

## DAVENPORT CONSTANT WITH WEIGHTS AND SOME RELATED QUESTIONS

**Sukumar Das Adhikari**

*Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Chhatnag Road, Jhusi, Allahabad 211 019, INDIA*  
adhikari@mri.ernet.in

**Purusottam Rath**

*Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Chhatnag Road, Jhusi, Allahabad 211 019, INDIA*  
rath@mri.ernet.in

*Received: 5/22/06, Revised: 7/5/06, Accepted: 8/12/06, Published: 10/20/06*

### Abstract

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  be such that  $A$  does not contain 0 and it is non-empty. Generalizing a well known constant,  $E_A(n)$  is defined to be the least  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all sequences  $(x_1, \dots, x_t) \in \mathbb{Z}^t$ , there exist indices  $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_n \leq t$ , and  $(\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_n) \in A^n$  with  $\sum_{i=1}^n \vartheta_i x_{j_i} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ . Similarly, for any such set  $A$ , we define the Davenport Constant of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with weight  $A$  denoted by  $D_A(n)$  to be the least natural number  $k$  such that for any sequence  $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ , there exists a non-empty subsequence  $\{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_l}\}$  and  $(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in A^l$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^l a_i x_{j_i} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ . In the present paper, in the special case where  $n = p$  is a prime, we determine the values of  $D_A(p)$  and  $E_A(p)$  where  $A$  is  $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$  or the set of quadratic residues  $\pmod{p}$ .

### 1. Introduction

Here we shall be concerned with certain generalizations of two important combinatorial invariants related to zero-sum problems (for detailed accounts one may see [10], [3], [13], [9]) in finite abelian groups.

For an abelian group  $G$ , the Davenport constant  $D(G)$  is defined to be the smallest natural number  $k$  such that any sequence of  $k$  elements in  $G$  has a non-empty subsequence whose sum is zero (the identity element). For an abelian group  $G$  of cardinality  $n$ , another interesting constant is the smallest natural number  $k$  such that any sequence of  $k$  elements in  $G$  has a subsequence of length  $n$  whose sum is zero; we shall denote it by  $E(G)$ .

The following result due to Gao [8] (see also [10], Proposition 5.7.9) connects these two invariants.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $G$  is a finite abelian group of order  $n$ , then  $E(G) = D(G) + n - 1$ .*

For the particular group  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , the following generalization of  $E(G)$  was considered in [2] recently. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and assume  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $E_A(n)$  is the least  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all sequences  $(x_1, \dots, x_t) \in \mathbb{Z}^t$  there exist indices  $j_1, \dots, j_n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_n \leq t$ , and  $(\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_n) \in A^n$  with

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \vartheta_i x_{j_i} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

To avoid trivial cases, one assumes that the weight set  $A$  does not contain 0 and it is non-empty.

Similarly, for any such set  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  of weights, we define the Davenport Constant of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$  with weight  $A$  denoted by  $D_A(n)$  to be the least natural number  $k$  such that for any sequence  $(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ , there exists a non-empty subsequence  $\{x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_l}\}$  and  $(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in A^l$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^l a_i x_{j_i} \equiv 0 \pmod{n}.$$

Thus, for the group  $G = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , if we take  $A = \{1\}$ , then  $E_A(n)$  and  $D_A(n)$  are respectively  $E(G)$  and  $D(G)$  as defined earlier.

For several sets  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  of weights, exact values of  $E_A(n)$  and  $D_A(n)$  have been determined: The case  $A = \{1\}$  is classical and is covered by the well-known theorem (EGZ theorem) due to Erdős, Ginzburg and Ziv [6] (one may also see [11] or [10]) and Theorem 1 is also applicable; the case  $A = \{1, -1\}$ , was done in [2] where it is shown that  $E_A(n) = n + \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$ . Furthermore, by the pigeonhole principle (see [2]),  $D_A(n) \leq \lceil \log_2 n \rceil + 1$ , and by considering the sequence  $(1, 2, \dots, 2^r)$ , where  $r$  is defined by  $2^{r+1} \leq n < 2^{r+2}$ , it follows that  $D_A(n) \geq \lceil \log_2 n \rceil + 1$ ; the case observed in [2] shows that for  $A = \{1, 2 \dots n-1\}$  we have  $E_A(n) = n + 1$ . In this case, it is easy to see that  $D_A(n) = 2$ ; lastly, settling a conjecture from [2], it was proved in [7] that for  $A = (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^* = \{a : (a, n) = 1\}$ ,  $E_A(n) = n + \Omega(n)$ , where  $\Omega(n)$  denotes the number of prime factors of  $n$ , multiplicity included.

It is not difficult to observe that

$$E_A(n) \geq D_A(n) + n - 1 \text{ for any } A \subset \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}. \tag{1}$$

Taking  $A = (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^*$ , it follows from (1) and the above result that  $D_A(n) \leq 1 + \Omega(n)$ . On the other hand, in this case, writing  $n = p_1 \dots p_s$  as a product of  $s = \Omega(n)$  (not necessarily distinct) primes, the sequence  $(1, p_1, p_1 p_2, \dots, p_1 p_2 \dots p_{s-1})$  gives the lower bound  $D_A(n) \geq 1 + \Omega(n)$ .

Thus, in all these above cases, namely when  $A$  is one of the sets appearing in the chain  $\{1\} \subset \{1, -1\} \subset (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^* \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ , one has  $E_A(n) = D_A(n) + n - 1$ .

In the present paper, in the special case where  $n = p$  is a prime (other than 2, the trivial case), we determine the values of  $D_A(p)$  and  $E_A(p)$  where  $A$  is  $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$  or the set of quadratic residues  $(\text{mod } p)$ . In both cases, the equality  $E_A(p) = D_A(p) + p - 1$  holds.

Perhaps one would expect that for any set  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  of weights, the equality  $E_A(n) = D_A(n) + n - 1$  holds.

**2.  $D_A(p)$  and  $E_A(p)$  for certain subsets  $A$  of  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$**

In what follows,  $p$  will always denote an odd prime.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $A = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ , where  $r$  is an integer such that  $1 < r < p$ . We have*

- (i)  $D_A(p) = \lceil \frac{p}{r} \rceil$ , where for a real number  $x$ ,  $\lceil x \rceil$  denotes the smallest integer  $\geq x$ ,
- (ii)  $E_A(p) = p - 1 + D_A(p)$ .

*Proof.* Consider any sequence  $S = (s_1, \dots, s_{\lceil \frac{p}{r} \rceil})$  of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  of length  $\lceil \frac{p}{r} \rceil$ . Considering the sequence

$$S' = (\overbrace{s_1, s_1, \dots, s_1}^{r \text{ times}}, \overbrace{s_2, s_2, \dots, s_2}^{r \text{ times}}, \dots, \overbrace{s_{\lceil \frac{p}{r} \rceil}, \dots, s_{\lceil \frac{p}{r} \rceil}}^{r \text{ times}}),$$

obtained from  $S$  by repeating each element  $r$  times, and observing that the length of this sequence is  $\geq p$ , it follows that

$$D_A(p) \leq \left\lceil \frac{p}{r} \right\rceil. \tag{2}$$

On the other hand, considering the sequence  $(\overbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}^{(\lceil \frac{p}{r} \rceil - 1) \text{ times}})$ , for any non-empty subsequence  $(s_{j_1}, \dots, s_{j_l})$  of this sequence and  $(a_1, \dots, a_l) \in A^l$ ,

$$0 < \sum_{i=1}^l a_i s_{j_i} < rl \leq p - 1.$$

Therefore,

$$D_A(p) \geq \left\lceil \frac{p}{r} \right\rceil. \tag{3}$$

From equations (2) and (3), part (i) follows.

Now, consider any sequence  $S = (s_1, \dots, s_N)$  of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  of length

$$N = p - 1 + \left\lceil \frac{p}{r} \right\rceil.$$

**Case I.** (The sequence  $S$  has at least  $p$  non-zero elements in it).

Let  $(s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}, \dots, s_{i_p})$  be a subsequence of  $S$  of  $p$  non-zero elements and let  $A_k = \{s_{i_k}, 2s_{i_k}\}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, p$ . Since  $|A_k| = 2$  for all  $k$ , by the Cauchy-Davenport Theorem (see [11], Theorem 2.3) it follows that  $|A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_p| \geq p$  and hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^p a_k s_{i_k} = 0, \text{ where } a_k \in \{1, 2\} \subset A.$$

**Case II.** (The sequence  $S$  has less than  $p$  non-zero elements in it).

In this case, at least  $\lceil \frac{p}{r} \rceil$  elements of the sequence are equal to zero. We reorder the sequence in such a way that  $s_1 = s_2 = \dots = s_t = 0$  and the remaining elements are non-zero. We have  $N - t < p$ . Let  $B = \{r_1, \dots, r_l\} \subseteq \{t + 1, t + 2, \dots, N\}$  be maximal with respect to the property that there exist  $a_1, \dots, a_l \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$  with

$$\sum_{j=1}^l a_j s_{r_j} = 0.$$

Now we claim that  $l + t \geq p$ . Indeed, if this were not the case then the set  $C = \{t + 1, \dots, N\} \setminus \{r_1, \dots, r_l\}$  would contain  $N - t - l \geq \lceil \frac{p}{r} \rceil$  elements. Hence by part (i), there would exist a non-empty  $B' \subset C$  and  $a_j \in \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$  for each  $j \in B'$  such that

$$\sum_{j \in B'} a_j s_j = 0.$$

Now,  $B \cup B'$  would contradict the maximality of  $B$ . Hence  $l + t \geq p$ . Therefore, appending the sequence  $B$  to  $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{p-l}) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$ , we get a sequence of length  $p$  with desired property.

From Cases (I) and (II), and part (i),  $E_A(p) \leq p - 1 + \lceil \frac{p}{r} \rceil = p - 1 + D_A(p)$ , and hence from equation (1), part (ii) follows.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $A$  be the set of quadratic residues (mod  $p$ ). That is,  $A$  consists of all the squares in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ . We have*

(i)  $D_A(p) = 3,$

(ii)  $E_A(p) = p + 2.$

*Proof.* Given any sequence  $S = (s_1, \dots, s_{p+2})$  of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  of length  $p+2$ , we consider the following system of equations in  $(p+2)$  variables over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_p$ :

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p+2} s_i x_i^2 = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{p+2} x_i^{p-1} = 0.$$

By Chevalley - Warning Theorem (see [12] or [1], for instance), there is a nontrivial solution  $(y_1, \dots, y_{p+2})$  of the above system. Writing  $I = \{i : y_i \neq 0\}$ , from the first equation it follows that  $\sum_{i \in I} a_i s_i = 0$  where  $a_i$ 's belong to the set of squares in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ . By Fermat's little theorem, from the second equation we have  $|I| = p$ . Hence

$$E_A(p) \leq p + 2. \tag{4}$$

From (1), we have  $E_A(p) \geq D_A(p) + p - 1$ , and hence by (4),

$$D_A(p) \leq E_A(p) - p + 1 \leq 3. \tag{5}$$

On the other hand, considering a sequence  $v_1, -v_2$ , where  $v_1$  is a quadratic residue and  $v_2$  a quadratic non-residue (mod  $p$ ), for two elements  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ ,  $a_1 v_1 + a_2 (-v_2) = 0$  implies  $a_1 v_1 = a_2 v_2$ , - an absurdity, since  $a_1 v_1$  is a quadratic residue and  $a_2 v_2$  a non-residue.

Therefore,  $D_A(p) \geq 3$  and this together with (5) proves part (i) of the theorem.

Again, since  $E_A(p) \geq D_A(p) + p - 1$ , by part (i),  $E_A(p) \geq p + 2$ , which, together with (4) gives part (ii) of the theorem.

**Remarks.** First, we note that the values of  $D_A(p)$  and  $E_A(p)$  remain unchanged if one replaces  $A$  by  $cA = \{ca | a \in A\}$  for any  $c \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^*$ . Hence, in particular, the statement of Theorem 3 holds with  $A$  as the set of quadratic non-residues (mod  $p$ ).

Finally, in Theorem 2, if  $A \subset \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ , where  $r$  is an integer such that  $1 < r < p$ , then also the lower bound (3) for  $D_A(p)$  (and hence a corresponding lower bound for  $E_A(p)$ , namely  $E_A(p) \geq p - 1 + \lceil \frac{p}{r} \rceil$ , obtained by (1)) holds. However, taking  $A = \{1, p - 1\}$ , for instance, this may not be a good lower bound in general. It is interesting to note the difference in the values of the constant  $D_A(p)$  (from Theorem 2 and the result in [2] quoted in the introduction) corresponding to the weight sets  $\{1, 2\}$  and  $\{1, -1\}$  having the same cardinality.

**Acknowledgement.** We thank the referee whose suggestions helped us improve the presentation of the paper.

## References

- [1] S. D. Adhikari, *Aspects of combinatorics and combinatorial number theory*, Narosa, New Delhi, 2002.
- [2] S. D. Adhikari, Y. G. Chen, J. B. Friedlander, S. V. Konyagin and F. Pappalardi, *Contributions to zero-sum problems*, Discrete Math. **306**, 1–10 (2006).
- [3] Y. Caro, *Zero-sum problems – A survey*, Discrete Math. **152**, 93–113 (1996).
- [4] A. L. Cauchy, *Recherches sur les nombres*, J. Ecôle Polytech. **9**, 99–123 (1813).
- [5] H. Davenport, *On the addition of residue classes*, J. London Math. Soc. **22**, 100–101 (1947).
- [6] P. Erdős, A. Ginzburg and A. Ziv, *Theorem in the additive number theory*, Bull. Research Council Israel, **10F**, 41–43 (1961).
- [7] Florian Luca, *A generalization of a classical zero-sum problem*, Preprint.
- [8] W. D. Gao, *A combinatorial problem on finite abelian groups*, J. Number Theory, **58**, 100–103 (1996).
- [9] W. D. Gao and A. Geroldinger, *Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups: A survey*, to appear.
- [10] A. Geroldinger and F. Halter-Koch, *Non-Unique Factorizations*, Chapman & Hall, CRC (2006).
- [11] Melvyn B. Nathanson, *Additive Number Theory: Inverse Problems and the Geometry of Sumsets*, Springer, 1996.
- [12] J. -P. Serre, *A course in Arithmetic*, Springer, 1973.
- [13] R. Thangadurai, *Interplay between four conjectures on certain zero-sum problems*, Expo. Math. **20**, no. 3, 215–228 (2002).