

ON THE RANGES OF DISCRETE EXPONENTIALS

FLORIN CARAGIU and MIHAI CARAGIU

Received 3 December 2003

Let $a > 1$ be a fixed integer. We prove that there is no first-order formula $\phi(X)$ in one free variable X , written in the language of rings, such that for any prime p with $\gcd(a, p) = 1$ the set of all elements in the finite prime field F_p satisfying ϕ coincides with the range of the discrete exponential function $t \mapsto a^t \pmod{p}$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11T30, 11U09.

1. Introduction. Let $\phi(X)$ be a formula in one free variable X , written in the first-order language of rings. Then for every ring R with identity, $\phi(X)$ defines a subset of R consisting of all elements of R satisfying $\phi(X)$. For example, the formula $(\exists Y)(X = Y^2)$ will define in every ring R the set of perfect squares in R (for an introduction to the basic concepts arising in model theory of first-order languages, we refer to [5]).

The value sets (ranges) of polynomials over finite fields have been studied by various authors, and many interesting results have been proved (see [3, pages 379–381]). Note that if $f(X)$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients, the formula $(\exists Y)(X = f(Y))$ will define in every finite field F_q the value set of the function from F_q to F_q induced by f . The value sets of the discrete exponentials are no less interesting. For example, if $a > 1$ is an integer that is not a square, Artin's conjecture for primitive roots [4] implies that the range of the function $t \mapsto a^t \pmod{p}$ has $p - 1$ elements for infinitely many primes p . In the present note, we investigate the ranges of exponential functions

$$\exp_a : Z \rightarrow F_p, \quad \exp_a(t) = a^t \pmod{p}, \quad (1.1)$$

from the point of view of definability. Note that the range of $\exp_a : Z \rightarrow F_p$ coincides with $\langle a \rangle$, the cyclic subgroup of F_p^* generated by a (modulo p). Our main result will be the following.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $a > 1$ be a fixed integer. Then there is no formula $\phi(X)$ in one free variable X , written in the first-order language of rings, such that for any prime p with $\gcd(a, p) = 1$, the set of all elements in the finite prime field F_p satisfying ϕ coincides with the range of the discrete exponential $\exp_a : Z \rightarrow F_p$.*

Here is a brief outline of the proof. We will first prove a result (Theorem 2.1) concerning the existence of primes with respect to which a fixed integer $a > 1$ has sufficiently small orders. This, in conjunction with a seminal result of Chatzidakis et al. [1] on definable subsets over finite fields, will lead to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. Small orders modulo p . In what follows, we will prove that there exist infinitely many primes with respect to which a given integer $a > 1$ has “small order.” More precisely, the following result holds true.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $a > 1$ be an integer. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist infinitely many primes q such that $\text{ord}_q(a)$, the order of a modulo q , satisfies*

$$\text{ord}_q(a) < q\varepsilon. \quad (2.1)$$

PROOF. Let k be an integer satisfying

$$\frac{1}{k} < \varepsilon, \quad (2.2)$$

and let p be a prime satisfying

$$p > a, \quad (2.3)$$

$$p \equiv 1 \pmod{(k+1)!}. \quad (2.4)$$

Due to Dirichlet’s theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions [2], there are infinitely many primes p satisfying (2.3) and (2.4). We select a prime q with the property

$$q \mid 1 + a + a^2 + \cdots + a^{p-1}. \quad (2.5)$$

Note that both p and q are necessarily odd. Since from (2.5) it follows that

$$a^p \equiv 1 \pmod{q}, \quad (2.6)$$

the order $\text{ord}_q(a)$ can be either 1 or p . We will rule out the possibility $\text{ord}_q(a) = 1$. Indeed, if $\text{ord}_q(a) = 1$, then

$$q \mid a - 1. \quad (2.7)$$

On the other hand, $1 + X + X^2 + \cdots + X^{p-1} = (X - 1)Q(X) + p$ with $Q(X)$ a polynomial with integer coefficients, and therefore

$$1 + a + a^2 + \cdots + a^{p-1} = (a - 1)Q(a) + p. \quad (2.8)$$

From (2.5), (2.7), and (2.8) it follows $q \mid p$ and, since p, q are primes, $q = p$. This, together with (2.7), leads us to $p \mid a - 1$, and therefore $a > p$, which contradicts assumption (2.3). This leaves us with

$$\text{ord}_q(a) = p. \quad (2.9)$$

From (2.9) and from $a^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$ it follows that $p \mid q - 1$, so that

$$q = tp + 1 \quad (2.10)$$

for some positive integer t . We will show that $t > k$, so that

$$q > kp + 1. \tag{2.11}$$

Indeed, we assume, for contradiction, that $t \leq k$. From (2.4), we get $p = (k + 1)!s + 1$ for some positive integer s . Then

$$q = tp + 1 = t((k + 1)!s + 1) + 1 = t(k + 1)!s + (t + 1). \tag{2.12}$$

Note that $t + 1$ is, under the assumption $t \leq k$, a divisor of $(k + 1)!$. Then, from (2.12), q will be a multiple of $t + 1$, a contradiction, since $2 \leq t + 1 < q$. Thus, (2.11) holds true and, consequently, since $1/k < \varepsilon$, we get

$$\frac{\text{ord}_q(a)}{q} = \frac{p}{q} < \frac{p}{kp + 1} < \frac{1}{k} < \varepsilon, \tag{2.13}$$

which implies

$$\liminf \frac{\text{ord}_q(a)}{q} = 0, \tag{2.14}$$

where the infimum is taken over all primes $q > a$. This completes the proof of [Theorem 2.1](#). □

3. Proof of the main result. We now proceed to the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#). We will use the following result which is a corollary of the main theorem in [[1](#), page 108].

THEOREM 3.1. *If $\phi(X)$ is a formula in the first-order language of rings, then there are constants $A, C > 0$, such that for every finite field K , either $|\phi(K)| \leq A$ or $|\phi(K)| \geq C|K|$, where $\phi(K)$ is the set of elements of K satisfying ϕ .*

We are now ready to proceed to the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#). Assume, for contradiction, that for some integer $a > 1$ there exists a first-order formula $\phi(X)$ in the language of rings such that for every prime $p \nmid a$, we have

$$\phi(F_p) = \exp_a(F_p). \tag{3.1}$$

From (3.1) we get

$$|\phi(F_p)| = \text{ord}_p(a) \tag{3.2}$$

for all $p \nmid a$. Clearly,

$$\text{ord}_p(a) > \log_a(p) \tag{3.3}$$

for all $p \nmid a$. From (3.2), (3.3), and [Theorem 3.1](#), it follows that for every large enough prime p , we have

$$\text{ord}_p(a) \geq Cp. \tag{3.4}$$

Clearly, (3.4) is in contradiction to [Theorem 2.1](#) proved above, which implies that

$$\liminf \frac{\text{ord}_p(a)}{p} = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

REMARK 3.2. From [Theorem 1.1](#), it follows as an immediate corollary that, if $a > 1$ is a fixed integer, then there is no first-order formula $\phi(X)$ in the first-order language of rings, such that for any prime p , the set of all elements in F_p satisfying ϕ is $\{a^t \bmod p \mid t \geq 1\}$. Indeed, assuming such a formula exists, it would define in any F_p with $\text{gcd}(a, p) = 1$ the range of the discrete exponential $\exp_a : Z \rightarrow F_p$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The authors wish to thank the anonymous referees for the helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Chatzidakis, L. van den Dries, and A. Macintyre, *Definable sets over finite fields*, J. reine angew. Math. **427** (1992), 107–135.
- [2] H. Davenport, *Multiplicative Number Theory*, 3rd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 74, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [3] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, *Finite Fields*, 2nd ed., Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 20, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [4] M. R. Murty, *Artin's conjecture for primitive roots*, Math. Intelligencer **10** (1988), no. 4, 59–67.
- [5] P. Rothmaler, *Introduction to Model Theory*, Algebra, Logic and Applications, vol. 15, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Amsterdam, 2000.

Florin Caragiu: Department of Mathematics II, University Politehnica of Bucharest, Splaiul Independentei 313, 77206 Bucharest, Romania

E-mail address: f_caragiu@k.ro

Mihai Caragiu: Department of Mathematics, Ohio Northern University, Ada, OH 45810, USA

E-mail address: m-caragiu1@onu.edu