

## ASYMPTOTIC HÖLDER ABSOLUTE VALUES

E. MUÑOZ GARCIA

Received 12 October 2001

We prove that asymptotic Hölder absolute values are Hölder equivalent to classical absolute values. As a corollary we obtain a generalization of Ostrowski's theorem and a classical theorem by E. Artin. The theorem presented implies a new, more flexible, definition of classical absolute value.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 12J20, 12J10, 16W80, 13J99.

**1. Introduction.** Asymptotic Hölder absolute values generalize the notions of classical absolute value and of Hölder absolute value. A Hölder absolute value (HAV) satisfies an approximate triangle inequality and multiplicative property. More precisely, let  $C_1 \geq 1$  and  $C_2 \geq 1$ . A  $(C_1, C_2)$ -Hölder absolute value on a ring  $R$  is a mapping  $\|\cdot\| : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  satisfying:

(HAV1) for  $x \in R$ ,  $\|x\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$ ;

(HAV2) for  $x, y \in R$ ,  $\|x + y\| \leq C_2(\|x\| + \|y\|)$ ;

(HAV3) for  $x, y \in R$ ,  $C_1^{-1}\|x\|\|y\| \leq \|xy\| \leq C_1\|x\|\|y\|$ .

It is known that HAV on a ring are Hölder equivalent to a classical ones. More precisely, we have the following theorem (see [2]).

**THEOREM 1.1** (Hölder rigidity). *Let  $\|\cdot\| : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a  $(C_1, C_2)$ -Hölder absolute value on a commutative ring  $R$  with unit element. There exists an absolute value on  $R$ ,  $|\cdot| : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , which is  $(C_1^\alpha, \alpha)$ -Hölder equivalent to  $\|\cdot\|$  with  $\alpha = \log_2(2C_2)$ , that is, for  $x \in R$ ,*

$$C_1^{-\alpha}|x|^\alpha \leq \|x\| \leq C_1^\alpha|x|^\alpha. \quad (1.1)$$

Moreover,  $|\cdot|$  can be defined by

$$|x| = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|\|x^n\|\|^{1/na}. \quad (1.2)$$

For a ring  $R$  with unity, a real constant  $C_2 \geq 1$ , and a function  $C_1(\cdot, \cdot)$  defined on  $]1, +\infty[ \times \mathbb{N}$  taking values in  $]1, +\infty[$ , we define a  $(C_1, C_2)$ -asymptotic Hölder absolute value (AHAV) on  $R$ ,

$$|\cdot| : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad (1.3)$$

satisfying the three following axioms:

(AHAV1)  $|x| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;

(AHAV2) for  $x, y \in R$ ,  $|x + y| \leq C_2(|x| + |y|)$ ;

(AHAV3) for  $\gamma > 1$  and  $n \geq 2$  there is a constant  $C_1(\gamma, n) > 1$  such that for  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$ ,

$$C_1(\gamma, n)^{-1} |x_1|^{y^{-1}} \cdots |x_n|^{y^{-1}} \leq |x_1 \cdots x_n| \leq C_1(\gamma, n) |x_1|^y \cdots |x_n|^y, \quad (1.4)$$

and  $L = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/n) \log C_1(\gamma, n) < +\infty$ .

We prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. Let  $C_2 \geq 1$  be a real constant,  $\alpha = 1/\log_2(2C_2)$ , and  $\|\cdot\|$  a  $(C_1, C_2)$ -AHAV on  $R$ . We have the following dichotomy:*

(i) if

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log C_1(\gamma, n) = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

then  $\|\cdot\|^\alpha$  is a classical absolute value on  $R$ ;

(ii) if

$$0 < L = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log C_1(\gamma, n) < +\infty, \quad (1.6)$$

then  $\|\cdot\|^\alpha$  is a Hölder absolute value on  $R$ , more precisely, it is  $(e^{L\alpha}, \alpha)$ -Hölder equivalent to an absolute value on  $R$ .

As a result of [Theorem 1.2\(i\)](#), we can define classical absolute values as AHAV with  $C_2 = 1$  having a sequence of constants  $(C_1(\gamma, n))_n$  growing sub-exponentially, that is,

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log C_1(\gamma, n) = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

This is far more flexible than the classical definition.

Note that, in general, Hölder equivalence is a metric property which is stronger than the usual topological equivalence, for example,  $\{0\} \cup \{1/n; n \geq 1\}$  and  $\{0\} \cup \{1/2^n; n \geq 1\}$  are homeomorphic, but not Hölder equivalent.

**COROLLARY 1.3.** *Consider  $|\cdot| : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying*

(AV1)  $|x| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ,

(AV2) for  $x, y \in R$ ,  $|x+y| \leq |x| + |y|$  then,

(AV3) for  $x, y \in R$ ,  $|xy| = |x||y|$  is equivalent to:

(AV3') for  $\gamma > 1$  and  $n \geq 2$  there is a constant  $C_1(\gamma, n) > 1$  such that for  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in R$ ,

$$C_1(\gamma, n)^{-1} |x_1|^{y^{-1}} \cdots |x_n|^{y^{-1}} \leq |x_1 \cdots x_n| \leq C_1(\gamma, n) |x_1|^y \cdots |x_n|^y \quad (1.8)$$

with  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/n) \log C_1(\gamma, n) = 0$ .

Our theorem gives a generalization for discrete rings of Artin's theorem [1].

**COROLLARY 1.4.** *If  $\|\cdot\|$  is a  $(1, C_2)$ -AHAV over a discrete field  $F$ , there exists an absolute value  $|\cdot|$  and an exponent  $\alpha$ , such that for all  $x$  in  $F$ ,  $\|x\|^\alpha = |x|$ .*

Also, our theorem implies a generalization of Ostrowski's theorem [3] for classical absolute values ( $C_1 = C_2 = \gamma = 1$ ) over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

**COROLLARY 1.5.** *If  $\|\cdot\|$  is a  $(C_1, C_2)$ -AHAV over  $\mathbb{Z}$  normalized, so that  $\|1\| = 1$ , then  $\|\cdot\|$  is  $(e^{L\alpha}, \alpha)$ -Hölder equivalent to a  $p$ -adic absolute value  $|\cdot|_p$  or to  $|\cdot|_\infty$  or to the trivial absolute value, with  $\alpha = 1/\log_2(2C_2)$ .*

**REMARKS.** (1) The constant  $C_1(\gamma, n)$  in the definition of AHAV can be chosen to satisfy the inequality

$$C_1(\gamma, n) \leq C_1(\gamma^{1/(\lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor + 1)}, 2)^n, \quad (1.9)$$

where  $[a]$  denotes the integer part of  $a$ .

(2) Let  $C_2 \geq 1$  and let  $|\cdot| : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a  $(C_1, C_2)$ -AHAV on  $R$ . If  $\overline{\lim}_{\gamma \rightarrow 1} C_1(\gamma, 2) = C_1 < +\infty$ , then  $|\cdot|$  is a  $(C_1, C_2)$ -Hölder absolute value.

(3) If  $R$  is a ring on which a  $(C_1, C_2)$ -AHAV  $|\cdot|$  is defined, then  $R$  is a discrete ring for the topology defined by  $|\cdot|$ .

**1.1. Weak subadditive lemma.** We prove a generalization of a classical lemma on subadditive sequences (which might be of independent interest).

**DEFINITION 1.6.** The real sequence  $(b_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is weakly subadditive if

(i) for  $\gamma > 1$  and  $k \geq 1$ , there is a constant  $K(\gamma, k) > 0$  such that for  $m_1, \dots, m_k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$b_{m_1 + \dots + m_k} \leq \gamma \sum_{i=1}^k b_{m_i} + K(\gamma, k); \quad (1.10)$$

(ii) for  $\gamma > 1$ , we have  $K^*(\gamma) = \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} (1/k)K(\gamma, k) < +\infty$ .

**LEMMA 1.7.** *If  $(b_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$  is weakly subadditive, then*

$$\underline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_m}{m} = \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_m}{m}. \quad (1.11)$$

**PROOF.** Fix  $n \geq 1$ . For any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we consider the Euclidean division

$$m = nq + r, \quad 0 \leq r < n. \quad (1.12)$$

Now,

$$b_m = b_{nq+r} \leq \gamma(qb_n + b_r) + K(\gamma, q+1). \quad (1.13)$$

Dividing by  $m$ ,

$$\frac{b_m}{m} = \frac{b_{nq+r}}{nq+r} \leq \gamma \left( \frac{q}{nq+r} b_n + \frac{b_r}{nq+r} \right) + \left( \frac{q+1}{nq+r} \right) \frac{K(\gamma, q+1)}{q+1}. \quad (1.14)$$

Taking the upper limit when  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_m}{m} \leq \gamma \left( \frac{b_n}{n} + 0 \right) + \frac{1}{n} K^*(\gamma). \quad (1.15)$$

That is, for all  $q \geq 1$ ,

$$\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_m}{m} \leq \gamma \frac{b_n}{n} + \frac{1}{n} K^*(\gamma). \quad (1.16)$$

Now, taking the lower limit on the right side when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_m}{m} \leq \gamma \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{n}. \quad (1.17)$$

This holds for all  $\gamma > 1$ , thus making  $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ ,

$$\overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_m}{m} \leq \underline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_m}{m}, \quad \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_m}{m} = \underline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_m}{m}. \quad (1.18)$$

□

## 1.2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

**LEMMA 1.8.** Define  $\|\cdot\| : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  by  $\|x\| = \|x\|^\alpha$ . Then,  $\|\cdot\|$  is a  $(C_1^\alpha, 2)$ -AHAV on  $R$ .

**PROOF.** (AHAV1)  $\|x\| = 0$  if and only if  $\|x\| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ .

(AHAV2)  $\|x + y\| = \|x + y\|^\alpha \leq (2C_2)^\alpha (\max(\|x\|, \|y\|))^\alpha \leq 2(\|x\|^\alpha + \|y\|^\alpha) = 2(\|x\| + \|y\|)$ .

(AHAV3) For all  $\gamma > 1$  and for all  $n \geq 2$  there is a constant  $C_1(\gamma, n)^\alpha > 1$  such that for all  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & (C_1(\gamma, n))^{-\alpha} \|\|x_1\|\|^{y^{-1}} \cdots \|\|x_n\|\|^{y^{-1}} \\ & \leq \|\|x_1 \cdots x_n\|\| \leq C_1(\gamma, n)^\alpha \|\|x_1\|\|^y \cdots \|\|x_n\|\|^y. \end{aligned} \quad (1.19)$$

□

**LEMMA 1.9.** Let  $x \in R$  and define the real sequence  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  by  $a_n = \|\|x^n\|\|$ . The sequence  $(a_n^{1/n})$  is converging and

$$e^{-L} \|\|x\|\| \leq \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^{1/n} \leq e^L \|\|x\|\|, \quad (1.20)$$

where  $L = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/n) \log C_1(\gamma, n) < +\infty$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $b_m = \log a_m$ . The sequence  $\{b_m\}$  is weakly subadditive, since for all  $\gamma > 1$  and for all  $k \geq 1$  there is a constant  $K(\gamma, k) = (C_1(\gamma, k))^\alpha$ , such that

$$b_{m_1 + \cdots + m_k} \leq \gamma \sum_{i=1}^k b_{m_i} + \log K(\gamma, k), \quad (1.21)$$

and for all  $\gamma > 1$ ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{k} \log K(\gamma, k) < +\infty. \quad (1.22)$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1.7,

$$\underline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_m}{m} = \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_m}{m}. \quad (1.23)$$

Thus, to prove the convergence of  $\{a_n^{1/n}\}$ , we only have to prove that  $\{a_n^{1/n}\}$  is bounded.

Let  $\gamma > 1$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $C_1(\gamma, n)^\alpha$  satisfying

$$C_1(\gamma, n)^{-\alpha} \|\|x\|\|^{n/\gamma} \leq \|\|x^n\|\| \leq C_1(\gamma, n)^\alpha \|\|x\|\|^{n\gamma}. \quad (1.24)$$

Taking  $n$ th roots,

$$C_1(\gamma, n)^{-\alpha/n} \|x\|^{1/\gamma} \leq a_n^{1/n} \leq C_1(\gamma, n)^{\alpha/n} \|x\|^\gamma. \quad (1.25)$$

Since  $L = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/n) \log C_1(\gamma, n) < +\infty$ , we obtain

$$e^{-\alpha L} \|x\|^{1/\gamma} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n^{1/n} \leq e^{\alpha L} \|x\|^\gamma. \quad (1.26)$$

This inequality holds for any  $\gamma > 1$ . Taking the limit when  $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ ,

$$e^{-\alpha L} \|x\| \leq a_n^{1/n} \leq e^{\alpha L} \|x\|. \quad (1.27)$$

□

Now we define that  $|\cdot| : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  by  $|0| = 0$  and that  $|x| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x^n\|^{1/n}$  for  $x \neq 0$ .

**LEMMA 1.10.** *The function  $|\cdot| : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  defined as above is an absolute value on  $R$ . Moreover, if  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (1/n) \log C_1(\gamma, n) = 0$ , then  $|x| = \|x\|^\alpha$  for all  $x \in R$ .*

**PROOF.** From Lemma 1.9, if  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/n) \log C_1(\gamma, n) = 0$ , we obtain

$$\|x\| \leq |x| \leq \|x\|. \quad (1.28)$$

That is,  $|x| = \|x\|$ . □

It is clear that,  $|x| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ . Next we check the multiplicative property. For  $\gamma > 1$  and for  $n \geq 2$  there exists  $C_1(\gamma, 2)^\alpha > 1$ , such that for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x, y$  in  $R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(\gamma, 2)^{-\alpha} \|x^n\|^{y^{-1}} \|y^n\|^{y^{-1}} \\ \leq \|x^n\| \|y^n\| \leq C_1(\gamma, n)^\alpha \|x^n\|^y \|y^n\|^y. \end{aligned} \quad (1.29)$$

Taking  $n$ th roots and passing to the limit when  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain

$$|x|^{y^{-1}} |y|^{y^{-1}} \leq |xy| \leq |x|^y |y|^y. \quad (1.30)$$

Taking the limit when  $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ , we have the desired multiplicative property.

Finally, we have to check the triangle inequality. This is a corollary of the following general proposition that gives an equivalent, apparently weaker, definition of absolute value.

**PROPOSITION 1.11.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with unity. Let  $|\cdot| : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a function satisfying the following three properties:*

- (A1)  $|x| = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;
- (A2) (approximate triangle inequality) there exists a real constant  $B > 0$ , such that for all  $x, y$  in  $R$ ,  $|x + y| \leq B(|x| + |y|)$ ;
- (A3) for  $x, y$  in  $R$ ,  $|xy| = |x||y|$ .

*Then,  $|\cdot|$  is an absolute value on  $R$ , that is,  $|\cdot|$  satisfies the triangle inequality.*

**LEMMA 1.12.** *For  $x, y \in R$ ,*

$$|x + y| \leq B(|x| + |y|) \leq 2B \max(|x|, |y|). \quad (1.31)$$

**LEMMA 1.13.** Let  $|\cdot|' : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ , such that for  $x, y \in R$ ,

$$|x + y|' \leq M \max(|x|', |y|'), \quad (1.32)$$

for some positive constant  $M$ . Then for  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in R$ ,

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right|' \leq M^{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil + 1} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} (|x_i|'), \quad (1.33)$$

where  $[a]$  denotes the integer part of  $a$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $m = \lceil \log_2 n \rceil + 1$  and complete the sequence  $(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  into  $(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq 2^m}$  adjoining 0 elements.

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{2^m} x_i \right|' &\leq M \max \left( \left| \sum_{i=1}^{2^{m-1}} x_i \right|', \left| \sum_{i=2^{m-1}+1}^{2^m} x_i \right|' \right) \\ &\leq M^2 \max \left( \left| \sum_{i=1}^{2^{m-2}} x_i \right|', \left| \sum_{i=2^{m-2}+1}^{2^{m-1}} x_i \right|', \left| \sum_{i=2^{m-1}+1}^{3 \cdot 2^{m-2}} x_i \right|', \left| \sum_{i=3 \cdot 2^{m-2}+1}^{2^m} x_i \right|' \right) \\ &\leq \dots \leq M^m \max_{1 \leq i \leq 2^m} |x_i|'. \quad \square \end{aligned} \quad (1.34)$$

**LEMMA 1.14.** Let  $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}$  be the image of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $R$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|\bar{n}| \leq 2n|1|. \quad (1.35)$$

**PROOF.** We use [Lemma 1.13](#) with  $M = 2$  and  $|\cdot|' = |\cdot|$ . Take  $m = \lceil \log_2 n \rceil + 1$ ,  $n \leq 2^m \leq 2n$ , and  $x_i = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . We have

$$|n| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right| \leq 2^m |1| \leq 2n|1|. \quad \square \quad (1.36)$$

**LEMMA 1.15.** Let  $\bar{\mathbb{Z}}$  be the image of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $R$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|\bar{n}| \leq n. \quad (1.37)$$

**PROOF.** Using [Lemma 1.14](#),

$$|\bar{n}^k| = |\bar{n}^k| \leq 2n^k|1|, \quad (1.38)$$

and  $|\bar{n}^k|^{1/k} \leq 2^{1/k} n|1|^{1/k}$ . Taking  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have  $|\bar{n}| \leq n$ .  $\square$

**PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.11.** Let  $x, y \in R$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $m = \lceil \log_2 n \rceil + 1$ . Using [Lemmas 1.12](#) and [1.14](#), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(x + y)^n| &= \left| \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} x^i y^{n-i} \right| \\ &\leq (B)^m \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \left| \binom{n}{i} x^i y^{n-i} \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (1.39)$$

Now using [Lemma 1.14](#),

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(x+y)^n| &\leq (2B)^m \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \binom{n}{i} |x|^i |y|^{n-i} \\
 &\leq (2B)^m \max_{0 \leq i \leq n} \binom{n}{i} |x|^i |y|^{n-i} \\
 &\leq (2B)^m \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} |x|^i |y|^{n-i} \\
 &\leq (2B)^m (|x| + |y|)^n.
 \end{aligned} \tag{1.40}$$

Finally,

$$|x+y| = |(x+y)^n|^{1/n} \leq (2B)^{(1/n)(\log_2 n + 1)} (|x| + |y|), \tag{1.41}$$

and passing to the limit  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  we get the sharp triangle inequality  $|x+y| \leq |x| + |y|$ .  $\square$

### PROOF OF [THEOREM 1.2](#).

**CASE 1.** Assume  $\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/n) \log C_1(\gamma, n) = 0$ . By [Lemma 1.8](#), for all  $x, y$  in  $R$  we have

$$|x+y| = \|\|x+y\|\| \leq 2(\|\|x\|\| + \|\|y\|\|) \leq 4 \max(\|\|x\|\|, \|\|y\|\|) = 4 \max(|x|, |y|). \tag{1.42}$$

Therefore, by [Proposition 1.11](#), the function  $|\cdot|$  satisfies the triangle inequality.

**CASE 2.** Assume  $0 < L = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1/n) \log C_1(\gamma, n) < +\infty$ . From [Lemma 1.9](#), for any  $x$  in  $R$ ,

$$e^{-\alpha L} \|\|x\|\| \leq |x| \leq e^{\alpha L} \|\|x\|\|. \tag{1.43}$$

Therefore,

$$|x+y| \leq e^{\alpha L} \|\|x+y\|\| \leq 2e^{\alpha L} (\|\|x\|\| + \|\|y\|\|) \leq 2e^{2\alpha L} (|x| + |y|). \tag{1.44}$$

Thus by [Proposition 1.11](#), the function  $|\cdot|$  satisfies the triangle inequality, it is an absolute value, and  $\|\cdot\|^\alpha$  is  $(e^{L\alpha}, \alpha)$ -equivalent to  $|\cdot|$ .  $\square$

### REFERENCES

- [1] E. Artin, *Theory of Algebraic Numbers*, George Striker, Göttingen, 1959.
- [2] E. Muñoz Garcia, *Hölder absolute values are equivalent to classical ones*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1999), no. 7, 1967-1971.
- [3] A. Ostrowski, *Über einige Lösungen der Funktionalgleichung  $\phi(x) \cdot \phi(y) = \phi(x \cdot y)$* , Acta Math. **41** (1914), 271-284 (German).

E. MUÑOZ GARCIA: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES, 405 HILGARD AVENUE, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095-1555, USA

E-mail address: [munoz@math.ucla.edu](mailto:munoz@math.ucla.edu)