

# EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF A CLASSICAL SOLUTION TO A FUNCTIONAL-DIFFERENTIAL ABSTRACT NONLOCAL CAUCHY PROBLEM

LUDWIK BYSZEWSKI  
*Cracow University of Technology*  
*Institute of Mathematics*  
*Warszawska 24*  
*31-155 Cracow, Poland*

(Received November, 1997; Revised April, 1998)

The aim of this paper is to investigate the existence and uniqueness of a classical solution to a functional-differential abstract nonlocal Cauchy problem in a general Banach space. For this purpose, a special kind of a mild solution is introduced and the Banach contraction theorem and a modified Picard method are applied.

**Key words:** Abstract Cauchy Problem, Ordinary Functional-Differential Equation, Nonlocal Condition, Existence and Uniqueness of a Classical Solution, Mild Solution, Banach Contraction Theorem, Picard Method.

**AMS subject classifications:** 34G20, 34K30, 34A12, 34A34, 47H10, 34A45, 34G99.

## 1. Introduction

We present four theorems (Theorems 2.1-2.4) on the existence and uniqueness of a classical solution to a functional-differential abstract nonlocal Cauchy problem in an arbitrary Banach space and give an approximation of the solution to the nonlocal problem. In the proofs of the theorems, we introduce a special kind of a mild solution and apply the Banach contraction theorem and a modified Picard method of successive approximations.

The functional-differential nonlocal problem, studied in this paper is of the form:

$$u'(t) = f(t, u(t), u(a(t))), \quad t \in I, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(t_0) + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k u(t_k) = x_0, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $I = [t_0, t_0 + T]$ ,  $t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_p \leq t_0 + T$ ,  $T > 0$ ;  $f: I \times E^2 \rightarrow E$  and  $a: I \rightarrow I$  are given functions satisfying some assumptions;  $E$  is a Banach space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ ,  $x_0 \in E$ ,  $c_k \neq 0$  ( $k = 1, \dots, p$ ) and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The results obtained are generalizations and continuations of those, reported previously in [1-4], with the nonlocal condition of type (1.2). Moreover, the results of the paper include, among other things, a special kind of a mild solution to nonlocal problem (1.1)-(1.2). Therefore, throughout the proofs of the theorems, we apply properties of function  $f$  in a greater measure than in [1-3]. Consequently, in contrast with [1-3], now, even if  $T$  is an arbitrary positive constant, then  $c_k (k = 1, \dots, p)$  from the nonlocal condition (1.2) can satisfy the inequalities  $|c_k| > 1 (k = 1, \dots, p)$ . The special kind of a mild solution in this paper is a modification of a mild solution introduced by the author (in [5]), for nonlocal evolution problems. In the case when  $c_k = 0 (k = 1, \dots, p)$  and the right-hand side of the functional-differential equation does not depend on the functional argument, some results of Theorem 2.4 are reduced to those (given in [6]) on the existence and uniqueness of a classical solution to the abstract Cauchy problem with the standard initial condition.

If  $c_k \neq 0 (k = 1, \dots, p)$  then the results of the paper can be applied in kinematics to determine the evolution  $t \rightarrow u(t)$  of the location of a physical object for which we do not know the positions  $u(t_0), u(t_1), \dots, u(t_p)$ , but we know that the nonlocal condition (1.2) holds. Consequently, to describe some physical phenomena, the nonlocal condition can be more useful than the standard initial condition  $u(t_0) = x_0$ .

## 2. Theorems About the Existence and Uniqueness of a Classical Solution

By  $X$ , we denote the Banach space  $C(I, E)$  with the standard norm  $\| \cdot \|_X$ . So,

$$\| w \|_X = \sup_{t \in I} \| w(t) \|, \quad w \in X.$$

Assume that  $\sum_{k=1}^p c_k \neq -1$ . A function  $u \in X$ , satisfying the integral equation

$$u(t) = \left( x_0 - \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \int_{t_0}^{t_k} f(\tau, u(\tau), u(a(\tau))) d\tau \right) / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) \tag{2.1}$$

$$+ \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau, u(\tau), u(a(\tau))) d\tau, \quad t \in I,$$

is said to be a *mild solution* of the nonlocal problem (1.1)-(1.2).

A function  $u: I \rightarrow E$  is said to be a *classical solution* of the nonlocal problem (1.1)-(1.2) if

- (i)  $u$  is continuous on  $I$  and continuously differentiable on  $I$ ,
- (ii)  $u'(t) = f(t, u(t), u(a(t)))$  for  $t \in I$

and

- (iii)  $u(t_0) + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k u(t_k) = x_0$ .

**Theorem 2.1:** *Suppose that  $f: I \times E^2 \rightarrow E$ ,  $a: I \rightarrow I$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^p c_k \neq -1$ . If  $u$  is a classical solution of the nonlocal problem (1.1)-(1.2), then  $u$  is a mild solution of this problem.*

**Proof:** Let  $u$  be a classical solution of the nonlocal problem (1.1)-(1.2). Then  $u$  satisfies equation (1.1) and, consequently,

$$u(t) = u(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau, u(\tau), u(a(\tau)))d\tau, \quad t \in I. \tag{2.2}$$

From (2.2),

$$u(t_k) = u(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_k} f(\tau, u(\tau), u(a(\tau)))d\tau \quad (k = 1, \dots, p). \tag{2.3}$$

By (1.2) and (2.3),

$$u(t_0) + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \left[ u(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_k} f(\tau, u(\tau), u(a(\tau)))d\tau \right] = x_0. \tag{2.4}$$

Since  $\sum_{k=1}^p c_k \neq -1$ , then (2.4) implies

$$u(t_0) = \left( x_0 - \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \int_{t_0}^{t_k} f(\tau, u(\tau), u(a(\tau)))d\tau \right) / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right). \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.2) and (2.5), we obtain that  $u$  is a mild solution of the nonlocal problem (1.1)-(1.2). The proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete.

**Theorem 2.2:** Suppose that  $f \in C(I \times E^2, E)$ ,  $a: I \rightarrow I$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^p c_k \neq -1$ . If  $u$  is a mild solution of the nonlocal problem (1.1)-(1.2) then  $u$  is a classical solution of this problem.

**Proof:** Let  $u$  be a mild solution of the nonlocal problem (1.1)-(1.2). Then  $u$  satisfies equation (1.1) and, from the continuity of  $f, u \in C^1(I, E)$ . Now, we will show that  $u$  satisfies the nonlocal condition (1.2). For this purpose, observe that, by (2.1),

$$u(t_0) = \left( x_0 - \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \int_{t_0}^{t_k} f(\tau, u(\tau), u(a(\tau)))d\tau \right) / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$u(t_i) = \left( x_0 - \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \int_{t_0}^{t_k} f(\tau, u(\tau), u(a(\tau)))d\tau \right) / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) \tag{2.7}$$

$$+ \int_{t_0}^{t_i} f(\tau, u(\tau), u(a(\tau)))d\tau \quad (i = 1, \dots, p).$$

From (2.6) and (2.7), and from some computations,

$$u(t_0) + \sum_{i=1}^p c_i u(t_i) = \left( x_0 - \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \int_{t_0}^{t_k} f(\tau, u(\tau), u(a(\tau))) d\tau \right) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^p c_i \int_{t_0}^{t_i} f(\tau, u(\tau), u(a(\tau))) d\tau = x_0.$$

Therefore, the proof of Theorem 2.2 is complete.

As a consequence of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, we obtain:

**Theorem 2.3:** Suppose that  $f \in C(I \times E^2, E)$ ,  $a: I \rightarrow I$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^p c_k \neq -1$ . Then  $u$  is the unique classical solution of the nonlocal problem (1.1)-(1.2) if and only if  $u$  is the unique mild solution of this problem.

Now, we will prove the main theorem of the paper.

**Theorem 2.4:** Assume that:

- (i)  $a \in C(I, I)$ ,  $f: I \times E^2 \rightarrow E$  is continuous with respect to the first variable on  $I$  and there is  $L > 0$  such that

$$\|f(s, z_1, z_2) - f(s, \tilde{z}_1, \tilde{z}_2)\| \leq L \sum_{i=1}^2 \|z_i - \tilde{z}_i\| \quad (2.8)$$

$$\text{for } s \in I, z_i, \tilde{z}_i \in E \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

- (ii)  $\sum_{k=1}^p c_k \neq -1$   
and

(iii) 
$$2LT \left( 1 + \left| \left( \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) \right| \right) < 1.$$

Then the nonlocal Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique classical solution  $u$ . Moreover, the successive approximations  $u_n$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), defined by the formulas

$$u_0(t) := x_0 \text{ for } t \in I \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$u_{n+1}(t) := \left( x_0 - \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \int_{t_0}^{t_k} f(\tau, u_n(\tau), u_n(a(\tau))) d\tau \right) / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) \\ + \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau, u_n(\tau), u_n(a(\tau))) d\tau \text{ for } t \in I \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots), \quad (2.10)$$

converge uniformly on  $I$  to the unique classical solution  $u$ .

**Proof:** Introduce an operator  $A$  by the formula

$$(Aw)(t) := \left( x_0 - \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \int_{t_0}^{t_k} f(\tau, w(\tau), w(a(\tau))) d\tau \right) / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) \quad (2.11)$$

$$+ \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau, w(\tau), w(a(\tau)))d\tau, \quad w \in X, t \in I.$$

It is easy to see that

$$A: X \rightarrow X. \tag{2.12}$$

Now, we will show that  $A$  is a contraction on  $X$ . For this purpose observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & (Aw)(t) - (A\tilde{w})(t) \\ &= \left( - \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \int_{t_0}^{t_k} [f(\tau, w(\tau), w(a(\tau))) - f(\tau, \tilde{w}(\tau), \tilde{w}(a(\tau)))]d\tau \right) / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) \\ & \quad + \int_{t_0}^t [f(\tau, w(\tau), w(a(\tau))) - f(\tau, \tilde{w}(\tau), \tilde{w}(a(\tau)))]d\tau, \quad w, \tilde{w} \in X, t \in I. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

From (2.13) and (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (Aw)(t) - (A\tilde{w})(t) \| \\ & \leq 2LT \left( 1 + \left| \left( \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) \right| \right) \| w - \tilde{w} \|_X, \quad w, \tilde{w} \in X, t \in I. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Let

$$q := 2LT \left( 1 + \left| \left( \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) \right| \right). \tag{2.15}$$

Then, by (2.14), (2.15) and assumption (iii),

$$\| Aw - A\tilde{w} \|_X \leq q \| w - \tilde{w} \|_X \text{ for } w, \tilde{w} \in X \tag{2.16}$$

with  $0 < q < 1$ .

Consequently, by (2.12) and (2.16), operator  $A$  satisfies all the assumptions of the Banach contraction theorem. Therefore, in space  $X$  there is only one fixed point  $u$  of  $A$  and this point is the mild solution of the nonlocal problem (1.1)-(1.2). Consequently, from Theorem 2.3,  $u$  is the unique classical solution of the nonlocal problem (1.1)-(1.2).

Now, we will prove the second part of the thesis of Theorem 2.4. To this end, observe that by (2.10) and (2.9),

$$\begin{aligned} & \| u_1 - u_0 \|_X = \sup_{t \in I} \| u_1(t) - u_0(t) \| \\ & \leq \left\| \left( - \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \int_{t_0}^{t_k} f(\tau, u_0(\tau), u_0(a(\tau)))d\tau \right) / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) \right\| \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sup_{t \in I} \left\| \int_{t_0}^t f(\tau, u_0(\tau), u_0(a(\tau))) d\tau \right\| \\
& \leq MT \left( 1 + \left| \left( \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) \right| \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$M := \sup \{ \| f(\tau, w(\tau), w(a(\tau))) \| : w \in X, \tau \in I \}.$$

Next, assume that

$$\begin{aligned}
\| u_n - u_{n-1} \|_X & \leq MT \left( 1 + \left| \left( \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) \right| \right) \\
& \cdot \left[ 2LT \left( 1 + \left| \left( \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) \right| \right) \right]^{n-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

for some natural  $n \geq 2$ .

Then, by (2.10), (2.9), (2.8) and (2.18),

$$\| u_{n+1} - u_n \|_X = \sup_{t \in I} \| u_{n+1}(t) - u_n(t) \| \tag{2.19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \leq \left\| \left( - \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \int_{t_0}^{t_k} [f(\tau, u_n(\tau), u_n(a(\tau))) - f(\tau, u_{n-1}(\tau), u_{n-1}(a(\tau)))] d\tau \right) / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) \right\| \\
& + \sup_{t \in I} \left\| \int_{t_0}^t [f(\tau, u_n(\tau), u_n(a(\tau))) - f(\tau, u_{n-1}(\tau), u_{n-1}(a(\tau)))] d\tau \right\| \\
& \leq 2LT \left( 1 + \left| \left( \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) \right| \right) \| u_n - u_{n-1} \|_X \\
& \leq MT \left( 1 + \left| \left( \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) \right| \right) \cdot \left[ 2LT \left( 1 + \left| \left( \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) \right| \right) \right]^n.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (2.17), (2.18), (2.19), and from mathematical induction,

$$\begin{aligned}
\| u_n - u_{n-1} \|_X & \leq MT \left( 1 + \left| \left( \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) \right| \right) \\
& \cdot \left[ 2LT \left( 1 + \left| \left( \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) / \left( 1 + \sum_{k=1}^p c_k \right) \right| \right) \right]^{n-1}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Inequalities (2.20) and assumption (iii) imply, by the Weierstrass theorem, the uniform convergence of the series

$$u_1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (u_{n+1} - u_n)$$

on the interval  $I$  and, consequently, the uniform convergence of the sequence  $u_n$  on  $I$ . Let

$$u_*(t) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(t) \text{ for } t \in I.$$

Since  $u_n$  tends uniformly to  $u_*$  on  $I$  then, by (2.9), (2.10) and (2.8),  $u_*$  is a classical solution of the nonlocal problem (1.1)-(1.2) on  $I$ . But, from the first part of the thesis of Theorem 2.4, we know that there exists only one classical solution  $u$  of the nonlocal problem (1.1)-(1.2) on  $I$ . So,  $u_* = u$  on  $I$ .

The proof of Theorem 2.4 is complete.

## References

- [1] Byszewski, L. and Lakshmikantham, V., Theorem about the existence and uniqueness of a solution of a nonlocal abstract Cauchy problem in a Banach space, *Appl. Anal.* **40** (1990), 11-19.
- [2] Byszewski, L., Existence of approximate solution to abstract nonlocal Cauchy problem, *J. of Appl. Math. and Stoch. Anal.* **5.4** (1992), 363-374.
- [3] Byszewski, L., *Differential and Functional-Differential Problems with Nonlocal Conditions*, Cracow University of Technology, Monograph **184**, Cracow 1995.
- [4] Byszewski, L., On weak solutions of functional-differential abstract nonlocal Cauchy problem, *Annales Polonici Math.* **65** (1997), 163-170.
- [5] Byszewski, L., Application of properties of the right-hand sides of evolution equations to an investigation of nonlocal evolution problems, *Nonl. Anal.: Theory, Methods and Appl.* (1998), in press.
- [6] Lakshmikantham, V. and Leela, S., *Nonlinear Differential Equations in Abstract Spaces*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, New York, Toronto, Sydney, Paris, Frankfurt 1981.