




---

**SOME ARITHMETIC PROPERTIES OF OVERPARTITION  $K$ -TUPLES**

**Derrick M. Keister**

*Department of Mathematics, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802*  
 dmk5075@psu.edu

**James A. Sellers**

*Department of Mathematics, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802*  
 sellersj@math.psu.edu

**Robert G. Vary**

*Department of Mathematics, Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802*  
 rgv106@psu.edu

*Received: 9/4/08, Accepted: 3/2/09*

**Abstract**

Recently, Lovejoy introduced the construct of overpartition pairs which are a natural generalization of overpartitions. Here we generalize that idea to overpartition  $k$ -tuples and prove several congruences related to them. We denote the number of overpartition  $k$ -tuples of a positive integer  $n$  by  $\overline{p}_k(n)$  and prove, for example, that for all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\overline{p}_{t-1}(tn + r) \equiv 0 \pmod{t}$  where  $t$  is prime and  $r$  is a quadratic nonresidue mod  $t$ .

**1. Introduction**

As defined by Corteel and Lovejoy [5], an *overpartition* of a positive integer  $n$  is a non-increasing sequence of natural numbers whose sum is  $n$  in which the first occurrence of a part may be overlined. For example, the overpartitions of the integer 3 are

$$3, \overline{3}, 2 + 1, \overline{2} + \overline{1}, \overline{2} + 1, 2 + \overline{1}, 1 + 1 + 1, \overline{1} + 1 + 1.$$

The number of overpartitions of a positive integer  $n$  is denoted by  $\overline{p}(n)$ , with  $\overline{p}(0) = 1$  by definition. Thus  $\overline{p}(3) = 8$  from the above example. As noted in Corteel and Lovejoy [5], the generating function for overpartitions is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{p}(n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+q^n}{1-q^n}.$$

As the topic of overpartitions has already been examined rather thoroughly [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11], we look to new constructions. One such construction is that of an *overpartition pair* of a positive integer  $n$ , defined by Lovejoy [9] as a pair of overpartitions wherein the sum of all listed parts is  $n$ . For example, the overpartition pairs of 2 are

$$(2; \emptyset), (\overline{2}; \emptyset), (\emptyset; \overline{2}), (\emptyset; 2), (1 + 1; \emptyset), (\overline{1} + 1; \emptyset), (\emptyset; 1 + 1), (\emptyset; \overline{1} + 1), \\ (1; \overline{1}), (1; 1), (\overline{1}; \overline{1}), (\overline{1}; 1).$$

Lovejoy denoted the number of overpartition pairs of a positive integer  $n$  by  $\overline{pp}(n)$ , with  $\overline{pp}(0) = 1$  by definition. Thus  $\overline{pp}(2) = 12$  from the above example. Following lines similar to that for overpartitions, the generating function for overpartition pairs is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{pp}(n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1+q^n}{1-q^n} \right)^2.$$

Several arithmetic properties of both overpartitions and their pairs have appeared in the literature. Since our interest here is primarily on congruence properties, there are a few theorems that are especially noteworthy. The first one is straightforward and proven intuitively.

**Theorem 1.** *For all  $n > 0$ ,  $\overline{p}(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ .*

Next we have a theorem easily proven using results of Mahlburg [10].

**Theorem 2.** *For all  $n > 0$ ,*

$$\overline{p}(n) \equiv \begin{cases} 2 \pmod{4} & \text{if } n \text{ is a square,} \\ 0 \pmod{4} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Several other congruences in arithmetic progressions were proven by Hirschhorn and Sellers. For example, the following were proven in [7].

**Theorem 3.** *For all  $n \geq 0$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{p}(5n + 2) &\equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ \overline{p}(5n + 3) &\equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \\ \overline{p}(4n + 3) &\equiv 0 \pmod{8}, \\ \text{and } \overline{p}(8n + 7) &\equiv 0 \pmod{64}. \end{aligned}$$

Also, Hirschhorn and Sellers [6] proved that  $\overline{p}(n)$  satisfies congruences modulo non-powers of 2 by proving the following:

**Theorem 4.** *For all  $n \geq 0$  and all  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\overline{p}(9^\alpha(27n + 18)) \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ .*

Finally, we note a theorem proven by Bringmann and Lovejoy [2]. This result provides much inspiration for the main result in the next section.

**Theorem 5.** *For all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\overline{pp}(3n + 2) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .*

We now introduce a generalization of overpartition pairs. An *overpartition  $k$ -tuple* of a positive integer  $n$  is a  $k$ -tuple of overpartitions wherein all listed parts sum to  $n$ . We denote the number of overpartition  $k$ -tuples of  $n$  by  $\overline{p}_k(n)$ , with  $\overline{p}_k(0) = 1$  by

definition. Consequently, the number of overpartition pairs of  $n$  is denoted as  $\bar{p}_2(n)$ . The generating function for  $\bar{p}_k(n)$  is easily seen to be

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \bar{p}_k(n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1+q^n}{1-q^n} \right)^k.$$

The aim of this note is to prove several congruence properties for families of overpartition  $k$ -tuples. In the process, we will prove several natural generalizations of results quoted above.

**2. Results for Overpartition  $k$ -Tuples**

Our first theorem of this section provides a natural generalization of Bringmann and Lovejoy’s Theorem 5 above. Moreover, the proof technique is extremely elementary, making this a very satisfying result.

**Theorem 6.** *For all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $\bar{p}_{t-1}(tn+r) \equiv 0 \pmod{t}$ , where  $t$  is an odd prime and  $r$  is a quadratic nonresidue mod  $t$ .*

**Remarks.** First, note that the  $t = 3$  case of this theorem is exactly Theorem 5. Secondly, note that, for each odd prime  $t$ , this theorem provides  $\frac{t-1}{2}$  congruence properties for  $\bar{p}_{t-1}(n)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the following generating function manipulations:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{p}_{t-1}(n)q^n &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1+q^i}{1-q^i} \right)^{t-1} \\ &= \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+q^i}{1-q^i} \right]^{t-1} \\ &= \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+q^i}{1-q^i} \right]^t \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-q^i}{1+q^i} \right] \\ &\equiv \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+q^{ti}}{1-q^{ti}} \right] \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-q^i}{1+q^i} \right] \pmod{t} \text{ since } t \text{ is prime} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \bar{p}(m)q^{tm} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-q^i}{1+q^i} \right] \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \bar{p}(m)q^{tm} \sum_{s=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^s q^{s^2} \text{ thanks to Gauss [1, Cor. 2.10].} \end{aligned}$$

But note that  $tn + r$  can never be represented as  $tm + s^2$  for some integers  $m$  and  $s$  if  $r$  is a quadratic nonresidue mod  $t$ . This implies that  $\bar{p}_{t-1}(tn + r) \equiv 0 \pmod{t}$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .  $\square$

The next theorem is a broad generalization of Theorem 1. It is found with proof in [12], but is included here for the sake of completeness. We require a brief technical lemma.

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $m$  be a nonnegative integer. For all  $1 \leq n \leq 2^m$ ,*

$$\binom{2^m}{n} 2^n \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{m+1}}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\text{ord}_2(N)$  be the exponent of the highest power of 2 dividing  $N$ . Thus, for example,  $\text{ord}_2(8) = 3$  while  $\text{ord}_2(80) = 4$ . To prove Lemma 7, we need to prove that

$$\text{ord}_2 \left( \binom{2^m}{n} 2^n \right) \geq m + 1. \tag{1}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_2 \left( \binom{2^m}{n} 2^n \right) &= \text{ord}_2 \left( \frac{2^m(2^m - 1)(2^m - 2) \cdots (2^m - (n - 1))}{n!} \cdot 2^n \right) \\ &\geq \text{ord}_2 \left( \frac{2^{m+n}}{n!} \right) \\ &= m + n - \text{ord}_2(n!) \\ &= m + n - \left( \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{8} \right\rfloor + \cdots \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the floor function of  $x$ .

Now assume  $n = c_0 2^0 + c_1 2^1 + \cdots + c_t 2^t$  where each  $c_i \in \{0, 1\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{8} \right\rfloor + \cdots &= c_1 2^0 + c_2 2^1 + \cdots + c_t 2^{t-1} \\ &\quad + c_2 2^0 + c_3 2^1 + \cdots + c_t 2^{t-2} \\ &\quad + c_3 2^0 + c_4 2^1 + \cdots + c_t 2^{t-3} \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad + c_t 2^0 \\ &= (2 - 1)c_1 + (2^2 - 1)c_2 + (2^3 - 1)c_3 + \cdots + (2^t - 1)c_t \\ &= n - (c_0 + c_1 + c_2 + \cdots + c_t) \\ &\leq n - 1 \end{aligned}$$

since at least one of the  $c_i$  must equal 1. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_2 \left( \binom{2^m}{n} 2^n \right) &\geq m + n - \left( \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{4} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{8} \right\rfloor + \dots \right) \\ &\geq m + n - (n - 1) \\ &= m + 1. \end{aligned}$$

This is the desired result as noted in (1) above. □

We are now in a position to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $k=(2^m)r$ , where  $m$  is a nonnegative integer and  $r$  is odd. Then, for all positive integers  $n$ , we have  $\bar{p}_k(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{m+1}}$ .*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{p}_k(n)q^n &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1+q^i}{1-q^i} \right]^k \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1+q^i}{1-q^i} \right]^{(2^m)r} \\ &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{1+q^i}{1-q^i} \right]^{2^m} \right)^r \\ &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ 1 + \frac{2q^i}{1-q^i} \right]^{2^m} \right)^r \\ &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{2^m} \binom{2^m}{n} 2^n \left( \frac{q^i}{1-q^i} \right)^n \right] \right)^r \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod{2^{m+1}} \text{ by Lemma 7.} \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

The following theorem is inspired by Theorem 2. As with Theorem 8, it primarily hinges upon the use of the binomial theorem.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $k=(2^m)r$ ,  $m > 0$  and  $r$  is odd. Then, for all  $n \geq 1$ ,*

$$\bar{p}_k(n) \equiv \begin{cases} 2^{m+1} \pmod{2^{m+2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is a square or twice a square,} \\ 0 \pmod{2^{m+2}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We prove this result by induction on  $m$ .

**Basis Step.** Let  $m = 1$ . We must show that

$$\bar{p}_{2r}(n) \equiv \begin{cases} 4 \pmod{8} & \text{if } n \text{ is a square or twice a square,} \\ 0 \pmod{8} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{p}_{2r}(n)q^n &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1+q^i}{1-q^i} \right)^{2r} \\ &= \left( \left[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{p}(n)q^n \right]^2 \right)^r \\ &= \left( \left[ 1 + \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ \text{square}}} \bar{p}(n)q^n + \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ \text{not square}}} \bar{p}(n)q^n \right]^2 \right)^r \\ &= \left[ 1 + 2 \left( \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ \text{square}}} \bar{p}(n)q^n \right) + \left( \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ \text{square}}} \bar{p}(n)q^n \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \left( \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ \text{square}}} \bar{p}(n)q^n \right) \left( \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ \text{not square}}} \bar{p}(n)q^n \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2 \left( \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ \text{not square}}} \bar{p}(n)q^n \right) + \left( \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ \text{not square}}} \bar{p}(n)q^n \right)^2 \right]^r \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 2, we know that  $\bar{p}(n) \equiv 2$  or  $6 \pmod{8}$  when  $n$  is a square and  $\bar{p}(n) \equiv 0$  or  $4 \pmod{8}$  otherwise. Since  $2 \times 0, 2 \times 4, 6 \times 0, 6 \times 4, 0 \times 0, 0 \times 4,$  and  $4 \times 4$  are all congruent to  $0 \pmod{8}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \left( \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ \text{square}}} \bar{p}(n)q^n \right) \left( \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ \text{not square}}} \bar{p}(n)q^n \right) &\equiv 0 \pmod{8}, \\ 2 \left( \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ \text{not square}}} \bar{p}(n)q^n \right) &\equiv 0 \pmod{8}, \\ \text{and } \left( \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ \text{not square}}} \bar{p}(n)q^n \right)^2 &\equiv 0 \pmod{8}. \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{p}_{2r}(n)q^n &\equiv \left[ 1 + 2 \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{p}(n^2)q^{n^2} \right) + \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{p}(n^2)q^{n^2} \right)^2 \right]^r \pmod{8} \\ &\equiv \left[ 1 + 4 \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n^2} \right) + 4 \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n^2} \right)^2 \right]^r \pmod{8} \end{aligned}$$

again thanks to Theorem 2.

Given that  $(q^{n_1} + q^{n_2} + \dots)^2 = (q^{2n_1} + q^{2n_2} + \dots) + 2(q^{n_1+n_2} + \dots)$ , we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{p}_{2r}(n)q^n &\equiv \left[ 1 + 4 \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n^2} \right) + 4 \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{2n^2} + 2 \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 > 0 \\ n_1 \neq n_2}} q^{n_1^2 + n_2^2} \right) \right]^r \pmod{8} \\ &\equiv \left[ 1 + 4 \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} q^{m^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{2n^2} \right) \right]^r \pmod{8} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{r}{j} 4^j \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} q^{m^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{2n^2} \right)^j \\ &\equiv 1 + 4 \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} q^{m^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{2n^2} \right) \pmod{8} \text{ since } r \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

This proves the result needed for the basis step.

**Induction Step.** Assume that

$$\bar{p}_{(2^m)r}(n) \equiv \begin{cases} 2^{m+1} \pmod{2^{m+2}} & \text{if } n \text{ is a square or twice a square,} \\ 0 \pmod{2^{m+2}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We must show that

$$\bar{p}_{(2^{m+1})r}(n) \equiv \begin{cases} 2^{m+2} \pmod{2^{m+3}} & \text{if } n \text{ is a square or twice a square,} \\ 0 \pmod{2^{m+3}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Consider the generating function for  $\bar{p}_{2^{m+1}}(n)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{p}_{(2^{m+1})r}(n)q^n &= \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1+q^i}{1-q^i} \right)^{(2^{m+1})r} \\
 &= \left( \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1+q^i}{1-q^i} \right)^{2^m r} \right)^2 \\
 &= \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{p}_{(2^m)r}(n)q^n \right)^2 \\
 &= \left( 1 + \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ \text{not sq.} \\ \text{and not} \\ \text{twice sq.}}} \bar{p}_{(2^m)r}(n)q^n + \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ \text{square or} \\ \text{twice sq.}}} \bar{p}_{(2^m)r}(n)q^n \right)^2 \\
 &= 1 + 2 \left( \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ \text{square or} \\ \text{twice sq.}}} \bar{p}_{(2^m)r}(n)q^n \right) + \left( \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ \text{square or} \\ \text{twice sq.}}} \bar{p}_{(2^m)r}(n)q^n \right)^2 \\
 &\quad + 2 \left( \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ \text{square or} \\ \text{twice sq.}}} \bar{p}_{(2^m)r}(n)q^n \right) \left( \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ \text{not sq.} \\ \text{and not} \\ \text{twice sq.}}} \bar{p}_{(2^m)r}(n)q^n \right) \\
 &\quad + 2 \left( \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ \text{not sq.} \\ \text{and not} \\ \text{twice sq.}}} \bar{p}_{(2^m)r}(n)q^n \right) + \left( \sum_{\substack{n>0 \\ \text{not sq.} \\ \text{and not} \\ \text{twice sq.}}} \bar{p}_{(2^m)r}(n)q^n \right)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using a very similar argument about the coefficients to that of the basis step, we use the induction hypothesis to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{p}_{(2^{m+1})r}(n)q^n &\equiv 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{p}_{(2^m)r}(n^2)q^{n^2} + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \bar{p}_{(2^m)r}(2s^2)q^{2s^2} \\
 &\quad + \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{p}_{(2^m)r}(n^2)q^{n^2} + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \bar{p}_{(2^m)r}(2s^2)q^{2s^2} \right)^2 \pmod{2^{m+3}} \\
 &\equiv 1 + 2 \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{p}_{(2^m)r}(n^2)q^{n^2} + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \bar{p}_{(2^m)r}(2s^2)q^{2s^2} \right) \pmod{2^{m+3}}.
 \end{aligned}$$



We know that all coefficients of the last term are congruent to  $2^{m+1}$  or  $2^{m+1} + 2^{m+2} \pmod{2^{m+3}}$  from the induction hypothesis. But the last term is multiplied by 2. So then all coefficients are congruent to  $2^{m+2} \pmod{2^{m+3}}$  or  $2^{m+2} + 2^{m+3} \equiv 2^{m+2} \pmod{2^{m+3}}$ , which implies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{p}_{(2^{m+1})r}(n)q^n \equiv 1 + 2^{m+2} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n^2} + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} q^{2s^2} \right) \pmod{2^{m+3}}.$$

This completes the induction and proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Acknowledgments** We thank the Penn State Center for Undergraduate Research in Mathematics (PSU CURM) for bringing the authors together. It is also important to note the Ronald E. McNair Post-Baccalaureate Achievement Program's involvement in allowing Robert to conduct research under the supervision of Dr. Sellers during its 2008 summer program.

## References

- [1] G. E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Cambridge University Press (1984).
- [2] K. Bringmann and J. Lovejoy, Rank and congruences for overpartition pairs, *Int. J. of Number Theory* **4** (2008), 303–322.
- [3] S. Corteel, W. Goh, and P. Hitczenko, A local limit theorem in the theory of overpartitions, *Algorithmica* **46**, no. 3–4 (2006), 329–343.
- [4] S. Corteel and P. Hitczenko, Multiplicity and number of parts in overpartitions, *Ann. Comb.* **8** (2004), 287–301.
- [5] S. Corteel and J. Lovejoy, Overpartitions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356** (2004), 1623–1635.
- [6] M. D. Hirschhorn and J. A. Sellers, An infinite family of overpartition congruences modulo 12, *INTEGERS* **5** (2005), Article A20.
- [7] M. D. Hirschhorn and J. A. Sellers, Arithmetic relations for overpartitions, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.* **53** (2005), 65–73.
- [8] J. Lovejoy, Overpartitions and real quadratic fields, *J. Number Theory* **106** (2004), 178–186.
- [9] J. Lovejoy, Overpartition pairs, *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **56** (2006), 781–794.
- [10] K. Mahlburg, The overpartition function modulo small powers of 2, *Discrete Math.* **286** (2004), no. 3, 263–267.
- [11] Ø. Rødseth and J. A. Sellers, On  $m$ -ary overpartitions, *Ann. Comb.* **9** (2005), 345–353.

- [12] R. Vary, Some overpartition  $k$ -tuple congruences, *The Penn State McNair Journal* **15** (2009), to appear.