

**A COMBINATORIAL PROOF ON PARTITION FUNCTION PARITY****Daniel C. McDonald***Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois*  
dmcdona4@illinois.edu*Received: 8/9/13, Accepted: 5/20/14, Published: 8/11/14***Abstract**

One of the most basic results on the number-theoretic properties of the partition function  $p(n)$  is that  $p(n)$  takes each value of parity infinitely often. First proved by Kolberg in 1959, this statement was strengthened by Kolberg and Subbarao in 1966 to say that both  $p(2n)$  and  $p(2n + 1)$  take each value of parity infinitely often. These results have received several other proofs, each relying to a certain extent on manipulating generating functions. We give a new, self-contained proof of Subbarao's result by constructing a series of bijections and involutions, along the way getting a more general theorem concerning the enumeration of a special subset of integer partitions.

**1. Introduction**

A *partition*  $\lambda$  of a positive integer  $n$  is a nonincreasing list of positive integer *parts*  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  that sum to  $n$ . The *partition function*  $p(n)$  counts the partitions of  $n$ .

The number-theoretic properties of  $p(n)$  have been studied quite extensively. For example, Kolberg [3] proved in 1959 and Newman [4] proved independently in 1962 that  $p(n)$  takes each value of parity infinitely often, with Fabrykowski and Subbarao [1] and Robbins [6] giving new proofs of this result in 1990 and 2004, respectively. Subbarao [7] strengthened the result in 1966 by proving that  $p(2n + 1)$  takes each value of parity infinitely often, though he was unable to prove the analogous result for  $p(2n)$ ; this was later proved by Kolberg in private correspondence to Subbarao. Subbarao conjectured that  $p(tn + r)$  takes each value of parity infinitely often for every pair  $r$  and  $t$  of integers satisfying  $0 \leq r < t$ . Over the years several authors confirmed this conjecture for various values of  $t$ , including the case  $t = 16$  by Hirschhorn and Subbarao [2] in 1988. In 1995, Ono [5] proved that  $p(tn + r)$  is either always even or takes each value of parity infinitely often. All of these proofs rely to some extent on manipulating generating functions.

We give a new self-contained proof that both  $p(2n)$  and  $p(2n + 1)$  take each value of parity infinitely often. We show these results follow from a more general theorem on the enumeration of certain partitions of integers along arithmetic progressions, whose proof relies on a series of bijections rather than generating functions. We wonder if similar techniques could be applied to plane partitions.

**2. Results**

We let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  denote the nonincreasing list of parts of a partition  $\lambda$ . For positive integers  $a$  and  $b$ , define  $D_{a,b}(n)$  as the set of partitions of  $n$  into distinct parts each congruent to  $b$  modulo  $a$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let integers  $a, b, c$ , and  $d$  satisfy  $a \geq b \geq 1, c \geq 0$ , and  $d \geq 2$ , and set  $A = \{n : n \equiv bc \pmod{a}\}$ . Then there exist integers  $r$  and  $s$  satisfying  $0 \leq r < s < d$  such that  $|D_{a,b}(n)| \equiv r \pmod{d}$  for infinitely many  $n \in A$  and  $|D_{a,b}(n')| \equiv s \pmod{d}$  for infinitely many  $n' \in A$ .*

*Proof.* To show at least two congruence classes modulo  $d$  are hit by  $|D_{a,b}(n)|$  for infinitely many  $n \in A$ , it suffices to show that for every  $m$  there exists  $n \in A$  satisfying  $n \geq m$  and  $|D_{a,b}(n - a)| \not\equiv |D_{a,b}(n)| \pmod{d}$ . To this end, for  $j \geq 1$  we define a set  $D_{a,b}^j(n)$  containing certain partitions in  $D_{a,b}(n)$  having  $j$  parts or more.

$$D_{a,b}^j(n) = \begin{cases} D_{a,b}(n) & j = 1 \\ \{\lambda \in D_{a,b}(n) : \lambda_1 - \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{j-1} - \lambda_j = a\} & j > 1 \end{cases}$$

Note that  $D_{a,b}^{j+1}(n) \subseteq D_{a,b}^j(n)$  for  $j \geq 1$ . Since all parts of partitions in  $D_{a,b}^j(n)$  lie in the same congruence class modulo  $a$ , a partition  $\lambda \in D_{a,b}^j(n)$  fails to be in  $D_{a,b}^{j+1}(n)$  when either  $\lambda_{j+1}$  does not exist or  $\lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1} = ta$  with  $t > 1$ . If  $D_{a,b}^{j+1}(n) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $n \geq \sum_{i=0}^j (ai + b)$ , so every partition  $\lambda \in D_{a,b}^j(n)$  satisfies  $\lambda_j \geq a + b$  (since  $n = \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} (ai + b)$  otherwise).

If  $j \geq 1$  and  $|D_{a,b}^{j+1}(n)| \not\equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ , then  $|D_{a,b}^j(n - aj)| \not\equiv |D_{a,b}^j(n)| \pmod{d}$ : we show this by constructing a bijection  $\phi_n^j : (D_{a,b}^j(n) - D_{a,b}^{j+1}(n)) \rightarrow D_{a,b}^j(n - aj)$ , which trims parts of partitions  $\lambda \in D_{a,b}^j(n) - D_{a,b}^{j+1}(n)$  using the following rule.

$$(\phi_n^j(\lambda))_i = \begin{cases} \lambda_i - a & 1 \leq i \leq j \\ \lambda_i & i > j \end{cases}$$

Let  $k = am + c$  and  $n_1 = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (ai + b)$ , so  $n_1 \equiv bc \pmod{a}$ . Consider the partition  $\lambda$  of  $n_1$  with  $k$  parts given by  $\lambda_i = a(k - i) + b$ ; clearly  $\lambda$  is the only partition in  $D_{a,b}^k(n_1)$ , so  $|D_{a,b}^k(n_1)| = 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ . This yields

$$|D_{a,b}^{k-1}(n_1 - a(k - 1))| \not\equiv |D_{a,b}^{k-1}(n_1)| \pmod{d}$$

so we can pick  $n_2 \in \{n_1 - a(k - 1), n_1\}$  to satisfy  $|D_{a,b}^{k-1}(n_2)| \not\equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ . Similarly,

$$|D_{a,b}^{k-2}(n_2 - a(k - 2))| \not\equiv |D_{a,b}^{k-2}(n_2)| \pmod{d}$$

so we can pick  $n_3 \in \{n_2 - a(k - 2), n_2\}$  to satisfy  $|D_{a,b}^{k-2}(n_3)| \not\equiv 0 \pmod{d}$ . Iterate this process to compute the sequence  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{k-1}$ .

Putting everything together, we have the following.

- $n_i \equiv n_1 \equiv bc \pmod{a}$  for any  $i < k$
- $|D_{a,b}^{k-i}(n_i - a(k-i))| \not\equiv |D_{a,b}^{k-i}(n_i)| \pmod{d}$  for any  $i < k$
- $n_{k-1} \geq n_1 - \sum_{i=2}^{k-1} ai > \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (ai + b - ai) = kb \geq m$

Since  $D_{a,b}(n) = D_{a,b}^1(n)$ , setting  $n = n_{k-1}$  completes the proof.  $\square$

The *Ferrers diagram* of a partition  $\lambda$  is a pattern of upper left-justified dots, with  $\lambda_i$  dots in the  $i$ th row from the top. The *conjugate partition* of  $\lambda$  is the partition whose Ferrers diagram has  $\lambda_i$  dots in the  $i$ th column from the left.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Both  $p(2n)$  and  $p(2n+1)$  take each value of parity infinitely often.*

*Proof.* Partition conjugation is an involution on the set of partitions of  $n$  that fixes only the partitions whose Ferrers diagrams are symmetric about the diagonal from the upper left to lower right. Thus  $p(n)$  has the same parity as the number of self-conjugate partitions of  $n$ , and the set of such partitions is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of partitions of  $n$  into distinct odd parts through the bijection that unfolds the Ferrers diagram of any self-conjugate partition about its axis of symmetry. Thus  $p(n) \equiv |D_{2,1}(n)| \pmod{2}$ . Applying Theorem 2.1 once with  $(a, b, c, d) = (2, 1, 0, 2)$  and once with  $(a, b, c, d) = (2, 1, 1, 2)$  yields both claims.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The author thanks Douglas West, Mark Krusemeyer, and David Lonoff.

## References

- [1] J. Fabrykowski and M.V. Subbarao, *Some new identities involving the partition function  $p(n)$* , in Number Theory, R.A. Mollin, ed., Walter de Gruyter, New York, 1990, 125–138.
- [2] M.D. Hirschhorn and M.V. Subbarao, *On the parity of  $p(n)$* , Acta Arith. **50** (1988), no. 4, 355–356.
- [3] O. Kolberg, *Note on the parity of the partition function*, Math. Scand. **7** (1959), 377–378.
- [4] M. Newman, *Advanced Problem No. 4944*, Amer. Math. Monthly **69** (1962), no. 2, 175.
- [5] K. Ono, *Parity of the partition function*, Electron. Res. Announc. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1995), no. 1, 35–42.
- [6] N. Robbins, *On the parity of the partition function*, Fibonacci Quart. **42** (2004), no. 4, 368–369.
- [7] M.V. Subbarao, *Some remarks on the partition function*, Amer. Math. Monthly **73** (1966), 851–854.