

A SUBCLASS OF UNIFORMLY CONVEX FUNCTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH CERTAIN FRACTIONAL CALCULUS OPERATORS

G. MURUGUSUNDARAMOORTHY, THOMAS ROSY AND MASLINA DARUS


Department of Mathematics
Vellore Institute of Technology, Deemed University
Vellore - 632014, India.

EMail: gmsmoorthy@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics
Madras Christian College,
Chennai - 600059, India.

School of Mathematical Sciences
Faculty of Science and Technology,
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia,
Bangi 43600 Selangor, Malaysia.

EMail: maslina@pkrisc.cc.ukm.my



volume 6, issue 3, article 86,
2005.

*Received 03 March, 2005;
accepted 26 July, 2005.*

Communicated by: G. Kohr

[Abstract](#)

[Contents](#)



[Home Page](#)

[Go Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Quit](#)



Abstract


In this paper, we introduce a new class of functions which are analytic and univalent with negative coefficients defined by using a certain fractional calculus and fractional calculus integral operators. Characterization property, the results on modified Hadamard product and integrals transforms are discussed. Further, distortion theorem and radii of starlikeness and convexity are also determined here.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

Key words: Univalent, Convex, Starlike, Uniformly convex, Fractional calculus integral operator.

Contents

1	Introduction and Preliminaries	3
2	Characterization Property	7
3	Results Involving Modified Hadamard Products	12
4	Integral Transform of the Class $TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$	17
	References	



A Subclass of Uniformly Convex Functions Associated with Certain Fractional Calculus Operators

G. Murugusundaramoorthy,
Thomas Rosy and Maslina Darus

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 2 of 21

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Fractional calculus operators have recently found interesting applications in the theory of analytic functions. The classical definition of fractional calculus and its other generalizations have fruitfully been applied in obtaining, the characterization properties, coefficient estimates and distortion inequalities for various subclasses of analytic functions.

Denote by A the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

which are analytic and univalent in the open disc $E = \{z : z \in \mathcal{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. Also denote by T [11] the subclass of A consisting of functions of the form


$$(1.2) \quad f(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (a_n \geq 0).$$

A function $f \in A$ is said to be in the class of uniformly convex functions of order α , denoted by $UCV(\alpha)$ [9] if

$$(1.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} - \alpha \right\} \geq \beta \left| \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} - 1 \right|,$$

and is said to be in a corresponding subclass of $UCV(\alpha)$ denote by $S_p(\alpha)$ if

$$(1.4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \right\} \geq \beta \left| \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - 1 \right|,$$



A Subclass of Uniformly Convex Functions Associated with Certain Fractional Calculus Operators

G. Murugusundaramoorthy,
Thomas Rosy and Maslina Darus

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 3 of 21

where $-1 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and $z \in E$.

The class of uniformly convex and uniformly starlike functions has been extensively studied by Goodman [3, 4] and Ma and Minda [6].

If f of the form (1.1) and $g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ are two functions in A , then the Hadamard product (or convolution) of f and g is denoted by $f * g$ and is given by

$$(1.5) \quad (f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n.$$

Let $\phi(a, c; z)$ be the incomplete beta function defined by

$$(1.6) \quad \phi(a, c; z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_n}{(c)_n} z^n, \quad c \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots,$$


where $(\lambda)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined in terms of the Gamma functions, by

$$(\lambda)_n = \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 0 \\ \lambda(\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 2) \cdots (\lambda + n - 1), & n \in N \end{cases}$$

Further suppose

$$L(a, c)f(z) = \phi(a, c; z) * f(z), \quad \text{for } f \in A$$

where $L(a, c)$ is called Carlson - Shaffer operator [2].



A Subclass of Uniformly Convex Functions Associated with Certain Fractional Calculus Operators

G. Murugusundaramoorthy,
Thomas Rosy and Maslina Darus

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 4 of 21

For real number μ ($-\infty < \mu < 1$) and γ ($-\infty < \gamma < 1$) and a positive real number η , we define the operator

$$U_{0,z}^{\mu,\gamma,\eta} : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}$$

by

$$(1.7) \quad U_{0,z}^{\mu,\gamma,\eta} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(2-\gamma+\eta)_{n-1}(2)_{n-1}}{(2-\gamma)_{n-1}(2-\mu+\eta)_{n-1}} a_n z^n,$$

which for $f(z) \neq 0$ may be written as


$$(1.8) \quad U_{0,z}^{\mu,\gamma,\eta} f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(2-\gamma)\Gamma(2-\mu+\gamma)}{\Gamma(2-\gamma+\eta)} z^\gamma J_{0,z}^{\mu,\gamma,\eta} f(z); & 0 \leq \mu < 1 \\ \frac{\Gamma(2-\gamma)\Gamma(2-\mu+\gamma)}{\Gamma(2-\gamma+\eta)} z^\gamma I_{0,z}^{-\mu,\gamma,\eta} f(z); & -\infty \leq \mu < 0 \end{cases}$$

where $J_{0,z}^{\mu,\gamma,\eta}$ and $I_{0,z}^{-\mu,\gamma,\eta}$ are fractional differential and fractional integral operators [12] respectively.

It is interesting to observe that

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} U_z^\mu f(z) &= \Gamma(2-\mu) z^\mu D_z^\mu f(z), & -\infty < \mu < 1 \\ &= \Omega_z^\mu f(z) \end{aligned}$$

and D_z^μ is due to Owa [7]. U_z^μ is called a fractional integral operator of order μ , if $-\infty < \mu < 0$ and is called fractional differential operator of order μ if $0 \leq \mu < 1$.



A Subclass of Uniformly Convex Functions Associated with Certain Fractional Calculus Operators

G. Murugusundaramoorthy,
Thomas Rosy and Maslina Darus

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 5 of 21

Further note that

$$U_{0,z}^{\mu,\gamma,\eta} f(z) = f(z) \quad \text{if } \mu = \gamma = 0$$

$$U_{0,z}^{\mu,\gamma,\eta} f(z) = z f'(z) \quad \text{if } \mu = \gamma = 1.$$

For $-1 \leq \alpha < 1$, a function $f \in A$ is said to be in $S_{\mu,\gamma,\eta}^*(\alpha)$, if and only if

$$(1.10) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(U_{0,z}^{\mu,\gamma,\eta} f(z))'}{U_{0,z}^{\mu,\gamma,\eta} f(z)} - \alpha \right\} \geq \left| \frac{z(U_{0,z}^{\mu,\gamma,\eta} f(z))'}{U_{0,z}^{\mu,\gamma,\eta} f(z)} - 1 \right|, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

where $-\infty < \mu < 1$, $-\infty < \gamma < 1$, and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

Now let us write $TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha) = S_{\mu,\gamma,\eta}^*(\alpha) \cap T$.


It follows from the statement, that for $\mu = \gamma = 0$, we have

$$S_{\mu,\gamma,\eta}^*(\alpha) = S_p(\alpha)$$

and for $\mu = \gamma \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$S_{\mu,\gamma,\eta}^*(\alpha) = UCV(\alpha).$$

The classes $S_p(\alpha)$ and $UCV(\alpha)$ are introduced and studied by various authors including [8], [9] and [1].



A Subclass of Uniformly Convex Functions Associated with Certain Fractional Calculus Operators

G. Murugusundaramoorthy,
Thomas Rosy and Maslina Darus

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 6 of 21

2. Characterization Property

We now investigate the characterization property for the function f to belong to the class $S_{\mu, \gamma, \eta}^*$, by obtaining the coefficient bounds.

Definition 2.1. A function f is in $TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$ if f satisfies the analytic characterization

$$(2.1) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(U_{0,z}^{\mu, \gamma, \eta} f(z))'}{U_{0,z}^{\mu, \gamma, \eta} f(z)} - \alpha \right\} > \left| \frac{z(U_{0,z}^{\mu, \gamma, \eta} f(z))'}{U_{0,z}^{\mu, \gamma, \eta} f(z)} - 1 \right|,$$

where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $-\infty < \mu < 1$, $-\infty < \gamma < 1$, and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 2.1 (Coefficient Bounds). A function f defined by (1.2) is in the class $TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(2 - \gamma + \eta)_{n-1} (2)_{n-1}}{(2 - \gamma)_{n-1} (2 - \mu + \eta)_{n-1}} \cdot \frac{2n - 1 - \alpha}{1 - \alpha} |a_n| \leq 1$$


where $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, $-\infty < \mu < 1$, $-\infty < \gamma < 1$, and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\left| \frac{z(U_{0,z}^{\mu, \gamma, \eta} f(z))'}{U_{0,z}^{\mu, \gamma, \eta} f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(U_{0,z}^{\mu, \gamma, \eta} f(z))'}{U_{0,z}^{\mu, \gamma, \eta} f(z)} - \alpha \right\},$$

and we have

$$\left| \frac{z(U_{0,z}^{\mu, \gamma, \eta} f(z))'}{U_{0,z}^{\mu, \gamma, \eta} f(z)} - 1 \right| \leq \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(U_{0,z}^{\mu, \gamma, \eta} f(z))'}{U_{0,z}^{\mu, \gamma, \eta} f(z)} - 1 \right\} + (1 - \alpha).$$



A Subclass of Uniformly Convex Functions Associated with Certain Fractional Calculus Operators

G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Thomas Rosy and Maslina Darus

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 7 of 21

That is

$$\left| \frac{z(U_{0,z}^{\mu,\gamma,\eta} f(z))'}{U_{0,z}^{\mu,\gamma,\eta} f(z)} - 1 \right| - \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(U_{0,z}^{\mu,\gamma,\eta} f(z))'}{U_{0,z}^{\mu,\gamma,\eta} f(z)} - 1 \right\} \leq 2 \left| \frac{z(U_{0,z}^{\mu,\gamma,\eta} f(z))'}{U_{0,z}^{\mu,\gamma,\eta} f(z)} - 1 \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) \psi(n) |a_n|}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi(n) |a_n|}$$

where

$$\psi(n) = \frac{(2 - \gamma + \eta)_{n-1} (2)_{n-1}}{(2 - \gamma)_{n-1} (2 - \mu + \eta)_{n-1}}.$$

The above expression is bounded by $(1 - \alpha)$ and hence the assertion of the result.

Now we need to show that $f \in TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$ satisfies the coefficient inequality. If $f \in TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$ and z is real then (2.1) yields

$$\frac{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n \psi(n) a_n z^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi(n) a_n z^{n-1}} - \alpha \geq \frac{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) \psi(n) a_n z^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi(n) a_n z^{n-1}}.$$

Letting $z \rightarrow 1$ along the real axis leads to the desired inequality


$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (2n - 1 - \alpha) \psi(n) a_n \leq 1 - \alpha.$$

□

Corollary 2.2. Let a function f defined by (1.2) belong to the class $TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$.

Then

$$a_n \leq \frac{(2 - \gamma)_{n-1} (2 - \mu + \eta)_{n-1}}{(2 - \gamma + \eta)_{n-1} (2)_{n-1}} \cdot \frac{1 - \alpha}{2n - 1 - \alpha}, \quad n \geq 2.$$



A Subclass of Uniformly Convex Functions Associated with Certain Fractional Calculus Operators

G. Murugusundaramoorthy,
Thomas Rosy and Maslina Darus

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 8 of 21

Next we consider the growth and distortion theorem for the class $TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$. We shall omit the proof as the techniques are similar to various other papers.

Theorem 2.3. Let the function f defined by (1.2) be in the class $TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$. Then

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} |z| - |z|^2 \frac{(2 - \gamma)(2 - \mu + \eta)(1 - \alpha)}{2(2 - \gamma + \eta)(3 - \alpha)} \\ \leq |U_{0,z}^{\mu,\gamma,\eta} f(z)| \\ \leq |z| + |z|^2 \frac{(2 - \gamma)(2 - \mu + \eta)(1 - \alpha)}{2(2 - \gamma + \eta)(3 - \alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

and


$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 - |z| \frac{(2 - \gamma)(2 - \mu + \eta)(1 - \alpha)}{(2 - \gamma + \eta)(3 - \alpha)} \\ \leq |(U_{0,z}^{\mu,\gamma,\eta} f(z))'| \\ \leq 1 + |z| \frac{(2 - \gamma)(2 - \mu + \eta)(1 - \alpha)}{(2 - \gamma + \eta)(3 - \alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

The bounds (2.3) and (2.4) are attained for functions given by

$$(2.5) \quad f(z) = z - \frac{(2 - \gamma)(2 - \mu + \eta)(1 - \alpha)z^2}{2(2 - \gamma + \eta)(3 - \alpha)}.$$

Theorem 2.4. Let a function f be defined by (1.2) and

$$(2.6) \quad g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$$



A Subclass of Uniformly Convex Functions Associated with Certain Fractional Calculus Operators

G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Thomas Rosy and Maslina Darus

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 9 of 21

be in the class $TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$. Then the function h defined by

$$(2.7) \quad h(z) = (1 - \lambda)f(z) + \lambda g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} q_n z^n$$

where $q_n = (1 - \lambda)a_n + \lambda b_n$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ is also in the class $TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$.

Proof. The result follows easily by using (2.2) and (2.7). □

We prove the following theorem by defining functions $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) of the form


$$(2.8) \quad f_j(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^n \quad \text{for } a_{n,j} \geq 0, z \in U.$$

Theorem 2.5 (Closure theorem). *Let the functions $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) defined by (2.8) be in the classes $TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha_j)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, m$) respectively. Then the function $h(z)$ defined by*

$$h(z) = z - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \right) a_{n,j} z^n$$

is in the class $TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$ where

$$(2.9) \quad \alpha = \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \{\alpha_j\} \quad \text{with } 0 \leq \alpha_j < 1.$$



A Subclass of Uniformly Convex Functions Associated with Certain Fractional Calculus Operators

G. Murugusundaramoorthy,
Thomas Rosy and Maslina Darus

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close


Quit

Page 10 of 21

Proof. Since $f_j \in TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha_j)$ ($j = 2, \dots, m$) by applying Theorem 2.1, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi(n)(2n-1-\alpha) \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m a_{n,j} \right) &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi(n)(2n-1-\alpha) a_{n,j} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m (1-\alpha_j) \leq 1-\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

which in view of Theorem 2.1, again implies that $h \in TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$ and the proof is complete. \square



A Subclass of Uniformly Convex Functions Associated with Certain Fractional Calculus Operators

G. Murugusundaramoorthy,
Thomas Rosy and Maslina Darus

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 11 of 21

3. Results Involving Modified Hadamard Products

We let

$$(f * g)(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n$$

be the modified Hadamard product of functions f and g defined by (1.2) and (2.6) respectively. The following results are proved using the techniques of Schild and Silverman [10].

Theorem 3.1. For functions $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) defined by (2.8), let $f_1(z) \in TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$ and $f_2(z) \in TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \beta)$. Then $f_1 * f_2 \in TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \xi)$ where

$$(3.1) \quad \xi = \xi(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \beta) = 1 - \frac{2(1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)}{(3 - \alpha)(3 - \beta)\psi(2) - (1 - \alpha)(1 - \beta)},$$

where $\psi(2) = \frac{(2 - \gamma + \eta)(2)}{(2 - \gamma)(2 - \mu + \eta)}$. The result is the best possible for


$$f_1(z) = z - \frac{1 - \alpha}{(3 - \alpha)\psi(2)} z^2,$$

$$f_2(z) = z - \frac{1 - \beta}{(3 - \beta)\psi(2)} z^2,$$

where $\psi(2) = \frac{(2 - \gamma + \eta)(2)}{(2 - \gamma)(2 - \mu + \eta)}$.

Proof. In the view of Theorem 2.1, it suffices to prove that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2n - 1 - \xi}{1 - \xi} \psi(n) a_{n,1} a_{n,2} \leq 1,$$



A Subclass of Uniformly Convex Functions Associated with Certain Fractional Calculus Operators

G. Murugusundaramoorthy,
Thomas Rosy and Maslina Darus

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 12 of 21

where ξ is defined by (3.1) under the hypothesis, it follows from (2.1) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[2n-1-\alpha]^{1/2}[2n-1-\beta]^{1/2}}{\sqrt{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)}} \psi(n) \sqrt{a_{n,1}a_{n,2}} \leq 1.$$

Thus we need to find largest ξ such that


$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2n-1-\xi}{1-\xi} \psi(n) a_{n,1} a_{n,2} \\ \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{[2n-1-\alpha]^{1/2}[2n-1-\beta]^{1/2}}{\sqrt{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)}} \psi(n) \sqrt{a_{n,1}a_{n,2}} \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently that

$$\sqrt{a_{n,1}a_{n,2}} \leq \frac{[2n-1-\alpha]^{1/2}[2n-1-\beta]^{1/2}}{\sqrt{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)}} \cdot \frac{1-\xi}{2n-1-\xi} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

By virtue of (3.2) it is sufficient to find the largest ψ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)}}{[2n-1-\alpha]^{1/2}[2n-1-\beta]^{1/2}\psi(n)} \\ \leq \frac{[2n-1-\alpha]^{1/2}[2n-1-\beta]^{1/2}}{\sqrt{(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)}} \cdot \frac{1-\xi}{2n-1-\xi} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2 \end{aligned}$$



A Subclass of Uniformly Convex Functions Associated with Certain Fractional Calculus Operators

G. Murugusundaramoorthy,
Thomas Rosy and Maslina Darus

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 13 of 21

which yields

$$\xi \leq 1 - \frac{2(n-1)(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)}{(2n-1-\alpha)(2n-1-\beta)\psi(n) - (1-\alpha)(1-\beta)},$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad \psi(n) = \frac{(2-\gamma+\eta)_{n-1}(2)_{n-1}}{(2-\gamma)_{n-1}(2-\mu+\eta)_{n-1}} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

Since $\psi(n)$ is a decreasing function of n ($n \geq 2$), we have

$$\xi = \xi(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha, \beta) = 1 - \frac{2(1-\alpha)(1-\beta)}{(3-\alpha)(3-\beta)\psi(2) - (1-\alpha)(1-\beta)},$$

where $\psi(2) = \frac{(2-\gamma+\eta)(2)}{(2-\gamma)(2-\mu+\eta)}$. Thus completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.2. Let the functions $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) defined by (2.8) be in the class $TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$. Then $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \delta)$, where

$$\delta = 1 - \frac{2(1-\alpha)^2}{(3-\alpha)^2\psi(2) - (1-\alpha)^2},$$


with $\psi(2) = \frac{(2-\gamma+\eta)(2)}{(2-\gamma)(2-\mu+\eta)}$.

Proof. By taking $\beta = \alpha$ in the above theorem, the results follows. \square

Theorem 3.3. Let the function f defined by (1.2) be in the class $TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$. Also let

$$g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad \text{for } |b_n| \leq 1.$$

Then $(f * g)(z) \in TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$.



A Subclass of Uniformly Convex Functions Associated with Certain Fractional Calculus Operators

G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Thomas Rosy and Maslina Darus

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 14 of 21

Proof. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi(n)(2n-1-\alpha)|a_n b_n| &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi(n)(2n-1-\alpha)a_n|b_n| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi(n)(2n-1-\alpha)a_n \\ &\leq 1-\alpha \quad (\text{by Theorem 2.1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi(n)$ is defined by (3.3). Hence it follows that $(f * g)(z) \in TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let the function f defined by (1.2) be in the class $TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$. Also let $g(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ for $0 \leq b_n \leq 1$. Then $(f * g)(z) \in TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$.*

For functions in the class $TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$ we can prove the following inclusion property also.


Theorem 3.5. *Let the functions $f_j(z)$ ($j = 1, 2$) defined by (2.5) be in the class $TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$. Then the function h defined by*

$$h(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (a_{n,1}^2 + a_{n,2}^2) z^n$$

is in the class $TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \Delta)$ where

$$\Delta = 1 - \frac{4(1-\alpha)^2}{(3-\alpha)^2\psi(2) - 2(1-\alpha)^2} \quad \text{with}$$

$$\psi(2) = \frac{2(2-\gamma+\eta)}{(2-\gamma)(2-\mu+\eta)}.$$



A Subclass of Uniformly Convex Functions Associated with Certain Fractional Calculus Operators

G. Murugusundaramoorthy,
Thomas Rosy and Maslina Darus

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 15 of 21

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.1, it is sufficient to prove that

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \psi(n) \frac{2n-1-\Delta}{1-\Delta} (a_{n,1}^2 + a_{n,2}^2) \leq 1$$

where $f_j(z) \in TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$ ($j = 1, 2$). We find from (2.8) and Theorem 2.1, that

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\psi(n) \frac{2n-1-\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right]^2 a_{n,j}^2 \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left[\psi(n) \frac{2n-1-\alpha}{1-\alpha} a_{n,j} \right]^2 \leq 1,$$

which would yield

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left[\psi(n) \frac{2n-1-\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right]^2 (a_{n,1}^2 + a_{n,2}^2) \leq 1.$$

On comparing (3.5) and (3.6) it can be seen that inequality (3.4) will be satisfied if

$$\psi(n) \frac{2n-1-\Delta}{1-\Delta} (a_{n,1}^2 + a_{n,2}^2) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\psi(n) \frac{2n-1-\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right]^2 (a_{n,1}^2 + a_{n,2}^2).$$


That is, if

$$(3.7) \quad \Delta \leq 1 - \frac{4(1-\alpha)^2}{(2n-1-\alpha)^2 \psi(n) - 2(1-\alpha)^2},$$

where $\psi(n)$ is given by (3.3). Hence we conclude from (3.7)

$$\Delta = \Delta(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha) = 1 - \frac{4(1-\alpha)^2}{(3-\alpha)^2 \psi(2) - 2(1-\alpha)^2},$$

where $\psi(2) = \frac{2(2-\gamma+\eta)}{(2-\gamma)(2-\mu+\eta)}$ which completes the proof. \square



A Subclass of Uniformly Convex Functions Associated with Certain Fractional Calculus Operators

G. Murugusundaramoorthy,
Thomas Rosy and Maslina Darus

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 16 of 21

4. Integral Transform of the Class $TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$

For $f \in TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$ we define the integral transform

$$V_\lambda(f)(z) = \int_0^1 \lambda(t) \frac{f(tz)}{t} dt,$$

where λ is a real valued, non-negative weight function normalized so that $\int_0^1 \lambda(t) dt = 1$. Since special cases of $\lambda(t)$ are particularly interesting such as $\lambda(t) = (1+c)t^c$, $c > -1$, for which V_λ is known as the Bernardi operator, and

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{(c+1)^\delta}{\lambda(\delta)} t^c \left(\log \frac{1}{t} \right)^{\delta-1}, \quad c > -1, \delta \geq 0$$

which gives the Komatu operator. For more details see [5].

First we show that the class $TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$ is closed under $V_\lambda(f)$.


Theorem 4.1. *Let $f \in TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$. Then $V_\lambda(f) \in TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$.*

Proof. By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_\lambda(f) &= \frac{(c+1)^\delta}{\lambda(\delta)} \int_0^1 (-1)^{\delta-1} t^c (\log t)^{\delta-1} \left(z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n t^{n-1} \right) dt \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{\delta-1} (c+1)^\delta}{\lambda(\delta)} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\int_r^1 t^c (\log t)^{\delta-1} \left(z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n t^{n-1} \right) dt \right], \end{aligned}$$

and a simple calculation gives

$$V_\lambda(f)(z) = z - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+n} \right)^\delta a_n z^n.$$



A Subclass of Uniformly Convex Functions Associated with Certain Fractional Calculus Operators

G. Murugusundaramoorthy,
Thomas Rosy and Maslina Darus

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 17 of 21

We need to prove that

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2n-1-\alpha}{1-\alpha} \cdot \frac{(2-\gamma+\eta)_{n-1}(2)_{n-1}}{(2-\gamma)_{n-1}(2-\mu+\eta)_{n-1}} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+n}\right)^{\delta} a_n < 1.$$

On the other hand by Theorem 2.1, $f \in TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2n-1-\alpha}{1-\alpha} \cdot \frac{(2-\gamma+\eta)_{n-1}(2)_{n-1}}{(2-\gamma)_{n-1}(2-\mu+\eta)_{n-1}} < 1.$$

Hence $\frac{c+1}{c+n} < 1$. Therefore (4.1) holds and the proof is complete. \square


Next we provide a starlike condition for functions in $TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$ and $V_{\lambda}(f)$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $f \in TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$. Then $V_{\lambda}(f)$ is starlike of order $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ in $|z| < R_1$ where*

$$R_1 = \inf_n \left[\left(\frac{c+n}{c+1} \right)^{\delta} \cdot \frac{1-\gamma(2n-1-\alpha)}{(n-\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \phi(n) \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove

$$(4.2) \quad \left| \frac{z(V_{\lambda}(f)(z))'}{V_{\lambda}(f)(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \gamma.$$



A Subclass of Uniformly Convex Functions Associated with Certain Fractional Calculus Operators

G. Murugusundaramoorthy,
Thomas Rosy and Maslina Darus

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 18 of 21

For the left hand side of (4.2) we have

$$\left| \frac{z(V_\lambda(f)(z))'}{V_\lambda(f)(z)} - 1 \right| = \left| \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (1-n) \left(\frac{c+1}{c+n}\right)^\delta a_n z^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+n}\right)^\delta a_n z^{n-1}} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1) \left(\frac{c+1}{c+n}\right)^\delta a_n |z|^{n-1}}{1 - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c+1}{c+n}\right)^\delta a_n |z|^{n-1}}.$$

This last expression is less than $(1 - \gamma)$ since

$$|z|^{n-1} < \left(\frac{c+1}{c+n}\right)^\delta \frac{(1-\gamma)[2n-1-\alpha]}{(n-\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \phi(n).$$


Therefore the proof is complete. \square

Using the fact that f is convex if and only if zf' is starlike, we obtain the following:

Theorem 4.3. *Let $f \in TR(\mu, \gamma, \eta, \alpha)$. Then $V_\lambda(f)$ is convex of order $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ in $|z| < R_2$ where*

$$R_2 = \inf_n \left[\left(\frac{c+n}{c+1}\right)^\delta \frac{(1-\gamma)[2n-1-\alpha]}{n(n-\gamma)(1-\alpha)} \phi(n) \right]^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.$$

We omit the proof as it is easily derived.



A Subclass of Uniformly Convex Functions Associated with Certain Fractional Calculus Operators

G. Murugusundaramoorthy, Thomas Rosy and Maslina Darus

Title Page

Contents



Go Back


Close

Quit

Page 19 of 21

References

- [1] R. BHARATI, R. PARVATHAM AND A. SWAMINATHAN, On subclass of uniformly convex functions and corresponding class of starlike functions, *Tamkang J. of Math.*, **28**(1) (1997), 17–33.
- [2] B.C. CARLSON AND S.B. SHAFFER, Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **15** (2002), 737–745.
- [3] A.W. GOODMAN, On uniformly convex functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **56** (1991), 87–92.
- [4] A.W. GOODMAN, On uniformly starlike functions, *J. Math. Anal. & Appl.*, **155** (1991), 364–370.
- [5] Y.C. KIM AND F. RØNNING, Integral transform of certain subclasses of analytic functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **258** (2001), 466–489.
- [6] W. MA AND D. MINDA, Uniformly convex functions, *Ann. Polon. Math.*, **57** (1992), 165–175.
- [7] S. OWA, On the distribution theorem I, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **18** (1978), 53–59.
- [8] F. RØNNING, Uniformly convex functions and a corresponding class of starlike functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **118** (1993), 189–196.
- [9] F. RØNNING, Integral representations for bounded starlike functions, *Anal. Polon. Math.*, **60** (1995), 289–297.



A Subclass of Uniformly Convex
Functions Associated with
Certain Fractional Calculus
Operators

G. Murugusundaramoorthy,
Thomas Rosy and Maslina Darus

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 20 of 21

- [10] A. SCHILD AND H. SILVERMAN, Convolution of univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Ann. Univ. Marie Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A*, **29** (1975), 99–107.
- [11] H. SILVERMAN, Univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **51** (1975), 109–116.
- [12] H.M. SRIVASTAVA AND S. OWA, An application of the fractional derivative, *Math. Japonica*, **29** (1984), 383–389.



A Subclass of Uniformly Convex Functions Associated with Certain Fractional Calculus Operators

G. Murugusundaramoorthy,
Thomas Rosy and Maslina Darus

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 21 of 21