

Research Article

Stability Criterion for Discrete-Time Systems

K. Ratchagit¹ and Vu N. Phat²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Maejo University, Chiang Mai 50290, Thailand

² Department of Optimization and Control, Institute of Mathematics, P.O. Box 631, Bo Ho, Hanoi 10000, Vietnam

Correspondence should be addressed to K. Ratchagit, kreangkri@mju.ac.th

Received 21 November 2009; Accepted 18 January 2010

Academic Editor: Jong Kim

Copyright © 2010 K. Ratchagit and V. N. Phat. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

This paper is concerned with the problem of delay-dependent stability analysis for discrete-time systems with interval-like time-varying delays. The problem is solved by applying a novel Lyapunov functional, and an improved delay-dependent stability criterion is obtained in terms of a linear matrix inequality.

1. Introduction

Recently, the problem of delay-dependent stability analysis for time-delay systems has received considerable attention, and lots of significant results have been reported; see, for example, Chen et al. [1], He et al. [2], Lin et al. [3], Park [4], and Xu and Lam [5], and the references therein. Among these references, we note that the delay-dependent stability problem for discrete-time systems with interval-like time-varying delays (i.e., the delay $d(k)$ satisfies $0 < d_m \leq d(k) \leq d_M$) has been studied by Fridman and Shaked [6], Gao and Chen [7], Gao et al. [8], and Jiang et al. [9], where some LMI-based stability criteria have been presented by constructing appropriate Lyapunov functionals and introducing free-weighting matrices. It should be pointed out that the Lyapunov functionals considered in these references are more restrictive due to the ignorance of the term $\sum_{j=-h_M}^{j=-h_m-1} \sum_{i=k+j}^{i=k-1} [x(i+1) - x(i)]^T R[x(i+1) - x(i)]$. Moreover, the term $\sum_{i=k-h_m}^{i=k-1} x(i)^T Q_2 x(i)$ is also ignored in Gao and Chen [7] and Gao et al. [8]. The ignorance of these terms may lead to considerable conservativeness.

On the other hand, in the study of stabilization for the discrete-time linear systems, traditional idea of the control schemes is to construct a control signal according to the current system state [10]. However, as pointed out by Xiong and Lam [11], in practice there is often a system that itself is not time-delayed but time-delayed may exist in a channel from system

to controller. A typical example for the existence of such delays is the measurement and the network transmission of signals. In this case, a time-delayed controller is naturally taken into account. It is worth noting that the closed-loop system resulting from a delayed controller is actually a time-delay system. Therefore, stability results of time-delay systems could be applied to design time-delayed controller.

The present study, based on a new Lyapunov functional, an improved delay-dependent stability criterion for discrete-time systems with time-varying delays is presented in terms of LMIs. It is shown that the obtained result is less conservative than those by Fridman and Shaked [6], Gao and Chen [7], Gao et al. [8], Jiang et al. [9], and Zhang et al. [12].

2. Preliminaries

Fact 1. For any positive scalar ε and vectors x and y , the following inequality holds:

$$x^T y + y^T x \leq \varepsilon x^T x + \varepsilon^{-1} y^T y. \quad (2.1)$$

Let us denote $V_\delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| < \delta\}$.

Lemma 2.1 (see [13]). *The zero solution of difference system is asymptotic stability if there exists a positive definite function $V(x(k)) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ such that*

$$\exists \beta > 0 : \Delta V(x(k)) = V(x(k+1)) - V(x(k)) \leq -\beta \|x(k)\|^2, \quad (2.2)$$

along the solution of the system. In the case the above condition holds for all $x(k) \in V_\delta$, say one that the zero solution is locally asymptotically stable.

Lemma 2.2 (see [13]). *For any constant symmetric matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $M = M^T > 0$, scalar $s \in \mathbb{Z}^+ / \{0\}$, vector function $W : [0, s] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, one has*

$$s \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (w^T(i) M w(i)) \geq \left(\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} w(i) \right)^T M \left(\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} w(i) \right). \quad (2.3)$$

3. Improved Stability Criterion

In this section, we give a novel delay-dependent stability condition for discrete-time systems with interval-like time-varying delays. Now, consider the following system:

$$x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bx(k-h(k)), \quad (3.1)$$

where $x(k) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the state vector, A and B are known constant matrices, and $h(k) > 0$ is a time-varying delay satisfying $0 < h_m \leq h(k) \leq h_M$, where h_m and h_M are positive integers representing the lower and upper bounds of the delay. For (3.1), we have the following result.

Theorem 3.1. Give integers $h_m > 0$ and $h_M > 0$. Then, the discrete time-delay system (3.1) is asymptotically stable for any time delay $h(k)$ satisfying $h_m \leq h(k) \leq h_M$, if there exist symmetric positive definite matrices P, G, W satisfying the following matrix inequalities:

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} (1,1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (2,2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (3,3) \end{pmatrix} < 0, \quad (3.2)$$

where $(1,1) = A^T P A + \varepsilon A^T P P A + h(k)G + W - P$, and $(2,2) = B^T P B + \varepsilon^{-1} B^T B + \varepsilon_1^{-1} B^T B - W$, $(3,3) = -h(k)G$.

Proof. Consider the Lyapunov function $V(y(k)) = V_1(y(k)) + V_2(y(k)) + V_3(y(k))$, where

$$\begin{aligned} V_1(y(k)) &= x^T(k) P x(k), \\ V_2(y(k)) &= \sum_{i=k-h(k)}^{k-1} (h(k) - k + i) x^T(i) G x(i), \\ V_3(y(k)) &= \sum_{i=k-h(k)}^{k-1} x^T(i) W x(i), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

with P, G, W being symmetric positive definite solutions of (3.2) and $y(k) = [x(k), x(k-h)]$.

Then difference of $V(y(k))$ along trajectory of solution of (3.1) is given by

$$\Delta V(y(k)) = \Delta V_1(y(k)) + \Delta V_2(y(k)) + \Delta V_3(y(k)), \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V_1(y(k)) &= V_1(x(k+1)) - V_1(x(k)) \\ &= [Ax(k) + Bx(k-h(k))]^T P [Ax(k) + Bx(k-h(k))] - x^T(k) P x(k) \\ &= x^T(k) [A^T P A - P] x(k) + x^T(k) A^T P B x(k-h(k)) + x^T(k-h(k)) B^T P A x(k) \\ &\quad + x^T(k-h(k)) B^T P B x(k-h(k)), \\ \Delta V_2(y(k)) &= \Delta \left(\sum_{i=k-h(k)}^{k-1} (h(k) - k + i) x^T(i) G x(i) \right) = h(k) x^T(k) G x(k) - \sum_{i=k-h(k)}^{k-1} x^T(i) G x(i), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

$$\Delta V_3(y(k)) = \Delta \left(\sum_{i=k-h(k)}^{k-1} x^T(i) W x(i) \right) = x^T(k) W x(k) - x^T(k-h(k)) W x(k-h(k)), \quad (3.6)$$

where Fact 1 is utilized in (3.6), respectively.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & x^T(k)A^T PBx(k-h(k)) + x^T(k-h(k))B^T PAx(k) \\ & \leq \varepsilon x^T(k)A^T PPAx(k) + \varepsilon^{-1}x^T(k-h(k))B^T Bx(k-h(k)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V_1(y(k)) & \leq x^T(k) \left[A^T PA + \varepsilon A^T PPA - P \right] x(k) \\ & \quad + x^T(k-h(k)) \left[B^T PB + \varepsilon^{-1} B^T B \right] x(k-h(k)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V(y(k)) & \leq x^T(k) \left[A^T PA + \varepsilon A^T PPA + h(k)G + W - P \right] x(k) \\ & \quad + x^T(k-h(k)) \left[B^T PB + \varepsilon^{-1} B^T B - W \right] x(k-h(k)) - \sum_{i=k-h(k)}^{k-1} x^T(i)Gx(i). \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$\sum_{i=k-h(k)}^{k-1} x^T(i)Gx(i) \geq \left(\frac{1}{h(k)} \sum_{i=k-h(k)}^{k-1} x(i) \right)^T (h(k)G) \left(\frac{1}{h(k)} \sum_{i=k-h(k)}^{k-1} x(i) \right). \quad (3.10)$$

From the above inequality it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V(y(k)) & \leq x^T(k) \left[A^T PA + \varepsilon A^T PPA + h(k)G + W - P \right] x(k) \\ & \quad + x^T(k-h(k)) \left[B^T PB + \varepsilon^{-1} B^T B - W \right] x(k-h(k)) \\ & \quad - \left(\frac{1}{h(k)} \sum_{i=k-h(k)}^{k-1} x(i) \right)^T (h(k)G) \left(\frac{1}{h(k)} \sum_{i=k-h(k)}^{k-1} x(i) \right) \\ & = \left(x^T(k), x^T(k-h(k)), \left(\frac{1}{h(k)} \sum_{i=k-h(k)}^{k-1} x(i) \right)^T \right) \\ & \quad \times \begin{pmatrix} (1,1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (2,2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (3,3) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x(k) \\ x(k-h(k)) \\ \left(\frac{1}{h(k)} \sum_{i=k-h(k)}^{k-1} x(i) \right) \end{pmatrix} \\ & = y^T(k)\psi y(k), \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

where $(1,1) = A^T P A + \varepsilon A^T P P A + h(k)G + W - P$, and $(2,2) = B^T P B + \varepsilon^{-1} B^T B - W$, and $(3,3) = -h(k)G$, and

$$y(k) = \begin{pmatrix} x(k) \\ x(k-h(k)) \\ \left(\frac{1}{h(k)} \sum_{i=k-h(k)}^{k-1} x(i) \right) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.12)$$

By condition (3.2), $\Delta V(y(k))$ is negative definite; namely, there is a number $\beta > 0$ such that $\Delta V(y(k)) \leq -\beta \|y(k)\|^2$, and hence, the asymptotic stability of the system immediately follows from Lemma 2.1. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.2. Theorem 3.1 gives a sufficient condition for stability criterion for discrete-time systems (3.1). These conditions are described in terms of certain diagonal matrix inequalities, which can be realized by using the linear matrix inequality algorithm proposed in [14]. But Zhang et al. in [12] proved that these conditions are described in terms of certain symmetric matrix inequalities, which can be realized by using the Schur complement lemma and linear matrix inequality algorithm proposed in [14].

4. Conclusions

In this paper, an improved delay-dependent stability condition for discrete-time linear systems with interval-like time-varying delays has been presented in terms of an LMI.

References

- [1] W.-H. Chen, Z.-H. Guan, and X. Lu, "Delay-dependent guaranteed cost control for uncertain discrete-time systems with delay," *IEE Proceedings: Control Theory and Applications*, vol. 150, no. 4, pp. 412–416, 2003.
- [2] Y. He, Q.-G. Wang, C. Lin, and M. Wu, "Delay-range-dependent stability for systems with time-varying delay," *Automatica*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 371–376, 2007.
- [3] C. Lin, Q.-G. Wang, and T. H. Lee, "A less conservative robust stability test for linear uncertain time-delay systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 51, no. 1, pp. 87–91, 2006.
- [4] P. Park, "A delay-dependent stability criterion for systems with uncertain time-invariant delays," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 44, no. 4, pp. 876–877, 1999.
- [5] S. Xu and J. Lam, "Improved delay-dependent stability criteria for time-delay systems," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 50, no. 3, pp. 384–387, 2005.
- [6] E. Fridman and U. Shaked, "Stability and guaranteed cost control of uncertain discrete delay systems," *International Journal of Control*, vol. 78, no. 4, pp. 235–246, 2005.
- [7] H. Gao and T. Chen, "New results on stability of discrete-time systems with time-varying state delay," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 328–334, 2007.
- [8] H. Gao, J. Lam, C. Wang, and Y. Wang, "Delay-dependent output-feedback stabilisation of discrete-time systems with time-varying state delay," *IEE Proceedings: Control Theory and Applications*, vol. 151, no. 6, pp. 691–698, 2004.
- [9] X. Jiang, Q. L. Han, and X. Yu, "Stability criteria for linear discrete-time systems with interval-like time-varying delay," in *Proceedings of the American Control Conference*, pp. 2817–2822, 2005.
- [10] G. Garcia, J. Bernussou, and D. Arzelier, "Robust stabilization of discrete-time linear systems with norm-bounded time-varying uncertainty," *Systems & Control Letters*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 327–339, 1994.

- [11] J. Xiong and J. Lam, "Stabilization of discrete-time Markovian jump linear systems via time-delayed controllers," *Automatica*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 747–753, 2006.
- [12] B. Zhang, S. Xu, and Y. Zou, "Improved stability criterion and its applications in delayed controller design for discrete-time systems," *Automatica*, vol. 44, no. 11, pp. 2963–2967, 2008.
- [13] R. P. Agarwal, *Difference Equations and Inequalities: Theory, Methods and Applications*, vol. 155 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 1992.
- [14] F. M. Callier and C. A. Desoer, *Linear System Theory*, Springer Texts in Electrical Engineering, Springer, New York, NY, USA, 1991.