

## A SINGULAR BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR NEUTRAL EQUATIONS

VASIL G. ANGELOV

Academy of Medicine, Sofia  
1184 Sofia, P.O. Box 37,  
Bulgaria

(Received June 21, 1983)

ABSTRACT. Using some previous results of the author and Kirk-Schöneberg, theorems for the existence and uniqueness of an absolutely continuous solution of a singular boundary value problem for neutral equations have been proved.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES. *Neutral equations, boundary value problem, singular.*

1980 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION CODE. 34K10.

### 1. INTRODUCTION.

The aim of the present paper is to obtain conditions for the existence and uniqueness of an absolutely continuous solution of a singular boundary value problem for neutral equations, using the results due to Angelov [1] and Kirk-Schöneberg [2].

Let us state the singular boundary value problem: we look for an absolutely continuous solution  $y(t)$  of the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} y'(t) &= X(\mu, t, [y(\Delta_i(t))]_{i=1}^m, [y'(\tau_1(t))]_{1=1}^n), \quad t > 0 \\ y(t) &= \psi(t, \mu), \quad y'(t) = \frac{\partial \psi(t, \mu)}{\partial t}, \quad t < 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

which satisfies the condition  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t) = y_\infty$ , where  $y_\infty$  is given. Here the unknown function  $y(t)$  and the parameter  $\mu$  take values in some Banach space  $B$  with a norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and

$$[y(\Delta_i(t))]_{i=1}^m = (y(\Delta_1(t)), \dots, y(\Delta_m(t))),$$

$$[y'(\tau_1(t))]_{1=1}^n = (y'(\tau_1(t)), \dots, y'(\tau_n(t))).$$

We shall use the standard denotations:

$$R^1 = (-\infty, \infty); \quad R_+^1 = [0, \infty); \quad R_-^1 = (-\infty, 0].$$

Let us set  $x(t) = y'(t)$  for  $t > 0$  and  $\varphi(t, \mu) = \frac{\partial \psi(t, \mu)}{\partial t}$  for  $t \leq 0$ . Then we have

$$x(t) = X(\mu, t, [\psi(0, \mu) + \int_0^{\Delta_i(t)} x(s) ds]_{i=1}^m, [x(\tau_1(t))]_{i=1}^n), \quad t > 0 \quad (1.2)$$

$$x(t) = \varphi(t, \mu), \quad t \leq 0.$$

The integrals are in a Bochner's sense. (Hille-Phillips [3]).

The method used by Angelov [1] is not immediately applicable to the singular boundary value problem for an equation (1.1). In order to illustrate this fact we shall consider the following particular case:

$$y'(t) = A(t)\mu + X(\mu, t, [y(\Delta_i(t))]_{i=1}^m, [y'(\tau_1(t))]_{i=1}^n) \quad (1.3)$$

which occurs in the applications. Here  $A(t)$  is a family of linear continuous operators. We must seek a solution of (1.3) in the space  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^1, B)$  which implies  $\|A(t)\mu\| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^1)$ . But then the supposition for the existence of  $A^{-1}(t)$ , (Seidov [4]), is not natural, because one of the conditions for the existence of an inverse operator is  $\|A(t)\mu\| \geq m \|\mu\|$  (Kantorovich-Akilov [5], p. 209).

That is why we shall apply some recent results of Kirk-Schöneberg [2] for the operator equation in  $\mu$ .

Let us formulate some auxiliary propositions due to Angelov [1].

If  $B_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) are Banach spaces with norms  $\|\cdot\|_i$ , then:

PROPOSITION 1 [1]. Let the following conditions hold:

1. The nonlinear continuous operators  $N_i: B_1 \times B \rightarrow B_i$  satisfy the inequalities

$$\|((1+\lambda\gamma-\lambda\alpha)x + \lambda N_i(x, \mu)) - ((1+\lambda\gamma-\lambda\alpha)y + \lambda N_i(y, \mu))\|_i > \|x-y\|_i \quad (i=1,2) \text{ for every } x, y \in B_i, \lambda > 0, \mu \in B, \text{ for some } \gamma > 0, \alpha > 0.$$

2. RESULTS.

The linear map  $j: B_1 \rightarrow B_2$  satisfies the condition  $j(N_1(x, \mu)) = N_2(jx, \mu)$  for every  $x \in B_1$  and  $\mu \in B$ .

Then for maps  $x(\mu): B \rightarrow B_1$  and  $y(\mu): B \rightarrow B_2$  connected by the condition  $jx(\mu) = N_2(y(\mu), \mu) + \gamma y(\mu)$  there exists a unique map  $z(\mu): B \rightarrow B_1$  for which  $N_1(z(\mu), \mu) + \gamma z(\mu) = x(\mu)$  and  $jz(\mu) = y(\mu)$ .

PROPOSITION 2 [1]. Let the conditions of Proposition 1 hold, let the  $x(\mu): B \rightarrow B_1$ ,  $y(\mu): B \rightarrow B_2$  be continuous and let  $\|N_i(x, \mu) - N_i(y, \mu)\|_i < M\|x-y\|_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), where  $\gamma > M > 0$ .

Then the map  $z(\mu): B \rightarrow B_1$ , satisfying the equation  $N_1(z(\mu), \mu) + \gamma z(\mu) = x(\mu)$  is continuous.

PROPOSITION 3 [1]. Let the conditions of Proposition 1 hold and let  $z_i(\mu)$ , ( $i = 1, 2$ ) satisfy the equalities  $N_1(z_i(\mu), \mu) + \gamma z_i(\mu) = x_i(\mu)$ ,  $jz_i(\mu) = y_i(\mu)$ ,  $N_2(y_i(\mu), \mu) + \gamma y_i(\mu) = jx_i(\mu)$ . Then  $\|z_1(\mu) - z_2(\mu)\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \|x_1(\mu) - x_2(\mu)\|_1$ .

We shall formulate the results of Kirk-Schöneberg [2] in order to apply them to our problem.

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be complete metric spaces. Recall that: 1)  $Y$  is said to be metrically convex (Menger [6]) if for all,  $u, v \in Y$  with  $u \neq v$  there exists  $w \in Y$ ,  $w \neq u$ ,  $w \neq v$ , such that  $\rho(u, v) = \rho(u, w) + \rho(w, v)$ ; 2) a mapping  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be closed if  $u_n \rightarrow u \in X$  and  $T(u_n) \rightarrow z \in Y$  imply  $T(u) = z$ .

LEMMA [2]. Let  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed mapping. Fix  $y \in Y$ . Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $X$  for which  $T(U)$  is open in  $Y$ , and suppose

$$\rho(Tu, Tv) > \rho(u, v)$$

for all  $u, v \in U$ . Suppose also that there exists  $u_0 \in U$  such that

$$\rho(Tu_0, y) < \rho(Tu, y) + \rho(Tu_0, Tu)$$

for all  $u \in U$ .

Then there exists  $u \in U$  such that  $Tu = y$ .

If  $T$  is a mapping of  $X$  into  $Y$  and  $D$  is an open subset of  $X$ , then  $T$  is said to be locally expansive on  $D$  if for all  $u_0 \in D$  there is a neighbourhood  $N$  of  $u_0$  contained in  $D$  such that  $\rho(Tu, Tv) > \rho(u, v)$  for all  $u, v \in N$ .

PROPOSITION 4 [2]. Let  $D \subset X$  be open, and suppose  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  is a closed mapping which is locally expansive on  $D$ . Suppose also that  $T$  maps open subsets of  $D$  on to open subsets of  $Y$ , and there exists  $x_0 \in D$  such that  $\rho(Tx_0, y) < \rho(Tx, y)$  for all  $x \in X \setminus D$ . Then  $y \in T(D)$ .

COROLLARY [2]. Let  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed mapping, which maps open subsets of  $X$  onto open subsets of  $Y$ . Suppose also that  $T$  is locally expansive on all of  $X$ . Then  $T(X) = Y$ .

THEOREM 1. Let the following conditions hold:

1. the functions  $\Delta_1(t)$ ,  $\tau_1(t): \mathbb{R}_+^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$  are measurable,  $\tau_1(t)$  have the property (S) (Angelov, [1]) and  $\int_0^\infty f(\tau_1(t)) \|dt < k \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(t) \|dt$  for some  $k = \text{const} > 0$  and every  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^1; B)$ .

2. the function  $X(u, t, u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n): B \times \mathbb{R}_+^1 \times B^{m+n} \rightarrow B$  satisfies the Caratheodory condition and

$$\|X(u, t, u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n)\| < \frac{1}{Y} [\alpha(u, t, \|u_1\|, \dots, \|u_m\|) + \alpha_0 \sum_{l=1}^n \|v_l\|]$$

$$\|X(u, t, u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n) - X(u, t, \bar{u}_1, \dots, \bar{u}_m, \bar{v}_1, \dots, \bar{v}_n)\| <$$

$$\frac{m}{Y} [\beta(u, t, \|u_1 - \bar{u}_1\|, \dots, \|u_m - \bar{u}_m\|) + \sum_{l=1}^n \beta_l \|v_l - \bar{v}_l\|]$$

for some constants  $\gamma > m > 0$ ,  $\alpha_0, \beta_1 > 0$ ;  $\alpha, \beta: B \times R_+^{m+1} \rightarrow R_+^1$  are comparison functions (Angelov [1]);  $\alpha(\mu, \dots, u_1, \dots, u_m), \beta(\mu, \dots, u_1, \dots, u_m) \in L^1(R_+^1)$  and

$$\int_0^\infty \beta(\mu, t, v, \dots, v) dt + kv \sum_{l=1}^n \beta_l \leq v, \quad v \in R_+^1.$$

Besides,  $X(\mu, t, \dots)$  is uniformly continuous in  $\mu$  with respect to the other variables (Angelov [1]).

3. the initial function  $\varphi(\cdot, \mu) \in L^1(R_-^1; B)$  for every  $\mu \in B$  and

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \mu_0} \int_0^\infty \|\varphi(t, \mu) - \varphi(t, \mu_0)\| dt = 0.$$

Then there exists a unique solution  $x(\cdot, \mu) \in L^1(R^1; B)$  of the initial value problem (2), which depends continuously on  $\mu$ .

Proof: Let  $B_1$  be the Banach space  $L^1(R^1; B)$  with norm  $\|f\| = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \|f(t)\| dt$  and  $B_2$  be the Banach space  $L^1(R_-^1; B)$  with norm  $\|g\|_2 = \int_{-\infty}^0 \|g(t)\| dt$ .

Define the operators  $N_i: B_i \times B \rightarrow B_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ )

$$N_1(f, \mu)(t) = \begin{cases} -\gamma X(\mu, t, [\Psi(0, \mu) + \int_0^{\Delta_i(t)} f(s) ds]_{i=1}^m), \\ [f(\tau_1(t))]_{i=1}^n, & t > 0 \\ 0, & t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

$f \in B_1, \mu \in B$  and  $N_2(g, \mu)(t) = 0, t \leq 0, g \in B_2, \mu \in B$ .

The map  $j: B_1 \rightarrow B_2$  is defined as in Theorem 1 (Angelov [1]).

Since  $N_1(f, \mu)(t)$  is strongly measurable, then the inequalities

$$\|N_1(f, \mu)(t)\| \leq \alpha(\mu, t, \|\Psi(0, \mu)\| + \|f\|_1, \dots, \|\Psi(0, \mu)\| + \|f\|_1) + \alpha_0 \sum_{l=1}^n \|f(\tau_1(t))\|$$

show that  $f \in B_1$  implies  $N_1(f, \mu) \in B_1$  for each  $\mu \in B$ .

We are going to show the Lipschitz continuity of the operator  $N_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \|N_1(f, \mu)(t) - N_1(g, \mu)(t)\| dt &\leq m \left[ \int_0^\infty \beta(\mu, t, \|f-g\|_1, \dots, \|f-g\|_1) dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{l=1}^n \beta_l \int_0^\infty \|f(\tau_1(t)) - g(\tau_1(t))\| dt \right] \\ &\leq m \left[ \int_0^\infty \beta(\mu, t, \|f-g\|_1, \dots, \|f-g\|_1) dt + k \|f-g\|_1 \sum_{l=1}^n \beta_l \right] \leq m \|f-g\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Further on the proof is analogous to the one of Theorem 1 from Angelov[1].

**THEOREM 2.** Let the conditions of Theorem 1 hold and let  $x(\varphi_i, \mu)(t)$ , ( $i = 1, 2$ ) be solutions of the problem (2) with initial functions  $\varphi_i(t, \mu)$ . Then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|x(\varphi_1, \mu)(t) - x(\varphi_2, \mu)(t)\| dt < \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - m} \int_{-\infty}^0 \|\varphi_1(t, \mu) - \varphi_2(t, \mu)\| dt.$$

**THEOREM 3.** Let the conditions of Theorem 1 hold true. If, in addition, we suppose:

1.  $\Psi(0, \cdot)$  is locally expansive, closed and maps open subsets of  $B$  onto open subsets of  $B$ .
2. for every  $\mu \in B$  there is a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $\mu$  such that

$$\|X(\mu_1, t, \dots) - X(\mu_2, t, \dots)\| > \xi(t) \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|$$

for  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in U$ , where  $\xi(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^1)$ ,  $\xi(t) > 0$  and the mapping

$Q(\mu) = \int_0^{\infty} X(\mu, t, u_1, \dots, u_n) dt$  is closed and maps open subsets of  $B$  onto open subsets of  $B$ , provided the last integral exists.

Then there exists a value of the parameter  $\mu_0$  such that  $x(t, \mu_0)$  is a solution of the problem (2) and the solution  $y(t, \mu_0)$  of (1) satisfies the condition  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t, \mu_0) = y_{\infty}$ .

**Proof:** Let  $B_1$  be the Banach space  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_+^1; B)$  and  $B_2$  be the Banach space  $L^1(\mathbb{R}_-^1; B)$ .

Define the operators  $N_i: B_i \times B \rightarrow B_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ),  $T: B \times B_1 \rightarrow B$ :

$$N_1(f, \mu)(t) = \begin{cases} \Delta_i(t) \\ -\gamma X(\mu, t, [\Psi(0, \mu) + \int_0^{\infty} f(s) ds]_{i=1}^m), \\ [f(\tau_1(t))]_{i=1}^n, t > 0 \\ 0, t < 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $f \in B_1$ ,  $\mu \in B$ ;  $N_2(g, \mu)(t) = 0$ ,  $t < 0$ ,  $g \in B_2$ ,  $\mu \in B$ .

$$T(\mu, f) = y_{\infty} - \Psi(0, \mu) - \int_0^{\infty} X(\mu, t, [\Psi(0, \mu) + \int_0^{\infty} f(s) ds]_{i=1}^m, [f(\tau_1(t))]_{i=1}^n) dt, f \in B_1.$$

Proposition 1 implies an existence of a unique function  $x(t, \mu)$  which satisfies (2) and depends continuously on  $\mu$ .

On the other hand, it is easy to verify that the operator  $T(u, f)$  satisfies all conditions of Corollary. Indeed,  $T(u, f)$  is closed and maps open subsets of  $B$  onto open subsets of  $B$ . Besides, for every  $\mu \in B$  there is a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $\mu$  such that

$$\|T(\mu_1, f) - T(\mu_2, f)\| \geq c_\psi \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\| + \int_0^\infty \xi(t) dt \|\mu_1 - \mu_2\|$$

for all  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in U$ . Consequently, there is  $\mu_0 \in B$  such that  $T(\mu_0, f) = 0$ .

So we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} y(t, \mu_0) = \psi(0, \mu_0) + \int_0^\infty x(s, \mu_0) ds =$$

$$\psi(0, \mu_0) + \int_0^\infty X(\mu_0, s, [\psi(0, \mu_0) + \int_0^{\Delta_1(s)} x(\theta, \mu_0) d\theta]_{i=1}^m,$$

$$[x(\tau_1(s))]_{i=1}^l] ds = \psi(0, \mu_0) + y_\infty - \psi(0, \mu_0) = y_\infty.$$

Theorem 3 is thus proved.

Finally we shall note that an analogous result can be formulated for systems of the type:

$$y_i'(t) = X_i(\mu_i, t, [y_1(\Delta_{1k}(t))]_{k=1}^{m_1}, \dots, [y_s(\Delta_{sk}(t))]_{k=1}^{m_s},$$

$$[y_1'(\tau_{1k}(t))]_{k=1}^{n_1}, \dots, [y_s'(\tau_{sk}(t))]_{k=1}^{n_s}], \quad t > 0$$

$$y_i(t) = \psi_i(t, \mu_i), \quad y_i'(t) = \frac{\partial \psi_i(t, \mu_i)}{\partial t}, \quad t < 0$$

( $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ ).

#### REFERENCES

1. ANGELOV V.G. Some neutral equations with a control parameter. Bull. Austr. Math. Soc., 23, No 3 (1981), 383-394.
2. KIRK W.A., SCHÖNEBERG T. Mappings theorems for local expansions in metric and Banach spaces. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 72, No 1, (1979), 114-121.
3. HILLE E., PHILLIPS R. Functional Analysis and Semigroups, XXXI, Amer. Math. Soc., R I, Providence, 1957.
4. SEIDOV Z.B. Boundary value problem for differential equations with deviating argument. Differential Equations, v. 12, No 3 (1976), 562-566 (in Russian).
5. KANTOROVICH L.V., AKILOV G.P. Functional analysis, Nauka, Moscow 1977, (in Russian).
6. MENGER K. Untersuchungen über allgemeine Metrik. Math. Ann. 100 (1928), 75-163.