

## Research Article

# An Inverse Eigenvalue Problem for Jacobi Matrices

Zhengsheng Wang<sup>1</sup> and Baojiang Zhong<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics,  
Nanjing 210016, China

<sup>2</sup> School of Computer Science and Technology, Soochow University, Suzhou 215006, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Zhengsheng Wang, wangzhengsheng@nuaa.edu.cn

Received 25 November 2010; Revised 26 February 2011; Accepted 1 April 2011

Academic Editor: Jaromir Horacek

Copyright © 2011 Z. Wang and B. Zhong. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

A kind of inverse eigenvalue problem is proposed which is the reconstruction of a Jacobi matrix by given four or five eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors. The solvability of the problem is discussed, and some sufficient conditions for existence of the solution of this problem are proposed. Furthermore, a numerical algorithm and two examples are presented.

## 1. Introduction

An  $n \times n$  matrix  $J$  is called a Jacobi matrix if it is of the following form:

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & & & & \\ b_1 & a_2 & b_2 & & & \\ & b_2 & a_3 & b_3 & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & b_{n-2} & a_{n-1} & b_{n-1} \\ & & & & b_{n-1} & a_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad b_i > 0. \quad (1.1)$$

A Jacobi matrix inverse eigenvalue problem, roughly speaking, is how to determine the elements of Jacobi matrix from given eigen data. This kind of problem has great value for many applications, including vibration theory and structural design, for example, the vibrating rod model [1, 2]. In recent years, some new results have been obtained on the

construction of a Jacobi matrix [3, 4]. However, the problem of constructing a Jacobi matrix from its four or five eigenpairs has not been considered yet. The problem is as follows.

*Problem 1.* Given four different real scalars  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\xi$ , and  $\eta$  (supposed  $\lambda > \mu > \xi > \eta$ ) and four real orthogonal vectors of size  $nx = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^T$ ,  $y = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]^T$ ,  $m = [m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n]^T$ ,  $r = [r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n]^T$ , finding a Jacobi matrix  $J$  of size  $n$  such that  $(\lambda, x)$ ,  $(\mu, y)$ ,  $(\xi, m)$ , and  $(\eta, r)$  are its four eigenpairs.

*Problem 2.* Given five different real scalars  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $\xi$ , and  $\eta$  (supposed  $\lambda > \mu > \nu > \xi > \eta$ ) and five real orthogonal vectors of size  $nx = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^T$ ,  $y = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]^T$ ,  $z = [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n]^T$ ,  $m = [m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n]^T$ ,  $r = [r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n]^T$ , finding a Jacobi matrix  $J$  of size  $n$  such that  $(\lambda, x)$ ,  $(\mu, y)$ ,  $(\nu, z)$ ,  $(\xi, m)$ , and  $(\eta, r)$  are its five eigenpairs.

In Sections 2 and 3, the sufficient conditions for the existence and uniqueness of the solution of Problems 1 and 2 are derived, respectively. Numerical algorithms and two numerical examples are given in Section 4. We give conclusion and remarks in Section 5.

## 2. The Solvability Conditions of Problem 1

**Lemma 2.1** (see [5, 6]). *Given two different real scalars  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  (supposed  $\lambda > \mu$ ) and two real orthogonal vectors of size  $n$ ,  $x = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^T$ ,  $y = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]^T$ , there is a unique Jacobi matrix  $J$  such that  $(\lambda, x)$ ,  $(\mu, y)$  are its two eigenpairs if the following condition is satisfied:*

$$\frac{d_k}{D_k} > 0, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1), \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$d_k = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i y_i, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n), \quad (2.2)$$

$$D_k = \begin{vmatrix} x_k & x_{k+1} \\ y_k & y_{k+1} \end{vmatrix} \neq 0, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1).$$

And the elements of matrix  $J$  are

$$b_k = \frac{(\lambda - \mu)d_k}{D_k}, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1),$$

$$a_1 = \lambda - \frac{b_1 x_2}{x_1},$$

$$a_n = \lambda - \frac{b_{n-1}x_{n-1}}{x_n},$$

$$a_k = \begin{cases} \lambda - \frac{(b_{k-1}x_{k-1} + b_kx_{k+1})}{x_k}, & x_k \neq 0, \\ \mu - \frac{(b_{k-1}y_{k-1} + b_ky_{k+1})}{y_k}, & x_k = 0, \end{cases} \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots, n-1).$$
(2.3)

From Lemma 2.1, we can see that under some conditions two eigenpairs can determine a unique Jacobi matrix. Therefore, for Problem 1, we only prove that the Jacobi matrices determined by  $(\lambda, x)$ ,  $(\mu, y)$  and  $(\xi, m)$ ,  $(\eta, r)$  are the same.

The following theorem gives a sufficient condition for the uniqueness of the solution of Problem 1.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Problem 1 has a unique solution if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $(\lambda - \mu)d_k^{(1)}/D_k^{(1)} = (\lambda - \xi)d_k^{(2)}/D_k^{(2)} = (\lambda - \eta)d_k^{(3)}/D_k^{(3)} > 0$ ;
- (ii) if  $x_k = 0$ , then  $(\lambda - \mu)d_j^{(1)}/D_j^{(1)} = (\mu - \xi)d_j^{(4)}/D_j^{(4)} = (\mu - \eta)d_j^{(5)}/D_j^{(5)}$ ,  $j = k, k-1$ ,  
where

$$d_k^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i y_i, \quad d_k^{(2)} = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i m_i, \quad d_k^{(3)} = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i r_i,$$
(k = 1, 2, \dots, n), \quad (2.4)

$$d_k^{(4)} = \sum_{i=1}^k y_i m_i, \quad d_k^{(5)} = \sum_{i=1}^k y_i r_i, \quad d_k^{(6)} = \sum_{i=1}^k m_i r_i,$$

$$D_k^{(1)} = \begin{vmatrix} y_k & y_{k+1} \\ x_k & x_{k+1} \end{vmatrix}, \quad D_k^{(2)} = \begin{vmatrix} m_k & m_{k+1} \\ x_k & x_{k+1} \end{vmatrix}, \quad D_k^{(3)} = \begin{vmatrix} r_k & r_{k+1} \\ x_k & x_{k+1} \end{vmatrix},$$
(k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1).

$$D_k^{(4)} = \begin{vmatrix} m_k & m_{k+1} \\ y_k & y_{k+1} \end{vmatrix}, \quad D_k^{(5)} = \begin{vmatrix} r_k & r_{k+1} \\ y_k & y_{k+1} \end{vmatrix}, \quad D_k^{(6)} = \begin{vmatrix} r_k & r_{k+1} \\ m_k & m_{k+1} \end{vmatrix},$$
(2.5)

*Proof.* According to Lemma 2.1, under certain condition,  $(\lambda, x)$  and  $(\mu, y)$ ,  $(\lambda, x)$  and  $(\xi, m)$ ,  $(\lambda, x)$  and  $(\eta, r)$  can determine one unique Jacobi matrix, denoted  $J, J', J''$ , respectively. Their

elements are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 b_k &= \frac{(\lambda - \mu)d_k^{(1)}}{D_k^{(1)}}, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1), \\
 a_1 &= \lambda - \frac{b_1 x_2}{x_1}, \\
 a_n &= \lambda - \frac{b_{n-1} x_{n-1}}{x_n},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

$$a_k = \begin{cases} \lambda - \frac{(b_{k-1} x_{k-1} + b_k x_{k+1})}{x_k}, & x_k \neq 0, \\ \mu - \frac{(b_{k-1} y_{k-1} + b_k y_{k+1})}{y_k}, & x_k = 0, \end{cases} \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots, n-1),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 b'_k &= \frac{(\lambda - \xi)d_k^{(2)}}{D_k^{(2)}}, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1), \\
 a'_1 &= \lambda - \frac{b'_1 x_2}{x_1}, \\
 a'_n &= \lambda - \frac{b'_{n-1} x_{n-1}}{x_n},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

$$a'_k = \begin{cases} \lambda - \frac{(b_{k-1} x_{k-1} + b_k x_{k+1})}{x_k}, & x_k \neq 0, \\ \xi - \frac{(b_{k-1} m_{k-1} + b_k m_{k+1})}{m_k}, & x_k = 0, \end{cases} \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots, n-1),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 b''_k &= \frac{(\lambda - \eta)d_k^{(3)}}{D_k^{(3)}}, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1), \\
 a''_1 &= \lambda - \frac{b''_1 x_2}{x_1}, \\
 a''_n &= \lambda - \frac{b''_{n-1} x_{n-1}}{x_n},
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

$$a''_k = \begin{cases} \lambda - \frac{(b_{k-1} x_{k-1} + b_k x_{k+1})}{x_k}, & x_k \neq 0, \\ \eta - \frac{(b_{k-1} r_{k-1} + b_k r_{k+1})}{r_k}, & x_k = 0, \end{cases} \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots, n-1).$$

From the conditions, we have

$$b_k = b'_k = b''_k > 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \tag{2.9}$$

If  $x_k \neq 0$ , we have  $a_k = a'_k = a''_k$ ; if  $x_k = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{(\lambda - \mu)d_k^{(1)}}{D_k^{(1)}} &= \frac{(\mu - \xi)d_k^{(4)}}{D_k^{(4)}}, \\ \frac{(\lambda - \mu)d_{k-1}^{(1)}}{D_{k-1}^{(1)}} &= \frac{(\mu - \xi)d_{k-1}^{(4)}}{D_{k-1}^{(4)}}, \\ \frac{(\lambda - \mu)d_k^{(1)}}{D_k^{(1)}} &= \frac{(\mu - \eta)d_k^{(4)}}{D_k^{(4)}}, \\ \frac{(\lambda - \mu)d_{k-1}^{(1)}}{D_{k-1}^{(1)}} &= \frac{(\mu - \eta)d_{k-1}^{(4)}}{D_{k-1}^{(4)}}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.10}$$

Since (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}b_k D_k^{(4)} &= (\mu - \xi)d_k^{(4)}, \\ b_{k-1} D_{k-1}^{(4)} &= (\mu - \xi)d_{k-1}^{(4)}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.11}$$

That is,

$$(\mu - \xi)y_k m_k + b_{k-1} D_{k-1}^{(4)} - b_k D_k^{(4)} = 0.\tag{2.12}$$

Since  $D_k^{(i)} \neq 0$  and  $x_k = 0$ , we have  $y_k \neq 0, m_k \neq 0$ .

$D_{k-1}^{(4)} = m_{k-1}y_k - m_k y_{k-1}, D_k^{(4)} = m_k y_{k+1} - m_{k+1}y_k$  replacing  $D_{k-1}^{(4)}, D_k^{(4)}$  in (2.12), then we have

$$\mu - \frac{(b_{k-1}y_{k-1} + b_k y_{k+1})}{y_k} = \xi - \frac{(b_{k-1}m_{k-1} + b_k m_{k+1})}{m_k}.\tag{2.13}$$

Thus, if  $x_k = 0$ , we also have  $a_k = a'_k$ . In the same way, we have  $a_k = a''_k$ . Then,  $a_k = a'_k = a''_k$ . Therefore,

$$J = J' = J''\tag{2.14}$$

with four eigenpairs  $(\lambda, x)$ ,  $(\mu, y)$ ,  $(\xi, m)$ , and  $(\eta, r)$ . □

### 3. The Solvability Conditions of Problem 2

**Lemma 3.1** (see [7]). *Given three different real scalars  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  (supposed  $\lambda > \mu > \nu$ ) and three real orthogonal vectors of size  $nx = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]^T, y = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]^T, z = [z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n]^T$ , there is a unique Jacobi matrix  $J$  such that  $(\lambda, x), (\mu, y), (\nu, z)$  are its three eigenpairs if the following conditions are satisfied:*

$$(i) (\lambda - \mu)d_k^{(1)} / D_k^{(1)} = (\lambda - \nu)d_k^{(2)} / D_k^{(2)} > 0;$$

$$(ii) \text{ if } x_k = 0, (\lambda - \mu)d_j^{(1)} / D_j^{(1)} = (\mu - \nu)d_j^{(3)} / D_j^{(3)}, j = k, k - 1, \text{ where}$$

$$d_k^{(1)} = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i y_i, \quad d_k^{(2)} = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i z_i, \quad d_k^{(3)} = \sum_{i=1}^k y_i z_i,$$

$$(k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1). \quad (3.1)$$

$$D_k^{(1)} = \begin{vmatrix} y_k & y_{k+1} \\ x_k & x_{k+1} \end{vmatrix}, \quad D_k^{(2)} = \begin{vmatrix} z_k & z_{k+1} \\ x_k & x_{k+1} \end{vmatrix}, \quad D_k^{(3)} = \begin{vmatrix} z_k & z_{k+1} \\ y_k & y_{k+1} \end{vmatrix},$$

And the elements of matrix  $J$  are

$$b_k = \frac{(\lambda - \mu)d_k}{D_k} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1),$$

$$a_1 = \lambda - \frac{b_1 x_2}{x_1},$$

$$a_n = \lambda - \frac{b_{n-1} x_{n-1}}{x_n}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$a_k = \begin{cases} \lambda - \frac{(b_{k-1} x_{k-1} + b_k x_{k+1})}{x_k}, & x_k \neq 0, \\ \mu - \frac{(b_{k-1} y_{k-1} + b_k y_{k+1})}{y_k}, & x_k = 0, \end{cases} \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1).$$

From Lemma 3.1, we can see that under some conditions three eigenpairs can determine a unique Jacobi matrix. Therefore, for Problem 2, we only prove that the Jacobi matrices determined by  $(\lambda, x), (\mu, y), (\nu, z); (\lambda, x), (\mu, y), (\xi, m), (\lambda, x), (\mu, y), (\eta, r)$  are the same.

The following theorem gives a sufficient condition for the uniqueness of the solution of Problem 2.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Problem 2 has a unique solution if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i)  $(\lambda - \mu)d_k^{(1)}/D_k^{(1)} = (\lambda - \nu)d_k^{(2)}/D_k^{(2)} = (\lambda - \xi)d_k^{(3)}/D_k^{(3)} = (\lambda - \eta)d_k^{(4)}/D_k^{(4)} > 0$ ;  
(ii) if  $x_k = 0$ , then  $(\lambda - \mu)d_j^{(1)}/D_j^{(1)} = (\mu - \nu)d_j^{(5)}/D_j^{(5)} = (\mu - \xi)d_j^{(6)}/D_j^{(6)} = (\mu - \eta)d_j^{(7)}/D_j^{(7)}$ ,  $j = k, k - 1$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
d_k^{(1)} &= \sum_{i=1}^k x_i y_i, & d_k^{(2)} &= \sum_{i=1}^k x_i z_i, & d_k^{(3)} &= \sum_{i=1}^k x_i m_i, \\
d_k^{(4)} &= \sum_{i=1}^k x_i n_i, & d_k^{(5)} &= \sum_{i=1}^k y_i z_i, & d_k^{(6)} &= \sum_{i=1}^k y_i m_i, \\
d_k^{(7)} &= \sum_{i=1}^k y_i n_i, & d_k^{(8)} &= \sum_{i=1}^k z_i m_i, & d_k^{(9)} &= \sum_{i=1}^k z_i n_i, \\
d_k^{(10)} &= \sum_{i=1}^k m_i n_i, & (k = 1, 2, \dots, n) & & & 
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_k^{(1)} &= \begin{vmatrix} y_k & y_{k+1} \\ x_k & x_{k+1} \end{vmatrix}, & D_k^{(2)} &= \begin{vmatrix} z_k & z_{k+1} \\ x_k & x_{k+1} \end{vmatrix}, & D_k^{(3)} &= \begin{vmatrix} m_k & m_{k+1} \\ x_k & x_{k+1} \end{vmatrix}, \\
D_k^{(4)} &= \begin{vmatrix} n_k & n_{k+1} \\ y_k & y_{k+1} \end{vmatrix}, & D_k^{(5)} &= \begin{vmatrix} z_k & z_{k+1} \\ y_k & y_{k+1} \end{vmatrix}, & D_k^{(6)} &= \begin{vmatrix} m_k & m_{k+1} \\ y_k & y_{k+1} \end{vmatrix}, \\
D_k^{(7)} &= \begin{vmatrix} n_k & n_{k+1} \\ y_k & y_{k+1} \end{vmatrix}, & D_k^{(8)} &= \begin{vmatrix} m_k & m_{k+1} \\ z_k & z_{k+1} \end{vmatrix}, & D_k^{(9)} &= \begin{vmatrix} n_k & n_{k+1} \\ z_k & z_{k+1} \end{vmatrix}, \\
D_k^{(10)} &= \begin{vmatrix} n_k & n_{k+1} \\ m_k & m_{k+1} \end{vmatrix}, & (k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1). & & & 
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* According to Lemma 3.1, under certain condition,  $(\lambda, x)$ ,  $(\mu, y)$ ,  $(\nu, z)$ ;  $(\lambda, x)$ ,  $(\mu, y)$ ,  $(\xi, m)$ ,  $(\lambda, x)$ ,  $(\mu, y)$ ,  $(\eta, r)$  can determine one unique Jacobi matrix, denoted  $J, J', J''$ , respectively. Their elements are as follows:  $\square$

$$\begin{aligned}
b_k &= \frac{(\lambda - \mu)d_k^{(1)}}{D_k^{(1)}} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1), \\
a_1 &= \lambda - \frac{b_1 x_2}{x_1}, \\
a_n &= \lambda - \frac{b_{n-1} x_{n-1}}{x_n}, \\
a_k &= \begin{cases} \lambda - \frac{(b_{k-1} x_{k-1} + b_k x_{k+1})}{x_k}, & x_k \neq 0, \\ \mu - \frac{(b_{k-1} y_{k-1} + b_k y_{k+1})}{y_k}, & x_k = 0, \end{cases} \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots, n - 1),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
b'_k &= \frac{(\lambda - \mu)a_k^{(1)}}{D_k^{(1)}}, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1), \\
a'_1 &= \lambda - \frac{b_1 x_2}{x_1}, \\
a'_n &= \lambda - \frac{b_{n-1} x_{n-1}}{x_n}, \\
a'_k &= \begin{cases} \lambda - \frac{(b_{k-1} x_{k-1} + b_k x_{k+1})}{x_k}, & x_k \neq 0, \\ \mu - \frac{(b_{k-1} y_{k-1} + b_k y_{k+1})}{y_k}, & x_k = 0, \end{cases} \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots, n-1), \\
b''_k &= \frac{(\lambda - \mu)a_k^{(1)}}{D_k^{(1)}}, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1), \\
a''_1 &= \lambda - \frac{b_1 x_2}{x_1}, \\
a''_n &= \lambda - \frac{b_{n-1} x_{n-1}}{x_n}, \\
a''_k &= \begin{cases} \lambda - \frac{(b_{k-1} x_{k-1} + b_k x_{k+1})}{x_k}, & x_k \neq 0, \\ \mu - \frac{(b_{k-1} y_{k-1} + b_k y_{k+1})}{y_k}, & x_k = 0, \end{cases} \quad (k = 2, 3, \dots, n-1),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

From conditions (i) and (ii) we have obviously

$$b_k = b'_k = b''_k > 0, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \quad a_k = a'_k = a''_k. \tag{3.5}$$

Therefore,

$$J = J' = J'' \tag{3.6}$$

with five eigenpairs  $(\lambda, x)$ ,  $(\mu, y)$ ,  $(\nu, z)$ ,  $(\xi, m)$ , and  $(\eta, r)$ .

#### 4. Numerical Algorithms and Examples

The process of the proof of the theorem provides us with a recipe for finding the solution of Problem 1 if it exists.

From Theorem 2.2, we propose a numerical algorithm for finding the unique solution of Problem 1 as follows.



*Algorithm 1. Input.* The real numbers  $\lambda > \mu > \xi > \eta$  and mutually orthogonal vectors  $x, y, m, r$ .

**Output.** The symmetric Jacobi matrix having the eigenpairs  $(\lambda, x), (\mu, y), (\xi, m), (\eta, r)$ :

- (1) compute  $d_k^{(1)}, d_k^{(2)}, d_k^{(3)}, d_k^{(4)}, d_k^{(5)}, d_k^{(6)}$  and  $D_k^{(1)}, D_k^{(2)}, D_k^{(3)}, D_k^{(4)}, D_k^{(5)}, D_k^{(6)}$ ;
- (2) if any one of  $D_k^{(1)}, D_k^{(2)}, D_k^{(3)}, D_k^{(4)}, D_k^{(5)}, D_k^{(6)}$  is zero, the Problem 1 can not be solved by this method;
- (3) for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ .
  - (a) When  $x_k = 0$ , if

$$\frac{(\lambda - \mu)d_j^{(1)}}{D_j^{(1)}} = \frac{(\mu - \xi)d_j^{(4)}}{D_j^{(4)}} = \frac{(\mu - \eta)d_j^{(5)}}{D_j^{(5)}}, \quad j = k, k - 1, \quad (4.1)$$

then

$$b_k = \frac{(\lambda - \mu)d_k^{(1)}}{D_k^{(1)}}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$a_k = \mu - \frac{(b_{k-1}y_{k-1} + b_k y_{k+1})}{y_k}.$$

Otherwise, Problem 1 has no solution.

- (b) When  $x_k \neq 0$ , if

$$\frac{(\lambda - \mu)d_k^{(1)}}{D_k^{(1)}} = \frac{(\lambda - \xi)d_k^{(2)}}{D_k^{(2)}} = \frac{(\lambda - \eta)d_k^{(3)}}{D_k^{(3)}} > 0, \quad (4.3)$$

then

$$b_k = \frac{(\lambda - \mu)d_k^{(1)}}{D_k^{(1)}}, \quad (4.4)$$

$$a_k = \lambda - \frac{(b_{k-1}x_{k-1} + b_k x_{k+1})}{x_k}.$$

Otherwise, Problem 1 has no solution;

- (4)  $a_n = \lambda - b_{n-1}x_{n-1}/x_n$ .

Note that we can also propose a numerical algorithm from Theorem 3.2. Because of the limitation of space, we don't describe it here in detail.

Now we give two numerical examples here to illustrate that the results obtained in this paper are correct.

*Example 4.1.* Given four real numbers  $\lambda = 3$ ,  $\mu = 2$ ,  $\xi = 1$ ,  $\eta = 0.2679$ , and the four vectors  $x = [1, 1, 0, -1, -1]^T$ ,  $y = [1, 0, -1, 0, 1]^T$ ,  $m = [1, -1, 0, 1, -1]^T$ ,  $r = [1, -\sqrt{3}, 2, -\sqrt{3}, 1]^T$ , it is easy to verify that these given data satisfy the conditions of the Theorem 2.2. After calculating on the microcomputer through making program of Algorithm 1, we have a unique Jacobi matrix:

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & & \\ & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ & & & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.5)$$

*Example 4.2.* Given five real numbers  $\lambda = 7.543$ ,  $\mu = -3.543$ ,  $\nu = 2$ ,  $\xi = 4.296$ , and  $\eta = -0.296$ , and the five vectors:  $x = [0.1913, 0.3536, 0.4619, 0.5000, 0.4619, 0.3536, 0.1913]^T$ ,  $y = [0.1913, -0.3536, 0.4619, -0.5000, 0.4619, -0.3536, 0.1913]^T$ ,  $z = [0.5000, 0, -0.5000, 0, 0.5000, 0, -0.5000]^T$ ,  $m = [0.4619, 0.3536, -0.1913, 0.5000, -0.1913, 0.3536, 0.4619]^T$ , and  $r = [0.4619, -0.3536, -0.1913, 0.5000, -0.1913, -0.3536, 0.4619]^T$ , it is easy to verify that these given numbers can not satisfy the conditions of the Theorem 2.2 but Theorem 3.2. After calculating on the microcomputer through making program of Theorem 3.2, we have a Jacobi matrix:

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & & & & & \\ & 3 & 2 & 3 & & & \\ & & 3 & 2 & 3 & & \\ & & & 3 & 2 & 3 & \\ & & & & 3 & 2 & 3 \\ & & & & & 3 & 2 & 3 \\ & & & & & & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.6)$$

## 5. Conclusion and Remarks

As a summary, we have presented some sufficient conditions, as well as simple methods to construct a Jacobi matrix from its four or five eigenpairs. Numerical examples have been given to illustrate the effectiveness of our results and the proposed method. Also, the idea in this paper may provide some insights for other banded matrix inverse eigenvalue problems.

## Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the NUAA Research funding (Grant NS2010202) and the Aviation Science Foundation of China (Grant 2009ZH52069). The authors would like to thank Professor Hua Dai for his valuable discussions.

## References

- [1] P. Nylén and F. Uhlig, "Inverse eigenvalue problem: existence of special spring-mass systems," *Inverse Problems*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 1071–1081, 1997.
- [2] N. Radwan, "An inverse eigenvalue problem for symmetric and normal matrices," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 248, pp. 101–109, 1996.
- [3] Z. S. Wang, "Inverse eigenvalue problem for real symmetric five-diagonal matrix," *Numerical Mathematics*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 366–376, 2002.
- [4] G. M. L. Gladwell, *Inverse Problems in Vibration*, vol. 119 of *Solid Mechanics and Its Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2nd edition, 2004.
- [5] H. Dai, "Inverse eigenvalue problems for Jacobi matrices and symmetric tridiagonal matrices," *Numerical Mathematics*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1–13, 1990.
- [6] A. P. Liao, L. Zhang, and X. Y. Hu, "Conditions for the existence of a unique solution for inverse eigenproblems of tridiagonal symmetric matrices," *Journal on Numerical Methods and Computer Applications*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 102–111, 2000.
- [7] X. Y. Hu and X. Z. Zhou, "Inverse eigenvalue problems for symmetric tridiagonal matrices," *Journal on Numerical Methods and Computer Applications*, vol. 17, pp. 150–156, 1996.



# Hindawi

Submit your manuscripts at  
<http://www.hindawi.com>

