

SP-CLOSEDNESS IN L-FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

BAI SHI-ZHONG

Received 20 February 2002

We introduce and study SP -closedness in L -fuzzy topological spaces, where L is a fuzzy lattice. SP -closedness is defined for arbitrary L -fuzzy subsets.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54Axx.

1. Introduction. Andrijević [1] introduced the definition of semi-preopen sets in general topological spaces. Thakur and Singh [8] extended this definition to fuzzy topological spaces. In [4], using semi-preopen sets, we have introduced and studied a good definitions of semi-precompactness in L -fuzzy topological spaces.

In this note, along the lines of this semi-precompactness, we introduce a definition of SP -closedness in L -fuzzy topological spaces. Also, we obtain some of its properties. SP -closedness is defined for arbitrary L -fuzzy subsets. It is a weaker form of semi-precompactness, but it is a stronger form of P -closedness [3] and S^* -closedness [7].

2. Preliminaries. Throughout this note, X and Y will be nonempty ordinary sets, and $L = L(\leq, \vee, \wedge, ')$ will denote a fuzzy lattice, that is, a completely distributive lattice with a smallest element 0 and largest element 1 and with an order reversing involution $a \rightarrow a'$ ($a \in L$). We will denote by L^X the lattice of all L -fuzzy subsets of X .

DEFINITION 2.1 (Gierz et al. [6]). An element p of L is called prime if and only if $p \neq 1$, and whenever $a, b \in L$ with $a \wedge b \leq p$, then $a \leq p$ or $b \leq p$. The set of all prime elements of L will be denoted by $\text{pr}(L)$.

DEFINITION 2.2 (Gierz et al. [6]). An element α of L is called union irreducible if and only if whenever $a, b \in L$ with $\alpha \leq a \vee b$, then $\alpha \leq a$ or $\alpha \leq b$. The set of all nonzero union-irreducible elements of L will be denoted by $M(L)$. It is obvious that $p \in \text{pr}(L)$ if and only if $p' \in M(L)$.

Warner [9] has determined the prime element of the fuzzy lattice L^X . We have $\text{pr}(L^X) = \{x_p : x \in X \text{ and } p \in \text{pr}(L)\}$, where, for each $x \in X$ and each $p \in \text{pr}(L)$, $x_p : X \rightarrow L$ is the L -fuzzy set defined by

$$x_p(y) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } y = x, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

These x_p are called the L -fuzzy points of X , and we say that x_p is a member of an L -fuzzy set f and write $x_p \in f$ if and only if $f(x) \not\leq p$.

Thus, the union-irreducible elements of L^X are the function $x_\alpha : X \rightarrow L$ defined by

$$x_\alpha(y) = \begin{cases} \alpha & \text{if } y = x, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

where $x \in X$ and $\alpha \in M(L)$. Hence, we have $M(L^X) = \{x_\alpha : x \in X \text{ and } \alpha \in M(L)\}$. As these x_α are identified with the L -fuzzy points x_p of X , we will refer to them as fuzzy points. When $x_\alpha \in M(L^X)$, we will call x and α the support of x_α ($x = \text{Supp } x_\alpha$) and the height of x_α ($\alpha = h(x_\alpha)$), respectively. We will denote L -fuzzy topological space by L -fts.

DEFINITION 2.3 (Zhao [10]). Let (X, δ) be an L -fts. A net in (X, δ) is a mapping $S : D \rightarrow M(L^X)$, where D is a directed set. For $m \in D$, we will denote $S(m)$ by S_m , and the net S by $(S_m)_{m \in D}$. If $A \in L^X$ and for each $m \in D$, $S_m \leq A$, then S is called a net in A . A net $(S_m)_{m \in D}$ is called an α -net ($\alpha \in M(L)$) if, for each $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ (where $\beta^*(\alpha)$ denotes the union of all minimal sets relative to α), the net $h(S) = (h(S_m))_{m \in D}$ is eventually greater than λ , that is, for each $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$, there is $m_0 \in D$ such that $h(S_m) \geq \lambda$ whenever $m \geq m_0$, where $h(S_m)$ is the height of L -fuzzy point $S_m \in M(L^X)$. If $h(S_m) = \alpha$ for all $m \in D$, then we will say that $(S_m)_{m \in D}$ is a constant α -net.

DEFINITION 2.4 (Thakur and Singh [8]). Let (X, δ) be an L -fts and $f \in L^X$. Then, f is called semi-preopen if and only if there is a preopen set g [3, 5] such that $g \leq f \leq g^-$ and semi-preclosed if and only if f' is semi-preopen. $f_\square = \bigvee \{g : g \text{ is semi-preopen, } g \leq f\}$ and $f_\wedge = \bigwedge \{g : g \text{ is semi-preclosed, } g \geq f\}$ are called the semi-preinterior and semi-preclosure of f , respectively.

It is clear that every semi-open L -fuzzy set is semi-preopen and every preopen L -fuzzy set is semi-preopen. None of the converses needs to be true [9].

DEFINITION 2.5 (Aygün [2]). Let (X, δ) be an L -fts and $g \in L^X$, $r \in L$. A collection $\mu = \{f_i\}_{i \in J}$ of L -fuzzy sets is called an r -level cover of g if and only if $(\bigvee_{i \in J} f_i)(x) \not\leq r$ for all $x \in X$ with $g(x) \geq r'$. If each f_i is open, then μ is called an r -level open cover of g . If g is the whole space X , then μ is called an r -level cover of X if and only if $(\bigvee_{i \in J} f_i)(x) \not\leq r$ for all $x \in X$. An r -level cover $\mu = \{f_i\}_{i \in J}$ of g is said to have a finite r -level subcover if there exists a finite subset F of J such that $(\bigvee_{i \in F} f_i)(x) \not\leq r$ for all $x \in X$ with $g(x) \geq r'$.

DEFINITION 2.6 (Bai [4]). Let (X, δ) be an L -fts and $g \in L^X$. We call g semi-precompact if and only if every p -level semi-preopen cover of g has a finite p -level subcover, where $p \in \text{pr}(L)$. If g is the whole space, then we say that the L -fts (X, δ) is semi-precompact.

3. SP-closedness

DEFINITION 3.1. Let (X, δ) be an L -fts and let $g \in L^X$, $r \in L$. An r -level cover $\mu = \{f_i\}_{i \in J}$ of g is said to have a finite r_\wedge -level subcover if there exists a finite subset F of J such that $(\bigvee_{i \in F} (f_i)_\wedge)(x) \not\leq r$ for all $x \in X$ with $g(x) \geq r'$.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let (X, δ) be an L -fts and let $g \in L^X$. We call g SP -closed if and only if every p -level semi-preopen cover of g has a finite p -level subcover, where $p \in \text{pr}(L)$. If g is the whole space, then we say that the L -fts (X, δ) is SP -closed.

THEOREM 3.3. Every semi-precompact set is SP -closed in an L -fts.

PROOF. This immediately follows from Definitions 2.6 and 3.2. □

THEOREM 3.4. Every SP -closed set is not only P -closed [3] but also S^* -closed [7] in an L -fts.

PROOF. Since every preopen L -fuzzy set is semi-preopen and every semiopen L -fuzzy set is semi-preopen, and since for every L -fuzzy set f we have $f_{\sim} \leq f^{\sim}$ and $f_{\sim} \leq f_{\square}$, where $f^{\sim} = \bigwedge \{g : g \text{ is preclosed, } g \geq f\}$ and $f_{\square} = \bigwedge \{g : g \text{ is semiclosed, } g \geq f\}$, this directly follows from the definitions of SP -closedness, P -closedness, and S^* -closedness. □

THEOREM 3.5. Let (X, δ) be an L -fts. Then, $g \in L^X$ is SP -closed if and only if, for every $\alpha \in M(L)$ and every collection $(h_i)_{i \in J}$ of semi-preclosed L -fuzzy sets with $(\bigwedge_{i \in J} h_i)(x) \not\geq \alpha$ for all $x \in X$ with $g(x) \geq \alpha$, there is a finite subset F of J such that $(\bigwedge_{i \in F} h_i)_{\square}(x) \not\geq \alpha$ for all $x \in X$ with $g(x) \geq \alpha$.

PROOF. This follows immediately from Definition 3.2. □

DEFINITION 3.6. Let (X, δ) be an L -fts, x_{α} be an L -fuzzy point in $M(L^X)$, and $S = (S_m)_{m \in D}$ be a net. We call x_{α} an SP -cluster point of S if and only if, for each semi-preclosed L -fuzzy set f with $f(x) \not\geq \alpha$ and for all $n \in D$, there is $m \in D$ such that $m \geq n$ and $S_m \not\leq f_{\square}$, that is, $h(S_m) \not\leq f_{\square}(\text{Supp } S_m)$.

THEOREM 3.7. Let (X, δ) be an L -fts. Then, $g \in L^X$ is SP -closed if and only if every constant α -net in g , where $\alpha \in M(L)$, has an SP -cluster point in g with height α .

PROOF

NECESSITY. Let $\alpha \in M(L)$ and $S = (S_m)_{m \in D}$ be a constant α -net in g without any SP -cluster point with height α in g . Then, for each $x \in X$ with $g(x) \geq \alpha$, x_{α} is not an SP -cluster point of S , that is, there are $n_x \in D$ and a semi-preclosed L -fuzzy set f_x with $f_x(x) \not\geq \alpha$ and $S_m \leq (f_x)_{\square}$ for each $m \geq n_x$. Let x^1, \dots, x^k be elements of X with $g(x^i) \geq \alpha$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Then, there are $n_{x_1}, \dots, n_{x_k} \in D$, semi-preclosed L -fuzzy set f_{x_i} with $f_{x_i}(x^i) \not\geq \alpha$, and $S_m \leq (f_{x_i})_{\square}$ for each $m \geq n_{x_i}$ and for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Since D is a directed set, there is $n_o \in D$ such that $n_o \geq n_{x_i}$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $S_m \leq (f_{x_i})_{\square}$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and each $m \geq n_o$. Now, consider the family $\mu = \{f_x\}_{x \in X}$ with $g(x) \geq \alpha$. Then, $(\bigwedge_{f_x \in \mu} f_x)(y) \not\geq \alpha$ for all $y \in X$ with $g(y) \geq \alpha$ because $f_y(y) \not\geq \alpha$. Also, for any finite subfamily $\nu = \{f_{x_1}, \dots, f_{x_k}\}$ of μ , there is $y \in X$ with $g(y) \geq \alpha$ and $(\bigwedge_{i=1}^k (f_{x_i})_{\square})(y) \geq \alpha$ since $S_m \leq \bigwedge_{i=1}^k (f_{x_i})_{\square}$ for each $m \geq n_o$ because $S_m \leq (f_{x_i})_{\square}$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and for each $m \geq n_o$. Hence, by Theorem 3.5, g is not SP -closed.

SUFFICIENCY. Suppose that g is not SP -closed. Then by Theorem 3.5, there exist $\alpha \in M(L)$ and a collection $\mu = (f_i)_{i \in J}$ of semi-preclosed L -fuzzy sets with $(\bigwedge_{i \in J} f_i)(x) \not\geq \alpha$ for all $x \in X$ with $g(x) \geq \alpha$, but for any finite subfamily ν of μ , there is $x \in X$ with

$g(x) \geq \alpha$ and $(\bigwedge_{f \in \nu} (f_i)_\square)(x) \geq \alpha$. Consider the family of all finite subsets of μ , $2^{(\mu)}$, with the order $\nu_1 \leq \nu_2$ if and only if $\nu_1 \subset \nu_2$. Then $2^{(\mu)}$ is a directed set. So, writing x_α as S_ν for every $\nu \in 2^{(\mu)}$, $(S_\nu)_{\nu \in 2^{(\mu)}}$ is a constant α -net in g because the height of S_ν for all $\nu \in 2^{(\mu)}$ is α and $S_\nu \leq g$ for all $\nu \in 2^{(\mu)}$, that is, $g(x) \geq \alpha$. Also, $(S_\nu)_{\nu \in 2^{(\mu)}}$ satisfies the condition that for each semi-preclosed L -fuzzy set $f_i \in \nu$ we have $x_\alpha = S_\nu \leq (f_i)_\square$. Let $y \in X$ with $g(y) \geq \alpha$. Then $(\bigwedge_{i \in J} f_i)(y) \not\geq \alpha$, that is, there exists $j \in J$ with $f_j(y) \not\geq \alpha$. Let $\nu_o = \{f_j\}$. So, for any $\nu \geq \nu_o$,

$$S_\nu \leq \bigwedge_{f_i \in \nu} (f_i)_\square \leq \bigwedge_{f_i \in \nu_o} (f_i)_\square = (f_j)_\square. \tag{3.1}$$

Thus, we get a semi-preclosed L -fuzzy set f_j with $f_j(y) \geq \alpha$ and $\nu_o \in 2^{(\mu)}$ such that for any $\nu \geq \nu_o$, $S_\nu \leq (f_j)_\square$. That means that $y_\alpha \in M(L^X)$ is not an SP -cluster point $(S_\nu)_{\nu \in 2^{(\mu)}}$ for all $y \in X$ with $g(y) \geq \alpha$. Hence, the constant α -net $(S_\nu)_{\nu \in 2^{(\mu)}}$ has no SP -cluster point in g with height α . \square

COROLLARY 3.8. *An L -fts (X, δ) is SP -closed if and only if every constant α -net in (X, δ) has an SP -cluster point with height α , where $\alpha \in M(L)$.*

THEOREM 3.9. *Let (X, δ) be an L -fts and $g, h \in L^X$. If g and h are SP -closed, then $g \vee h$ is SP -closed as well.*

PROOF. Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in J}$ be a p -level semi-preopen cover of $g \vee h$, where $p \in \text{pr}(L)$. Then, $(\bigvee_{i \in J} f_i)(x) \not\leq p$ for all $x \in X$ with $(g \vee h)(x) \geq p'$. Since p is prime, we have $(g \vee h)(x) \geq p'$ if and only if $g(x) \geq p'$ or $h(x) \geq p'$. So, by the SP -closedness of g and h , there are finite subsets E, F of J such that $(\bigvee_{i \in E} (f_i)_\sim)(x) \not\leq p$ for all $x \in X$ with $g(x) \geq p'$ and $(\bigvee_{i \in F} (f_i)_\sim)(x) \not\leq p$ for all $x \in X$ with $h(x) \geq p'$. Then, $(\bigvee_{i \in E \cup F} (f_i)_\sim)(x) \not\leq p$ for all $x \in X$ with $g(x) \geq p'$ or $h(x) \geq p'$, that is, $(\bigvee_{i \in E \cup F} (f_i)_\sim)(x) \not\leq p$ for all $x \in X$ with $(g \vee h)(x) \geq p'$. Thus, $g \vee h$ is SP -closed. \square

THEOREM 3.10. *Let (X, δ) be an L -fts and $g, h \in L^X$. If g is SP -closed and h is semi-preclopen, then $g \wedge h$ is SP -closed.*

PROOF. Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in J}$ be a p -level semi-preopen cover of $g \wedge h$, where $p \in \text{pr}(L)$. Then, $(\bigvee_{i \in J} f_i)(x) \not\leq p$ for all $x \in X$ with $(g \wedge h)(x) \geq p'$. Thus, $\mu = \{f_i\}_{i \in J} \cup \{h'\}$ is a p -level semi-preopen cover of g . In fact, for each $x \in X$ with $g(x) \geq p'$, if $h(x) \geq p'$, then $(g \wedge h)(x) \geq p'$, which implies that $(\bigvee_{i \in J} f_i)(x) \not\leq p$, thus $(\bigvee_{k \in \mu} k)(x) \not\leq p$. If $h(x) \not\geq p'$ then $h'(x) \not\leq p$ which implies $(\bigvee_{k \in \mu} k)(x) \not\leq p$. From the SP -closedness of g , there is a finite subfamily ν of μ , say $\nu = \{f_1, \dots, f_n, h'\}$ with $(\bigvee_{k \in \nu} k)(x) \not\leq p$ for all $x \in X$ with $g(x) \geq p'$. Then, $(\bigvee_{i=1}^n (f_i)_\sim)(x) \not\leq p$ for all $x \in X$ with $(g \wedge h)(x) \geq p'$. In fact, if $(g \wedge h)(x) \geq p'$, then $g(x) \geq p'$, hence $(\bigvee_{k \in \nu} k)(x) \not\leq p$. So, there is $k \in \nu$ such that $k_\sim(x) \not\leq p$. Moreover, $h(x) \geq p'$ as well, that is, $h'(x) \leq p$. Since h is semi-preopen, then h' is semi-preclosed, that is, $h' = (h')_\sim$. So, $h'(x) \leq p$ implies that $(h')_\sim(x) \leq p'$. Consequently, $(\bigvee_{i=1}^n (f_i)_\sim)(x) \not\leq p$ for all $x \in X$ with $(g \wedge h)(x) \geq p'$. Hence, $g \wedge h$ is SP -closed. \square

COROLLARY 3.11. *Let (X, δ) be an SP -closed space and g be a semi-preclopen L -fuzzy set. Then g is SP -closed.*

DEFINITION 3.12. Let (X, δ) and (Y, τ) be L -fts's. A function $f : (X, \delta) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ is called

- (1) semi-preirresolute if and only if $f^{-1}(g)$ is semi-preopen in (X, δ) for each semi-preopen L -fuzzy set g in (Y, τ) ;
- (2) weakly semi-preirresolute if and only if $f^{-1}(g) \leq (f^{-1}(g)_-)_\square$ for each semi-preopen L -fuzzy set g in (Y, τ) .

THEOREM 3.13. Let $f : (X, \delta) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ be a semi-preirresolute mapping with $f^{-1}(y)$ is finite for every $y \in Y$. If $g \in L^X$ is SP -closed in (X, δ) , then $f(g)$ is SP -closed in (Y, τ) as well.

PROOF. Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in J}$ be a p -level semi-preopen cover of $f(g)$, where $p \in \text{pr}(L)$. Because f is semi-preirresolute, $\{f^{-1}(f_i)\}_{i \in J}$ is a p -level semi-preopen cover of g . By the SP -closedness of g , $\{f^{-1}(f_i)\}_{i \in J}$ has a finite p -level subcover, that is, there is a finite subset F of J such that $(\bigvee_{i \in F} (f^{-1}(f_i))_-(x)) \not\leq p$ for all $x \in X$ with $g(x) \geq p'$. We are going to show that $\{f_i\}_{i \in J}$ has a finite p -level subcover of $f(g)$, that is, $(\bigvee_{i \in F} (f_i)_-(y)) \not\leq p$ for all $y \in Y$ with $f(g)(y) \geq p'$. Since $f^{-1}(y)$ is finite for every $y \in Y$, $f(g)(y) \geq p'$ implies that there is $x \in X$ with $g(x) \geq p'$ and $f(x) = y$. Again, f is semi-preirresolute. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\bigvee_{i \in F} (f_i)_-\right)(y) &= \left(\bigvee_{i \in F} (f_i)_-\right)(f(x)) = \left(\bigvee_{i \in F} f^{-1}((f_i)_-)\right)(x) \\ &= \left(\bigvee_{i \in F} (f^{-1}((f_i)_-))_-\right)(x) \geq \left(\bigvee_{i \in F} (f^{-1}(f_i))_-\right)(x) \not\leq p. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

This has proved that $\{f_i\}_{i \in J}$ has a finite p -level subcover of $f(g)$. Hence, $f(g)$ is SP -closed. □

THEOREM 3.14. Let $f : (X, \delta) \rightarrow (Y, \tau)$ be a weakly semi-preirresolute mapping with $f^{-1}(y)$ is finite for every $y \in Y$. If $g \in L^X$ is semi-precompact in (X, δ) , then $f(g)$ is SP -closed in (Y, τ) .

PROOF. Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in J}$ be a p -level semi-preopen cover of $f(g)$, where $p \in \text{pr}(L)$. Because f is weakly semi-preirresolute, for every $i \in J$, $f^{-1}(f_i) \leq (f^{-1}((f_i)_-))_\square$. Then, $\{(f^{-1}((f_i)_-))_\square\}_{i \in J}$ is a p -level semi-preopen cover of g . By the semi-precompactness of g , $\{(f^{-1}((f_i)_-))_\square\}_{i \in J}$ has a finite p -level subcover, that is, there is a finite subset F of J such that $(\bigvee_{i \in F} (f^{-1}((f_i)_-))_\square)(x) \not\leq p$ for all $x \in X$ with $g(x) \geq p'$.

We are going to show that $\{f_i\}_{i \in J}$ has a finite p -level subcover of $f(g)$, that is, $(\bigvee_{i \in F} (f_i)_-(y)) \not\leq p$ for all $y \in Y$ with $f(g)(y) \geq p'$. In fact, if $f(g)(y) \geq p'$ and since $f^{-1}(y)$ is finite for every $y \in Y$, there is $x \in X$ with $g(x) \geq p'$ and $f(x) = y$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\bigvee_{i \in F} (f_i)_-\right)(y) &= \left(\bigvee_{i \in F} (f_i)_-\right)(f(x)) = \left(\bigvee_{i \in F} f^{-1}((f_i)_-)\right)(x) \\ &\geq \left(\bigvee_{i \in F} (f^{-1}((f_i)_-))_\square\right)(x) \not\leq p. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Hence, $f(g)$ is SP -closed. □

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China and the Provincial Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Andrijević, *Semipreopen sets*, Mat. Vesnik **38** (1986), no. 1, 24–32.
- [2] H. Aygün, *α -compactness in L -fuzzy topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **116** (2000), no. 3, 317–324.
- [3] H. Aygün and S. R. T. Kudri, *P -closedness in L -fuzzy topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **109** (2000), no. 2, 277–283.
- [4] S.-Z. Bai, *Semi-precompactness in L -fuzzy topological spaces*, in press.
- [5] ———, *The SR -compactness in L -fuzzy topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **87** (1997), no. 2, 219–225.
- [6] G. Gierz, K. H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J. D. Lawson, M. W. Mislove, and D. S. Scott, *A Compendium of Continuous Lattices*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
- [7] S. R. T. Kudri, *Semicompactness and S^* -closedness in L -fuzzy topological spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **109** (2000), no. 2, 223–231.
- [8] S. S. Thakur and S. Singh, *On fuzzy semi-preopen sets and fuzzy semi-precontinuity*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **98** (1998), no. 3, 383–391.
- [9] M. W. Warner, *Frame-fuzzy points and membership*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems **42** (1991), no. 3, 335–344.
- [10] D. S. Zhao, *The N -compactness in L -fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **128** (1987), no. 1, 64–79.

BAI SHI-ZHONG: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WUYI UNIVERSITY, JIANGMEN GUANGDONG 529020, CHINA