4 are similar to that of [Theorem 3.1](#) and are omitted. □

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT.** The first author is supported by the Science Foundation of Educational Committee of Hunan Province and both authors are supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China.

### REFERENCES

- [1] R. P. Agarwal, *Boundary Value Problems for Higher Order Differential Equations*, World Scientific Publishing, New Jersey, 1986.
- [2] R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, *Singular differential, integral and discrete equations: the semipositone case*, Mosc. Math. J. **2** (2002), no. 1, 1-15.
- [3] R. P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, and P. J. Y. Wong, *Positive Solutions of Differential, Difference and Integral Equations*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999.
- [4] D. R. Anderson, *Multiple positive solutions for a three-point boundary value problem*, Math. Comput. Modelling **27** (1998), no. 6, 49-57.
- [5] D. R. Anderson and J. M. Davis, *Multiple solutions and eigenvalues for third-order right focal boundary value problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **267** (2002), no. 1, 135-157.
- [6] R. I. Avery, C. J. Chyan, and J. Henderson, *Twin solutions of boundary value problems for ordinary differential equations and finite difference equations*, Comput. Math. Appl. **42** (2001), no. 3-5, 695-704.
- [7] W. Feng, *On an M-point boundary value problem*, Nonlinear Anal. **30** (1997), no. 8, 5369-5374.
- [8] W. Feng and J. R. L. Webb, *Solvability of m-point boundary value problems with nonlinear growth*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **212** (1997), no. 2, 467-480.
- [9] D. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract Cones*, Notes and Reports in Mathematics in Science and Engineering, vol. 5, Academic Press, Massachusetts, 1988.

- [10] C. P. Gupta, *Solvability of a three-point nonlinear boundary value problem for a second order ordinary differential equation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **168** (1992), no. 2, 540-551.
- [11] ———, *A generalized multi-point boundary value problem for second order ordinary differential equations*, Appl. Math. Comput. **89** (1998), no. 1-3, 133-146.
- [12] C. P. Gupta and S. I. Trofimchuk, *A sharper condition for the solvability of a three-point second order boundary value problem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **205** (1997), no. 2, 586-597.
- [13] V. A. Il'in and E. I. Moiseev, *Nonlocal boundary value problem of the first kind for a Sturm-Liouville operator in its differential and finite difference aspects*, Differ. Equ. **23** (1987), no. 7, 803-810.
- [14] B. Liu, *Positive solutions of a nonlinear three-point boundary value problem*, Comput. Math. Appl. **44** (2002), no. 1-2, 201-211.
- [15] R. Ma, *Positive solutions for second-order three-point boundary value problems*, Appl. Math. Lett. **14** (2001), no. 1, 1-5.

Yuji Liu: Department of Applied Mathematics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, Hunan Institute of Technology, Yueyang, Hunan 414000, China

*E-mail address:* [liuyuji888@sohu.com](mailto:liuyuji888@sohu.com)

Weigao Ge: Department of Applied Mathematics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100081, China

*E-mail address:* [gew@bit.edu.cn](mailto:gew@bit.edu.cn)