A RELATION TO HILBERT'S INTEGRAL INEQUALITY AND SOME BASE HILBERT-TYPE INEQUALITIES

BICHENG YANG

Department of Mathematics
Guangdong Education Institute
Guangzhou, Guangdong 510303, P.R. China
EMail: bcyang@pub.guangzhou.gd.cn

Abstract:	In this paper, by using the way of weight function and real analysis techniques, a new integral inequality with some parameters and a best constant factor is given, which is a relation to Hilbert's integral inequality and some base Hilbert-type integral inequalities. The equivalent form and the reverse forms are considered.

Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 1 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Contents

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

1. Introduction

If $f, g \geq 0$, $0 < \int_0^\infty f^2(x)dx < \infty$ and $0 < \int_0^\infty g^2(x)dx < \infty$ then we have the following Hilbert's integral inequality [1]:

$$(1.1) \qquad \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y}dxdy < \pi \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^2(x)dx \int_0^\infty g^2(x)dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where the constant factor π is the best possible. Under the same condition of (1.1), we also have the following basic Hilbert-type integral inequalities [1, 2, 3]:

$$(1.2) \qquad \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x,y\}}dxdy < 4 \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^2(x)dx \int_0^\infty g^2(x)dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

$$(1.3) \qquad \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln(x/y)|f(x)g(y)}{x+y}dxdy < c_0 \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^2(x)dx \int_0^\infty g^2(x)dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

$$(1.4) \qquad \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln(x/y)|f(x)g(y)}{\max\{x,y\}}dxdy < 8 \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^2(x)dx \int_0^\infty g^2(x)dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where the constant factors 4, $c_0 \left(= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{8(-1)^{k-1}}{(2k-1)^2} = 7.3277^+ \right)$ and 8 are the best possible. In 2005, Hardy-Riesz gave a best extension of (1.1) by introducing one pair of conjugate exponents (p, q) $(p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1)$ as [4]

$$(1.5) \qquad \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y}dxdy < \frac{\pi}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{p}\right)} \left\{ \int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty g^q(x)dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

where the constant factor $\frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)}$ is the best possible. Inequality (1.5) is referred to as Hardy-Hilbert's integral inequality, which is important in analysis and its applications [5]. In 1998, Yang gave a best extension of (1.1) by introducing an independent parameter $\lambda > 0$ as [6, 7]

$$(1.6) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda} dx dy$$

$$< B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{1-\lambda} f^2(x) dx \int_0^\infty x^{1-\lambda} g^2(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where the constant factor $B\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}, \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)$ is the best possible and the Beta function $B(u, v)$ is defined by [8]:

$$(1.7) \quad B(u, v) := \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(1+t)^{u+v}} t^{u-1} dt \qquad (u, v > 0).$$

In 2004-2005, by introducing two pairs of conjugate exponents and an independent parameter, Yang et al. [9, 10] gave two different extensions of (1.1) and (1.5) as: If $p, r > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, $\lambda > 0$, $\phi(x) = x^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1}$, $\psi(x) = x^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1}$, $f, g \geq 0$,

$$0 < ||f||_{p,\phi} := \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$$

and

$$0 < ||g||_{q,\psi} := \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1} g^q(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty,$$

then

$$(1.8) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x^\lambda + y^\lambda} dx dy < \frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\frac{\pi}{r})} ||f||_{p,\phi} ||g||_{q,\psi};$$

Title Page

Contents

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

$$(1.9) \qquad \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda} dx dy < B\left(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s}\right) ||f||_{p,\phi} ||g||_{q,\psi},$$

where the constant factors $\frac{\pi}{\lambda \sin(\frac{\pi}{r})}$ and $B\left(\frac{\lambda}{r}, \frac{\lambda}{s}\right)$ are the best possible. Yang [11] also considered the reverse of (1.8) and (1.9).

In this paper, by using weight functions and real analysis techniques, a new integral inequality with the homogeneous kernel of $-\lambda$ degree

$$k_\lambda(x, y) = \frac{|\ln(x/y)|^\beta}{(x+y)^{\lambda-\alpha}(\max\{x, y\})^\alpha} \qquad (\lambda > 0, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \beta > -1)$$

is given, which is a relation to (1.1) and the above basic Hilbert-type integral inequalities (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4). The equivalent and reverse forms are considered. All the new inequalities possess the best constant factors.

Title Page

Contents

◀◀　　▶▶

◀　　▶

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

2. Some Lemmas

We introduce the following Gamma function [8]:

$$(2.1) \qquad \Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{s-1} dt \qquad (s > 0).$$

Lemma 2.1. *For $a, b > 0$, it follows that*

$$(2.2) \qquad \int_0^1 x^{a-1}(-\ln x)^{b-1} dx = \frac{1}{a^b}\Gamma(b) = \int_1^\infty y^{-a-1}(\ln y)^{b-1} dy.$$

Proof. Setting $x = e^{-t/a}$ in first integral of (2.2), by (2.1), we find the first equation of (2.2). Setting $y = 1/x$ in the first integral of (2.2), we obtain the second equation of (2.2). The lemma is hence proved. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *If $r > 1$, $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, $\lambda > 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta > -1$, define the weight function as*

$$(2.3) \qquad \varpi_\lambda(s, x) := x^{\frac{\lambda}{r}} \int_0^\infty \frac{\left|\ln\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)\right|^\beta y^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-1}}{(x+y)^{\lambda-\alpha}(\max\{x,y\})^\alpha} dy \qquad (x \in (0, \infty)).$$

Then we have

$$(2.4) \qquad \varpi_\lambda(s, x) = k_\lambda(r) := \int_0^\infty \frac{|\ln u|^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1}}{(u+1)^{\lambda-\alpha}(\max\{u,1\})^\alpha} du,$$

where $k_\lambda(r)$ is a positive number and

$$(2.5) \qquad k_\lambda(r) = \Gamma(\beta+1) \sum_{k=0}^\infty \binom{\alpha-\lambda}{k} \left[\frac{1}{\left(k+\frac{\lambda}{r}\right)^{\beta+1}} + \frac{1}{\left(k+\frac{\lambda}{s}\right)^{\beta+1}}\right].$$

Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 6 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Proof. Setting $u = x/y$ in (2.3), by simplification, we obtain (2.4). In view of (2.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 < k_\lambda(r) &= \int_0^1 \frac{(-\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1}}{(u+1)^{\lambda-\alpha}} du + \int_1^\infty \frac{(\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-\alpha-1}}{(u+1)^{\lambda-\alpha}} du \\
&\leq 2^{|\alpha-\lambda|} \left[\int_0^1 (-\ln u)^{(\beta+1)-1} u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1} du + \int_1^\infty (\ln u)^{(\beta+1)-1} u^{\frac{-\lambda}{s}-1} du \right] \\
&= 2^{|\alpha-\lambda|} \left[\left(\frac{r}{\lambda}\right)^{\beta+1} + \left(\frac{s}{\lambda}\right)^{\beta+1} \right] \Gamma(\beta+1) < \infty.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $k_\lambda(r)$ is a positive number. Using the property of power series, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
k_\lambda(r) &= \int_0^1 \frac{(-\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-1}}{(u+1)^{\lambda-\alpha}} du + \int_1^\infty \frac{(\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{-\lambda}{s}-1}}{(1+u^{-1})^{\lambda-\alpha}} du \\
&= \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^\infty \binom{\alpha-\lambda}{k} (-\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}+k-1} du + \int_1^\infty \sum_{k=0}^\infty \binom{\alpha-\lambda}{k} (\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{-\lambda}{s}-k-1} du \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \binom{\alpha-\lambda}{k} \left[\int_0^1 (-\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{r}+k-1} du + \int_1^\infty (\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{-\lambda}{s}-k-1} du \right].
\end{aligned}
$$

Then in view of (2.2), we have (2.5). The lemma is proved. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 2.3. *If $p > 0$ $(p \neq 1)$, $r > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, $\lambda > 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta > -1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > \frac{r}{|q|\lambda}$, then for $n \to \infty$, we have*

$$
(2.6) \qquad I_n := \frac{1}{n} \int_1^\infty \int_1^\infty \frac{\left| \ln\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \right|^\beta x^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-\frac{1}{np}-1} y^{\frac{\lambda}{s}-\frac{1}{nq}-1}}{(x+y)^{\lambda-\alpha}(\max\{x,y\})^\alpha} dx dy = k_\lambda(r) + o(1).
$$

Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

Proof. Setting $u = y/x$, by Fubini's theorem [12], we obtain

$$I_n = \frac{1}{n} \int_1^\infty \left[\int_1^\infty \frac{\left| \ln\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \right|^\beta x^{\frac{\lambda}{r} - \frac{1}{np} - 1} y^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - \frac{1}{nq} - 1}}{(x+y)^{\lambda-\alpha} (\max\{x,y\})^\alpha} dx \right] dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \int_1^\infty y^{-\frac{1}{n} - 1} \left[\int_0^y \frac{|\ln u|^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} + \frac{1}{np} - 1}}{(1+u)^{\lambda-\alpha} (\max\{1,u\})^\alpha} du \right] dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \int_1^\infty y^{-\frac{1}{n} - 1} \left[\int_0^1 \frac{(-\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} + \frac{1}{np} - 1}}{(1+u)^{\lambda-\alpha}} du + \int_1^y \frac{(\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} + \frac{1}{np} - 1}}{(1+u)^{\lambda-\alpha} u^\alpha} du \right] dy$$

$$= \int_0^1 \frac{(-\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} + \frac{1}{np} - 1}}{(1+u)^{\lambda-\alpha}} du + \frac{1}{n} \int_1^\infty y^{-\frac{1}{n} - 1} \left[\int_1^y \frac{(\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} + \frac{1}{np} - 1}}{(1+u)^{\lambda-\alpha} u^\alpha} du \right] dy$$

$$= \int_0^1 \frac{(-\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} + \frac{1}{np} - 1}}{(1+u)^{\lambda-\alpha}} du + \frac{1}{n} \int_1^\infty \left(\int_u^\infty y^{-\frac{1}{n} - 1} dy \right) \frac{(\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} + \frac{1}{np} - 1}}{(1+u)^{\lambda-\alpha} u^\alpha} du$$

$$(2.7) \quad = \int_0^1 \frac{(-\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} + \frac{1}{np} - 1}}{(1+u)^{\lambda-\alpha}} du + \int_1^\infty \frac{(\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - \frac{1}{nq} - 1}}{(1+u)^{\lambda-\alpha} u^\alpha} du.$$

(i) If $p > 0$ $(p \neq 1)$ and $q > 0$, then by Levi's theorem [12], we find

$$\int_0^1 \frac{(-\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} + \frac{1}{np} - 1}}{(1+u)^{\lambda-\alpha}} du = \int_0^1 \frac{(-\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - 1}}{(1+u)^{\lambda-\alpha}} du + o_1(1),$$

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{(\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - \frac{1}{nq} - 1}}{(1+u)^{\lambda-\alpha} u^\alpha} du = \int_1^\infty \frac{(\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - 1}}{(1+u)^{\lambda-\alpha} u^\alpha} du + o_2(1) \quad (n \to \infty);$$

Title Page

Contents

◀◀　　　▶▶

◀　　　▶

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

(ii) If $q < 0$, setting $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $n_0 > \frac{r}{|q|\lambda}$, $\frac{1}{s'} = \frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{n_0 q \lambda}$, $\frac{1}{r'} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{n_0 q \lambda}$, then for $n \geq n_0$, we find

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{(\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - \frac{1}{nq} - 1}}{(1+u)^{\lambda - \alpha} u^\alpha} du \leq \int_1^\infty \frac{(\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - \frac{1}{n_0 q} - 1}}{(1+u)^{\lambda - \alpha} u^\alpha} du \leq k_\lambda(r'),$$

and by Lebesgue's control convergence theorem, we have

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{(\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - \frac{1}{nq} - 1}}{(1+u)^{\lambda - \alpha} u^\alpha} du = \int_1^\infty \frac{(\ln u)^\beta u^{\frac{\lambda}{s} - 1}}{(1+u)^{\lambda - \alpha} u^\alpha} du + o_3(1) \quad (n \to \infty).$$

Hence by the above results and (2.7), we obtain (2.6). The lemma is proved. $\square$

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that $p > 0$ $(p \neq 1)$, $r > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, $\lambda > 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta > -1$, $\phi(x) = x^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1}$, $\psi(x) = x^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1}$ $(x \in (0, \infty))$, $f, g \geq 0$,*

$$0 < ||f||_{p,\phi} = \left\{ \int_0^\infty x^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1} f^p(x) dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty, \qquad 0 < ||g||_{q,\psi} < \infty.$$

(i) For $p > 1$, we have the following inequality:

$$(3.1) \quad I := \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\left| \ln\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \right|^\beta f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^{\lambda-\alpha}(\max\{x,y\})^\alpha} dx dy < k_\lambda(r) ||f||_{p,\phi} ||g||_{q,\psi};$$

(ii) For $0 < p < 1$, we have the reverse of (3.1), where the constant factor $k_\lambda(r)$ expressed by (2.5) in (3.1) and its reverse is the best possible.

Proof. (i) By Hölder's inequality with weight [13], in view of (2.3), we find

$$I = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\left| \ln\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \right|^\beta}{(x+y)^{\lambda-\alpha}(\max\{x,y\})^\alpha} \left[\frac{x^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})/q}}{y^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})/p}} f(x) \right] \left[\frac{y^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})/p}}{x^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})/q}} g(y) \right] dx dy$$

$$\leq \left\{ \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\left| \ln\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \right|^\beta}{(x+y)^{\lambda-\alpha}(\max\{x,y\})^\alpha} \cdot \frac{x^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})(p-1)}}{y^{1-\frac{\lambda}{s}}} f^p(x) dx dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

$$\times \left\{ \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\left| \ln\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \right|^\beta}{(x+y)^{\lambda-\alpha}(\max\{x,y\})^\alpha} \cdot \frac{y^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})(q-1)}}{x^{1-\frac{\lambda}{r}}} g^q(y) dx dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

$$(3.2) \qquad = \left\{ \int_0^\infty \varpi_\lambda(s,x)\phi(x)f^p(x)dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_0^\infty \varpi_\lambda(r,y)\psi(y)g^q(y)dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

We confirm that the middle of (3.2) keeps the form of strict inequality. Otherwise, there exist constants A and B, such that they are not all zero and [13]

$$A\frac{x^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})(p-1)}}{y^{1-\frac{\lambda}{s}}}f^p(x) = B\frac{y^{(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})(q-1)}}{x^{1-\frac{\lambda}{r}}}g^q(y) \quad a.e. \text{ in } (0,\infty) \times (0,\infty).$$

It follows that $Ax^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})}f^p(x) = By^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})}g^q(y)$ $a.e.$ in $(0,\infty) \times (0,\infty)$. Assuming that $A \neq 0$, there exists $y > 0$, such that $x^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1}f^p(x) = \left[By^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})}g^q(y) \right]\frac{1}{Ax}$ $a.e.$ in $x \in (0,\infty)$. This contradicts the fact that $0 < ||f||_{p,\phi} < \infty$. Then inequality (3.1) is valid by using (2.4) and (2.5).

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > \frac{r}{|q|\lambda}$, setting f_n, g_n as

$$f_n(x) := \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < x \leq 1; \\ x^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-\frac{1}{np}-1}, & x > 1; \end{cases} \qquad g_n(x) := \begin{cases} 0, & 0 < x \leq 1; \\ x^{\frac{\lambda}{r}-\frac{1}{nq}-1}, & x > 1; \end{cases}$$

if there exists a constant factor $0 < k \leq k_\lambda(r)$, such that (3.1) is still valid if we replace $k_\lambda(r)$ by k, then by (2.6), we have

$$k_\lambda(r) + o(1) = I_n = \frac{1}{n}\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\left| \ln\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \right|^\beta f_n(x)g_n(y)}{(x+y)^{\lambda-\alpha}(\max\{x,y\})^\alpha}dxdy$$
$$< \frac{1}{n}k||f_n||_{p,\phi}||g_n||_{q,\psi} = k,$$

Title Page

Contents

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

and $k_\lambda(r) \leq k \ (n \to \infty)$. Hence $k = k_\lambda(r)$ is the best constant factor of (3.1).

(ii) For $0 < p < 1$, by the reverse Hölder's inequality with weight [13], in view of (2.3), we find the reverse of (3.2), which still keeps the strict form. Then by (2.4) and (2.5), we have the reverse of (3.1). By using (2.6) and the same manner as mentioned above , we can show that the constant factor in the reverse of (3.1) is still the best possible. The theorem is proved. $\qquad\square$

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that $p > 0 \ (p \neq 1)$, $r > 1$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} = 1$, $\lambda > 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\beta > -1$, $\phi(x) = x^{p(1-\frac{\lambda}{r})-1}$, $\psi(x) = x^{q(1-\frac{\lambda}{s})-1}$ $(x \in (0,\infty))$, $f \geq 0$, $0 < ||f||_{p,\phi} < \infty$.*

(i) For $p > 1$, we have the following inequality, which is equivalent to (3.1) and with the best constant factor $k_\lambda^p(r)$:

$$(3.3) \qquad J := \int_0^\infty y^{\frac{p\lambda}{s}-1} \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{\left| \ln\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \right|^\beta f(x)}{(x+y)^{\lambda-\alpha}(\max\{x,y\})^\alpha} dx \right]^p dy$$
$$< k_\lambda^p(r)||f||_{p,\phi}^p;$$

(ii) For $0 < p < 1$, we have the reverse of (3.3), which is equivalent to the reverse of (3.1), with the best constant factor $k_\lambda^p(r)$.

Proof. (i) For $p > 1$, $x > 0$, setting a bounded measurable function as

$$[f(x)]_n := \min\{f(x), n\} = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{for } f(x) < n; \\ n, & \text{for } f(x) \geq n, \end{cases}$$

since $||f||_{p,\phi} > 0$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{n} \phi(x)[f(x)]_n^p dx > 0$ $(n \geq n_0)$. Setting $\widetilde{g}_n(y)$ $(y \in (\frac{1}{n}, n); n \geq n_0)$ as

$$(3.4) \qquad \widetilde{g}_n(y) := y^{\frac{p\lambda}{s}-1} \left[\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{n} \frac{\left| \ln \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) \right|^{\beta}}{(x+y)^{\lambda-\alpha}(\max\{x,y\})^{\alpha}} [f(x)]_n dx \right]^{p-1},$$

then by (3.1), we find

$$0 < \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{n} \psi(y)\widetilde{g}_n^q(y) dy$$

$$= \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{n} y^{\frac{p\lambda}{s}-1} \left[\int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{n} \frac{\left| \ln \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) \right|^{\beta} [f(x)]_n dx}{(x+y)^{\lambda-\alpha}(\max\{x,y\})^{\alpha}} \right]^{p} dy$$

$$= \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{n} \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{n} \frac{\left| \ln \left(\frac{x}{y} \right) \right|^{\beta} [f(x)]_n \widetilde{g}_n(y)}{(x+y)^{\lambda-\alpha}(\max\{x,y\})^{\alpha}} dx dy$$

$$(3.5) \qquad < k_\lambda(r) \left\{ \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{n} \phi(x)[f(x)]_n^p dx \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{n} \psi(y)\widetilde{g}_n^q(y) dy \right\}^{\frac{1}{q}} < \infty;$$

$$(3.6) \qquad 0 < \int_{\frac{1}{n}}^{n} \psi(y)\widetilde{g}_n^q(y) dy < k_\lambda^p(r) \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi(x)f^p(x) dx < \infty.$$

It follows $0 < ||g||_{q,\psi} < \infty$. For $n \to \infty$, by (3.1), both (3.5) and (3.6) still keep the forms of strict inequality. Hence we have (3.3). On the other-hand, suppose (3.3) is

Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 13 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

valid. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$(3.7) \qquad I = \int_0^\infty \left[y^{\frac{-1}{p}+\frac{\lambda}{s}} \int_0^\infty \frac{\left| \ln\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \right|^\beta f(x)dx}{(x+y)^{\lambda-\alpha}(\max\{x,y\})^\alpha} \right] \left[y^{\frac{1}{p}-\frac{\lambda}{s}} g(y) \right] dy$$

$$\leq J^{\frac{1}{p}} ||g||_{q,\psi}.$$

In view of (3.3), we have (3.1), which is equivalent to (3.3). We confirm that the constant factor in (3.3) is the best possible. Otherwise, we may get a contradiction by (3.7) that the constant factor in (3.1) is not the best possible.

(ii) For $0 < p < 1$, since $||f||_{p,\phi} > 0$, we confirm that $J > 0$. If $J = \infty$, then the reverse of (3.3) is naturally valid. Suppose $0 < J < \infty$. Setting

$$g(y) := y^{\frac{p\lambda}{s}-1} \left[\int_0^\infty \frac{\left| \ln\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \right|^\beta}{(x+y)^{\lambda-\alpha}(\max\{x,y\})^\alpha} f(x)dx \right]^{p-1},$$

by the reverse of (3.1), we obtain

$$\infty > ||g||_{q,\psi}^q = J = I > k_\lambda(r)||f||_{p,\phi}||g||_{q,\psi} > 0;$$
$$J^{\frac{1}{p}} = ||g||_{q,\psi}^{q-1} > k_\lambda(r)||f||_{p,\phi}.$$

Hence we have the reverse of (3.3). On the other-hand, suppose the reverse of (3.3) is valid. By the reverse Hölder's inequality, we can get the reverse of (3.7). Hence in view of the reverse of (3.3), we obtain the reverse of (3.1), which is equivalent to the reverse of (3.3). We confirm that the constant factor in the reverse of (3.3) is the best possible. Otherwise, we may get a contradiction by the reverse of (3.7) that

Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 14 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

the constant factor in the reverse of (3.1) is not the best possible. The theorem is proved. □

Remark 1. For $p = r = 2$ in (3.1), setting $\alpha = \beta = 0, \lambda = 1$, we obtain (1.1); setting $\alpha = 0, \beta = \lambda = 1$, we obtain (1.3). For $\alpha = \lambda > 0, \beta > -1$ in (3.1), we have

$$(3.8) \quad \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{\left|\ln\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)\right|^\beta f(x)g(y)}{(\max\{x,y\})^\lambda} dx dy < \frac{r^{\beta+1} + s^{\beta+1}}{\lambda^{\beta+1}} \Gamma(\beta+1) ||f||_{p,\phi} ||g||_{q,\psi},$$

where the constant factor $\frac{1}{\lambda^{\beta+1}}(r^{\beta+1} + s^{\beta+1})\Gamma(\beta+1)$ is the best possible. For $p = r = 2$ in (3.8), setting $\lambda = 1, \beta = 0$, we obtain (1.2); setting $\lambda = 1, \beta = 1$, we obtain (1.4). Hence inequality (3.1) is a relation to (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4).

Title Page

Contents

◀◀   ▶▶

◀   ▶

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

References

[1] G.H. HARDY, J.E. LITTLEWOOD AND G. PÓLYA, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1952.

[2] BICHENG YANG, On a base Hilbert-type inequality, *Journal of Guangdong Education Institute*, **26**(3) (2006), 1–5.

[3] BICHENG YANG, On a base Hilbert-type integral inequality and extensions, *College Mathematics*, **24**(2) (2008), 87–92.

[4] G.H. HARDY, Note on a theorem of Hilbert concerning series of positive terms, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **23**(2) (1925), Records of Proc. xlv-xlvi.

[5] D.S. MINTRINOVIĆ, J.E. PEČARIĆ AND A.M. FINK, *Inequalities Involving Functions and their Integrals and Derivatives*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1991.

[6] BICHENG YANG, On Hilbert's integral inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **220** (1998), 778–785.

[7] BICHENG YANG, A note on Hilbert's integral inequality, *Chin. Quart. J. Math.*, **13**(4) (1998), 83–86.

[8] ZHUXI WANG AND DUNREN GUO, *Introduction to Special Functions*, Science Press, Beijing, 1979.

[9] BICHENG YANG, On an extension of Hilbert's integral inequality with some parameters, *Austral. J. Math. Anal. Applics.*, **1**(1) (2004), Art. 11. [ONLINE: http://ajmaa.org/].

Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 16 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

Close

[10] BICHENG YANG, ILKO BRNETIC, MARIO KRNIC AND J. PEČARIĆ, Generalization of Hilbert and Hardy-Hilbert integral inequalities, *Math. Ineq. and Applics*., **8**(2) (2005), 259–272.

[11] BICHENG YANG, On a reverse Hardy-Hilbert's inequality, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, **47** (2007), 411–423.

[12] JICHANG KUANG, *Introduction to Real Analysis*, Hunan Education Press, Changsha, 1996.

[13] JICHANG KUANG, *Applied Inequalities*, Shangdong Science Press, Jinan, 2004.

Title Page

Contents

◀◀ ▶▶

◀ ▶

Page 17 of 17

Go Back

Full Screen

Close