Hindawi Publishing Corporation Journal of Applied Mathematics Volume 2011, Article ID 164978, 17 pages doi:10.1155/2011/164978

Research Article

Strong Convergence Algorithms for Hierarchical Fixed Points Problems and Variational Inequalities

Gendai Gu,1 Shenghua Wang,1,2 and Yeol Je Cho3

- ¹ School of Applied Mathematics and Physics, North China Electric Power University, Baoding 071003, China
- ² Department of Mathematics and RINS, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 660-701, Republic of Korea
- ³ Department of Mathematics Education and RINS, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 660-701, Republic of Korea

Correspondence should be addressed to Yeol Je Cho, yjcho@gnu.ac.kr

Received 26 April 2011; Revised 15 June 2011; Accepted 11 July 2011

Academic Editor: Ya Ping Fang

Copyright © 2011 Gendai Gu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We introduce a new iterative scheme that converges strongly to a common fixed point of a countable family of nonexpansive mappings in a Hilbert space such that the common fixed point is a solution of a hierarchical fixed point problem. Our results extend the ones of Moudafi, Xu, Cianciaruso et al., and Yao et al.

1. Introduction

Let H be a real Hilbert space and C a nonempty closed convex subset of H. A mapping $T: C \to C$ is called nonexpansive if one has

$$||Tx - Ty|| \le ||x - y||, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$
 (1.1)

If there exists a point $x \in C$ such that x = Tx, then x is said to be a fixed point of T. We denote the set of all fixed points of T by F(T). It is well known that F(T) is closed and convex if T is nonexpansive.

Let $S: C \to H$ be a mapping. The following problem is called a hierarchical fixed point problem: find $x^* \in F(T)$ such that

$$\langle x^* - Sx^*, x^* - x \rangle \le 0, \quad \forall x \in F(T). \tag{1.2}$$

It is known that the hierarchical fixed point problem (1.2) links with some monotone variational inequalities and convex programming problems (see [1]).

In order to solve the hierarchical fixed point problem (1.2), Moudafi [2] introduced the following Krasnoselski-Mann algorithm:

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n(\sigma_n S x_n + (1 - \sigma_n) T x_n), \quad \forall n \ge 0,$$
(1.3)

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\sigma_n\}$ are two sequences in (0,1), and he proved that $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a fixed point of T which is a solution of the problem (1.2).

Let $f: C \to C$ be a mapping. The mapping f is called a contraction if there exists a constant $\lambda \in [0,1)$ such that $\|fx - fy\| \le \lambda \|x - y\|$ for all $x,y \in C$. For obtaining a strong convergence result, Mainge and Moudafi in [3] and Marino and Xu in [4] introduced the following algorithm:

$$x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_n) f(x_n) + \alpha_n (\sigma_n S x_n + (1 - \sigma_n) T x_n), \quad \forall n \ge 0,$$
(1.4)

where $S: C \to C$ is a nonexpansive mapping and $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\sigma_n\}$ are two sequences in (0,1), and they proved that $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a fixed point of T which is a solution of the problem (1.2). Recently, for solving the hierarchical fixed point problem (1.2), Cianciaruso et al. [5] also studied the following iterative scheme:

$$y_n = \beta_n S x_n + (1 - \beta_n) x_n,$$

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) T y_n, \quad \forall n \ge 0,$$
(1.5)

where $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are two sequences in [0,1]. The authors proved some strong convergence results. Very recently, Yao et al. [1] introduced the following strong convergence iterative algorithm to solve the problem (1.2):

$$y_n = \beta_n S x_n + (1 - \beta_n) x_n,$$

$$x_{n+1} = P_C [\alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) T y_n], \quad \forall n \ge 0,$$
(1.6)

where $f: C \to H$ is a contraction and $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ are two sequences in (0,1). Under some certain restrictions on parameters, the authors proved that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.6) converges strongly to $z \in F(T)$, which is the unique solution of the following variational inequality:

$$\langle (I-f)z, x-z \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in F(T).$$
 (1.7)

By changing the restrictions on parameters, the authors obtained another result on the iterative scheme (1.6), that is, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.6)converges strongly to

a point $x^* \in F(T)$, which is the unique solution of the following variational inequality:

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{\tau} (I - f) x^* + (I - S) x^*, y - x^* \right\rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in F(T), \tag{1.8}$$

where $\tau \in (0, \infty)$ is a constant.

Let $S: C \to H$ be a nonexpansive mapping and $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}: C \to C$ a countable family of nonexpansive mappings. In this paper, motivated and inspired by the results of Yao et al. [1] and Marino and Xu [4], we introduce and study the following iterative scheme:

$$y_{n} = P_{C} \left[\beta_{n} S x_{n} + (1 - \beta_{n}) x_{n} \right],$$

$$x_{n+1} = P_{C} \left[\alpha_{n} f(x_{n}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_{i}) T_{i} y_{n} \right], \quad \forall n \ge 1,$$
(1.9)

where $\alpha_0 = 1$, $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a strictly decreasing sequence in (0,1) and $\{\beta_n\}$ is a sequence in (0,1). Under some certain conditions on parameters, we first prove that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.9) converges strongly to $x^* \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)$, which is the unique solution of the following variational inequality:

$$\langle (I-f)x^*, x-x^* \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i).$$
 (1.10)

By changing the restrictions on parameters, we also prove that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $x^* \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)$, which is the unique solution of the following variational inequality:

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{\tau} (I - f) x^* + (I - S) x^*, y - x^* \right\rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i), \tag{1.11}$$

where $\tau \in (0, \infty)$ is a constant. It is easy to see that, if $T_i = T$ for each $i \ge 1$ and S is a self-mapping of C into itself, then our algorithm (1.9) is reduced to (1.6) of Yao et al. [1] Also, our results extend the corresponding ones of Moudafi [6], Xu [7], and Cianciaruso et al. [5].

2. Preliminaries

Let H be a Hilbert space and C a nonempty closed convex subset of H. Let T be a nonexpansive mapping of C into itself such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. For all $\hat{x} \in F(T)$ and all $x \in C$, we have

$$||x - \widehat{x}||^{2} \ge ||Tx - T\widehat{x}||^{2} = ||Tx - \widehat{x}||^{2} = ||Tx - x + (x - \widehat{x})||^{2}$$

$$= ||Tx - x||^{2} + ||x - \widehat{x}||^{2} + 2\langle Tx - x, x - \widehat{x} \rangle$$
(2.1)

and hence

$$||Tx - x||^2 \le 2\langle x - Tx, x - \widehat{x} \rangle, \quad \forall \widehat{x} \in F(T), \ x \in C.$$
 (2.2)

Let $x \in H$ be an arbitrary point. There exists a unique nearest point in C, denoted by $P_C x$, such that

$$||P_C x - x|| \le ||y - x||, \quad \forall y \in C.$$
 (2.3)

Moreover, we have the following:

$$z = P_C x \Longleftrightarrow \langle x - z, z - y \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall y \in C.$$
 (2.4)

Let *I* denote the identity operator of *H*, and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in a Hilbert space *H* and $x \in H$. Throughout this paper, $x_n \to x$ denotes that $\{x_n\}$ strongly converges to x and $x_n \to x$ denotes that $\{x_n\}$ weakly converges to x.

The following lemmas will be used in the next section.

Lemma 2.1 (see [8]). Let $T: C \to C$ be a nonexpansive mapping with $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in C weakly converging to a point $x \in C$ and $\{(I-T)x_n\}$ converges strongly to a point $y \in C$, then (I-T)x = y. In particular, if y = 0, then $x \in F(T)$.

Lemma 2.2 (see [9]). Let $f: C \to H$ be a contraction with coefficient $\lambda \in [0,1)$ and $T: C \to C$ a nonexpansive mapping. Then one has the following.

(1) The mapping (I - f) is strongly monotone with coefficient $(1 - \lambda)$, that is,

$$\langle x - y, (I - f)x - (I - f)y \rangle \ge (1 - \lambda) \|x - y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$
 (2.5)

(2) The mapping I - T is monotone, that is,

$$\langle x - y, (I - T)x - (I - T)y \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$
 (2.6)

Lemma 2.3 (see [10]). Let $\{s_n\}$, $\{c_n\}$ be the sequences of nonnegative real numbers, and let $\{a_n\} \subset (0,1)$. Suppose that $\{b_n\}$ is a real number sequence such that

$$s_{n+1} \le (1 - a_n)s_n + b_n + c_n, \quad \forall n \ge 0.$$
 (2.7)

Assume that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n < \infty$. Then the following results hold.

- (1) If $b_n \leq \beta a_n$, where $\beta \geq 0$, then $\{s_n\}$ is a bounded sequence.
- (2) If one has

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = \infty, \qquad \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{b_n}{a_n} \le 0, \tag{2.8}$$

then $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = 0$.

3. Main Results

Now, we give the main results in this paper.

Theorem 3.1. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let $f: C \to H$ be a λ -contraction with $\lambda \in [0,1)$. Let $S: C \to H$ be a nonexpansive mapping and $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ a countable family of nonexpansive mappings of C into itself such that $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$. Set $\alpha_0 = 1$, and let $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0,1)$ be a strictly decreasing sequence and $\{\beta_n\} \subset (0,1)$ a sequence satisfying the following conditions:

(a)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_n=0$$
 and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n=\infty$

(b)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (\beta_n/\alpha_n) = 0$$
,

(c)
$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) < \infty$$
 and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{n-1} - \beta_n| < \infty$.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.9) converges strongly to a point $x^* \in F$, which is the unique solution of the variational inequality

$$\langle (I-f)x^*, x-x^* \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in F.$$
 (3.1)

Proof. First, $P_F f$ is a contraction from C into itself with a constant λ and C is complete, and there exists a unique $x^* \in C$ such that $x^* = P_F f(x^*)$. From (2.4), it follows that x^* is the unique solution of the problem (3.1).

Now, we prove that $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to x^* . To this end, we first prove that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Take $p \in F$. Then it follows from (1.9) that

$$||y_{n} - p|| = ||P_{C}[\beta_{n}Sx_{n} + (1 - \beta_{n})x_{n}] - P_{C}p||$$

$$\leq ||\beta_{n}Sx_{n} + (1 - \beta_{n})x_{n} - p||$$

$$\leq \beta_{n}||Sx_{n} - Sp|| + \beta_{n}||Sp - p|| + (1 - \beta_{n})||x_{n} - p||$$

$$\leq \beta_{n}||x_{n} - p|| + \beta_{n}||Sp - p|| + (1 - \beta_{n})||x_{n} - p||$$

$$= ||x_{n} - p|| + \beta_{n}||Sp - p||$$

$$(3.2)$$

and hence (note that $\{\alpha_n\}$ is strictly decreasing)

$$||x_{n+1} - p|| = ||P_C \left[\alpha_n f(x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) T_i y_n \right] - P_C p||$$

$$\leq ||\alpha_n f(x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) T_i y_n - p||$$

$$= ||\alpha_n (f(x_n) - p) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) (T_i y_n - p)||$$

$$\leq \alpha_{n} \| f(x_{n}) - f(p) \| + \alpha_{n} \| f(p) - p \| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_{i}) \| T_{i} y_{n} - p \|
\leq \alpha_{n} \lambda \| x_{n} - p \| + \alpha_{n} \| f(p) - p \| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_{i}) \| y_{n} - p \|
\leq \alpha_{n} \lambda \| x_{n} - p \| + \alpha_{n} \| f(p) - p \| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_{i}) (\| x_{n} - p \| + \beta_{n} \| Sp - p \|)
= \alpha_{n} \lambda \| x_{n} - p \| + \alpha_{n} \| f(p) - p \| + (1 - \alpha_{n}) (\| x_{n} - p \| + \beta_{n} \| Sp - p \|).$$
(3.3)

By condition (b), we can assume that $\beta_n \le \alpha_n$ for all $n \ge 1$. Hence, from above inequality, we get

$$||x_{n+1} - p|| \le \alpha_n \lambda ||x_n - p|| + \alpha_n ||f(p) - p|| + (1 - \alpha_n) (||x_n - p|| + \alpha_n ||Sp - p||)$$

$$\le \alpha_n \lambda ||x_n - p|| + \alpha_n ||f(p) - p|| + (1 - \alpha_n) ||x_n - p|| + \alpha_n ||Sp - p||$$

$$= [1 - \alpha_n (1 - \lambda)] ||x_n - p|| + \alpha_n (||f(p) - p|| + ||Sp - p||).$$
(3.4)

For each $n \ge 1$, let $a_n = \alpha_n(1 - \lambda)$, $b_n = \alpha_n(\|f(p) - p\| + \|Sp - p\|)$, and $c_n = 0$. Then $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$, and $\{c_n\}$ satisfy the condition of Lemma 2.3(1). Hence, it follows from Lemma 2.3(1) that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded and so are $\{f(x_n)\}$, $\{y_n\}$, $\{T_ix_n\}$, and $\{T_iy_n\}$ for all $i \ge 1$. Set $u_n = \alpha_n f(x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) T_i y_n$ for each $n \ge 1$. From (1.9), we have

$$||x_{n+1} - x_n|| = ||P_C u_n - P_C u_{n-1}|| \le ||u_n - u_{n-1}||$$

$$= \left||\alpha_n (f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1})) + (\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1}) f(x_{n-1}) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) (T_i y_n - T_i y_{n-1}) + (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) T_n y_{n-1}\right||$$

$$\le \alpha_n ||f(x_n) - f(x_{n-1})|| + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) ||y_n - y_{n-1}||$$

$$+ (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) (||f(x_{n-1})|| + ||T_n y_{n-1}||)$$

$$\le \alpha_n \lambda ||x_n - x_{n-1}|| + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) ||y_n - y_{n-1}|| + (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) M$$

$$= \alpha_n \lambda ||x_n - x_{n-1}|| + (1 - \alpha_n) ||y_n - y_{n-1}|| + (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) M,$$

$$(3.5)$$

where *M* is a constant such that

$$\sup_{n\geq 1} \left\{ \|f(x_{n-1})\| + \|T_n y_{n-1}\| + \|S x_{n-1}\| + \|x_{n-1}\| \right\} \leq M. \tag{3.6}$$

From (1.9), we have

$$||y_{n} - y_{n-1}|| = ||P_{C}(\beta_{n}Sx_{n} + (1 - \beta_{n})x_{n}) - P_{C}(\beta_{n-1}Sx_{n-1} + (1 - \beta_{n-1})x_{n-1})||$$

$$\leq ||(\beta_{n}Sx_{n} + (1 - \beta_{n})x_{n}) - (\beta_{n-1}Sx_{n-1} + (1 - \beta_{n-1})x_{n-1})||$$

$$= ||\beta_{n}(Sx_{n} - Sx_{n-1}) + (\beta_{n} - \beta_{n-1})Sx_{n-1} + (1 - \beta_{n})(x_{n} - x_{n-1}) + (\beta_{n-1} - \beta_{n})x_{n-1}||$$

$$\leq ||x_{n} - x_{n-1}|| + |\beta_{n} - \beta_{n-1}|M.$$
(3.7)

Substituting (3.7) into (3.5), we get that

$$||x_{n+1} - x_n|| \le ||u_n - u_{n-1}||$$

$$\le \alpha_n \lambda ||x_n - x_{n-1}|| + (1 - \alpha_n) [||x_n - x_{n-1}|| + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}|M] + (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n)M$$

$$\le (1 - (1 - \lambda)\alpha_n)||x_n - x_{n-1}|| + M[(\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}|].$$
(3.8)

Let $a_n = (1 - \lambda)\alpha_n$, $b_n = 0$, and $c_n = (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n) + |\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}|$. Then conditions (a) and (c) imply that $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$, and $\{c_n\}$ satisfy the condition of Lemma 2.3(2). Thus, by Lemma 2.3(2), we can conclude that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_{n+1} - x_n|| = 0. \tag{3.9}$$

Since $T_i x_n \in C$ for each $i \ge 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) + \alpha_n = 1$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) T_i x_n + \alpha_n z \in C, \quad \forall z \in C.$$
(3.10)

Now, fixing a $z \in F$, from (1.9) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i)(x_n - T_i x_n)$$

$$= P_C u_n + (1 - \alpha_n) x_n - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) T_i x_n + \alpha_n z \right) + \alpha_n z - x_{n+1}$$

$$= P_C u_n - P_C \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) T_i x_n + \alpha_n z \right] + (1 - \alpha_n) (x_n - x_{n+1}) + \alpha_n (z - x_{n+1}).$$
(3.11)

Hence it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_{i}) \langle x_{n} - T_{i}x_{n}, x_{n} - p \rangle
= \langle P_{C}u_{n} - P_{C} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_{i}) T_{i}x_{n} + \alpha_{n}z \right], x_{n} - p \rangle
+ \langle (1 - \alpha_{n})(x_{n} - x_{n+1}), x_{n} - p \rangle + \alpha_{n} \langle z - x_{n+1}, x_{n} - p \rangle
\leq \left\| u_{n} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_{i}) T_{i}x_{n} - \alpha_{n}z \right\| \left\| x_{n} - p \right\| + (1 - \alpha_{n}) \left\| x_{n} - x_{n+1} \right\| \left\| x_{n} - p \right\|
+ \alpha_{n} \left\| z - x_{n+1} \right\| \left\| x_{n} - p \right\|
= \left\| \alpha_{n} (f(x_{n}) - z) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_{i}) (T_{i}y_{n} - T_{i}x_{n}) \right\| \left\| x_{n} - p \right\|
+ (1 - \alpha_{n}) \left\| x_{n} - x_{n+1} \right\| \left\| x_{n} - p \right\| + \alpha_{n} \left\| z - x_{n+1} \right\| \left\| x_{n} - p \right\|
\leq \alpha_{n} \left\| f(x_{n}) - z \right\| \left\| x_{n} - p \right\| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_{i}) \left\| y_{n} - x_{n} \right\| \left\| x_{n} - p \right\|
+ (1 - \alpha_{n}) \left\| x_{n} - x_{n+1} \right\| \left\| x_{n} - p \right\| + \alpha_{n} \left\| z - x_{n+1} \right\| \left\| x_{n} - p \right\|
\leq \alpha_{n} \left\| f(x_{n}) - z \right\| \left\| x_{n} - p \right\| + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_{i}) \beta_{n} \left\| Sx_{n} - x_{n} \right\| \left\| x_{n} - p \right\|
+ (1 - \alpha_{n}) \left\| x_{n} - x_{n+1} \right\| \left\| x_{n} - p \right\| + \alpha_{n} \left\| z - x_{n+1} \right\| \left\| x_{n} - p \right\|
= \alpha_{n} \left\| f(x_{n}) - z \right\| \left\| x_{n} - p \right\| + (1 - \alpha_{n}) \beta_{n} \left\| Sx_{n} - x_{n} \right\| \left\| x_{n} - p \right\|
+ (1 - \alpha_{n}) \left\| x_{n} - x_{n+1} \right\| \left\| x_{n} - p \right\| + \alpha_{n} \left\| z - x_{n+1} \right\| \left\| x_{n} - p \right\|
+ (1 - \alpha_{n}) \left\| x_{n} - x_{n+1} \right\| \left\| x_{n} - p \right\| + \alpha_{n} \left\| z - x_{n+1} \right\| \left\| x_{n} - p \right\|
\leq (2\alpha_{n} + \beta_{n}) M' + (1 - \alpha_{n}) \left\| x_{n} - x_{n+1} \right\| \left\| x_{n} - p \right\|,$$

where

$$M' = \sup_{n \ge 1} \{ \| f(x_n) - z \| \| x_n - p \|, \| Sx_n - x_n \| \| x_n - p \|, \| z - x_{n+1} \| \| x_n - p \| \}.$$
(3.13)

Now, from (2.2) and (3.12), we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) \|x_n - T_i x_n\|^2 \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) \langle x_n - T_i x_n, x_n - p \rangle
\le (2\alpha_n + \beta_n) M' + (1 - \alpha_n) \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| \|x_n - p\|.$$
(3.14)

From (a), (b), (3.9), and (3.14), we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) \|x_n - T_i x_n\| = 0.$$
(3.15)

Since $(\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) \|x_n - T_i x_n\| \le \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) \|x_n - T_i x_n\|$ for each $i \ge 1$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$ is strictly decreasing, one has

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - T_i x_n|| = 0, \quad \forall i \ge 1.$$
 (3.16)

Next, we prove that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_n - x^* \rangle \le 0. \tag{3.17}$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, we can take a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n_k} \rightharpoonup x'$ and

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_n - x^* \rangle = \lim_{k \to \infty} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n_k} - x^* \rangle.$$
(3.18)

From (3.16) and Lemma 2.1, we conclude that $x' \in F(T_i)$ for each $i \ge 1$, that is, $x' \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)$. Then

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n_k} - x^* \rangle = \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x' - x^* \rangle \le 0.$$
 (3.19)

By (2.4), we have

$$\langle P_C u_n - u_n, P_C u_n - x^* \rangle \le 0. \tag{3.20}$$

Also,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) \langle T_i y_n - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) || T_i y_n - x^* || || x_{n+1} - x^* ||$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) || y_n - x^* || || x_{n+1} - x^* ||$$

$$= (1 - \alpha_n) || y_n - x^* || || x_{n+1} - x^* ||$$

$$= (1 - \alpha_n) || P_C(\beta_n S x_n + (1 - \beta_n) x_n) - P_C x^* || || x_{n+1} - x^* ||$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha_n) || \beta_n S x_n + (1 - \beta_n) x_n - x^* || || x_{n+1} - x^* ||$$

$$= (1 - \alpha_{n}) \|\beta_{n}(Sx_{n} - Sx^{*}) + \beta_{n}(Sx^{*} - x^{*}) + (1 - \beta_{n})(x_{n} - x^{*}) \|\|x_{n+1} - x^{*}\|$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha_{n}) [\beta_{n} \|x_{n} - x^{*}\| + \beta_{n} \|Sx^{*} - x^{*}\| + (1 - \beta_{n}) \|x_{n} - x^{*}\|] \|x_{n+1} - x^{*}\|$$

$$= (1 - \alpha_{n}) \|x_{n} - x^{*}\| \|x_{n+1} - x^{*}\| + (1 - \alpha_{n})\beta_{n} \|Sx^{*} - x^{*}\| \|x_{n+1} - x^{*}\|.$$

$$(3.21)$$

Thus,

$$||x_{n+1} - x^*||^2 = \langle P_C u_n - u_n, P_C u_n - x^* \rangle + \langle u_n - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle$$

$$\leq \langle u_n - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle = \alpha_n \langle f(x_n) - f(x^*), x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) \langle T_i y_n - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle + \alpha_n \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle$$

$$\leq \alpha_n \lambda ||x_n - x^*|| ||x_{n+1} - x^*|| + (1 - \alpha_n) ||x_n - x^*|| ||x_{n+1} - x^*||$$

$$+ (1 - \alpha_n) \beta_n ||Sx^* - x^*|| ||x_{n+1} - x^*|| + \alpha_n \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle$$

$$= [1 - \alpha_n (1 - \lambda)] ||x_n - x^*|| ||x_{n+1} - x^*|| + (1 - \alpha_n) \beta_n ||Sx^* - x^*|| ||x_{n+1} - x^*||$$

$$+ \alpha_n \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle$$

$$\leq \frac{1 - \alpha_n (1 - \lambda)}{2} [||x_n - x^*||^2 + ||x_{n+1} - x^*||^2] + (1 - \alpha_n) \beta_n ||Sx^* - x^*|| ||x_{n+1} - x^*||$$

$$+ \alpha_n \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle.$$

$$(3.22)$$

It follows that

$$||x_{n+1} - x^*||^2 \le \left[1 - \frac{2(1-\lambda)\alpha_n}{1+(1-\lambda)\alpha_n}\right] ||x_n - x^*||^2 + \frac{2(1-\alpha_n)\beta_n}{1+(1-\lambda)\alpha_n} ||Sx^* - x^*|| ||x_{n+1} - x^*||$$

$$+ \frac{2\alpha_n}{1+(1-\lambda)\alpha_n} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle$$

$$= \left[1 - \frac{2(1-\lambda)\alpha_n}{1+(1-\lambda)\alpha_n}\right] ||x_n - x^*||^2 + \frac{2(1-\lambda)\alpha_n}{1+(1-\lambda)\alpha_n}$$

$$\times \left\{\frac{(1-\alpha_n)\beta_n}{(1-\lambda)\alpha_n} ||Sx^* - x^*|| ||x_{n+1} - x^*|| + \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle\right\}.$$
(3.23)

Let $a_n = 2(1-\lambda)\alpha_n/(1+(1-\lambda))\alpha_n$, $b_n = (2(1-\lambda)\alpha_n/(1+(1-\lambda)\alpha_n))\{(1-\alpha_n)\beta_n/(1-\lambda)\alpha_n\|Sx^*-x^*\|\|x_{n+1}-x^*\|+(1/1-\lambda)\langle f(x^*)-x^*,x_{n+1}-x^*\rangle\}$, and $c_n = 0$ for all $n \ge 1$. Since

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n}{(1 - \lambda)\alpha_n} \|Sx^* - x^*\| \|x_{n+1} - x^*\| + \frac{1}{1 - \lambda} \langle f(x^*) - x^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle \right\} \le 0, \tag{3.24}$$

 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$, and $2(1-\lambda)\alpha_n/(1+(1-\lambda)\alpha_n) \ge (1-\lambda)\alpha_n$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \infty, \qquad \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{b_n}{a_n} = 0, \qquad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n = 0.$$
 (3.25)

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 2.3(2) that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - x^*|| = 0. {(3.26)}$$

This completes the proof.

Remark 3.2. In (1.9), if f = 0, then it follows that $x_n \to x^* = P_F 0$. In this case, from (3.1), it follows that

$$\langle x^*, x^* - x \rangle \le 0, \quad \forall x^* \in F, \tag{3.27}$$

that is,

$$||x^*||^2 \le \langle x^*, x \rangle \le ||x^*|| ||x||, \quad \forall x \in F.$$
 (3.28)

Therefore, the point x^* is the unique solution to the quadratic minimization problem

$$x^* = \arg\min_{x \in F} ||x||^2.$$
 (3.29)

In Theorem 3.1, if $T_i = T$ for all $i \ge 1$, then we get the following result.

Corollary 3.3. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let $f: C \to H$ be a λ -contraction with $\lambda \in [0,1)$. Let $S: C \to H$ be a nonexpansive mapping and $T: C \to C$ a nonexpansive mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x_1 \in C$ and define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by

$$y_n = P_C [\beta_n S x_n + (1 - \beta_n) x_n],$$

$$x_{n+1} = P_C [\alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) T y_n], \quad \forall n \ge 1,$$
(3.30)

where $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0,1)$ and $\{\beta_n\} \subset (0,1)$ are two sequences satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_n=0$, and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n=\infty$,
- (b) $\lim_{n\to\infty} (\beta_n/\alpha_n) = 0$
- (c) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n| < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{n-1} \beta_n| < \infty$.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a point $x^* \in F(T)$, which is the unique solution of the variational inequality

$$\langle (I-f)x^*, x-x^* \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in F(T). \tag{3.31}$$

In Corollary 3.3, if *S* is a self-mapping of *C* into itself, then we get the following result.

Corollary 3.4. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let $f: C \to H$ be a λ -contraction with $\lambda \in [0,1)$. Let $S: C \to C$ be a nonexpansive mapping and $T: C \to C$ a nonexpansive mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x_1 \in C$ and define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by

$$y_{n} = \beta_{n} S x_{n} + (1 - \beta_{n}) x_{n},$$

$$x_{n+1} = P_{C} \left[\alpha_{n} f(x_{n}) + (1 - \alpha_{n}) T x_{n} \right], \quad \forall n \ge 1,$$
(3.32)

where the sequences $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0,1)$ and $\{\beta_n\} \subset (0,1)$ are two sequences satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_n=0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n=\infty$
- (b) $\lim_{n\to\infty} (\beta_n/\alpha_n) = 0$,
- (c) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n| < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{n-1} \beta_n| < \infty$.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a point $x^* \in F(T)$, which is the unique solution of the variational inequality

$$\langle (I-f)x^*, x-x^* \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in F(T).$$
 (3.33)

By changing the restrictions on parameters in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following.

Theorem 3.5. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let $f: C \to H$ be a λ -contraction with $\lambda \in [0,1)$. Let $S: C \to C$ be a nonexpansive mapping and $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}: C \to C$ a countable family of nonexpansive mappings such that $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$. Set $\alpha_0 = 1$. Let $x_1 \in C$ and define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by

$$y_{n} = \beta_{n} S x_{n} + (1 - \beta_{n}) x_{n},$$

$$x_{n+1} = P_{C} \left[\alpha_{n} f(x_{n}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_{i}) T_{i} y_{n} \right], \quad \forall n \ge 1,$$
(3.34)

where $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0,1)$ is a strictly decreasing sequence and $\{\beta_n\} \subset (0,1)$ is a sequence satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_n=0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n=\infty$
- (b) $\lim_{n\to\infty} (\beta_n/\alpha_n) = \tau \in (0,\infty)$,
- (c) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n) < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{n-1} \beta_n| < \infty$,
- (d) $\lim_{n\to\infty} ((\alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n) + |\beta_n \beta_{n-1}|) / \alpha_n \beta_n = 0$,
- (e) there exists a constant K > 0 such that $(1/\alpha_n)|1/\beta_n 1/\beta_{n-1}| \le K$.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (3.34) converges strongly to a point $x^* \in F$, which is the unique solution of the variational inequality

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{\tau} (I - f) x^* + (I - S) x^*, x - x^* \right\rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in F.$$
 (3.35)

Proof. First, the proof of Theorem 3.2 of [1] shows that (3.35) has the unique solution. By a similar argument as in that of Theorem 3.1, we can conclude that $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, $\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \to 0$ and $\|x_n - T_i x_n\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Note that conditions (a) and (b) imply that $\beta_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Hence we have

$$||y_n - x_n|| = \beta_n ||Sx_n - x_n|| \longrightarrow 0 \quad (n \longrightarrow \infty).$$
(3.36)

It follows that, for all $i \ge 1$,

$$\|y_n - T_i x_n\| \le \|y_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - T_i x_n\| \longrightarrow 0 \quad (n \longrightarrow \infty).$$
 (3.37)

Now, it follows from (3.36) and (3.37) that, for all $i \ge 1$,

$$||y_n - T_i y_n|| \le ||y_n - T_i x_n|| + ||T_i x_n - T_i y_n|| \le ||y_n - T_i x_n|| + ||x_n - y_n|| \longrightarrow 0 \quad (n \longrightarrow \infty).$$
(3.38)

From (3.8), we get

$$\frac{\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|}{\beta_n} \leq \frac{\|u_n - u_{n-1}\|}{\beta_n}
\leq (1 - (1 - \lambda)\alpha_n) \frac{\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|}{\beta_n} + M \left[\frac{|\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}|}{\beta_n} + \frac{\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n}{\beta_n} \right]
= (1 - (1 - \lambda)\alpha_n) \frac{\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|}{\beta_{n-1}} + (1 - (1 - \lambda)\alpha_n) \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \left(\frac{1}{\beta_n} - \frac{1}{\beta_{n-1}} \right)
+ M \left[\frac{|\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}|}{\beta_n} + \frac{\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n}{\beta_n} \right].$$
(3.39)

Note that

$$(1 - (1 - \lambda)\alpha_n) \left(\frac{1}{\beta_n} - \frac{1}{\beta_{n-1}}\right) \le \alpha_n \frac{1}{\alpha_n} \left| \frac{1}{\beta_n} - \frac{1}{\beta_{n-1}} \right| \le \alpha_n K. \tag{3.40}$$

Hence, from (3.39), we have

$$\frac{\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|}{\beta_n} \leq \frac{\|u_n - u_{n-1}\|}{\beta_n} \leq (1 - (1 - \lambda)\alpha_n) \frac{\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|}{\beta_{n-1}} + \alpha_n K \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|
+ M \left[\frac{|\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}|}{\beta_n} + \frac{\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n}{\beta_n} \right]
\leq (1 - (1 - \lambda)\alpha_n) \frac{\|u_{n-1} - u_{n-2}\|}{\beta_{n-1}} + \alpha_n K \|x_n - x_{n-1}\|
+ M \left[\frac{|\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}|}{\beta_n} + \frac{\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n}{\beta_n} \right].$$
(3.41)

Let $a_n = (1-\lambda)\alpha_n$ and $b_n = \alpha_n K \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + M[|\beta_n - \beta_{n-1}|/\beta_n + (\alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n)/\beta_n]$. From conditions (a) and (d), we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = \infty, \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{b_n}{a_n} = 0. \tag{3.42}$$

By Lemma 2.3(2), we get

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|x_{n+1} - x_n\|}{\beta_n} = 0, \qquad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|u_n - u_{n-1}\|}{\beta_n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|u_n - u_{n-1}\|}{\alpha_n} = 0.$$
 (3.43)

From (3.34), we have

$$x_{n+1} = P_C u_n - u_n + \alpha_n f(x_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) (T_i y_n - y_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) y_n.$$
 (3.44)

Hence it follows that

$$x_{n} - x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_{n})x_{n} + \alpha_{n}x_{n}$$

$$- \left[P_{C}u_{n} - u_{n} + \alpha_{n}f(x_{n}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_{i})(T_{i}y_{n} - y_{n}) + (1 - \alpha_{n})y_{n} \right]$$

$$= (1 - \alpha_{n})\beta_{n}(x_{n} - Sx_{n}) + (u_{n} - P_{C}u_{n})$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_{i})(y_{n} - T_{i}y_{n}) + \alpha_{n}(x_{n} - f(x_{n}))$$
(3.45)

and hence

$$\frac{x_{n} - x_{n+1}}{(1 - \alpha_{n})\beta_{n}} = x_{n} - Sx_{n} + \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha_{n})\beta_{n}} (u_{n} - P_{C}u_{n})
+ \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha_{n})\beta_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_{i}) (y_{n} - T_{i}y_{n}) + \frac{\alpha_{n}}{(1 - \alpha_{n})\beta_{n}} (x_{n} - f(x_{n})).$$
(3.46)

Let $v_n = (x_n - x_{n+1})/(1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n$. For any $z \in F$, we have

$$\langle v_n, x_n - z \rangle = \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n} \langle u_n - P_C u_n, P_C u_{n-1} - z \rangle + \frac{\alpha_n}{(1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n} \langle (I - f)x_n, x_n - z \rangle$$

$$+ \langle x_n - Sx_n, x_n - z \rangle + \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha_n)\beta_n} \sum_{i=1}^n (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_i) \langle y_n - T_i y_n, x_n - z \rangle.$$
(3.47)

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\langle x_n - Sx_n, x_n - z \rangle = \langle (I - S)x_n - (I - S)z, x_n - z \rangle + \langle (I - S)z, x_n - z \rangle$$

$$> \langle (I - S)z, x_n - z \rangle,$$
(3.48)

$$\langle (I-f)x_n, x_n - z \rangle = \langle (I-f)x_n - (I-f)z, x_n - z \rangle + \langle (I-f)z, x_n - z \rangle$$

$$\geq (1-\lambda)||x_n - z||^2 + \langle (I-f)z, x_n - z \rangle,$$
(3.49)

$$\langle y_n - T_i y_n, x_n - z \rangle = \langle (I - T_i) y_n - (I - T_i) z, x_n - y_n \rangle + \langle (I - T_i) y_n - (I - T_i) z, y_n - z \rangle$$

$$\geq \langle (I - T_i) y_n - (I - T_i) z, x_n - y_n \rangle$$

$$= \beta_n \langle (I - T_i) y_n, x_n - S x_n \rangle, \quad i \geq 1.$$
(3.50)

By (2.4), we have

$$\langle u_n - P_C u_n, P_C u_{n-1} - z \rangle = \langle u_n - P_C u_n, P_C u_{n-1} - P_C u_n \rangle$$

$$+ \langle u_n - P_C u_n, P_C u_n - z \rangle$$

$$\geq \langle u_n - P_C u_n, P_C u_{n-1} - P_C u_n \rangle.$$
(3.51)

Now, from (3.47)–(3.51) it follows that

$$\langle v_{n}, x_{n} - z \rangle \geq \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha_{n})\beta_{n}} \langle u_{n} - P_{C}u_{n}, P_{C}u_{n-1} - P_{C}u_{n} \rangle + \frac{\alpha_{n}}{(1 - \alpha_{n})\beta_{n}} \langle (I - f)z, x_{n} - z \rangle$$

$$+ \langle (I - S)z, x_{n} - z \rangle + \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha_{n})} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_{i}) \langle (I - T_{i})y_{n}, x_{n} - Sx_{n} \rangle$$

$$+ \frac{(1 - \lambda)\alpha_{n}}{(1 - \alpha_{n})\beta_{n}} ||x_{n} - z||^{2}.$$
(3.52)

Observe that (3.52) implies that

$$||x_{n}-z||^{2} \leq \frac{(1-\alpha_{n})\beta_{n}}{(1-\lambda)\alpha_{n}} [\langle v_{n}, x_{n}-z \rangle - \langle (I-S)z, x_{n}-z \rangle] - \frac{1}{1-\lambda} \langle (I-f)z, x_{n}-z \rangle - \frac{\beta_{n}}{(1-\lambda)\alpha_{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_{i-1}-\alpha_{i}) \langle (I-T_{i})y_{n}, x_{n}-Sx_{n} \rangle + \frac{||u_{n-1}-u_{n}||}{(1-\lambda)\alpha_{n}} ||u_{n}-P_{C}u_{n}||.$$
(3.53)

Since $v_n \to 0$, $y_n - T_i y_n \to 0$ for all $i \ge 1$, and $\|u_{n-1} - u_n\|/\alpha_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, every weak cluster point of $\{x_n\}$ is also a strong cluster point. Since $\{x_n\}$ is bounded, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ converging to a point $x^* \in C$. Note that $x_n - T_i x_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ for all $i \ge 1$. By the demiclosed principle for a nonexpansive mapping, we have $x^* \in F(T_i)$ for all $i \ge 1$ and so $x^* \in F = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} F(T_i)$. From (3.47), (3.48), (3.50), and (3.51), it follows that, for all $z \in F$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle (I-f)x_{n_{k}}, x_{n_{k}} - z \right\rangle \\
&= \frac{(1-\alpha_{n_{k}})\beta_{n_{k}}}{\alpha_{n_{k}}} \langle v_{n_{k}}, x_{n_{k}} - z \rangle - \frac{1}{\alpha_{n_{k}}} \langle u_{n_{k}} - P_{C}u_{n_{k}}, P_{C}u_{n_{k}-1} - z \rangle \\
&- \frac{(1-\alpha_{n_{k}})\beta_{n_{k}}}{\alpha_{n_{k}}} \langle x_{n_{k}} - Sx_{n_{k}}, x_{n_{k}} - z \rangle - \frac{1}{\alpha_{n_{k}}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{k}} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_{i}) \langle y_{n_{k}} - T_{i}y_{n_{k}}, x_{n_{k}} - z \rangle \\
&\leq \frac{(1-\alpha_{n_{k}})\beta_{n_{k}}}{\alpha_{n_{k}}} \langle v_{n_{k}}, x_{n_{k}} - z \rangle - \frac{1}{\alpha_{n_{k}}} \|u_{n_{k}} - P_{C}u_{n_{k}}\| \|u_{n_{k}-1} - u_{n_{k}}\| \\
&- \frac{(1-\alpha_{n_{k}})\beta_{n_{k}}}{\alpha_{n_{k}}} \langle (I-S)z, x_{n_{k}} - z \rangle - \frac{\beta_{n_{k}}}{\alpha_{n_{k}}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{k}} (\alpha_{i-1} - \alpha_{i}) \langle (I-T_{i})y_{n_{k}}, x_{n_{k}} - Sx_{n_{k}} \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

Since $v_n \to 0$, $(I - T_i)y_n \to 0$ for all $i \ge 1$, and $||u_n - u_{n-1}||/\alpha_n = 0$, letting $k \to \infty$ in (3.54), we obtain

$$\langle (I-f)x^*, x^* - z \rangle \le -\tau \langle (I-S)z, x^* - z \rangle, \quad \forall z \in F.$$
 (3.55)

Since (3.35) has the unique solution, it follows that $\omega_w(x_n) = \{\overline{x}\}$. Since every weak cluster point of $\{x_n\}$ is also a strong cluster point, we conclude that $x_n \to \overline{x}$ as $n \to \infty$. This completes the proof.

In Theorem 3.5, if $T_i = T$ for each $i \ge 1$, then we have the following result, which is Theorem 3.2 of Yao et al. [1].

Corollary 3.6. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Let $f: C \to H$ be a λ -contraction with $\lambda \in [0,1)$. Let $S: C \to C$ be a nonexpansive mapping and $T: C \to C$ a nonexpansive mapping such that $F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let $x_1 \in C$ and define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by

$$y_{n} = \beta_{n} S x_{n} + (1 - \beta_{n}) x_{n},$$

$$x_{n+1} = P_{C} \left[\alpha_{n} f(x_{n}) + (1 - \alpha_{n}) T y_{n} \right], \quad \forall n \ge 1,$$
(3.56)

where $\{\alpha_n\} \subset (0,1)$ and $\{\beta_n\} \subset (0,1)$ are the sequences satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $\lim_{n\to\infty}\alpha_n=0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n=\infty$,
- (b) $\lim_{n\to\infty} (\beta_n/\alpha_n) = \tau \in (0,\infty)$,
- (c) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n) < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_{n-1} \beta_n| < \infty$,
- (d) $\lim_{n\to\infty} ((\alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n) + |\beta_n \beta_{n-1}|) / \alpha_n \beta_n = 0$,
- (e) there exists a constant K > 0 such that $(1/\alpha_n)|(1/\beta_n) 1/\beta_{n-1}| \le K$.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (3.56) converges strongly to a point $x^* \in F(T)$, which is the unique solution of the variational inequality

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{\tau} (I - f) x^* + (I - S) x^*, x - x^* \right\rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall x \in F(T). \tag{3.57}$$

Remark 3.7. In (1.9), if S = I and f is a self-contraction of C, then we get

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha_n f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) T y_n, \quad \forall n \ge 0,$$
 (3.58)

which is well known as the viscosity method studied by Moudafi [6] and Xu [7]. If S and f are both self-mappings of C in (1.9), then we get the algorithm of Cianciaruso et al. [5].

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Korea Research Foundation Grant funded by the Korean Government (KRF-2008-313-C00050).

References

- [1] Y. H. Yao, Y. J. Cho, and Y. C. Liou, "Iterative algorithms for hierarchical fixed points problems and variational inequalities," *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, vol. 52, no. 9-10, pp. 1697–1705, 2010.
- [2] A. Moudafi, "Krasnoselski-Mann iteration for hierarchical fixed-point problems," *Inverse Problems*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 1635–1640, 2007.
- [3] P. E. Mainge and A. Moudafi, "Strong convergence of an iterative method for hierarchical fixed-point problems," *Pacific Journal of Optimization*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 529–538, 2007.
- [4] G. Marino and H.-K. Xu, "Explicit hierarchical fixed point approach to variational inequalities," *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, vol. 149, no. 1, pp. 61–78, 2011.
- [5] F. Cianciaruso, G. Marino, L. Muglia, and Y. Yao, "On a two-step algorithm for hierarchical fixed point problems and variational inequalities," *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, vol. 2009, Article ID 208692, 13 pages, 2009.
- [6] A. Moudafi, "Viscosity approximation methods for fixed-points problems," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 241, no. 1, pp. 46–55, 2000.
- [7] H.-K. Xu, "Viscosity approximation methods for nonexpansive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 298, no. 1, pp. 279–291, 2004.
- [8] K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 28 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.
- [9] G. Marino and H.-K. Xu, "A general iterative method for nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 318, no. 1, pp. 43–52, 2006.
- [10] P.-E. Maingé, "Approximation methods for common fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 325, no. 1, pp. 469–479, 2007.

















Submit your manuscripts at http://www.hindawi.com











Journal of Discrete Mathematics











