

## Research Article

# On Logarithmic Convexity for Differences of Power Means

Slavko Simic

Received 20 July 2007; Accepted 17 October 2007

Recommended by László Losonczy

We proved a new and precise inequality between the differences of power means. As a consequence, an improvement of Jensen's inequality and a converse of Holder's inequality are obtained. Some applications in probability and information theory are also given.

Copyright © 2007 Slavko Simic. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\tilde{x}_n = \{x_i\}_1^n$ ,  $\tilde{p}_n = \{p_i\}_1^n$  denote two sequences of positive real numbers with  $\sum_1^n p_i = 1$ . From Theory of Convex Means (cf. [1–3]), the well-known Jensen's inequality states that for  $t < 0$  or  $t > 1$ ,

$$\sum_1^n p_i x_i^t \geq \left( \sum_1^n p_i x_i \right)^t, \quad (1.1)$$

and vice versa for  $0 < t < 1$ . The equality sign in (1.1) occurs if and only if all members of  $\tilde{x}_n$  are equal (cf. [1, page 15]). In this article, we will consider the difference

$$d_t = d_t^{(n)} = d_t^{(n)}(\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{p}_n) := \sum_1^n p_i x_i^t - \left( \sum_1^n p_i x_i \right)^t, \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}. \quad (1.2)$$

By the above,  $d_t$  is identically zero if and only if all members of the sequence  $\tilde{x}_n$  are equal; hence this trivial case will be excluded in the sequel. An interesting fact is that there exists an explicit constant  $c_{s,t}$ , independent of the sequences  $\tilde{x}_n$  and  $\tilde{p}_n$  such that

$$d_s d_t \geq c_{s,t} (d_{(s+t)/2})^2 \quad (1.3)$$

for each  $s, t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ . More generally, we will prove the following inequality:

$$(\lambda_s)^{t-r} \leq (\lambda_r)^{t-s} (\lambda_t)^{s-r}, \quad -\infty < r < s < t < +\infty, \tag{1.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_t &:= \frac{d_t}{t(t-1)}, \quad t \neq 0, 1, \\ \lambda_0 &:= \log \left( \sum_1^n p_i x_i \right) - \sum_1^n p_i \log x_i; \quad \lambda_1 := \sum_1^n p_i x_i \log x_i - \left( \sum_1^n p_i x_i \right) \log \sum_1^n p_i x_i. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

This inequality is very precise. For example ( $n = 2$ ),

$$\lambda_2 \lambda_4 - (\lambda_3)^2 = \frac{1}{72} (p_1 p_2)^2 (1 + p_1 p_2) (x_1 - x_2)^6. \tag{1.6}$$

*Remark 1.1.* Note that from (1.1) follows  $\lambda_t > 0$ ,  $t \neq 0, 1$ , assuming that not all members of  $\tilde{x}_n$  are equal. The same is valid for  $\lambda_0$  and  $\lambda_1$ . Corresponding integral inequalities will also be given. As a consequence of Theorem 2.2, a whole variety of applications arise. For instance, we obtain a substantial improvement of Jensen’s inequality and a converse of Holder’s inequality, as well. As an application to probability theory, we give a generalized form of Lyapunov-like inequality for moments of distributions with support on  $(0, \infty)$ . An inequality between the Kullback-Leibler divergence and Hellinger distance will also be derived.

**2. Results**

Our main result is contained in the following.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *For  $\tilde{p}_n, \tilde{x}_n, d_t$  defined as above, then*

$$\lambda_t := \frac{d_t}{t(t-1)} \tag{2.1}$$

*is log-convex for  $t \in I := (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, 1) \cup (1, +\infty)$ . As a consequence, the following general inequality is obtained.*

**THEOREM 2.2.** *For  $-\infty < r < s < t < +\infty$ , then*

$$\lambda_s^{t-r} \leq (\lambda_r)^{t-s} (\lambda_t)^{s-r}, \tag{2.2}$$

*with*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_0 &:= \log \left( \sum_1^n p_i x_i \right) - \sum_1^n p_i \log x_i, \\ \lambda_1 &:= \sum_1^n p_i x_i \log x_i - \left( \sum_1^n p_i x_i \right) \log \left( \sum_1^n p_i x_i \right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Applying standard procedure (cf. [1, page 131]), we pass from finite sums to definite integrals and obtain the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $f(x), p(x)$  be nonnegative and integrable functions for  $x \in (a, b)$ , with  $\int_a^b p(x)dx = 1$ . Denote*

$$D_s = D_s(a, b, f, p) := \int_a^b p(x)f^s(x)dx - \left( \int_a^b p(x)f(x)dx \right)^s. \tag{2.4}$$

For  $0 < r < s < t, r, s, t \neq 1$ , then

$$\left( \frac{D_s}{s(s-1)} \right)^{t-r} \leq \left( \frac{D_r}{r(r-1)} \right)^{t-s} \left( \frac{D_t}{t(t-1)} \right)^{s-r}. \tag{2.5}$$

### 3. Applications

Finally, we give some applications of our results in analysis, probability, and information theory. Also, since the involved constants are independent on  $n$ , we will write  $\sum(\cdot)$  instead of  $\sum_1^n(\cdot)$ .

**3.1. An improvement of Jensen’s inequality.** By the inequality (2.2) various improvements of Jensen’s inequality (1.1) can be established such as the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *There exist*

(i) *for  $s > 3$ ,*

$$\sum p_i x_i^s \geq \left( \sum p_i x_i \right)^s + \binom{s}{2} \left( \frac{d_3}{3d_2} \right)^{s-2} d_2; \tag{3.1}$$

(ii) *for  $0 < s < 1$ ,*

$$\sum p_i x_i^s \leq \left( \sum p_i x_i \right)^s - \frac{s(1-s)}{2} \left( \frac{3d_2}{d_3} \right)^{2-s} d_2, \tag{3.2}$$

where  $d_2$  and  $d_3$  are defined as above.

**3.2. A converse of Holder’s inequality.** The following converse statement holds.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *Let  $\{a_i\}, \{b_i\}, i = 1, 2, \dots$ , be arbitrary sequences of positive real numbers and  $1/p + 1/q = 1, p > 1$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & pq \left[ \left( \sum a_i^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum b_i^q \right)^{1/q} - \sum a_i b_i \right] \\ & \leq \left( \sum a_i^p \log \frac{a_i^p}{b_i^q} - \left( \sum a_i^p \right) \log \frac{\sum a_i^p}{\sum b_i^q} \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum b_i^q \log \frac{b_i^q}{a_i^p} - \left( \sum b_i^q \right) \log \frac{\sum b_i^q}{\sum a_i^p} \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

For  $0 < p < 1$ , the inequality (3.3) is reversed.

**3.3. A new moments inequality.** Apart from Jensen’s inequality, in probability theory is very important Lyapunov moments inequality which asserts that for  $0 < m < n < p$ ,

$$(EX^n)^{p-m} \leq (EX^m)^{p-n} (EX^p)^{n-m}. \tag{3.4}$$

This inequality is valid for any probability law with support on  $(0, +\infty)$ . A consequence of Theorem 2.2 gives a similar but more precise moments inequality.

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *For  $1 < m < n < p$  and for any probability distribution  $P$  with  $\text{supp } P = (0, +\infty)$ , then*

$$(EX^n - (EX)^n)^{p-m} \leq C(m, n, p) (EX^m - (EX)^m)^{p-n} (EX^p - (EX)^p)^{n-m}, \tag{3.5}$$

where the constant  $C(m, n, p)$  is given by

$$C(m, n, p) = \frac{\binom{n}{2}^{p-m}}{\binom{m}{2}^{p-n} \binom{p}{2}^{n-m}}. \tag{3.6}$$

There remains an interesting question: under what conditions on  $m, n, p$  is the inequality (3.5) valid for distributions with support on  $(-\infty, +\infty)$ ?

**3.4. An inequality on symmetrized divergence.** Define probability distributions  $P$  and  $Q$  of a discrete random variable by

$$P(X = i) = p_i, \quad Q(X = i) = q_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \quad \sum p_i = \sum q_i = 1. \tag{3.7}$$

Among the other quantities, of importance in information theory, are Kullback-Leibler divergence  $D_{KL}(P\|Q)$  and Hellinger distance  $H(P, Q)$ , defined to be

$$\begin{aligned} D_{KL}(P\|Q) &:= \sum p_i \log \frac{p_i}{q_i}, \\ H(P, Q) &:= \sqrt{\sum (\sqrt{p_i} - \sqrt{q_i})^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

The distribution  $P$  represents here data, observations, while  $Q$  typically represents a model or an approximation of  $P$ . Gibbs’ inequality states that  $D_{KL}(P\|Q) \geq 0$  and  $D_{KL}(P\|Q) = 0$  if and only if  $P = Q$ . It is also well known that

$$D_{KL}(P\|Q) \geq H^2(P, Q). \tag{3.9}$$

Since Kullback and Leibler themselves (see [4]) defined the divergence as

$$D_{KL}(P\|Q) + D_{KL}(Q\|P), \tag{3.10}$$

we will give a new inequality for this symmetrized divergence form.

**PROPOSITION 3.4.** *Let*

$$D_{KL}(P\|Q) + D_{KL}(Q\|P) \geq 4H^2(P, Q). \tag{3.11}$$

#### 4. Proofs

Before we proceed with proofs of the above assertions, we give some preliminaries which will be used in the sequel.

*Definition 4.1.* It is said that a positive function  $f(s)$  is log-convex on some open interval  $I$  if

$$f(s)f(t) \geq f^2\left(\frac{s+t}{2}\right) \tag{4.1}$$

for each  $s, t \in I$ .

We quote here a useful lemma from log-convexity theory (cf. [5], [6, pages 284–286]).

LEMMA 4.2. *A positive function  $f$  is log-convex on  $I$  if and only if the relation*

$$f(s)u^2 + 2f\left(\frac{s+t}{2}\right)uw + f(t)w^2 \geq 0 \tag{4.2}$$

*holds for each real  $u, w$ , and  $s, t \in I$ . This result is nothing more than the discriminant test for the nonnegativity of second-order polynomials. Another well-known assertions are the following (cf. [1, pages 74, 97-98]).*

LEMMA 4.3. *If  $g(x)$  is twice differentiable and  $g''(x) \geq 0$  on  $I$ , then  $g(x)$  is convex on  $I$  and*

$$\sum p_i g(x_i) \geq g\left(\sum p_i x_i\right) \tag{4.3}$$

*for each  $x_i \in I, i = 1, 2, \dots$ , and any positive weight sequence  $\{p_i\}, \sum p_i = 1$ .*

LEMMA 4.4. *If  $\phi(s)$  is continuous and convex for all  $s_1, s_2, s_3$  of an open interval  $I$  for which  $s_1 < s_2 < s_3$ , then*

$$\phi(s_1)(s_3 - s_2) + \phi(s_2)(s_1 - s_3) + \phi(s_3)(s_2 - s_1) \geq 0. \tag{4.4}$$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Consider the function  $f(x, u, w, r, s, t)$  given by

$$f(x, u, w, r, s, t) := f(x) = u^2 \frac{x^s}{s(s-1)} + 2uw \frac{x^r}{r(r-1)} + w^2 \frac{x^t}{t(t-1)}, \tag{4.5}$$

where  $r := (s+t)/2$  and  $u, w, r, s, t$  are real parameters with  $r, s, t \notin \{0, 1\}$ . Since

$$f''(x) = u^2 x^{s-2} + 2uw x^{r-2} + w^2 x^{t-2} = (ux^{s/2-1} + wx^{t/2-1})^2 \geq 0, \quad x > 0, \tag{4.6}$$

by Lemma 4.3, we conclude that  $f(x)$  is convex for  $x > 0$ . Hence, by Lemma 4.3 again,

$$u^2 \frac{\sum p_i x_i^s}{s(s-1)} + 2uw \frac{\sum p_i x_i^r}{r(r-1)} + w^2 \frac{\sum p_i x_i^t}{t(t-1)} \geq u^2 \frac{(\sum p_i x_i)^s}{s(s-1)} + 2uw \frac{(\sum p_i x_i)^r}{r(r-1)} + w^2 \frac{(\sum p_i x_i)^t}{t(t-1)}, \tag{4.7}$$

that is,

$$u^2 \lambda_s + 2uw \lambda_r + w^2 \lambda_t \geq 0 \tag{4.8}$$

holds for each  $u, w \in \mathbb{R}$ . By Lemma 4.2 this is possible only if

$$\lambda_s \lambda_t \geq \lambda_r^2 = \lambda_{(s+t)/2}^2, \tag{4.9}$$

and the proof is done. □

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* Note that the function  $\lambda_s$  is continuous at the points  $s = 0$  and  $s = 1$  since

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_0 &:= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \lambda_s = \log \left( \sum_1^n p_i x_i \right) - \sum_1^n p_i \log x_i, \\ \lambda_1 &:= \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \lambda_s = \sum_1^n p_i x_i \log x_i - \left( \sum_1^n p_i x_i \right) \log \left( \sum_1^n p_i x_i \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Therefore,  $\log \lambda_s$  is a continuous and convex function for  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Applying Lemma 4.4 for  $-\infty < r < s < t < +\infty$ , we get

$$(t - r) \log \lambda_s \leq (t - s) \log \lambda_r + (s - r) \log \lambda_t, \tag{4.11}$$

which is equivalent to the assertion of Theorem 2.2. □

*Remark 4.5.* The method of proof we just exposed can be easily generalized. This is left to the reader.

Proof of Theorem 2.3 can be produced by standard means (cf. [1, pages 131–134]) and therefore is omitted.

*Proof of Proposition 3.1.* Applying Theorem 2.2 with  $2 < 3 < s$ , we get

$$\lambda_2^{s-3} \lambda_s \geq \lambda_3^{s-2}, \tag{4.12}$$

that is,

$$\lambda_s = \frac{\sum p_i x_i^s - (\sum p_i x_i)^s}{s(s-1)} \geq \left( \frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_2} \right)^{s-2} \lambda_2, \tag{4.13}$$

and the proof of Proposition 3.1, part (i), follows. Taking  $0 < s < 1 < 2 < 3$  in Theorem 2.2 and proceeding as before, we obtain the proof of the part (ii). Note that in this case

$$\lambda_s = \frac{(\sum p_i x_i)^s - \sum p_i x_i^s}{s(1-s)}. \tag{4.14}$$

□

*Proof of Proposition 3.2.* From Theorem 2.2, for  $r = 0, s = s, t = 1$ , we get

$$\lambda_s \leq \lambda_0^{1-s} \lambda_1^s, \tag{4.15}$$

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(\sum p_i x_i)^s - \sum p_i x_i^s}{s(1-s)} \\ &\leq \left( \log \sum p_i x_i - \sum p_i \log x_i \right)^{1-s} \left( \sum p_i x_i \log x_i - \left( \sum p_i x_i \right) \log \sum p_i x_i \right)^s. \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Putting

$$s = \frac{1}{p}, \quad 1 - s = \frac{1}{q}; \quad p_i = \frac{b_i^q}{\sum b_j^q}, \quad x_i = \frac{a_i^p}{b_i^q}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (4.17)$$

after some calculations, we obtain the inequality (3.3). In the case  $0 < p < 1$ , put  $r = 0$ ,  $s = 1$ ,  $t = s$  and proceed as above.  $\square$

*Proof of Proposition 3.3.* For a probability distribution  $P$  of a discrete variable  $X$ , defined by

$$P(X = x_i) = p_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots; \quad \sum p_i = 1, \quad (4.18)$$

its expectance  $EX$  and moments  $EX^r$  of  $r$ th-order (if exist) are defined by

$$EX := \sum p_i x_i; \quad EX^r := \sum p_i x_i^r. \quad (4.19)$$

Since  $\text{supp } P = (0, \infty)$ , for  $1 < m < n < p$ , the inequality (2.2) reads

$$\left( \frac{EX^n - (EX)^n}{n(n-1)} \right)^{p-m} \leq \left( \frac{EX^m - (EX)^m}{m(m-1)} \right)^{p-n} \left( \frac{EX^p - (EX)^p}{p(p-1)} \right)^{n-m}, \quad (4.20)$$

which is equivalent with (3.5). If  $P$  is a distribution with a continuous variable, then, by Theorem 2.3, the same inequality holds for

$$EX := \int_0^\infty t dP(t); \quad EX^r := \int_0^\infty t^r dP(t) < \infty. \quad (4.21)$$

$\square$

*Proof of Proposition 3.4.* Putting  $s = 1/2$  in (4.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \log \sum p_i x_i - \sum p_i \log x_i \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum p_i x_i \log x_i - \left( \sum p_i x_i \right) \log \sum p_i x_i \right)^{1/2} \\ & \geq 4 \left( \left( \sum p_i x_i \right)^{1/2} - \sum p_i x_i^{1/2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Now, for  $x_i = q_i/p_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , and taking in account that  $\sum p_i = \sum q_i = 1$ , we obtain

$$\sqrt{D_{\text{KL}}(P\|Q)D_{\text{KL}}(Q\|P)} \geq 4 \left( 1 - \sum \sqrt{p_i q_i} \right) = 2 \sum (p_i + q_i - 2\sqrt{p_i q_i}) = 2H^2(P, Q). \quad (4.23)$$

Therefore,

$$D_{\text{KL}}(P\|Q) + D_{\text{KL}}(Q\|P) \geq 2\sqrt{D_{\text{KL}}(P\|Q)D_{\text{KL}}(Q\|P)} \geq 4H^2(P, Q). \quad (4.24)$$

$\square$

## References

- [1] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1978.
- [2] D. S. Mitrinović, *Analytic Inequalities*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 1965, Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1970.
- [3] H.-J. Rossberg, B. Jesiak, and G. Siegel, *Analytic Methods of Probability Theory*, vol. 67 of *Mathematische Monographien*, Akademie, Berlin, Germany, 1985.
- [4] S. Kullback and R. A. Leibler, "On information and sufficiency," *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 79–86, 1951.
- [5] P. A. MacMahon, *Combinatory Analysis*, Chelsea, New York, NY, USA, 1960.
- [6] J. E. Pečarić, F. Proschan, and Y. L. Tong, *Convex Functions, Partial Orderings, and Statistical Applications*, vol. 187 of *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, Academic Press, Boston, Mass, USA, 1992.

Slavko Simic: Mathematical Institute, Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts (SANU),  
Kneza Mihaila 35, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia  
Email address: [ssimic@turing.mi.sanu.ac.yu](mailto:ssimic@turing.mi.sanu.ac.yu)