

# Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics

## SOME SUBORDINATION RESULTS ASSOCIATED WITH CERTAIN SUBCLASSES OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS

H.M. SRIVASTAVA AND A.A. ATTIYA

Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
University of Victoria  
Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3P4  
Canada


*EMail:* [harimsri@math.uvic.ca](mailto:harimsri@math.uvic.ca)

*URL:* <http://www.math.uvic.ca/faculty/harimsri/>

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
University of Mansoura  
Mansoura 35516, Egypt

*EMail:* [aattiy@mans.edu.eg](mailto:aattiy@mans.edu.eg)

©2000 Victoria University  
ISSN (electronic): 1443-5756  
113-04



---

volume 5, issue 4, article 82,  
2004.

*Received 01 June, 2004;  
accepted 21 July, 2004.*

*Communicated by: G. V. Milovanović*

---

Abstract

Contents




Home Page

Go Back

Close

Quit



## Abstract

For functions belonging to each of the subclasses  $\mathcal{M}^*(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{N}^*(\alpha)$  of normalized analytic functions in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$ , which are investigated in this paper when  $\alpha > 1$ , the authors derive several subordination results involving the Hadamard product (or convolution) of the associated functions. A number of interesting consequences of some of these subordination results are also discussed.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 30C45; Secondary 30A10, 30C80.

*Key words:* Analytic functions, Univalent functions, Convex functions, Subordination principle, Hadamard product (or convolution), Subordinating factor sequence.

The present investigation was supported, in part, by the *Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada* under Grant OGP0007353.

## Contents

1	Introduction, Definitions and Preliminaries .....	3
2	Subordination Results for the Classes $\mathcal{M}^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\alpha)$ ...	7
3	Subordination Results for the Classes $\mathcal{N}^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\alpha)$ ....	12
References		

---

### Some Subordination Results Associated with Certain Subclasses of Analytic Functions

H.M. Srivastava and A.A. Attiya

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 2 of 14

# 1. Introduction, Definitions and Preliminaries

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  denote the class of functions  $f$  normalized by

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

which are *analytic* in the *open* unit disk

$$\mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{M}(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(\alpha)$  two interesting subclasses of the class  $\mathcal{A}$ , which are defined (for  $\alpha > 1$ ) as follows:

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{M}(\alpha) := \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \Re \left( \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \alpha > 1) \right\}$$

and


$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{N}(\alpha) := \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \Re \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) < \alpha \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; \alpha > 1) \right\}.$$

The classes  $\mathcal{M}(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(\alpha)$  were introduced and studied by Owa *et al.* ([1] and [2]). In fact, for  $1 < \alpha \leq \frac{4}{3}$ , these classes were investigated earlier by Uralegaddi *et al.* (cf. [5]; see also [3] and [4]).

It follows from the definitions (1.2) and (1.3) that

$$(1.4) \quad f(z) \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha) \iff zf'(z) \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha).$$

We begin by recalling each of the following coefficient inequalities associated with the function classes  $\mathcal{M}(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(\alpha)$ .



Some Subordination Results  
Associated with Certain  
Subclasses of Analytic  
Functions

H.M. Srivastava and A.A. Attiya

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 3 of 14

**Theorem A (Nishiwaki and Owa [1, p. 2, Theorem 2.1]).** If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , given by (1.1), satisfies the coefficient inequality:

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n - \lambda) + |n + \lambda - 2\alpha|] |a_n| \leq 2(\alpha - 1)$$

$$(\alpha > 1; 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1),$$

then  $f \in \mathcal{M}(\alpha)$ .

**Theorem B (Nishiwaki and Owa [1, p. 3, Theorem 2.3]).** If  $f \in \mathcal{A}$ , given by (1.1), satisfies the coefficient inequality:

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n [(n - \lambda) + |n + \lambda - 2\alpha|] |a_n| \leq 2(\alpha - 1)$$


$$(\alpha > 1; 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1),$$

then  $f \in \mathcal{N}(\alpha)$ .

In view of Theorem A and Theorem B, we now introduce the subclasses

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{M}^*(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{M}(\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}^*(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{N}(\alpha) \quad (\alpha > 1),$$

which consist of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  whose Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients  $a_n$  satisfy the inequalities (1.5) and (1.6), respectively. In our proposed investigation of functions in the classes  $\mathcal{M}^*(\alpha)$  and  $\mathcal{N}^*(\alpha)$ , we shall also make use of the following definitions and results.



Some Subordination Results  
Associated with Certain  
Subclasses of Analytic  
Functions

H.M. Srivastava and A.A. Attiya

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 4 of 14

**Definition 1 (Hadamard Product or Convolution).** Given two functions  $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ , where  $f(z)$  is given by (1.1) and  $g(z)$  is defined by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n,$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution)  $f * g$  is defined (as usual) by

$$(f * g)(z) := z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n =: (g * f)(z).$$

**Definition 2 (Subordination Principle).** For two functions  $f$  and  $g$ , analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ , we say that the function  $f(z)$  is subordinate to  $g(z)$  in  $\mathbb{U}$ , and write

$$f \prec g \quad \text{or} \quad f(z) \prec g(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

if there exists a Schwarz function  $w(z)$ , analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with


$$w(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |w(z)| < 1 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

such that

$$f(z) = g(w(z)) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

In particular, if the function  $g$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , the above subordination is equivalent to

$$f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}).$$



Some Subordination Results  
Associated with Certain  
Subclasses of Analytic  
Functions

H.M. Srivastava and A.A. Attiya

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit


Page 5 of 14

**Definition 3 (Subordinating Factor Sequence).** A sequence  $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of complex numbers is said to be a subordinating factor sequence if, whenever  $f(z)$  of the form (1.1) is analytic, univalent and convex in  $\mathbb{U}$ , we have the subordination given by

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n \prec f(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}; a_1 := 1).$$

**Theorem C (cf. Wilf [6]).** The sequence  $\{b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a subordinating factor sequence if and only if

$$(1.9) \quad \Re \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$




---

Some Subordination Results  
Associated with Certain  
Subclasses of Analytic  
Functions

H.M. Srivastava and A.A. Attiya

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 6 of 14

## 2. Subordination Results for the Classes $\mathcal{M}^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{M}(\alpha)$

Our first main result (Theorem 1 below) provides a sharp subordination result involving the function class  $\mathcal{M}^*(\alpha)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{M}^*(\alpha)$ . Also let  $\mathcal{K}$  denote the familiar class of functions  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  which are also univalent and convex in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Then*

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{(2-\lambda) + |2+\lambda-2\alpha|}{2[(2\alpha-\lambda) + |2+\lambda-2\alpha|]} (f * g)(z) \prec g(z)$$

$$(z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1; \alpha > 1; g \in \mathcal{K})$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \Re(f(z)) > -\frac{(2\alpha-\lambda) + |2+\lambda-2\alpha|}{(2-\lambda) + |2+\lambda-2\alpha|} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$


The following constant factor in the subordination result (2.1):

$$\frac{(2-\lambda) + |2+\lambda-2\alpha|}{2[(2\alpha-\lambda) + |2+\lambda-2\alpha|]}$$

cannot be replaced by a larger one.

*Proof.* Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{M}^*(\alpha)$  and suppose that

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n \in \mathcal{K}.$$



Some Subordination Results  
Associated with Certain  
Subclasses of Analytic  
Functions

H.M. Srivastava and A.A. Attiya

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 7 of 14

Then we readily have

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{(2 - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|}{2[(2\alpha - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|]} (f * g)(z) \\ = \frac{(2 - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|}{2[(2\alpha - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|]} \left( z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n a_n z^n \right).$$

Thus, by Definition 3, the subordination result (2.1) will hold true if

$$(2.4) \quad \left\{ \frac{(2 - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|}{2[(2\alpha - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|]} a_n \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$$

is a subordinating factor sequence (with, of course,  $a_1 = 1$ ). In view of Theorem C, this is equivalent to the following inequality:


$$(2.5) \quad \Re \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2 - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|}{(2\alpha - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|} a_n z^n \right) > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

Now, since

$$(n - \lambda) + |n + \lambda - 2\alpha| \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1; \alpha > 1)$$

is an *increasing* function of  $n$ , we have

$$\Re \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2 - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|}{(2\alpha - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|} a_n z^n \right)$$




---

**Some Subordination Results  
Associated with Certain  
Subclasses of Analytic  
Functions**

H.M. Srivastava and A.A. Attiya

---

Title Page

Contents




Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 8 of 14





**Some Subordination Results  
Associated with Certain  
Subclasses of Analytic  
Functions**

H.M. Srivastava and A.A. Attiya

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 9 of 14

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \Re \left( 1 + \frac{(2-\lambda) + |2+\lambda-2\alpha|}{(2\alpha-\lambda) + |2+\lambda-2\alpha|} z \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{(2\alpha-\lambda) + |2+\lambda-2\alpha|} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(2-\lambda) + |2+k-2\alpha|] a_n z^n \right) \\
 &\geq 1 - \frac{(2-\lambda) + |2+\lambda-2\alpha|}{(2\alpha-\lambda) + |2+\lambda-2\alpha|} r \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{(2\alpha-\lambda) + |2+\lambda-2\alpha|} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [(n-\lambda) + |n+\lambda-2\alpha|] |a_n| r^n \\
 &> 1 - \frac{(2-\lambda) + |2+\lambda-2\alpha|}{(2\alpha-\lambda) + |2+\lambda-2\alpha|} r - \frac{2(\alpha-1)}{[(2\alpha-\lambda) + |2+\lambda-2\alpha|]} r \\
 (2.6) \quad &> 0 \quad (|z| = r < 1),
 \end{aligned}$$

where we have also made use of the assertion (1.5) of Theorem A. This evidently proves the inequality (2.5), and hence also the subordination result (2.1) asserted by Theorem 1.

The inequality (2.2) follows from (2.1) upon setting

$$(2.7) \quad g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z} = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} z^n \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Next we consider the function:

$$(2.8) \quad q(z) := z - \frac{2(\alpha-1)}{(2-\lambda) + |2+\lambda-2\alpha|} z^2 \quad (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1; \alpha > 1),$$

which is a member of the class  $\mathcal{M}^*(\alpha)$ . Then, by using (2.1), we have

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{(2 - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|}{2[(2\alpha - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|]} q(z) \prec \frac{z}{1 - z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

It is also easily verified for the function  $q(z)$  defined by (2.7) that

$$(2.10) \quad \min \left\{ \Re \left( \frac{(2 - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|}{2[(2\alpha - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|]} q(z) \right) \right\} = -\frac{1}{2} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{M}(\alpha)$ . Then the assertions (2.1) and (2.2) of Theorem 1 hold true. Furthermore, the following constant factor:*


$$\frac{(2 - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|}{2[(2\alpha - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|]}$$

cannot be replaced by a larger one.

By taking  $\lambda = 1$  and  $1 < \alpha \leq \frac{3}{2}$  in Corollary 1, we obtain

**Corollary 2.** *Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{M}(\alpha)$ . Then*

$$(2.11) \quad \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha\right) (f * g)(z) \prec g(z) \\ \left(z \in \mathbb{U}; 1 < \alpha \leq \frac{3}{2}; g \in \mathcal{K}\right)$$



Some Subordination Results  
Associated with Certain  
Subclasses of Analytic  
Functions

H.M. Srivastava and A.A. Attiya

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close


Quit

Page 10 of 14

and

$$(2.12) \quad \Re(f(z)) > -\frac{1}{2-\alpha} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

The constant factor  $1 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha$  in the subordination result (2.11) cannot be replaced by a larger one.



---

**Some Subordination Results  
Associated with Certain  
Subclasses of Analytic  
Functions**

H.M. Srivastava and A.A. Attiya

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 11 of 14

### 3. Subordination Results for the Classes $\mathcal{N}^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{N}(\alpha)$

Our proof of Theorem 2 below is much akin to that of Theorem 1. Here we make use of Theorem B in place of Theorem A.

**Theorem 2.** Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{N}^*(\alpha)$ . Then

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{(2 - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|}{2[(\alpha + 1 - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|]} (f * g)(z) \prec g(z)$$

$$(z \in \mathbb{U}; 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1; \alpha > 1; g \in \mathcal{K})$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \Re(f(z)) > - \frac{(\alpha + 1 - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|}{(2 - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

The following constant factor in the subordination result (3.1):


$$\frac{(2 - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|}{2[(\alpha + 1 - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|]}$$

cannot be replaced by a larger one.

**Corollary 3.** Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{N}(\alpha)$ . Then the assertions (3.1) and (3.2) of Theorem 2 hold true. Furthermore, the following constant factor:

$$\frac{(2 - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|}{2[(\alpha + 1 - \lambda) + |2 + \lambda - 2\alpha|]}$$

cannot be replaced by a larger one.



Some Subordination Results  
Associated with Certain  
Subclasses of Analytic  
Functions

H.M. Srivastava and A.A. Attiya

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 12 of 14

By letting  $\lambda = 1$  and  $1 < \alpha \leq \frac{3}{2}$  in Corollary 3, we obtain the following further consequence of Theorem 2.

**Corollary 4.** Let the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) be in the class  $\mathcal{N}(\alpha)$ . Then

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{2 - \alpha}{2(3 - \alpha)} (f * g)(z) \prec g(z) \\ \left( z \in \mathbb{U}; 1 < \alpha \leq \frac{3}{2}; g \in \mathcal{K} \right).$$


and

$$(3.4) \quad \Re(f(z)) > -\frac{3 - \alpha}{2 - \alpha} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

The following constant factor in the subordination result (3.3):

$$\frac{2 - \alpha}{2(3 - \alpha)}$$

cannot be replaced by a larger one.



Some Subordination Results  
Associated with Certain  
Subclasses of Analytic  
Functions

H.M. Srivastava and A.A. Attiya

Title Page

Contents



Go Back


Close

Quit

Page 13 of 14

## References

- [1] S. OWA AND J. NISHIWAKI, Coefficient estimates for certain classes of analytic functions, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **3**(5) (2002), Article 72, 1–5 (electronic). [ONLINE <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=224>]
- [2] S. OWA AND H.M. SRIVASTAVA, Some generalized convolution properties associated with certain subclasses of analytic functions, *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.*, **3**(3) (2002), Article 42, 1–13 (electronic). [ONLINE <http://jipam.vu.edu.au/article.php?sid=194>]
- [3] H.M. SRIVASTAVA AND S. OWA (Editors), *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London and Hong Kong, 1992.
- [4] B.A. URALEGADDI AND A.R. DESAI, Convolutions of univalent functions with positive coefficients, *Tamkang J. Math.*, **29** (1998), 279–285.
- [5] B.A. URALEGADDI, M.D. GANIGI AND S.M. SARANGI, Univalent functions with positive coefficients, *Tamkang J. Math.*, **25** (1994), 225–230.
- [6] H.S. WILF, Subordinating factor sequences for convex maps of the unit circle, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **12** (1961), 689–693.



---

Some Subordination Results  
Associated with Certain  
Subclasses of Analytic  
Functions

H.M. Srivastava and A.A. Attiya

---

Title Page

Contents



Go Back

Close

Quit

Page 14 of 14