

BOUNDARY BEHAVIOR OF CAPILLARY SURFACES POSSIBLY WITH EXTREMAL BOUNDARY ANGLES

FEI-TSEN LIANG

Received 7 April 2005 and in revised form 25 October 2005

For solutions to the capillarity problem possibly with the boundary contact angle θ being 0 and/or π in a relatively open portion of the boundary which is C^2 , we will show that if the solution is locally bounded up to this portion of boundary, the trace of the solution on this portion is piecewise Lipschitz continuous and the solution is Hölder continuous up to the boundary, provided the prescribed mean curvature is bounded from above and from below. In the case where θ is not required to be bounded away from $\pi/2$, 0, and π , and the mean curvature $H(x, t_0)$ belongs to $L^p(\Omega)$ for some $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $p > n$, under the assumption that in a neighborhood of a relatively open portion of the boundary the solution is of rotational symmetry, the trace of the solution on this portion of the boundary is shown to be Hölder continuous with exponent $1/n$ if $n \geq 3$ and with exponent $1/3$ if $n = 2$.

1. Introduction

Given a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we are interested in regularity near the boundary $\partial\Omega$ for solutions $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ of the mean curvature equation

$$\operatorname{div} Tu = H(x, u) \quad \text{on } \Omega, \quad (1.1)$$

subject to the “contact angle” boundary condition

$$Tu \cdot \nu = \cos \theta \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \quad (1.2)$$

for a piecewise continuous function θ which takes its values in $[0, \pi]$, where

$$Tu = \frac{Du}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \quad (1.3)$$

and ν is the outward-pointing unit normal of $\partial\Omega$. Thus, geometrically, we are looking for a function u on $\bar{\Omega}$ whose graph has the prescribed mean curvature H and which meets the cylinder over the boundary in the prescribed angle θ . The function H is assumed to

be locally Lipschitz continuous on $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the structural conditions

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}(x, t) \geq 0, \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.4}$$

One of our main interests is in the case when θ takes the value 0 and/or π in a relatively open portion of $\partial\Omega$, at which the solution graph is required to meet the boundary cylinder vertically upward or downward; thus, when approaching this portion, the Euclidean norm of the gradient becomes unbounded, which makes this case mathematically more subtle than the case when θ is bounded away from 0 and π . We will show in this paper that if this portion of $\partial\Omega$ is C^2 and if the prescribed mean curvature is bounded from above and from below, then if the solution is bounded locally up to this portion of the boundary, the trace of the solution on this portion is piecewise Lipschitz continuous and the solution is Hölder continuous up to the boundary.

For the regularity result, no *a priori* regularity is imposed at the boundary and write the equation together with the contact angle boundary condition in the weak form as follows: let ν be any $C^0(\Omega)$ function which coincides on $\partial\Omega$ with the outward-pointing unit normal of $\partial\Omega$ and let $\theta : \partial\Omega \rightarrow [0, \pi]$ be a given function which is measurable with respect to $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure \mathcal{H}_{n-1} on $\partial\Omega \cap B_\rho$. Suppose that

$$u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap W^{1,1}(\Omega). \tag{1.5}$$

We say u satisfies the contact angle problem

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div} Tu &= H(x, u) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ Tu \cdot \nu &= \cos \theta \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

in the weak sense provided that

$$\int_{\Omega} (Tu \cdot D\eta + H(x, u)\eta) dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \cos \theta \cdot \eta d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}, \quad \text{for each } \eta \in W^{1,1}(\Omega). \tag{1.7}$$

We will establish the following theorem.

MAIN THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose $\theta \equiv 0$ or $\theta \equiv \pi$ in a relatively open subset $\tilde{\partial}\Omega$ of $\partial\Omega$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. If $\tilde{\partial}\Omega$ is C^2 and if the prescribed mean curvature $H(x, t)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$, satisfies (1.4), and is bounded in absolute value by a constant H_* ,*

$$|H(x, u)| \leq H_*, \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega. \tag{1.8}$$

Let $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ be a solution of (1.1) and (1.2) in the weak sense. Then the trace of u on $\tilde{\partial}\Omega$ is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz norm

$$(2^{n+6}(n+1)+2) \left(\frac{3\omega_n}{n} \right) \left(1 + 2H_* \left(\sup_{\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0)} u - \inf_{\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0)} u \right) \cdot H_* \right), \tag{1.9}$$

which is completely determined by H_* , n , and $(\sup_{\Omega} u - \inf_{\Omega} u)$. Furthermore, u is Hölder continuous up to $\tilde{\partial}\Omega$ with exponent determined by the same set of quantities.

We notice that the last statement follows from [14].

Suppose that $\theta, \partial\Omega$ are of the rotational symmetry with respect to the same symmetry axis. Furthermore, suppose that the same rotational symmetry of the function $H(x, z)$ is imposed on the first variable for every value of t .

We will establish in Section 4 the following, which is valid in particular in the case where θ is not required to be bounded away from $\pi/2, 0$, and π .

MAIN THEOREM 1.2. *Suppose that $\tilde{\partial}\Omega$ is a relatively open subset of $\partial\Omega$ which is C^2 . Let $\beta = \cos\theta$. Suppose that, at $x_0 \in \tilde{\partial}\Omega$, we have $(\beta x_0) = 0$, and is Lipschitz continuous in $\tilde{\partial}\Omega$ with Lipschitz constant L . Suppose that in a neighborhood \tilde{U} of $\tilde{\partial}\Omega$ in Ω , the function $u|_{\tilde{U}}$ is of rotational symmetry, and suppose that the prescribed mean curvature $H(x, t)$ is locally Lipschitz continuous on $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$, satisfies (1.4), and*

$$H(x, t_0) \in L^p(\Omega), \quad \text{for some } p, p > n, t_0 \leq u(x_0). \tag{1.10}$$

Let $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ be a solution of (1.1) and (1.2) in the weak sense. Then the trace of u on $\tilde{\partial}\Omega$ is Hölder continuous with exponent $1/n$. The Hölder norm is determined by n and $(\sup_{\Omega} u - \inf_{\Omega} u)$, L , and $H(x_0)$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. The following result is obtained by Korevaar and Simon in [8].

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Suppose that $H(x, t)$ is locally Lipschitz in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$, satisfies (1.4), and*

$$\left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \right| + \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i} \right| \leq \Lambda, \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega, z \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.1}$$

Suppose that $u \in C^2(\Omega \cap B_\rho) \cap W^{1,1}(\Omega \cap B_\rho)$ satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega \cap B_\rho} (Tu \cdot D\eta + H(x, u)\eta) dx = \int_{\partial\Omega \cap B_\rho} \cos\theta \cdot \eta d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}, \quad \text{for each } \eta \in W^{1,1}(\Omega \cap B_\rho), \tag{2.2}$$

with $\theta \equiv 0$ on $\partial\Omega \cap B_\rho$. Suppose that $\partial\Omega \cap B_\rho$ is of class C^2 and u is bounded locally up to the boundary in $\overline{\Omega} \cap B_\rho$. Then,

$$T^*u \equiv \frac{(Du, -1)}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \in C^0(\overline{\Omega} \cap B_{\rho/2}) \tag{2.3}$$

and u satisfies the boundary condition in a classical way (i.e., (1.2) holds with $\theta \equiv 0$ on $\partial\Omega \cap B_{\rho/2}$). Furthermore, considered as a function on the graph of $u|_{\Omega \cap B_{\rho/2}}$, T^*u is Lipschitz continuous. Furthermore,

$$(\text{closure graph } u) \cap (\Omega \cap B_{\rho/2}) \times \mathbb{R} \tag{2.4}$$

is contained in a properly embedded $C^{1,1}$ submanifold of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

We notice that this result does not yield the regularity of the trace of the solution obtained in this present work.

2.2. Simon and Spruck treat in [15] the case where Ω is C^4 , θ in (1.2) is $C^{1,\alpha}$ for some $0 < \alpha < 1$, and $H(x, t)$ is strictly monotone in t :

$$\inf_{x \in \Omega; t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}(x, t) > 0. \tag{2.5}$$

In case $0 < \theta < \pi$, the existence of a solution $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ of (1.1) and (1.2) is established in [15]. In case θ is allowed to take the values 0 and/or π , setting

$$\begin{aligned} S_1^+ &= \{x : x \in \partial\Omega, \theta \equiv 0 \text{ in some neighborhood of } x\}, \\ S_1^- &= \{x : x \in \partial\Omega, \theta \equiv \pi \text{ in some neighborhood of } x\}, \\ S_2 &= \{x : x \in \partial\Omega, 0 < \theta < \pi\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

a function $u \in C^2(\Omega \cup S_2)$ is shown to exist in [15] which satisfies (1.1) in Ω and satisfies (1.2) on S_2 ; furthermore, u is Hölder continuous at each point of $S_1^+ \cup S_1^-$, has a restriction to $\partial\Omega$ which is Lipschitz continuous at each point of $S_1^+ \cup S_1^-$, and (1.2) is satisfied on S_1^\pm in the sense that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon^+ \rightarrow 0} \int_{U \cap \Omega_\varepsilon} |Tu \cdot \nu \pm 1| dx = 0, \quad \text{for each } U \subset \Omega \text{ with } \overline{U} \cap \partial\Omega \subset S_1^\mp, \tag{2.7}$$

assuming that Tu is extended to some boundary strip Ω_ε with width ε so that it is constant along the normals to $\partial\Omega$. This regularity result is obtained by first establishing estimates of the tangential derivatives under the condition that $|\cos \theta| \leq \gamma < 1$ for some positive constant γ ; in case θ is constant in a neighborhood of the point under consideration, this estimate of tangential derivatives is independent of γ . This proves the Lipschitz continuity of the trace of u on $\partial\Omega$, which yields Hölder continuity of u together with [14, Theorem 2]. Estimates for the tangential derivatives are obtained by performing a transformation of coordinates near the boundary analogously to that in [14], together with a subsequent differentiation of (1.1), (1.2), and inserting (2.5) into the resultant identities. The disadvantage of these proofs is that H is supposed to satisfy the *strict* inequality (2.5) rather than the less restrictive condition (1.4).

2.3. In contrast, the following estimates for the boundary oscillation of u is established in [10, Main Theorem III].

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ be a bounded solution to (1.1) and (1.2) in the weak sense of (1.4). Suppose that for positive constants $\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\beta} \leq 1$ and a ball $B_R(x_0)$ intersecting the interior of Ω , the function $\cos \theta$ is continuous on $\partial\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)$ and such that either of the inequalities*

$$\tilde{\beta} \leq \cos \theta \leq \tilde{\beta}, \quad -\tilde{\beta} \geq \cos \theta \geq -\tilde{\beta} \tag{2.8}$$

holds for all $x \in \partial\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)$, and such that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}^\pm(x) &= H\left(x, \pm \inf_{\partial\Omega} u\right) \in L^p(\Omega), \quad \hat{H}^\pm(x) = H\left(x, \pm \sup_{\partial\Omega} u\right) \in L^p(\Omega), \\ H(x, 0) &\in L^1(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Suppose $\partial\Omega$ is piecewise Lipschitz continuous with possible outward and/or inward cusps. Then the trace of u on $\partial\Omega$ is Lipschitz continuous in $\partial\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)$ if $\partial\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)$ is either C^2 or is the graph of a Lipschitz continuous function with Lipschitz constant L such that $\tilde{\beta}\sqrt{1+L^2} < 1$. The Lipschitz constant of the trace of u on $\partial\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)$ depends only on H, n , together with the constants $\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\beta}$, and $\mathcal{H}_{\partial\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)}$, where $\mathcal{H}_{\partial\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)}$ is an upper bound for the absolute value of the principal curvatures of $\partial\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)$ in case $\partial\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)$ is C^2 and $\mathcal{H}_{\partial\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)} = \sqrt{1+L^2}$ in case $\partial\Omega \cap B_R(x_0)$ is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant L .

We notice that (2.9) hold in particular if $|H(x, t)|$ is bounded in $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$.

This result is established by modifying the approach taken in [3, 4, 5], which is based on the minimizing property satisfied by u and the following result due to Stampacchia [16, Lemma 4.1].

LEMMA 2.3 (Stampacchia). *Suppose that $\phi(t)$ is a nonnegative nondecreasing function defined on \mathbb{R} such that for some constant C, k_0 , and γ , there holds*

$$(h - k) \cdot \phi(t) \leq C \cdot [\phi(k)]^\gamma, \quad \text{for each } h > k \geq k_0. \tag{2.10}$$

Then,

$$h^{1/(1-\gamma)} \cdot \phi(h) \leq 2^{1/(1-\gamma)^2} \cdot \left\{ C^{1/(1-\gamma)} + (2k_0)^{1/(1-\gamma)} \cdot \phi(k) \right\}, \quad \text{if } \gamma < 1, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\phi(k_0 + \tau) = 0, \quad \text{if } \gamma > 1, \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$\tau = 2^{\gamma/(\gamma-1)} \cdot C \cdot [\phi(k_0)]^{\gamma-1}. \tag{2.13}$$

Let $\phi(t)$ be the area of the level set of a function ηu , where η is a suitably chosen cut-off function in a suitably chosen domain. The identity (2.12) is applied to prove [10, Proposition 2], once the inequality (2.11) is shown to hold for some $\gamma > 1$. To make this feasible, the condition (2.8) is crucial. At neighborhoods of points where $\theta = 0, \pi$, or $\pi/2$,

the condition (2.8), however, is not satisfied. Main Theorem 1.1 treats the cases that $\theta \equiv 0$ or π in a relatively open subset of $\partial\Omega$. Main Theorem 1.2 treats the case that $\theta = \pi/2$ at a point of $\partial\Omega$ near which β is Lipschitz continuous.

The proof of Main Theorem 1.2 will be based on another modification of the technique used in [3, 4, 5]. The inequalities (2.10) will be shown to hold for some $\gamma_i < 1$, $i = 0, 1, \dots$, iteratively with ϕ being the level set of some function $\eta_i u$, where η_i are suitably chosen cut-off functions in some suitably chosen domains depending on i . Using (2.11), the exponent γ_i will strictly increase as the number of times i of iteration increases. After a finite number of times of iteration, the exponent becomes greater than 1 and the identity (2.12) can be applied.

We will obtain the inequality (4.32) below. In order to estimate the third and last terms on the right-hand side of (4.32), we have to control the size of the level set of the function $\eta_i u$. For this purpose, we impose the restrictions that Ω , β , and hence u are of the same rotational symmetry. The rotational symmetry of Ω enables us to choose η_i to be of the same rotational symmetry, and hence control the size of the level set of the function $\eta_i u$. In order to estimate the fifth and sixth terms on the right-hand side of (4.32), we impose the restriction that $\beta(x_0) = 0$ and β is Lipschitz continuous in a neighborhood of x_0 or $\partial\Omega$.

2.4. As for the regularity near the boundary, the following result is established in [11] in which the region $A_r(\hat{x})$, for small positive number r , is chosen as follows. Namely, setting

$$\partial^* \Omega_t = \{x : x \in \Omega, \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) = t\} \quad \text{for } t > 0, \tag{2.14}$$

we let the boundary $\partial(\Omega \cap A_r(\hat{x}))$ be made up of three parts:

$$(\partial\Omega \cap \overline{A_r(\hat{x})}) \cup (\partial^* A_r(\hat{x})) \cup (\partial^{**} A_r(\hat{x})), \tag{2.15}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial^* A_r(\hat{x}) &\subset \partial^* \Omega_r, & \partial^{**} A_r(\hat{x}) &= (\partial A_r(\hat{x}) \cap \Omega) \setminus \partial^* \Omega_r, \\ Dd \cdot \nu_{\Omega \cap A_r(\hat{x})} |_{\partial^{**} A_r(\hat{x})} &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

where we let $\nu_{\Omega \cap A_r(\hat{x})}$ be the unit outward normal to $\partial(\Omega \cap A_r(\hat{x}))$; furthermore,

$$\text{diam}(\partial\Omega \cap \overline{A_r(\hat{x})}) \leq r, \quad \text{diam}(\partial^* A_r(\hat{x})) \leq r, \tag{2.17}$$

and $\partial\Omega \cap A_r(\hat{x})$ and $\partial^* A_r(\hat{x})$ include balls of radius $(r/2)^{n-1}$ centered, respectively, at \hat{x} and a point at distance r from \hat{x} . We established in [11] the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.4. Let $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ be a solution to (1.1) and (1.2) in the weak sense. Let $|H(x, t)|$ be bounded by the constant H_* in $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that for a point $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, there exist positive constants $\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\beta} < 1$, and a sufficiently small positive number δ_0 such that one of the inequalities in (2.8) holds for $x \in \partial\Omega \cap \overline{A_{\delta_0}(x_0)}$. Suppose that δ_0 is so small that $\partial\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0)$ is of class C^2 . Then u is Lipschitz continuous in $\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0)$ up to the boundary; for each positive number τ , the Lipschitz norm of u in $\overline{\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0)}$ is determined by $\tau, H_*, n, \tilde{\beta}, \tilde{\beta}, \mathcal{H}_{\partial\Omega \cap \overline{A_{\delta_0}(x_0)}}$, and $|\Omega|$; here $\mathcal{H}_{\partial\Omega \cap \overline{A_{\delta_0}(x_0)}}$ is an upper bound for the absolute value of the principal curvatures of $\partial\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0)$.

2.5. The main part of [11] is devoted to estimating the L^1 -norm of u which begins with writing (1.1) and (1.2) in weak form (1.7) in which the assumed boundedness of $|u|$ allows us to take the test function to be $(u(x) - \inf_{\Omega} u)$ or $(\sup_{\Omega} u - u(x))$. The resultant inequalities suggest to us to restrict u to a small region $\Omega \cap A$ of the type indicated in the beginning of [11, Section 3], which is analogous to that of $A_r(x_0)$ above. In [11, Section 4], a modified version of Sobolev inequality is applied to obtain estimates of $\int_{\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0)} (\sup_{\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0)} u - u(x)) dx$ and $\int_{\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0)} (u(x) - \inf_{\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0)} u) dx$. In order to apply this modified version of Sobolev inequality, we have to apply the condition (2.8) to treat the boundary integrals $\int_{\partial^{**}A_{\delta_0}(x_0)} (\sup_{\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0)} u - u(x)) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$ and $\int_{\partial^{**}A_{\delta_0}(x_0)} (u(x) - \inf_{\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0)} u) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}$. At neighborhoods of points where $\theta = 0, \pi$, or $\pi/2$, the condition (2.8), however, is not satisfied.

The proof of Proposition 2.4 is based on a modification of the reasoning by Giusti [7, pages 312-313], which leads to estimates for the oscillation of u in terms of the L^1 -norm of u , under the conditions that either the subgraph of u or the complement of the subgraph of u includes a large portion of a sufficiently small cylinder-type region around $u(x_0), x_0 \in \partial\Omega$. Such conditions are proved in [7, Theorem 3.2] to be fulfilled by capillary surfaces with boundary contact angle bounded away from 0 or π . In order to prove Main Theorem 1.1, we will rephrase this result in [7] as Theorem 3.1 below.

3. Proof of Main Theorem 1.1

3.1. Estimates of the oscillation of u in terms of the L^1 -norm of u .

3.1.1. Equation (1.1) is the Euler equation of the functional

$$\mathcal{F}_*(v) = \int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1 + |Dv|^2} dx + n \int_{\Omega} \int_0^v H(x, t) dt dx, \tag{3.1}$$

and corresponding to the capillarity problem with boundary contact angle θ is the problem of minimizing the functional

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_*(v) + \int_{\partial\Omega} (\cos\theta)v d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \tag{3.2}$$

among all $v \in BV(\Omega)$.

Miranda [13] introduced the notion of *generalized solutions* for the minimal surface equation. Giusti [6, 7] used the analogous notion of generalized solutions for the mean curvature equation. The idea of *generalized solutions* originates from the observation that

a function $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a solution of (1.1) if and only if its subgraph

$$U = \{(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}, t < u(x)\} \tag{3.3}$$

minimizes the functional

$$\mathbb{F}_*(U) = \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}} |D\phi_U| + \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}} H\phi_U dx dt \tag{3.4}$$

locally in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$, in the sense that for every V coinciding with U outside some compact set $K \subset \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\int_K |D\phi_U| + \int_K H\phi_U dx dt \leq \int_K |D\phi_V| + \int_K H\phi_V dx dt; \tag{3.5}$$

here and in the following, ϕ_V is the characteristic function of the set V :

$$\phi_V(x, t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x, t) \in V, \\ 0 & \text{if } (x, t) \notin V. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

Moreover, a function $u \in BV(\Omega)$ minimizes \mathcal{F} in Ω if and only if its subgraph minimizes the functional

$$\mathbb{F}(U) = \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}} |D\phi_U| + \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}} H\phi_U dx dt + \int_{\partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R}} (\cos\theta)\phi_U d\mathcal{H}_n. \tag{3.7}$$

Minimization is here to be understood in the following sense: for $T > 0$, set

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \Omega \times [-T, T], \\ \delta Q &= \partial\Omega \times [-T, T], \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

and for $U \subset Q$,

$$\mathbb{F}_T(U) = \int_{Q_T} |D\phi_U| + \int_{Q_T} H\phi_U dx dt + \int_{\delta Q_T} (\cos\theta)\phi_U d\mathcal{H}_n. \tag{3.9}$$

We say that U minimizes \mathbb{F}_T in Q_T if

$$\mathbb{F}_T(U) \leq \mathbb{F}_T(S) \tag{3.10}$$

for every Caccioppoli set $S \subset Q_T$. We say that U minimizes \mathbb{F} in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ if U minimizes \mathbb{F}_T in Q_T for every $T > 0$. A function $u : \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is called a *generalized solution* of (1.1) in Ω if its subgraph U minimizes the functional \mathbb{F}_* locally in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$. A function $u : \Omega \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty]$ is called a *generalized solution* of the functional \mathcal{F} if its subgraph U minimizes the functional \mathbb{F} in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.

A generalized solution can take the values $\pm\infty$ on sets of positive measure. However, a locally bounded generalized solution $u(x)$ is a classical solution of (1.1) in Ω . A bounded generalized solution of the functional \mathcal{F} is a solution of (1.1) and (1.2) in the weak sense. Thus, by the uniqueness (up to an additive constant) of the weak solution of (1.1) and (1.2) in the sense (1.7) (cf. [2, Theorems 7.6 and 7.9]), each weak solution of (1.1) and (1.2) in the sense (1.7) is a generalized solution of the functional \mathcal{F}_* .

Let u be a weak solution to (1.1) and (1.2) in the sense (1.4). For points $\hat{z} = (\hat{x}, \hat{t}) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$, we now consider the sets

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_\varepsilon^+(\hat{z}) &= \{(x, t) : |u(x) - u(\hat{x})| < \varepsilon, 0 < t - u(\hat{x}) < \varepsilon\}, \\ \tilde{C}_\varepsilon^-(\hat{z}) &= \{(x, t) : |u(x) - u(\hat{x})| < \varepsilon, 0 < u(\hat{x}) - t < \varepsilon\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

and let

$$\tilde{U}_\varepsilon^+(\hat{z}) = U \cap \tilde{C}_\varepsilon^+(\hat{z}), \quad \tilde{U}_\varepsilon^-(\hat{z}) = U \cap \tilde{C}_\varepsilon^-(\hat{z}). \tag{3.12}$$

Based on the minimizing property of the subgraph of the function u , the following result can be established, whose proof is essentially identical with that of corresponding results in [7].

THEOREM 3.1. *Let u be a weak solution to (1.1) and (1.2) in the sense (1.7). Let U be the subgraph of u . Suppose that there exists a constant $\hat{\gamma}$, $0 \leq \hat{\gamma} < 1$, such that there holds either*

$$\beta(x) \geq -\hat{\gamma}, \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega \cap \overline{\tilde{C}_\varepsilon^\pm(\hat{z})}, \tag{3.13}$$

or

$$\beta(x) \leq \hat{\gamma}, \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega \cap \overline{\tilde{C}_\varepsilon^\pm(\hat{z})}. \tag{3.14}$$

Suppose further that for some constant μ with $\mu\hat{\gamma} < 1$ and C_Ω depending only on Ω , an inequality

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} v \, dx \leq \mu \int_{\Omega} |Dv| \, dx + C_\Omega \int_{\Omega} |v| \, dx \tag{3.15}$$

holds for all $v \in \text{BV}(\Omega)$. If

$$|\tilde{U}_\varepsilon^\pm(\hat{z})| > 0, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0, \tag{3.16}$$

then there exist positive constants T_* and α_* determined by $n, \inf_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}} H, \hat{\gamma}, \mathcal{H}_{\partial\Omega \cap \overline{\tilde{C}_\varepsilon^\pm(\hat{z})}}, R_0$, and the geometry of Ω such that

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} |\tilde{U}_\varepsilon^\pm(\hat{z})| \geq \alpha_* \cdot (n+1) \cdot |\tilde{U}_\varepsilon^\pm(\hat{z})|^{n/(n+1)}, \tag{3.17}$$

and hence

$$|\tilde{U}_\varepsilon^\pm(\hat{z})| > \alpha_* \varepsilon^{n+1}, \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon \leq T_*. \tag{3.18}$$

The value of α_* can be specified as

$$\alpha_* = \frac{1 - \hat{\gamma}}{16(n+1)k_{(n+1)}}, \tag{3.19}$$

with $k_{(n+1)}$ being the constant for the isoperimetric inequality in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

We notice that Giusti in [7, Lemma 1.1] establishes (3.15) for $\mu = 1$ in the case that $\partial\Omega \in C^2$.

We also notice that an inequality of the form (3.15) appears first by Emmer in [1] with $\mu = \sqrt{1+L^2}$ for any Lipschitz domain with Lipschitz constant L . By Finn [2, pages 141–143], this result is extended to include domains in which one or more corners with inward opening angle appear.

3.1.2. The result in Theorem 3.1 is connected with the following estimates of $u(x) - u(x_0)$ in terms of the measure of an associated level set.

For $x_0 \in \bar{\Omega}$, $T \in \mathbb{R}$, $T > 0$, let us set

$$\tilde{A}_T^+(x_0) = \{x : x \in \bar{\Omega}, u(x) - u(x_0) \leq T\}, \tag{3.20}$$

and set $\hat{z}_0 = (x_0, u(x_0))$. Under the assumption that there exists a constant $\hat{\gamma}$, $0 \leq \hat{\gamma} < 1$, such that (3.13) holds, by Theorem 3.1 there exist positive constants T_* and α_* such that

$$|\tilde{U}_\varepsilon^+(\hat{z}_0)| > \alpha_* \varepsilon^{n+1} \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon \leq T_*, \text{ whenever } |\tilde{U}_\varepsilon^+(\hat{z}_0)| > 0 \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0. \tag{3.21}$$

Since $u \in C^0(\bar{\Omega})$, we have $|\tilde{U}_T^+(\hat{z}_0)| \leq T \cdot |\tilde{A}_T^+(x_0)|$, from which and using (3.21), we obtain

$$T \leq \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_*} |\tilde{A}_T^+(x_0)| \right)^{1/n}, \quad \text{for } T \leq T_*. \tag{3.22}$$

Analogously, for $x_0 \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $T \in \mathbb{R}$, $T > 0$, let us set

$$\tilde{A}_T^-(x_0) = \{x : x \in \bar{\Omega}, u(x_0) - u(x) \leq T\}. \tag{3.23}$$

Under the assumption that there exists a constant $\hat{\gamma}$, $0 \leq \hat{\gamma} < 1$, such that (3.14) holds, by Theorem 3.1 there exist positive constants T_* and α_* such that

$$|\tilde{U}_\varepsilon^-(\hat{z}_0)| > \alpha_* \varepsilon^{n+1}, \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon \leq T_*, \text{ whenever } |\tilde{U}_\varepsilon^-(\hat{z}_0)| > 0 \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0, \tag{3.24}$$

holds. We have analogously

$$T \leq \left(\frac{1}{\alpha_*} |\tilde{A}_T^-(x_0)| \right)^{1/n}, \quad \text{for } T \leq T_*. \tag{3.25}$$

3.2. The Lipschitz continuity of the trace on the boundary: Proof of Main Theorem 1.1.

Assume that there exists a nonnegative constant H_* such that

$$|H(x, t)| \leq H_*, \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega, t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{3.26}$$

Analogously to [11, Section 4], we restrict our consideration to a small region $\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0)$ of the type indicated in the beginning of Section 2.4, for some positive number δ_0 .

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_-(\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0)) &= (\partial(\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0)) \setminus \partial^{**}A_{\delta_0}(x_0)) \cap \{x : \beta_{\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0)} < 0\}, \\ \partial_+(\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0)) &= (\partial(\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0)) \setminus \partial^{**}A_{\delta_0}(x_0)) \cap \{x : \beta_{\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0)} > 0\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

and let

$$t_A^{+-} = \inf_{\partial_+(\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0))} u - u(x_0), \quad t_A^{-+} = \sup_{\partial_-(\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0))} u - u(x_0). \tag{3.28}$$

Let us set

$$\begin{aligned} (\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0))^+ &= \{x : x \in \Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0), u(x) - u(x_0) \geq t_A^{+-}\}, \\ (\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0))^- &= \{x : x \in \Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0), u(x) - u(x_0) \leq t_A^{-+}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

3.2.1. We notice that the following result holds when $\theta \equiv 0$ or $\theta \equiv \pi$ on a portion of $\partial\Omega$.

LEMMA 3.2. *Suppose that $\beta(x) \equiv 1$ or $\beta(x) \equiv -1$ on $\partial\Omega \cap \bar{A}_{\delta_0}(x_0)$. For δ_0 sufficiently small, $(\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0))^+$ and $(\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0))^-$ are disjoint; in particular, $\partial((\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0))^\pm)$ does not intersect $\partial_\mp(\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0))$.*

Proof. Since u is assumed to be bounded up to the boundary, [10, Theorem 1] assures us that $u \in H^{1,1}(\Omega)$. From this and the fact that the restriction $u|_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\epsilon}$ is a minimizing function of the functional

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\epsilon} \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2} dx + \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \cap \Omega} H u dx + \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \cap \Omega} (Tu \cdot \nu_{\Omega_\epsilon}) u d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}, \tag{3.30}$$

with ν_{Ω_ϵ} being the unit outward normal to Ω_ϵ , we are allowed to set $\eta = 1$ in the identities

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{Du}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \cdot D\eta dx + \int_{\Omega} H \cdot \eta dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \beta \cdot \eta d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}, \tag{3.31}$$

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\epsilon} \frac{Du}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \cdot D\eta dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_\epsilon} H \cdot \eta dx = \int_{\partial\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \partial\Omega} (Tu \cdot \nu_{\Omega_\epsilon}) \cdot \eta d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}; \tag{3.32}$$

here $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{x : x \in \Omega, \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \leq \varepsilon\}$ for sufficiently small ε . Subtracting (3.32) (with $\eta = 1$) from (3.31) (with $\eta = 1$), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} H dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \beta d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} - \int_{\partial\Omega} (Tu \cdot \nu_{\Omega_\varepsilon}) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}. \tag{3.33}$$

Since the left-hand side of the last identity approaches zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, a subsequence can be extracted from the sequence $\{Tu \cdot \nu_{\Omega_\varepsilon}(x + Dd)\}$ with $d(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$, which approaches $\beta(x)$ for almost every $x \in \partial\Omega$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Under the assumption $|\beta(x)| = 1$ on $\partial\Omega \cap A(x_0)$, this, together with the interior regularity of u , yields that for each $\delta_1, 0 < \delta_1 < 1$, if δ_0 is sufficiently small, then

$$|Tu \cdot \nu_{\Omega_\varepsilon}| \geq \frac{1 - \delta_1}{2}, \tag{3.34}$$

for $x \in \partial\Omega_\varepsilon \cap \Omega, \varepsilon \leq \delta_0$. Thus, if $\beta \equiv 1$ on $\partial\Omega \cap \overline{A}_{\delta_0}(x_0)$ and δ_0 is sufficiently small, the level set $\{x : x \in \Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0), u(x) = t_A^{+-}\}$ intersects $\partial\Omega$ at the angle 0 and stays almost parallel to $\partial\Omega$ and the level set $\{x : x \in \Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0), u(x) = t_A^{-+}\}$ intersects $\partial A_{\delta_0}(x_0) \cap \Omega$ at the angle 0 and stays almost parallel to $\partial A_{\delta_0}(x_0 \cap \Omega)$. Thus, if $\beta \equiv -1$ on $\partial\Omega \cap \overline{A}_{\delta_0}(x_0)$ and δ_0 is sufficiently small, the level set $\{x : x \in \Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0), u(x) = t_A^{-+}\}$ intersects $\partial\Omega$ at the angle 0 and stays almost parallel to $\partial\Omega$ and the level set $\{x : x \in \Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0), u(x) = t_A^{+-}\}$ intersects $\partial A_{\delta_0}(x_0) \cap \Omega$ at the angle 0 and stays almost parallel to $\partial A_{\delta_0}(x_0 \cap \Omega)$. From this, follows Lemma 3.2. \square

3.2.2. *Proof of Main Theorem 1.1.* Consider a point $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ with $\theta(x_0) = 0$ and choose δ_0 sufficiently small such that $\theta \equiv 0$ on $\partial\Omega \cap B_{\delta_0}(x_0)$. Choose the boundary strip $A_{\delta_0}(x_0)$ adjacent to $\partial\Omega \cap B_{\delta_0}(x_0)$ to be of width δ_0 and to be of the type indicated above. By the choice of $A_{\delta_0}(x_0)$, we have

$$|(\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0))^+| \leq |\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0)| \leq (2\delta_0)^n. \tag{3.35}$$

The fact that $\theta \equiv 0$ on $\partial\Omega \cap \overline{A}(x_0)$ and Lemma 3.2 allow us to set $\hat{\gamma} = -1/2$ in (3.19) and (3.22) with $T = t_A^{+-}$ and $\tilde{A}_T^+(x_0) = (\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0))^+$. Inserting (3.35) into (3.22), we establish Main Theorem 1.1 in case $\theta \equiv \pi$ near x_0 .

In case $\theta \equiv \pi$ in a portion of $\partial\Omega$, consider a point $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ with $\theta(x_0) = \pi$ and choose δ_0 sufficiently small such that $\theta \equiv \pi$ on $\partial\Omega \cap B_{\delta_0}(x_0)$. Choose the boundary strip $A_{\delta_0}(x_0)$ adjacent to $\partial\Omega \cap B_{\delta_0}(x_0)$ to be of width δ_0 and to be of the type indicated above. We have

$$|(\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0))^-| \leq |\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0)| \leq (2\delta_0)^n. \tag{3.36}$$

The fact that $\theta \equiv \pi$ on $\partial\Omega \cap \overline{A}(x_0)$ and Lemma 3.2 allow us to set $\hat{\gamma} = 1/2$ in (3.19) and (3.25) with $T = t_A^{-+}$ and $\tilde{A}_T^-(x_0) = (\Omega \cap A_{\delta_0}(x_0))^-$. Inserting (3.36) into (3.25), we establish Main Theorem 1.1 in case $\theta \equiv 0$ near x_0 .

4. Proof of Main Theorem 1.2

Let u be a bounded solution to (1.1) subject to the “contact angle” boundary condition (1.2). Choose a point $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$. Let \mathfrak{C}_{x_0} be the level set of u through x_0 . Since $|\beta|$ is bounded away from 1, the level set \mathfrak{C}_{x_0} divides Ω into two components Ω_1 and Ω_2 in which the respective inequalities $u(x) \geq u(x_0)$ and $u(x) \leq u(x_0)$ are valid at points near to \mathfrak{C}_{x_0} .

We choose, for sufficiently small t_0 , the set $\mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0)$ for $0 \leq \delta < 1$ as follows. Namely, setting $d(x) = \text{dist}(x, \mathfrak{C}_{x_0})$ for $x \in \Omega$ and letting

$$\partial^t \Omega_1 = \{x : x \in \Omega_1, \text{dist}(x, \mathfrak{C}_{x_0}) = t\} \quad \text{for } t > 0, \tag{4.1}$$

we let the boundary $\partial \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0)$ be made up of five parts, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0) &= (\partial_1^* \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0)) \cup (\partial_2^* \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0)) \cup (\partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0)) \\ &\cup (\partial_2^{**} \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0)) \cup (\partial_3^{**} \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0)), \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_1^* \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0) &\subset \mathfrak{C}_{x_0}, \\ \partial_2^* \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0) &\subset \partial^{(t_0)^{1-\delta}} \Omega_1, \\ \partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0) &\subset \partial\Omega, \\ \partial_2^{**} \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0) &\subset \{x : x \in \Omega, \text{dist}(x, \partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0)) = t_0\}, \\ \text{diam}(\partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0)) &\leq (t_0)^{1-\delta}, \quad \text{diam}(\partial_1^* \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0)) \leq t_0, \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

and $\partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0)$, $\partial_1^* \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0)$ include $(n - 1)$ -dimensional balls of the respective radii $(t_0)^{1-\delta}/2$, $t_0/2$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(t_0)^{(n-1)(1-\delta)} &\leq |\partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0)| \leq (t_0)^{(n-1)(1-\delta)}, \\ \frac{1}{2}(t_0)^{(n-1)} &\leq |\partial_1^* \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0)| \leq (t_0)^{(n-1)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Furthermore, $\partial_3^{**} \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0)$ consists of c_n components of $(n - 1)$ -dimensional surfaces which together with $\partial_1^* \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0)$, $\partial_2^* \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0)$, $\partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0)$, $\partial_2^{**} \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0)$ enclose the connected n -dimensional region $\mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0)$ such that

$$|\partial_3^{**} \mathcal{A}_{t_0}^\delta(x_0)| \leq 2c_n (t_0)^{(n-1)-(n-2)\delta}; \tag{4.5}$$

here c_n is a constant depending only on n .

Let η_0 be a smooth function on $\bar{\Omega}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \eta_0 \leq 1, \\ \eta_0(x) &= 1 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon/2}^\delta(x_0), \\ \eta_0(x) &= 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \partial_2^* \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0), \\ 4\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1-\delta} &\leq |D\eta_0| \leq 4\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1-\delta}, \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0) \setminus \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon/2}^\delta(x_0). \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Let k be a number greater than $\max(u(x_0), t_0, 0)$. We set

$$u_k = (1 - \eta_0)u + \min(\eta_0 u, k). \tag{4.7}$$

Then, u_k belongs to $BV(\Omega)$ and from the minimizing property of u , we know that setting

$$\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0) = \{x : x \in \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0) \cap \bar{\Omega}, \eta_0 u > k\}, \tag{4.8}$$

the restriction $u|_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)}$ is a capillary surface such that

$$J_k(u) \leq J_k(u_k), \tag{4.9}$$

where for $v \in C^1(\Omega)$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} J_k(v) &= \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \sqrt{1 + |Dv|^2} dx + \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \int_0^v H(x, t) dt dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial \mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0) \setminus \partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} (Tv \cdot \nu_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)}) v d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} + \int_{\partial \mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0) \cap \partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} \beta v d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

where $\nu_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)}$ is the unit outward normal to $\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)$ and we set $(f)^- = \min(f, 0)$ for all real-valued functions f . Assume for a moment that u is smooth, we obtain analogously to [9, equation (1.8)] that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2} dx + \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \int_{u_k}^u H(x, t) dt dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \sqrt{1 + |D[(1 - \eta_0)u]|^2} dx + \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0) \cap \partial_1^* \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} \beta(\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0) \cap \partial_0^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} \beta_1(\eta u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} - \int_{\partial \mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \frac{u - (D\eta_0 \cdot \nu_0)^-}{\sqrt{1 + u^2 |D\eta_0|^2}} d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \sqrt{1 + (1 - \eta_0)^2 |Du|^2} dx + \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \sqrt{1 + u^2 |D\eta_0|^2} dx \\
 &\quad - \int_{\partial \mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} u \frac{(D\eta_0 \cdot \nu_0)^-}{\sqrt{1 + u^2 |D\eta_0|^2}} d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0) \cap \partial_0^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} \beta_1 (\eta_0 - 1) (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0) \cap \partial_1^* \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} \beta (\eta_0 - 1) (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1},
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

where we set $\partial_0^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0) = \partial_3^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0) \cup \partial_2^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)$ and $\beta_1 = Tu \cdot \nu_{\mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)}$, with $\nu_{\mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)}$ being the unit outward normal to $\mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)$ and ν_0 is the unit outward normal to $\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)$.

Setting $w = \max(\eta_0 u - k, 0)$, this and the monotonicity condition on H in (1.4) yield, analogously to [9, equation (1.10)],

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} |Dw| dx + \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} H_{t_0} w dx \\
 &\leq 2 |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)| + 2 \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |D\eta_0| \right) \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} u dx - \int_{\partial \mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} u \frac{(D\eta_0 \cdot \nu_0)^-}{\sqrt{1 + u^2 |D\eta_0|^2}} d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0) \cap \partial_0^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta_1| w d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} + \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0) \cap \partial_1^* \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta| w d\mathcal{H}_{n-1},
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where $H_{t_0} = H(x, t_0)$. From the modified version of Sobolev inequality in [12, Theorem 6.5.7], the monotonicity condition on H in (1.4), the condition (1.10) and Hölder’s inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left[\left(\frac{\omega_n}{n} \right) - \|H_{t_0}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \cdot |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{(p-n)/np} \right] \cdot \|w\|_{L_*^n(\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0))} \\
 &\leq 2 |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)| + 2 \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |D\eta_0| \right) \cdot \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} u dx \\
 &\quad - 2 \int_{\partial \mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} u \left(\frac{D\eta_0 \cdot \nu_0}{\sqrt{1 + u^2 |D\eta_0|^2}} \right)^- d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0) \cap \partial_0^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta_1| (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0) \cap \partial_1^* \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta| (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

for $n_* = n/(n - 1)$ and then, analogously to [9, equation (1.11)], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (h - k) \cdot |\mathcal{A}_0(h, \eta_0)| \\
 & \leq 2C_0 |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1+1/n} + 2C_0 \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |D\eta_0| \right) \cdot |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1/n} \cdot \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} u \, dx \\
 & \quad - 2C_0 \cdot |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1/n} \cdot \int_{\partial\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} u \left(\frac{D\eta_0 \cdot \nu_0}{\sqrt{1 + u^2 |D\eta_0|^2}} \right)^- d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 & \quad + 2C_0 \cdot |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1/n} \cdot \left[\int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_0^* \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta_1| (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \right. \\
 & \quad \quad \left. + \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta| (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \right]
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

for each $h > k > \max(u(x_0), t_0, 0)$, where

$$C_0 = 2 \left(\frac{n}{\omega_n} \right) \leq \left[\left(\frac{\omega_n}{n} \right) - \|H_{t_0}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \cdot |\mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)|^{(p-n)/np} \right]^{-1}, \tag{4.15}$$

with ε being so small that

$$\|H_{t_0}\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \cdot |\mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)|^{(p-n)/np} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\omega_n}{n} \right). \tag{4.16}$$

Setting, for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $\varepsilon_i = \sum_1^{i+2} (\varepsilon/2^i)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 0 \leq \eta_i \leq 1, \\
 & \eta_i(x) = 1 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_{i-1}}^\delta(x_0), \\
 & \eta_i(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \partial_2^* \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_i}^\delta(x_0), \\
 & 2^{i+2} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right)^{1-\delta} \leq |D\eta_0| \leq 2^{i+2} \sqrt{2} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right)^{1-\delta}, \quad \text{for } x \in \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_i}^\delta(x_0) \setminus \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_{i-1}}^\delta(x_0).
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

and letting

$$\mathcal{A}_i(k, \eta_i) = \{x : x \in A_{\varepsilon_i}(x_0), \eta_i u > k\} \tag{4.18}$$

we can, analogously to [9, equation (1.13)], derive the following inequality in case ε is so small that (4.16) and (4.5) hold, namely,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (h - k) \cdot |\mathcal{A}_i(h, \eta)| \\
 & \leq 2C_0 |\mathcal{A}_i(k, \eta_i)|^{1+1/n} + 2C_0 \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_i^\delta(x_0)} |D\eta_i| \right) \cdot |\mathcal{A}_i(k, \eta_i)|^{1/n} \cdot \int_{\mathcal{A}_i(k, \eta_i)} u \, dx \\
 & \quad - 2C_0 \cdot |\mathcal{A}_i(k, \eta_i)|^{1/n} \cdot \int_{\partial \mathcal{A}_i(k, \eta_i)} u \frac{(D\eta_i \cdot \nu_i)^-}{\sqrt{1 + |D\eta_i|^2}} \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 & \quad + 2C_0 \cdot |\mathcal{A}_i(k, \eta_i)|^{1/n} \cdot \left[\int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_i(k, \eta_i)} \cap \partial_0^{**} A_{\varepsilon_i}(x_0)} |\beta_{i-1}| (\eta_i u - k) \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \right. \\
 & \quad \quad \left. + \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_i(k, \eta_i)} \cap \partial_1^{**} A_{\varepsilon_i}(x_0)} |\beta| (\eta_i u - k) \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \right],
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

where $\partial_0^{**} A_{\varepsilon_i}(x_0) = \partial_2^{**} A_{\varepsilon_i}(x_0) \cup \partial_3^{**} A_{\varepsilon_i}(x_0)$ and $\beta_{i-1} = Tu \cdot \nu_{A_{\varepsilon_i}(x_0)}$, with $\nu_{A_{\varepsilon_i}}$ being the unit outward normal to $A_{\varepsilon_i}(x_0)$ and ν_i is the unit outward normal to $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_{i-1}}^\delta(x_0)$.

4.1. We will apply Lemma 2.3 stated in Section 2.3. We will adapt the iteration procedure on [4, pages 178-179] or [9] to increase the exponent in (4.19) so that (2.12) can be applied. Namely, we firstly let $(u\eta)^+ = \max(u\eta, 0)$ and conclude by (4.14) and (2.11) that

$$(u\eta_1)^+ \in L^{q_0}(\mathcal{A}_{7\varepsilon/8}^\delta(x_0)), \tag{4.20}$$

for any $q_0, 1 < q_0 < n/(n - 1)$ and that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(u\eta_1)^+\|_{q_0} & \leq 2^{q_0+1} \cdot \left(C_0 \cdot \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_{7\varepsilon/8}^\delta(x_0)} |D\eta_1| \right) \cdot \int_{\mathcal{A}_1(k, \eta_1)} u \, dx \right. \\
 & \quad + C_0 \cdot \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1(k, \eta_1)} \cap \partial_0^{**} \mathcal{A}_{7\varepsilon/8}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta_2| (\eta_1 u - k) \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 & \quad + C_0 \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1(k, \eta_1)} \cap \partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{7\varepsilon/8}^\delta(x_0)} \\
 & \quad - C_0 \int_{\partial \mathcal{A}_1(k, \eta_1)} u \frac{(D\eta_1 \cdot \nu_1)^-}{\sqrt{1 + u^2 |D\eta_1|^2}} \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 & \quad \left. + C_0 \cdot |\mathcal{A}_1(k, \eta_1)| + \max(u(x_0), t_0, 0) \cdot |\mathcal{A}_1(k, \eta_1)|^{1/q_0} \right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

where $\partial_0^{**} \mathcal{A}_{7\varepsilon/8}^\delta(x_0) = \partial_2^{**} \mathcal{A}_{7\varepsilon/8}^\delta(x_0) \cup \partial_3^{**} \mathcal{A}_{7\varepsilon/8}^\delta(x_0)$. Since $\eta_1 = 1$ in $\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0) \subset \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)$, we obtain from Hölder's inequality that

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} u \, dx \leq \| (u\eta_1)^+ \|_{q_0} \cdot |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1-1/q_0}. \tag{4.22}$$

Inserting the previous two inequalities into (4.14), we obtain, analogously to [9, equation (1.15)],

$$\begin{aligned} & (h - k) \cdot |\mathcal{A}_0(h, \eta_0)| \\ & \leq 2C_0 \cdot |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1+1/n} + 2C_0 \cdot \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |D\eta_0| \right) \\ & \quad \cdot \left\{ C_0 \cdot \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_{7\varepsilon/8}^\delta(x_0)} |D\eta_1| \right) \cdot \int_{\mathcal{A}_1(k, \eta_1)} u \, dx \right. \\ & \quad + C_0 \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1(k, \eta_1)} \cap \partial_0^{**} \mathcal{A}_{7\varepsilon/8}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta_2| (\eta_1 u - k) \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\ & \quad + C_0 \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_1(k, \eta_1)} \cap \partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{7\varepsilon/8}^\delta(x_0)} \\ & \quad - C_0 \int_{\partial \mathcal{A}_1(k, \eta_1)} u \frac{(D\eta_1 \cdot \nu_1)^-}{\sqrt{1 + u^2 |D\eta_1|^2}} \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} + C_0 \cdot |\mathcal{A}_1(k, \eta_1)| \\ & \quad \left. + \max(u(x_0), t_0, 0) \cdot |\mathcal{A}_1(k, \eta_1)|^{1/q_0} \right\} \cdot |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1-(1/q_0)+(1/n)} \\ & + 2C_0 |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1/n} \left[\int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_0^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta_1| (\eta_0 u - k) \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \right. \\ & \quad + \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta| (\eta_0 u - k) \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\ & \quad \left. - C_0 \int_{\partial \mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} u \left(\frac{(D\eta_0 \cdot \nu_0)^-}{\sqrt{1 + u^2 |D\eta_0|^2}} \right) \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \right]. \tag{4.23} \end{aligned}$$

Setting $(u\eta_2)^+ = \max(u\eta_2, 0)$, we derive, analogously to [9, equation (1.16.1)],

$$(u\eta_2)^+ \in L^{q_1}(\mathcal{A}_{15\varepsilon/16}^\delta(x_0)), \quad \text{for any } q_1, \quad 1 < q_1 < \frac{n}{n-2}, \tag{4.24}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned}
 (h - k) \cdot |\mathcal{A}_0(h, \eta_0)| &\leq 2C_0 \cdot |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1+1/n} + 2^{q_0+q_1+2} C_0 \cdot \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_{3\epsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |D\eta_0| \right) \\
 &\times \left\{ \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_{15\epsilon/16}^\delta(x_0)} |D\eta_2| \right) \cdot \left[C_0 \cdot \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_{31\epsilon/32}^\delta(x_0)} |D\eta_3| \right) \cdot \int_{\mathcal{A}_2(k, \eta_2)} u \, dx \right. \right. \\
 &\quad + C_0 \cdot \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_3(k, \eta_3)} \cap \partial_0^* \mathcal{A}_{31\epsilon/32}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta_4| (\eta_3 u - k) \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 &\quad + C_0 \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_3(k, \eta_3)} \cap \partial_1^* \mathcal{A}_{31\epsilon/32}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta| (\eta_3 u - k) \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 &\quad - C_0 \int_{\partial \mathcal{A}_3(k, \eta_3)} u \frac{(D\eta_3 \cdot \nu_3)^-}{\sqrt{1 + u^2 |D\eta_3|^2}} \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 &\quad \left. + C_0 \cdot |\mathcal{A}_3(k, \eta_3)| + \max(u(x_0), t_0, 0) \cdot |\mathcal{A}_3(k, \eta_3)|^{1/q_0} \right] \\
 &+ C_0 |\mathcal{A}_2(k, \eta_2)|^{1/q_0-1} \cdot \left[\int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_2(k, \eta_2)} \cap \partial_0^* \mathcal{A}_{15\epsilon/16}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta_3| (\eta_2 u - k) \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \right. \\
 &\quad + \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_2(k, \eta_2)} \cap \partial_1^* \mathcal{A}_{15\epsilon/16}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta| (\eta_2 u - k) \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 &\quad \left. - C_0 \int_{\partial \mathcal{A}_2(k, \eta_2)} u \frac{(D\eta_2 \cdot \nu_2)^-}{\sqrt{1 + u^2 |D\eta_2|^2}} \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \right] \\
 &+ C_0 \cdot |\mathcal{A}_2(k, \eta_2)|^{1/q_0} \\
 &+ \max(u(x_0), t_0, 0) \cdot |\mathcal{A}_2(k, \eta_2)|^{1/q_1} \left. \right\} \cdot |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1-(1/q_1)+(1/n)} \\
 &+ C_0 |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1/n} \left[\int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_0^* \mathcal{A}_{3\epsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta_1| (\eta_0 u - k) \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \right. \\
 &\quad + \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_1^* \mathcal{A}_{3\epsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta| (\eta_0 u - k) \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 &\quad \left. - C_0 \int_{\partial \mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} u \frac{(D\eta_0 \cdot \nu_0)^-}{\sqrt{1 + u^2 |D\eta_0|^2}} \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \right], \tag{4.25}
 \end{aligned}$$

for each $h > k > \max(u(x_0), t_0, 0)$ and $1 < q_1 < n/(n - 2)$.

4.2. We continue the process of iteration so that the exponent of $|\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|$ is increased by a number $1/(q_m - 1) - 1/q_m$ at each step,

$$1 < q_m < \left[1 - \frac{1}{n} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{q_{m-1}} \right) \right]^{-1} < \frac{n}{n - (m + 1)}, \tag{4.26}$$

and q_m can be arbitrarily close to $n/(n - (m + 1))$. After $n_0 + 1$ steps, $1 \leq n_0 \leq n - 1$, the exponent of $|\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|$ increased to become greater than 1. That is, setting, for $1 \leq m \leq n_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_m &= \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_{3\epsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |D\eta_0| \right) \cdot \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon n_0+1}(x_0)} |D\eta_{n_0+1}| \right) \cdot \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon n_0+2}(x_0)} |D\eta_{n_0+2}| \right) \\ &\quad \cdots \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon n_0+m}(x_0)} |D\eta_{n_0+m}| \right) \cdot \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon n_0+m+1}(x_0)} |D\eta_{n_0+m+1}| \right), \\ P_0 &= \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_{3\epsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |D\eta_0| \right), \quad P_{-1} = 1, \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

and letting

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C} &= \sum_{m=-1}^{n_0-1} (C_0)^m P_m \left(C_0 |\mathcal{A}_{n_0+m+1}(k, \eta_{n_0+m+1})|^{1/q_{n_0-m-2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \max(u(x_0), t_0, 0) |\mathcal{A}_{n_0+m+1}(k, \eta_{n_0+m+1})|^{1/q_{n_0-m-1}} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

with $q_{-1} = 1$, we finally arrive at, analogously to [9, equation (1.19)],

$$\begin{aligned} (h - k) \cdot |\mathcal{A}_0(h, \eta_0)| &\leq 2 |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1+1/n} + 2 \hat{C}_{n_0} |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{(1-(1/q_{n_0}))+(1/n)} \\ &\cdot \left[(C_0)^{n_0} P_{n_0} \int_{\mathcal{A}_{2n_0-1}(k, \eta_{2n_0-1})} u \, dx + \sum_{m=-1}^{n_0-1} (C_0)^m P_m \cdot |\mathcal{A}_{n_0+m}(k, \eta_{n_0+m})|^{1/q_{n_0-m-2}-1} \right. \\ &\cdot \left(\int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_{n_0+m}(k, \eta_{n_0+m})} \cap \partial_0^{**} \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon m}(x_0)} |\beta_{m+1}| (\eta_{n_0+m} u - k) \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_{n_0+m}(k, \eta_{n_0+m})} \cap \partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{\epsilon n_0+m}(x_0)} |\beta| (\eta_{n_0+m} u - k) \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - C_0 \int_{\partial \mathcal{A}_{n_0+m}(k, \eta_{n_0+m})} u \frac{(D\eta_{n_0+m} \cdot \nu_{n_0+m}(x_0))^-}{\sqrt{1 + u^2 |D\eta_{n_0+m}|^2}} \, d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \right) + \tilde{C} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ 2C_0 \cdot |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1/n} \cdot \left[\int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0) \cap \partial_0^{*\mathcal{A}_{3\epsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)}} |\beta_1| (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \right. \\
 &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0) \cap \partial_1^{*\mathcal{A}_{3\epsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)}} |\beta| (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 &\quad \left. - C_0 \int_{\partial \mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} u \frac{(D\eta_0 \cdot \nu_0)^-}{\sqrt{1 + u^2 |D\eta_0|^2}} d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \right],
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

for each $h > k > \max(u(x_0), t_0, 0)$, where

$$\left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{q_{n_0}} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{n} \geq 1 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq m \leq n_0, \tag{4.30}$$

and $\epsilon_{n_0} = \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} (\epsilon/2^i)$ and $\hat{C}_{n_0} = 2^{q_0+q_1+\dots+q_{n_0-1}+q_{n_0}}$. We may assume without loss of generality that

$$u(x_0) = 0, \quad t_0 \leq 0. \tag{4.31}$$

Thus, setting $\tilde{C}_0 = 2 + ((1/n) + (1/q_{n_0}))^{-1} + \hat{C}_0$, we obtain from this, (4.6), and (2.12) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sup_{\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon/2}^\delta(x_0)} u - u(x_0) &\leq 2^{\tilde{C}_0} C_0 \cdot |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1/n} + 2^{\tilde{C}_0} \tilde{C} \cdot |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{(1/n)-(1/q_{n_0})} \\
 &+ 2^{\tilde{C}_0} C_0 \left(\int_{\partial \mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} u \frac{(D\eta_0 \cdot \nu_0)^-}{\sqrt{1 + u^2 |D\eta_0|^2}} d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \right) |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1/n-1} \\
 &+ 2^{\tilde{C}_0} \cdot (C_0)^{n_0} \cdot P_{n_0} \cdot \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_\epsilon^\delta(x_0)} u - \inf_{\mathcal{A}_\epsilon^\delta(x_0)} u \right) \cdot |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1-(1/q_{n_0})+(1/n)} \\
 &+ 2^{\tilde{C}_0} \cdot \sum_{m=1}^{n_0-1} (C_0)^m P_m \cdot \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_\epsilon^\delta(x_0)} u - \inf_{\mathcal{A}_\epsilon^\delta(x_0)} u \right) \cdot [|\partial_0^{*\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon_m}^\delta(x_0)}| + |\partial_1^{*\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon_m}^\delta(x_0)}|] \\
 &\quad \cdot |\mathcal{A}_m(k, \eta_m)|^{(1/q_{n_0-m-2})-1+(1/n)-(1/q_{n_0})} \\
 &+ 2^{\tilde{C}_0} C_0 \left(\int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0) \cap \partial_0^{*\mathcal{A}_{3\epsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)}} |\beta_1| (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0) \cap \partial_1^{*\mathcal{A}_{3\epsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)}} |\beta| (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \right) |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1/n-1} \\
 &+ 2^{\tilde{C}_0} \cdot \sum_{m=1}^{n_0-1} (C_0)^m P_m \cdot \left[\left| \int_{\partial \mathcal{A}_m(k, \eta_m)} u \frac{(D\eta_m \cdot \nu_m)^-}{\sqrt{1 + |D\eta_m|^2}} d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \right| \right] \\
 &\quad \cdot |\mathcal{A}_m(k, \eta_m)|^{(1/q_{n_0-m-2})-1+(1/n)-(1/q_{n_0})},
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

analogously to [9, equation (1.22)].

4.3. In view of the choice of $\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_m}^\delta(x_0)$ and η_m , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}_m(k, \eta_m)| &\leq \varepsilon^{n-(n-1)\delta}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n_0, \\ |\partial_i^{**} \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_m}^\delta(x_0)| &\leq \varepsilon^{(n-1)(1-\delta)}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n_0, \quad i = 1, 2, \\ |\partial_3^{**} \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_m}^\delta(x_0)| &\leq 2c_n \varepsilon^{(n-1)-(n-2)\delta}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots, n_0, \\ P_m &\leq 2^{(2/3)(m+1)m} \cdot \varepsilon^{-(m+1)(1-\delta)}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

Moreover, it is easy to see that n_0 can be chosen to be $n - 1$ and $q_m, 0 \leq m \leq n_0 - 1$, can be chosen to be arbitrarily close to the number $n/(n - (m + 1))$ and q_{n_0} can be chosen arbitrarily large; that is, for each positive number $\tilde{\varepsilon}$, we can choose $q_m, 0 \leq m \leq n_0 - 1$, such that

$$\frac{1}{q_m} = \frac{n - (m + 1)}{n} - \tilde{\varepsilon}, \quad \frac{1}{q_{n_0}} = \tilde{\varepsilon}. \tag{4.34}$$

With such a choice of n_0 and $q_m, 0 \leq m \leq n_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{n_0} \cdot |\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\delta(x_0)|^{1-(1/q_{n_0})+(1/n)} &\leq 2^{\tilde{C}_n} \cdot \varepsilon^{(n+1)\delta/n-\tilde{\varepsilon}}, \\ \sum_{m=-1}^{n_0-1} (C_0)^m P_m \cdot (|\partial_0^{**} \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_m}^\delta(x_0)| + |\partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_m}^\delta(x_0)|) \cdot |\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\delta(x_0)|^{(1/q_{n_0-m-2})-1+(1/n)-(1/q_{n_0})} \\ &\leq 2^{\tilde{C}_n} \cdot n \cdot (C_0)^{n_0} \cdot (\varepsilon^{\delta/n-\tilde{\varepsilon}} + \varepsilon^{(n+1)\delta/n-\tilde{\varepsilon}}), \\ \tilde{C} \cdot |\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\delta(x_0)|^{(1/n)-(1/q_{n_0})} &\leq 2^{\tilde{C}_n} \cdot (n + 1) \cdot \varepsilon^{1+(\delta/n)-\tilde{\varepsilon}}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.35}$$

where $\tilde{C}_n = (3/2)n(n - 1) + 2$.

Inserting these into (4.32), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon/2}^\delta(x_0)} u - \inf_{\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon/2}^\delta(x_0)} u \right) &\leq 2^{\tilde{C}_{n_0}} \cdot C_0 \cdot \varepsilon^{1-((n-1)\delta/n)-\tilde{\varepsilon}} + 2^{C_n} \cdot (n - 1) \cdot (C_0)^{n-1} \cdot \varepsilon^{1+(\delta/n)-\tilde{\varepsilon}} \\ &\quad + 2^{C_n} \cdot (C_0)^{n-1} \cdot \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\delta(x_0)} u - \inf_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\delta(x_0)} u \right) \cdot (n\varepsilon^{\delta/n-\tilde{\varepsilon}} + \varepsilon^{1/n-\tilde{\varepsilon}} + \varepsilon^{(n+1)\delta/n-\tilde{\varepsilon}}) \\ &\quad + 2^{C_n} \cdot (C_0)^{n-1} \cdot \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\delta(x_0)} u - \inf_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\delta(x_0)} u \right) \\ &\quad \cdot [(\varepsilon)^{(1-n)+(n-1)^2\delta/n} |\partial \mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)| + \varepsilon^{(n-1)\delta/n-\tilde{\varepsilon}} |\partial \mathcal{A}_m(k, \eta_m)|] \\ &\quad + 2^{\tilde{C}_{n_0}} \cdot C_0 \cdot |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1/n-1} \cdot \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0) \cap \partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta| (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\ &\quad + 2^{\tilde{C}_{n_0}} \cdot C_0 \cdot |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1/n-1} \cdot \int_{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0) \cap \partial_0^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta_1| (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

where $C_n = 5 + (3n^2/2) + \sum_{m=0}^{n-2} (n/(n - (m + 1)))$.

In view of the rotational symmetry of Ω and u , we choose η_m to be of the same rotational symmetry so that the level sets of $\eta_m u$ are of the same rotational symmetry. Hence, $\partial\mathcal{A}_m(k, \eta_m)$ consists of $\partial^* \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_{m-1}}^\delta$, and another region which is parallel to $\partial^* \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_{m-1}}^\delta$, together with some other portion of $\partial\mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_i}(x_0) \subset \partial^* \mathcal{A}_{\varepsilon_{m-1}}^\delta$. Hence,

$$|\partial\mathcal{A}_m(k, \eta_m)| \leq 2\varepsilon^{n-1} + 2\varepsilon^{(n-1)(1-\delta)} + 2c_n \varepsilon^{(n-1)-(n-2)\delta}. \tag{4.37}$$

4.4. To estimate the last terms in (4.36), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_0^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta_1| (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\ &= \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_3^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta_1| (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} + \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_2^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta_1| (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}, \\ & \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_2^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta_1| (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\ & \leq \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\delta(x_0)} u - \inf_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\delta(x_0)} u \right) |\partial_3^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)| \leq 2 \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\delta(x_0)} u - \inf_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\delta(x_0)} u \right) c_n \varepsilon^{(n-1)-(n-2)\delta}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

Hence,

$$|\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1/n-1} \cdot \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_2^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta_1| (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \leq 2 \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\delta(x_0)} u - \inf_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\delta(x_0)} u \right) c_n \varepsilon^{\delta/n}, \tag{4.39}$$

and setting $\eta = 1$ in (1.7) with Ω replaced by $\mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_3^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta_1| (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\ & \leq \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\delta(x_0)} u - \inf_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\delta(x_0)} u \right) \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_3^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta_1| d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_3^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta_1| d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 & \leq \int_{\mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} H dx + \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_2^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta_1| d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} + \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta| d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 & \leq \sup_{\mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |H| |\mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)| + \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_2^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta_1| d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 & \quad + \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta| d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 & \leq \sup_{\mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |H| \varepsilon^{n-(n-1)\delta} + 2c_n \varepsilon^{(n-1)-(n-2)\delta} + \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta| d\mathcal{H}_{n-1}, \\
 & \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta| (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 & \leq \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\delta(x_0)} u - \inf_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\delta(x_0)} u \right) \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta| d\mathcal{H}_{n-1},
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

in which

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta| d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \leq \sup_{\partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta| |\partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)| \leq \sup_{\partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta| \varepsilon^{(n-1)(1-\delta)}. \tag{4.42}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1/n-1} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta| (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 & \leq \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\delta(x_0)} u - \inf_{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\delta(x_0)} u \right) \sup_{\partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta| \varepsilon^{-((n-1)/n)\delta}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

We have, for sufficiently small ε ,

$$\sup_{\partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta| \leq L (\text{diam } \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)) \leq L \varepsilon^{1-\delta}, \tag{4.44}$$

in case $\beta(x_0) = 0$ and β is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant L in a neighborhood of x_0 on $\partial\Omega$, and then, by (4.43),

$$|\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1/n-1} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_1^{**} \mathcal{A}_{3\varepsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta| (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \leq L \varepsilon^{1-\delta - ((n-1)/n)\delta}. \tag{4.45}$$

Inserting (4.45) into (4.41) and afterwards inserting the resultant inequalities into (4.40), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)|^{1/n-1} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{A}_0(k, \eta_0)} \cap \partial_2^* \mathcal{A}_{3\epsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |\beta_1| (\eta_0 u - k) d\mathcal{H}_{n-1} \\
 & \leq \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_\epsilon^\delta(x_0)} u - \inf_{\mathcal{A}_\epsilon^\delta(x_0)} u \right) \left[\sup_{\mathcal{A}_{3\epsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |H| \epsilon^{1-((n-1)/n)\delta} + 2c_n \epsilon^{\delta/n} + L \epsilon^{1-\delta-((n-1)/n)\delta} \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

Inserting (4.38) and (4.46) into (4.37) and afterwards inserting the resultant inequality and (4.37) into (4.36), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon/2}^\delta(x_0)} u - \inf_{\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon/2}^\delta(x_0)} u \right) & \leq 2\tilde{C}_{n_0} \cdot C_0 \cdot \epsilon^{1-((n-1)\delta/n)-\tilde{\epsilon}} \\
 & \quad + 2^{C_n} \cdot (n-1) \cdot (C_0)^{n-1} \cdot \epsilon^{1+(\delta/n)-\tilde{\epsilon}} \\
 & \quad + 2^{C_n} \cdot (C_0)^{n-1} \cdot \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_\epsilon^\delta(x_0)} u - \inf_{\mathcal{A}_\epsilon^\delta(x_0)} u \right) \cdot (n\epsilon^{\delta/n-\tilde{\epsilon}} + \epsilon^{(n+1)\delta/n-\tilde{\epsilon}}) \\
 & \quad + 2^{\tilde{C}_{n_0}+2} \cdot C_0 \cdot c_n \cdot \left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_\epsilon^\delta(x_0)} u - \inf_{\mathcal{A}_\epsilon^\delta(x_0)} u \right) \cdot \epsilon^{\delta/n} \\
 & \quad + 2^{\tilde{C}_{n_0}+2} \cdot C_0 \cdot \sup_{\mathcal{A}_{3\epsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |H| \cdot \left(\sup_{\Omega} u - \inf_{\Omega} u \right) \cdot \epsilon^{1-((n-1)/n)\delta} \\
 & \quad + 2^{\tilde{C}_{n_0}+1} \cdot C_0 \cdot L \cdot \epsilon^{1-\delta-((n-1)/n)\delta}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

Choose $\delta = 1/2$. We obtain

$$\left(\sup_{\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon/2}^\delta(x_0)} u - \inf_{\mathcal{A}_{\epsilon/2}^\delta(x_0)} u \right) \leq \tilde{C}_n \epsilon^{1/2n}, \tag{4.48}$$

where

$$\tilde{C}_n = 2^{C_n+2} n \cdot (C_0)^{n-1} \cdot \left(\sup_{\Omega} u - \inf_{\Omega} u \right) \left(1 + c_n + L + \sup_{\mathcal{A}_{3\epsilon/4}^\delta(x_0)} |H| \right). \tag{4.49}$$

This completes the proof of Main Theorem 1.2.

Acknowledgment

I wish to thank the referee for careful reading and precious suggestions.

References

- [1] M. Emmer, *Esistenza, unicità e regolarità nelle superfici de equilibrio nei capillari*, Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII (N.S.) **18** (1973), 79–94.
- [2] R. Finn, *Equilibrium Capillary Surfaces*, Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 284, Springer, New York, 1986.
- [3] C. Gerhardt, *Existence and regularity of capillary surfaces*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (4) **10** (1974), 317–335.
- [4] ———, *Existence, regularity, and boundary behavior of generalized surfaces of prescribed mean curvature*, Math. Z. **139** (1974), no. 2, 173–198.
- [5] ———, *On the capillarity problem with constant volume*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **2** (1975), no. 2, 303–320.
- [6] E. Giusti, *On the equation of surfaces of prescribed mean curvature. Existence and uniqueness without boundary conditions*, Invent. Math. **46** (1978), no. 2, 111–137.
- [7] ———, *Generalized solutions for the mean curvature equation*, Pacific J. Math. **88** (1980), no. 2, 297–321.
- [8] N. Korevaar and L. Simon, *Equations of mean curvature type with contact angle boundary conditions*, Geometric Analysis and the Calculus of Variations (J. Jost, ed.), International Press, Massachusetts, 1996, pp. 175–201, Dedicated to Stefan Hildebrandt on the occasion of his 60th birthday.
- [9] F.-T. Liang, *Interior Hölder estimates for non-parametric surfaces of prescribed mean curvature*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **133** (2003), no. 3, 625–638.
- [10] ———, *Global boundedness, interior gradient estimates, and boundary regularity for the mean curvature equation with boundary conditions*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. **2004** (2004), no. 18, 913–948.
- [11] ———, *Boundary regularity for capillary surfaces*, Georgian Math. J. **12** (2005), no. 2, 283–307.
- [12] V. G. Maz'ya, *Sobolev Spaces*, Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics, Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [13] M. Miranda, *Superficie minime illimitate*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **4** (1977), no. 2, 313–322.
- [14] L. Simon, *Global estimates of Hölder continuity for a class of divergence-form elliptic equations*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **56** (1974), no. 3, 253–272.
- [15] L. Simon and J. Spruck, *Existence and regularity of a capillary surface with prescribed contact angle*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **61** (1976), no. 1, 19–34.
- [16] G. Stampacchia, *Èquations elliptiques du second ordre à coefficients discontinus*, Séminaire de Mathématiques Supérieures, No. 16 (Été, 1965), Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, 1966.

Fei-Tsen Liang: Institute of Mathematics, Division of Mathematics and Physical Sciences, Academia Sinica, Nankang, Taipei 11529, Taiwan
E-mail address: liang@math.sinica.edu.tw