

Research Article

On a New Hilbert-Hardy-Type Integral Operator and Applications

Xingdong Liu¹ and Bicheng Yang²

¹ Department of Mathematics, Zhaoqing University, Guangdong, Zhaoqing 526061, China

² Department of Mathematics, Guangdong Institute of Education, Guangdong, Guangzhou 510303, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Bicheng Yang, bcyang@pub.guangzhou.gd.cn

Received 7 September 2010; Accepted 26 October 2010

Academic Editor: Sin E. Takahasi

Copyright © 2010 X. Liu and B. Yang. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

By applying the way of weight functions and a Hardy's integral inequality, a Hilbert-Hardy-type integral operator is defined, and the norm of operator is obtained. As applications, a new Hilbert-Hardy-type inequality similar to Hilbert-type integral inequality is given, and two equivalent inequalities with the best constant factors as well as some particular examples are considered.

1. Introduction

In 1934, Hardy published the following theorem (cf. [1, Theorem 319]).

Theorem A. *If $k(x, y) (\geq 0)$ is a homogeneous function of degree -1 in $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$, $p > 1$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, and $k_p = \int_0^\infty k(u, 1)u^{-1/p}du \in (0, \infty)$, then for $f(x), g(y) \geq 0$, $0 < \|f\|_p := \{\int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx\}^{1/p} < \infty$, and $0 < \|g\|_q < \infty$, one has*

$$\iint_0^\infty k(x, y)f(x)g(y)dx dy < k_p \|f\|_p \|g\|_q, \quad (1.1)$$

where the constant factor k_p is the best possible.

Hardy [2] also published the following Hardy's integral inequality.

Theorem B. If $p > 1$, $\rho \neq 1$, $f(x) \geq 0$, and $F(x) := \int_0^x f(t)dt$ ($\rho > 1$); $F(x) := \int_x^\infty f(t)dt$ ($\rho < 1$), $0 < \int_0^\infty x^{p-\rho} f^p(x)dx < \infty$, then one has

$$\int_0^\infty x^{-\rho} F^p(x)dx < \left(\frac{p}{|\rho-1|} \right)^p \int_0^\infty x^{p-\rho} f^p(x)dx, \quad (1.2)$$

where the constant factor $(p/|\rho-1|)^p$ is the best possible (cf. [1, Theorem 330]).

In 2009, Yang [3] published the following theorem.

Theorem C. If $p > 1$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, $\lambda > 0$, $k_\lambda(x, y) (\geq 0)$ is a homogeneous function of degree $-\lambda$ in $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$, and for any $r > 1$ ($1/r + 1/s = 1$), $0 < k_\lambda(r) := \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(u, 1)u^{\lambda/r-1}du < \infty$, then for $f(x), g(y) \geq 0$, $\varphi(x) := x^{p(1-\lambda/r)-1}$, $\psi(y) := y^{q(1-\lambda/s)-1}$, $0 < \|f\|_{p,\varphi} := \left\{ \int_0^\infty \varphi(x)|f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} < \infty$ and $0 < \|g\|_{q,\psi} < \infty$, we have

$$\iint_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) f(x)g(y)dx dy < k_\lambda(r) \|f\|_{p,\varphi} \|g\|_{q,\psi}, \quad (1.3)$$

where the constant factor $k_\lambda(r)$ is the best possible.

For $\lambda = 1$, $r = q$, (1.3) reduces to (1.1). We name of (1.1) and (1.3) Hilbert-type integral inequalities. Inequalities (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) are important in analysis and its applications (cf. [4–6]).

Setting $k_1(x, y) = (1/(x+y)^r)x^{R-1/q}y^{S-1/p}$ ($R, S > 0$, $R+S = \gamma$), $F(x) = \int_0^x f(t)dt$, $G(y) = \int_0^y g(t)dt$, by applying (1.2) (for $\rho = p > 1$), Das and Sahoo gave a new integral inequality similar to Pachpatte's inequality (cf. [7, 8]) as follows:

$$\iint_0^\infty \frac{x^{R-1/q}y^{S-1/p}}{(x+y)^\gamma} \frac{F(x)}{x} \frac{G(y)}{y} dx dy < pqB(R, S) \|f\|_p \|g\|_q, \quad (1.4)$$

where the constant factor $pqB(R, S)$ is the best possible (cf. [9]). Sulaiman [10] also considered a Hilbert-Hardy-type integral inequality similar to (1.4) with the kernel $k(x, y) = (1/(\max\{x, y\})^\lambda)x^{\beta/q+1}y^{\alpha/p+1}$ ($\alpha, \beta > -1$, $p = \lambda - \alpha - 1 > 1$, $q = \lambda - \beta - 1 > 1$). But he cannot show that the constant factor in the new inequality is the best possible.

In this paper, by applying the way of weight functions and inequality (1.2) for $\rho < 1$, a Hilbert-Hardy-type integral operator is defined, and the norm of operator is obtained. As applications, a new Hilbert-Hardy-type inequality similar to (1.3) is given, and two equivalent inequalities with a best constant factor as well as some particular examples are considered.

2. A Lemma and Two Equivalent Inequalities

Lemma 2.1. *If $\lambda < 2$, $k_\lambda(x, y)$ is a nonnegative homogeneous function of degree $-\lambda$ in $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$ with $k_\lambda(ux, uy) = u^{-\lambda}k(x, y)$ ($u, x, y > 0$), and for any $\alpha \in (\lambda - 1, 1)$, $0 < k(\alpha) := \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(1, u)u^{\alpha-1}du < \infty$, then $\int_0^\infty k_\lambda(u, 1)u^{\lambda-\alpha-1}du = k(\alpha)$ and*

$$0 < \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(1, u)u^{\alpha-1}|\ln u|du = \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(u, 1)u^{\lambda-\alpha-1}|\ln u|du < \infty. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. Setting $v = 1/u$, we find

$$\int_0^\infty k_\lambda(u, 1)u^{\lambda-\alpha-1}du = \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(1, v)v^{\alpha-1}dv = k(\alpha). \quad (2.2)$$

There exists $\beta > 0$, satisfying $\alpha \pm \beta \in (\lambda - 1, 1)$ and $0 < k(\alpha \pm \beta) < \infty$. Since we find

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\ln u}{u^\beta + u^{-\beta}} = \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln u}{u^\beta + u^{-\beta}} = 0, \quad (2.3)$$

there exists $M > 0$, such that $|\ln u| \leq M(u^\beta + u^{-\beta})$ ($u \in (0, \infty)$), and then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(u, 1)u^{\lambda-\alpha-1}|\ln u|du &= \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(1, u)u^{\alpha-1}|\ln u|du \\ &\leq M \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(1, u)u^{\alpha-1}(u^\beta + u^{-\beta})du \\ &= M[k(\alpha + \beta) + k(\alpha - \beta)] < \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

The lemma is proved. \square

Theorem 2.2. *If $p > 1$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = \lambda < 2$, $k_\lambda(x, y) (\geq 0)$ is a homogeneous function of degree $-\lambda$ in $(0, \infty) \times (0, \infty)$, and for any $\lambda_1 \in (\lambda - 1, 1)$, $0 < k(\lambda_1) = \int_0^\infty k(u, 1)u^{\lambda_1-1}du < \infty$, then for $f(x), g(y) \geq 0$, $\tilde{\varphi}(x) := x^{p(2-\lambda-\lambda_1)-1}$, $\psi(y) := y^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1}$,*

$$\tilde{F}_\lambda(x) := \int_x^\infty \frac{1}{t^\lambda} f(t)dt, \quad \tilde{G}_\lambda(y) := \int_y^\infty \frac{1}{t^\lambda} g(t)dt, \quad (2.5)$$

$0 < \|f\|_{p, \tilde{\varphi}} < \infty$, and $0 < \|\tilde{G}_\lambda\|_{q, \psi} < \infty$, one has the following equivalent inequalities:

$$I := \iint_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y)\tilde{F}_\lambda(x)\tilde{G}_\lambda(y)dx dy < \frac{k(\lambda_1)}{1-\lambda_1} \|f\|_{p, \tilde{\varphi}} \|\tilde{G}_\lambda\|_{q, \psi}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$J := \left\{ \int_0^\infty \psi^{1-p}(y) \left[\int_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y)\tilde{F}_\lambda(x)dx \right]^p dy \right\}^{1/p} < \frac{k(\lambda_1)}{1-\lambda_1} \|f\|_{p, \tilde{\varphi}}. \quad (2.7)$$

Proof. Setting the weight functions $\omega(\lambda_1, y)$ and $\varpi(\lambda_2, x)$ as follows:

$$\omega(\lambda_1, y) := \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) \frac{y^{\lambda_2} dx}{x^{1-\lambda_1}}, \quad \varpi(\lambda_2, x) := \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) \frac{x^{\lambda_1} dy}{y^{1-\lambda_2}}, \quad (2.8)$$

then by Lemma 2.1, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\lambda_1, y) &\stackrel{u=x/y}{=} \int_0^\infty k(u, 1) u^{\lambda_1-1} du = k(\lambda_1), \\ \varpi(\lambda_2, x) &\stackrel{u=y/x}{=} \int_0^\infty k(1, u) u^{\lambda_2-1} du = k(\lambda_1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

By Hölder's inequality (cf. [11]) and (2.8), (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) \tilde{F}_\lambda(x) dx &= \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) \left[\frac{x^{(1-\lambda_1)/q}}{y^{(1-\lambda_2)/p}} \tilde{F}_\lambda(x) \right] \left[\frac{y^{(1-\lambda_2)/p}}{x^{(1-\lambda_1)/q}} \right] dx \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) \frac{x^{(1-\lambda_1)(p-1)}}{y^{1-\lambda_2}} \tilde{F}_\lambda^p(x) dx \right\}^{1/p} \\ &\quad \times \left\{ y^{q(1-\lambda_2)-1} \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) \frac{y^{\lambda_2} dx}{x^{1-\lambda_1}} \right\}^{1/q} \\ &= k^{1/q}(\lambda_1) y^{1/p-\lambda_2} \left\{ \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) \frac{x^{(1-\lambda_1)(p-1)}}{y^{1-\lambda_2}} \tilde{F}_\lambda^p(x) dx \right\}^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Then by Fubini theorem (cf. [12]), it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} J^p &\leq k^{p-1}(\lambda_1) \iint_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) \frac{x^{(1-\lambda_1)(p-1)}}{y^{1-\lambda_2}} \tilde{F}_\lambda^p(x) dx dy \\ &= k^{p-1}(\lambda_1) \int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) \frac{x^{(1-\lambda_1)(p-1)}}{y^{1-\lambda_2}} dy \right] \tilde{F}_\lambda^p(x) dx \\ &= k^p(\lambda_1) \int_0^\infty x^{-[p(\lambda_1-1)+1]} \tilde{F}_\lambda^p(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Since $\lambda_1 < 1$, $\rho = p(\lambda_1 - 1) + 1 < 1$, then by (1.2) (for $\rho < 1$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty x^{-[p(\lambda_1-1)+1]} \tilde{F}_\lambda^p(x) dx &< \left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda_1} \right)^p \int_0^\infty x^{p-[p(\lambda_1-1)+1]} \left(\frac{f(x)}{x^\lambda} \right)^p dx \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{1-\lambda_1} \right)^p \int_0^\infty x^{p(2-\lambda-\lambda_1)-1} f^p(x) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Hence by (2.11), we have (2.7). Still by Hölder’s inequality, we find

$$I = \int_0^\infty \left[\psi^{-1/q}(y) \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) \tilde{F}_\lambda(x) dx \right] \left[\psi^{1/q}(y) \tilde{G}_\lambda(y) \right] dy \leq J \|\tilde{G}_\lambda\|_{q,\psi}. \tag{2.13}$$

Then by (2.7), we have (2.6).

On the other-hand, supposing that (2.6) is valid, by (2.11) and (1.2) (for $\rho < 1$), it follows $J < \infty$. If $J = 0$, then (2.7) is naturally valid; if $0 < J < \infty$, setting

$$\tilde{G}_\lambda(y) = \psi^{1-p}(y) \left[\int_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) \tilde{F}_\lambda(x) dx \right]^{p-1}, \tag{2.14}$$

then by (2.6), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{G}_\lambda\|_{q,\psi}^q &= J^p = I < \frac{k(\lambda_1)}{1-\lambda_1} \|f\|_{p,\tilde{\varphi}} \|\tilde{G}_\lambda\|_{q,\psi}, \\ \|\tilde{G}_\lambda\|_{q,\psi}^{q-1} &= J < \frac{k(\lambda_1)}{1-\lambda_1} \|f\|_{p,\tilde{\varphi}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Hence, we have (2.7), which is equivalent to (2.6). □

3. A Hilbert-Hardy-Type Integral Operator and Applications

Setting a real function space as follows:

$$L_{\tilde{\varphi}}^p(0, \infty) := \left\{ f; \|f\|_{p,\tilde{\varphi}} = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \tilde{\varphi}(x) |f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} < \infty \right\}, \tag{3.1}$$

for $f(\geq 0) \in L_{\tilde{\varphi}}^p(0, \infty)$, $\tilde{F}_\lambda(x) = \int_x^\infty (f(t)/t^\lambda) dt$, define an integral operator $T : L_{\tilde{\varphi}}^p(0, \infty) \rightarrow L_{\psi^{1-p}}^p(0, \infty)$ as follows:

$$Tf(y) := \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) \tilde{F}_\lambda(x) dx, y \in (0, \infty). \tag{3.2}$$

Then, by (2.7), $Tf \in L_{\psi^{1-p}}^p(0, \infty)$, and T is bounded with

$$\|T\| = \sup_{f(\neq \theta) \in L_{\tilde{\varphi}}^p(0, \infty)} \frac{\|Tf\|_{p,\psi^{1-p}}}{\|f\|_{p,\tilde{\varphi}}} \leq \frac{k(\lambda_1)}{1-\lambda_1}. \tag{3.3}$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 be fulfilled, and additionally setting $\tilde{\varphi}(y) := y^{q(2-\lambda-\lambda_2)-1}$. Then one has*

$$\iint_0^\infty k_\lambda(x, y) \tilde{F}_\lambda(x) \tilde{G}_\lambda(y) dx dy < \frac{k(\lambda_1)}{(1-\lambda_1)(1-\lambda_2)} \|f\|_{p, \tilde{\varphi}} \|g\|_{q, \tilde{\varphi}}, \quad (3.4)$$

where the constant factor $k(\lambda_1)/(1-\lambda_1)(1-\lambda_2)$ is the best possible. Moreover the constant factor in (2.6) and (2.7) is the best possible and then

$$\|T\| = \frac{k(\lambda_1)}{1-\lambda_1}. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. Since $\lambda_2 < 1$, by (1.2), for $\rho = q(\lambda_2 - 1) + 1 < 1$, it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{G}_\lambda\|_{q, \tilde{\varphi}} &= \left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{-[q(\lambda_2-1)+1]} \tilde{G}_\lambda^q(y) dy \right\}^{1/q} \\ &< \frac{q}{1 - [q(\lambda_2 - 1) + 1]} \left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{q-[q(\lambda_2-1)+1]} \left(\frac{g(y)}{y^\lambda} \right)^q dy \right\}^{1/q} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\lambda_2} \left\{ \int_0^\infty y^{q(2-\lambda-\lambda_2)-1} g^q(y) dy \right\}^{1/q} = \frac{1}{1-\lambda_2} \|g\|_{q, \tilde{\varphi}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Then, by (2.6), we have (3.4).

For $T > 2$, setting $\tilde{f}(x), \tilde{g}(y)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}(x) &= \begin{cases} x^{\lambda+\lambda_1-2}, & 1 \leq x \leq T, \\ 0, & 0 < x < 1; x > T, \end{cases} \\ \tilde{g}(y) &= \begin{cases} y^{\lambda+\lambda_2-2}, & 1 \leq y \leq T, \\ 0, & 0 < y < 1; y > T, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

then for $1 \leq x, y \leq T$, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{F}_\lambda(x) &= \int_x^\infty \frac{\tilde{f}(t)}{t^\lambda} dt = \int_x^T t^{\lambda_1-2} dt = \frac{1}{1-\lambda_1} (x^{\lambda_1-1} - T^{\lambda_1-1}), \\
 \tilde{G}_\lambda(y) &= \int_y^\infty \frac{\tilde{g}(t)}{t^\lambda} dt = \frac{1}{1-\lambda_2} (y^{\lambda_2-1} - T^{\lambda_2-1}), \\
 \tilde{I} &:= \iint_1^T k_\lambda(x, y) \tilde{F}_\lambda(x) \tilde{G}_\lambda(y) dx dy = \frac{1}{(1-\lambda_1)(1-\lambda_2)} \\
 &\quad \times \int_1^T \left[\int_1^T k_\lambda(x, y) (x^{\lambda_1-1} - T^{\lambda_1-1}) (y^{\lambda_2-1} - T^{\lambda_2-1}) dy \right] dx \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{(1-\lambda_1)(1-\lambda_2)} [I_1 - I_2 - I_3],
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where I_1, I_2 , and I_3 are indicated as follows;

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1 &:= \int_1^T \left[\int_1^T k_\lambda(x, y) x^{\lambda_1-1} y^{\lambda_2-1} dy \right] dx, \\
 I_2 &:= T^{\lambda_1-1} \int_1^T \left[\int_1^T k_\lambda(x, y) y^{\lambda_2-1} dy \right] dx, \\
 I_3 &:= T^{\lambda_2-1} \int_1^T \left[\int_1^T k_\lambda(x, y) x^{\lambda_1-1} dx \right] dy.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

If there exists a positive constant $k \leq k(\lambda_1)$, such that (3.4) is still valid as we replace $k(\lambda_1)$ by k , then in particular, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{I} &< \frac{k}{(1-\lambda_1)(1-\lambda_2)} \|\tilde{f}\|_{p, \tilde{\varphi}} \|\tilde{g}\|_{q, \tilde{\psi}} \\
 &= \frac{k}{(1-\lambda_1)(1-\lambda_2)} \left\{ \int_1^T x^{p(2-\lambda-\lambda/r)-1} x^{p(\lambda+\lambda/r-2)} dx \right\}^{1/p} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \int_1^T y^{q(2-\lambda-\lambda/s)-1} y^{q(\lambda+\lambda/s-2)} dy \right\}^{1/q} = \frac{k \ln T}{(1-\lambda_1)(1-\lambda_2)}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

By (3.8) and (3.10), we find

$$\frac{1}{\ln T} I_1 - \frac{1}{\ln T} (I_2 + I_3) < k. \tag{3.11}$$

Since by Fubini theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= \int_1^T \frac{1}{x} \int_{1/x}^{T/x} k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\lambda_2-1} du dx \\
&= \int_0^1 \left(\int_{1/u}^T \frac{1}{x} dx \right) k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\lambda_2-1} du + \int_1^T \left(\int_1^{T/u} \frac{1}{x} dx \right) k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\lambda_2-1} du \\
&= \ln T \left[\int_0^1 k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\lambda_2-1} du + \frac{1}{\ln T} \int_0^1 k_\lambda(1, u) (\ln u) u^{\lambda_2-1} du \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_1^T k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\lambda_2-1} du - \frac{1}{\ln T} \int_1^T k_\lambda(1, u) (\ln u) u^{\lambda_2-1} du \right], \\
0 \leq I_2 &= T^{\lambda_1-1} \int_1^T \frac{1}{x^{\lambda_1}} \int_{1/x}^{T/x} k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\lambda_2-1} du dx \\
&= T^{\lambda_1-1} \left[\int_0^1 \left(\int_{1/u}^T \frac{1}{x^{\lambda_1}} dx \right) k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\lambda_2-1} du \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_1^T \left(\int_1^{T/u} \frac{1}{x^{\lambda_1}} dx \right) k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\lambda_2-1} du \right] \tag{3.12} \\
&= \frac{1}{1-\lambda_1} \left\{ \int_0^1 \left[1 + \left(\frac{u}{T} \right)^{1-\lambda_1} \right] k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\lambda_2-1} du \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \int_1^T \left[1 - \left(\frac{u}{T} \right)^{1-\lambda_1} \right] k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\lambda_2-1} du \right\} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{1-\lambda_1} \left\{ 2 \int_0^1 k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\lambda_2-1} du + \int_1^\infty k_\lambda(1, u) u^{\lambda_2-1} du \right\} < \infty, \\
0 \leq I_3 &\leq \frac{1}{1-\lambda_2} \left\{ 2 \int_0^1 k_\lambda(u, 1) u^{\lambda_1-1} du + \int_1^\infty k_\lambda(u, 1) u^{\lambda_1-1} du \right\} < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

then for $T \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.10), by Lemma 2.1, we obtain $k(\lambda_1) = \int_0^\infty k(1, u) u^{\lambda_2-1} du \leq k$. Hence $k = k(\lambda_1)$, and then $k(\lambda_1)/(1-\lambda_1)(1-\lambda_2)$ is the best value of (3.4).

We conclude that the constant factor in (2.6) is the best possible, otherwise we can get a contradiction by (1.2) that the constant factor in (3.4) is not the best possible. By the same way, if the constant factor in (2.7) is not the best possible, then by (2.13), we can get a contradiction that the constant factor in (2.6) is not the best possible. Therefore in view of (3.3), we have (3.5). \square

Corollary 3.2. For $\lambda = 1$, $\lambda_1 = 1/q$, $\lambda_2 = 1/p$, $\tilde{F}_1(x) := \int_x^\infty (1/t)f(t)dt$, $\tilde{G}_1(y) := \int_y^\infty (1/t)g(t)dt$, in (2.6), (2.7) and (3.4), one has the following basic Hilbert-Hardy-type integral inequalities with the best constant factors:

$$\iint_0^\infty k_1(x, y)\tilde{F}_1(x)\tilde{G}_1(y)dx dy < pk_p\|f\|_p\|\tilde{G}_1\|_q, \tag{3.13}$$

$$\left\{ \int_0^\infty \left[\int_0^\infty k_1(x, y)\tilde{F}_1(x)dx \right]^p dy \right\}^{1/p} < pk_p\|f\|_p, \tag{3.14}$$

$$\iint_0^\infty k_1(x, y)\tilde{F}_1(x)\tilde{G}_1(y)dx dy < pqk_p\|f\|_p\|g\|_q, \tag{3.15}$$

where $k_p = k(1/q) = \int_0^\infty k_\lambda(u, 1)u^{-1/p}du$, and (3.13) is equivalent to (3.14).

Example 3.3. For $p > 1$, $r > 1$, $1/p + 1/q = 1/r + 1/s = 1$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda/r$, and $\lambda_2 = \lambda/s$ in(3.4),

- (a) if $0 < \lambda < \max\{r, s\}$, $k_\lambda(x, y) = 1/(x + y)^\lambda$, $1/(\max\{x, y\})^\lambda$ and $\ln(x/y)/(x^\lambda - y^\lambda)$, then we obtain the following integral inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_0^\infty \frac{\tilde{F}_\lambda(x)\tilde{G}_\lambda(y)}{(x + y)^\lambda} dx dy &< \frac{rsB(\lambda/r, \lambda/s)}{(r - \lambda)(s - \lambda)} \|f\|_{p, \tilde{\varphi}} \|g\|_{q, \tilde{\varphi}}, \\ \iint_0^\infty \frac{\tilde{F}_\lambda(x)\tilde{G}_\lambda(y)}{(\max\{x, y\})^\lambda} dx dy &< \frac{r^2s^2}{\lambda(r - \lambda)(s - \lambda)} \|f\|_{p, \tilde{\varphi}} \|g\|_{q, \tilde{\varphi}}, \\ \iint_0^\infty \frac{\ln(x/y)\tilde{F}_\lambda(x)\tilde{G}_\lambda(y)}{x^\lambda - y^\lambda} dx dy &< \frac{rs[\pi \csc(\pi/r)]^2}{\lambda^2(r - \lambda)(s - \lambda)} \|f\|_{p, \tilde{\varphi}} \|g\|_{q, \tilde{\varphi}}; \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

- (b) if $0 < \lambda < 1$, $k_\lambda(x, y) = 1/|x - y|^\lambda$, then we have

$$\iint_0^\infty \frac{\tilde{F}_\lambda(x)\tilde{G}_\lambda(y)}{|x - y|^\lambda} dx dy < \frac{rs[B(1 - \lambda, \lambda/r) + B(1 - \lambda, \lambda/s)]}{(r - \lambda)(s - \lambda)} \|f\|_{p, \tilde{\varphi}} \|g\|_{q, \tilde{\varphi}}; \tag{3.17}$$

- (c) if $\lambda < 0$, $k_\lambda(x, y) = (\min\{x, y\})^{-\lambda}$, then we find

$$\iint_0^\infty \frac{\tilde{F}_\lambda(x)\tilde{G}_\lambda(y)}{(\min\{x, y\})^\lambda} dx dy < \frac{-r^2s^2}{\lambda(r - \lambda)(s - \lambda)} \|f\|_{p, \tilde{\varphi}} \|g\|_{q, \tilde{\varphi}}; \tag{3.18}$$

where the constant factors in the above inequalities are the best possible.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Emphases Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Institution, Higher Learning, College and University (no. 05Z026) and the Guangdong Natural Science Foundation (no. 7004344).

References

- [1] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1934.
- [2] G. H. Hardy, "Note on some points in the integral calculus (LXIV)," *Messenger of Math*, vol. 57, pp. 12–16, 1928.
- [3] B. Yang, "A survey of the study of Hilbert-type inequalities with parameters," *Advances in Math*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 257–268, 2009.
- [4] D. S. Mintrinic, J. E. Pecaric, and A. M. Kink, *Inequalities Involving Functions and their Integrals and Derivatives*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Mass, USA, 1991.
- [5] B. Yang, *The Norm of Operator and Hilbert-Type Inequalities*, Science, Beijing, China, 2009.
- [6] B. Yang, "On the norm of a Hilbert's type linear operator and applications," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 325, no. 1, pp. 529–541, 2007.
- [7] B. G. Pachpatte, "On some new inequalities similar to Hilbert's inequality," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 226, no. 3, pp. 166–179, 1998.
- [8] B. G. Pachpatte, "Inequalities similar to certain extensions of Hilbert's inequality," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 243, no. 2, pp. 217–227, 2000.
- [9] N. Das and S. Sahoo, "New inequalities similar to Hardy-Hilbert's inequality," *Turkish Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 33, pp. 1–13, 2009.
- [10] W. T. Sulaiman, "On three inequalities similar to Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality," *Acta Mathematica Universitatis Comenianae*, vol. 76, no. 2, pp. 273–278, 2007.
- [11] J. Kuang, *Applied Inequalities*, Shangdong Science Technic, Jinan, China, 2004.
- [12] J. Kuang, *Introduction to Real Analysis*, Hunan Education, Changsha, China, 1996.