

FAIZ AHMAD

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
King Abdulaziz University  
P.O. Box 80203  
Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia.

*EMail:* [faizmath@hotmail.com](mailto:faizmath@hotmail.com)



---

volume 5, issue 4, article 107,  
2004.

*Received 13 January, 2004;  
accepted 31 August, 2004.*

*Communicated by: P.S. Bullen*

---

[Abstract](#)

[Contents](#)



[Home Page](#)

[Go Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)



## Abstract

We generalize the result  $\min_{x>0} \frac{e^{\tau x}}{x} = \tau e$ , ( $\tau > 0$ ), to a function in which the numerator is the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i e^{\tau_i x}$ . Upper and lower estimates are close to the exact result when  $\frac{\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \tau_i}{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \tau_i}$  is not far from unity. Computational results are given to verify the main results.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 26D15.

*Key words:* Inequality, Exponential functions, Delay equation.

The author is grateful to the referee for helpful suggestions.

## Contents

1	Introduction .....	3
2	Main Results .....	5
3	Computational Results .....	9
	References	

---

### Inequalities for a Sum of Exponential Functions

Faiz Ahmad

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 2 of 10

# 1. Introduction

If we let  $x = e^y$  in the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}x^a - ax + a - 1 &\geq 0, & a &\geq 1, \\x^a - ax + a - 1 &\leq 0, & 0 < a &\leq 1,\end{aligned}$$

which hold for  $x > 0$  [1], we have the following inequalities for the exponential function which hold for all  $y$

$$(1.1) \quad e^{ay} - ae^y + a - 1 \geq 0, \quad a \geq 1,$$


$$(1.2) \quad e^{ay} - ae^y + a - 1 \leq 0, \quad 0 < a \leq 1.$$

The above results were used in [2] to find some sufficient conditions for the oscillation of a delay differential equation. Inequalities for exponential functions play an important role in the theory of delay equations since the characteristic equation associated with a delay differential equation contains, in general, a sum of exponential functions. For  $\tau > 0$  the result

$$(1.3) \quad \min_{x>0} \frac{e^{\tau x}}{x} = \tau e$$

is frequently used [3]. Li has employed the inequality

$$e^{rx} \geq x + \frac{\ln(er)}{r} \quad \text{for } r > 0,$$



---

Inequalities for a Sum of  
Exponential Functions

Faiz Ahmad

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 3 of 10

to find a sufficient condition for the oscillation of a non-autonomous delay equation. An equivalent result, but more suitable for our purpose, is the following inequality which holds for  $a > 0$ ,

$$(1.4) \quad e^x \geq ax + a(1 - \ln a).$$


In this paper we wish to generalize (1.3). Consider

$$(1.5) \quad s = \min_{x>0} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i e^{\tau_i x}}{x},$$

where  $p_i, \tau_i \geq 0$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . The result

$$\min_{x>0} \{f(x) + g(x)\} \geq \min_{x>0} f(x) + \min_{x>0} g(x)$$

and a repeated use of (1.3) gives a lower estimate for  $s$ . The case when all but one of the  $\tau_i$  vanishes is treated first and this is used to find an upper estimate for  $s$ .




---

**Inequalities for a Sum of Exponential Functions**

Faiz Ahmad

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 4 of 10

## 2. Main Results

Our main results are contained in the following theorems.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $p > 0, \tau > 0, q \geq 0$  then

$$p\tau \exp\left(1 + \frac{q}{pe} e^{-\sqrt{\frac{q}{pe^2}}}\right) \leq \min_{x>0} \frac{pe^{\tau x} + q}{x} \leq p\tau \exp\left(1 + \frac{q}{pe}\right).$$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $p_i > 0, \tau_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n; 0 < \tau = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \tau_i$ , then

$$e \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \tau_i \leq s \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \tau_i \right) \exp\left(1 + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i (\tau - \tau_i)}{e \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \tau_i}\right).$$

We shall prove a lemma before taking up the proofs of the theorems.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $a > 0$  and  $u_0$  be the unique root of the equation

$$u = ae^{-u},$$

then


$$a \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{a}{e}}\right) \leq u_0 \leq \sqrt{\frac{a}{e}}.$$

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $u_0$  is positive. Since we can re-write the equation as

$$a = ue^u,$$

we have, on using (1.3)

$$a \geq eu_0^2,$$



Inequalities for a Sum of  
Exponential Functions

Faiz Ahmad

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 5 of 10

or

$$(2.1) \quad u_0 \leq \sqrt{\frac{a}{e}}.$$

Now, since  $u_0 = ae^{-u_0}$ , we make use of (2.1) on the right hand side to obtain

$$(2.2) \quad u_0 \geq a \exp\left(-\sqrt{\frac{a}{e}}\right).$$

Combining (2.1) and (2.1), we get the inequality of the lemma.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Define  $y = \tau x$  then, for  $x > 0$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{pe^{\tau x} + q}{x} &= \frac{p\tau e^y + q\tau}{y} \\ &\geq \frac{p\tau\{ay + a(1 - \ln a)\} + q\tau}{y}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (1.4). We choose  $a$  such that  $a(1 - \ln a) = \frac{q}{p}$ . Note that this equation possesses a root  $a_0 \geq e$ . Set  $a = e^{1+b}$ , then  $b$  will satisfy

$$u = \frac{q}{pe} e^{-u}$$

and (2.3) reduces to

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{pe^{\tau x} + q}{x} \geq p\tau e^{1+b}.$$



---

### Inequalities for a Sum of Exponential Functions

Faiz Ahmad

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 6 of 10

Now use the lemma to obtain the left side of the inequality of Theorem 2.1. In order to prove the other side, let  $f(x) = pe^{\tau x} + q$ . The tangent to the curve  $y = f(x)$ , with slope  $m$  will have the equation

$$y - \left(\frac{m}{\tau} + q\right) = m \left(x - \frac{1}{\tau} \ln \left(\frac{m}{p\tau}\right)\right).$$

This line will pass through the origin if the slope satisfies the equation

$$(2.5) \quad m - p\tau e^{1 + \frac{q\tau}{m}} = 0.$$

Let  $g(m)$  denote the left side of (2.5). The case of  $q = 0$  is covered by (1.3), therefore we consider  $q > 0$ . Since  $g(0+) = -\infty$ ,  $g(\infty) = \infty$ , and  $g(m)$  is an increasing function on  $(0, \infty)$ , it follows that (2.5) has a unique positive root say,  $m_0$ . Hence for  $x > 0$  we have

$$pe^{\tau x} + q \geq m_0 x,$$

or

$$(2.6) \quad \min_{x>0} \frac{pe^{\tau x} + q}{x} = m_0.$$


It is obvious that

$$m_0 \geq \min_{x>0} \frac{pe^{\tau x}}{x} = p\tau e.$$

Using this in (2.5), we get

$$m_0 = p\tau e^{1 + \frac{q\tau}{m_0}} \leq p\tau e^{1 + \frac{q}{pe}}$$

Combining the above result with (2.6), we get the right side of the inequality of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$



### Inequalities for a Sum of Exponential Functions

Faiz Ahmad

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 7 of 10

*Proof of Theorem 2.2.* The left side of the inequality is obtained by using (1.3) separately for each exponential function and applying the result

$$s \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \min_{x>0} \frac{p_i e^{\tau_i x}}{x},$$

where  $s$  is defined by (1.5). In order to prove the right hand side of the inequality, define  $y = \tau x$ . Then

$$(2.7) \quad \min_{x>0} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i e^{\tau_i x}}{x} = \min_{y>0} \frac{\tau \sum_{i=1}^n p_i e^{\frac{\tau_i}{\tau} y}}{y}.$$

Since

$$\frac{\tau_i}{\tau} \leq 1, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n,$$


we have, on using (1.2)

$$e^{\frac{\tau_i}{\tau} y} \leq \frac{\tau_i}{\tau} e^y + 1 - \frac{\tau_i}{\tau}, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

If we make use of the above inequality in (2.7), we get

$$(2.8) \quad \min_{x>0} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i e^{\tau_i x}}{x} \leq \min_{y>0} \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \tau_i) e^y + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i (\tau - \tau_i)}{y}.$$

Now an application of Theorem 2.1 gives the right hand side of the inequality of the theorem.  $\square$



## Inequalities for a Sum of Exponential Functions

Faiz Ahmad

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 8 of 10



### 3. Computational Results

In this section we present some numerical results to verify the accuracy of various approximate results. If we let  $p = 3, q = 2$  and  $\tau = 1$ , then the exact value of  $\min_{x>0} \frac{pe^{\tau x} + q}{x}$  is 9.96696 which occurs at  $x = 1.2007$ . For these values of the parameters, the number on the left of the inequality of Theorem 2.1 is 9.7785 while the number on the right hand side is 10.4214. It is obvious that the lower as well as the upper estimate will come closer to the exact value if  $q$  and/or  $\tau$  are decreased.


To verify the inequality given by Theorem 2.2, we let  $p, q$  and  $\tau$  retain their values of the last example and let  $\sigma$  take successive values of 0.3, 0.9 and 0.98. The results are given in the following table.

**Table**

$\sigma$	Left side	Exact value	Right side
0.3	9.7858	10.6885	11.2909
0.9	13.0478	13.0646	13.2493
0.98	13.4827	13.4833	13.5227


The inequality of Theorem 2.2.

In the table, the left side and right side respectively refer to the left and the right hand sides of the inequality of Theorem 2.2, while the exact value is the value of  $s$  defined by (1.5). It is clear that as the difference between  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  decreases the gap between the approximate and the exact values steadily decreases.



## References

- [1] E.F. BECKENBACH AND R. BELLMAN, *Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1965, p. 12.
- [2] F. AHMAD, Linear delay differential equation with a positive and a negative term, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, **2003**, No.92, pp.1-6 (2003).
- [3] I. GYORI AND G. LADAS, *Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations*, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1991).
- [4] B. LI, Oscillation of first order delay differential equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **124** (1996), 3729–3737.



---

### Inequalities for a Sum of Exponential Functions

Faiz Ahmad

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 10 of 10