

ON MAXIMAL OVERDETERMINED HARDY'S INEQUALITY OF  
SECOND ORDER ON A FINITE INTERVAL

MARIA NASYROVA, VLADIMIR STEPANOV<sup>1</sup>, Khabarovsk

(Received December 1, 1998)

*Dedicated to Professor Alois Kufner on the occasion of his 65th birthday*

*Abstract.* A characterization of the weighted Hardy inequality

$$\|Fu\|_2 \leq C \|F''v\|_2, \quad F(0) = F'(0) = F(1) = F'(1) = 0$$

is given.

*Keywords:* weighted Hardy's inequality

*MSC 2000:* 26D10, 34B05, 46N20

INTRODUCTION

Let  $I = [0, 1]$ ,  $1 < p, q < \infty$ , let  $k \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $AC_p^k$  denote the space of all functions on  $I$  with absolutely continuous  $(k-1)$ -th derivative  $F^{(k-1)}(x)$  and such that

$$\|F\|_{AC_p^k} := \|F^{(k)}v\|_p < \infty, \\ F(0) = F'(0) = \dots = F^{(k-1)}(0) = F(1) = \dots = F^{(k-1)}(1) = 0,$$

where  $v(x)$  is a locally integrable weight function and  $\|g\|_p := (\int_0^1 |g(x)|^p dx)^{1/p}$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>The research work of the authors was partially supported by the Russian Fund of Basic Researches (Grant 97-01-00604) and the Ministry of Education of Russia (Grants 10.98GR and K-0560). The work of the second author was supported in part by INTAS Project 94-881.

We consider the characterization problem for the inequality

$$(1) \quad \|Fu\|_q \leq C \|F^{(k)}v\|_p, \quad F \in AC_p^k.$$

The case  $k = 1$  has been solved by P. Gurka [2] (see also [13]) and many works have been performed in this area by A. Kufner [6] and by A. Kufner with co-authors [1], [5], [7–10]. In particular, following Kufner’s terminology we call the inequality (1) “maximal overdetermined Hardy’s inequality”, that is when a function  $F$  and its derivatives vanish at both ends of the interval up to  $(k - 1)$ -th order. A part of analysis related to the weighted Hardy inequality for functions vanishing at both ends of an interval was also given by G. Sinnamon [15] and the authors [11], [12]. In particular, the maximal inequality (1) on semiaxis was characterized in [11], [12].

The aim of the present paper is twofold. At first we prove an alternative version of (1) (see Theorem 1) and it allows, using the results of [4], to characterize the inequality (1), when  $p = q = 2$ ,  $k = 2$  (Theorem 3).

Without loss of generality we assume throughout the paper that the undetermined forms of the form  $0 \cdot \infty, 0/0, \infty/\infty$  are equal to zero.

#### AN ALTERNATE VERSION

Denote  $I_k f(x)$  and  $J_k f(x)$  the Riemann-Liouville operators of the form

$$I_k f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k)} \int_0^x (x - y)^{k-1} f(y) dy, \quad x \in I,$$

$$J_k f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k)} \int_x^1 (y - x)^{k-1} f(y) dy, \quad x \in I.$$

Then the maximal inequality (1) is equivalent either to

$$(2) \quad \|(I_k f)u\|_q \leq C \|fv\|_p, \quad f \in P_{k-1}^\perp$$

or to

$$(3) \quad \|(J_k f)u\|_q \leq C \|fv\|_p, \quad f \in P_{k-1}^\perp,$$

where  $P_{k-1}$  is the  $k$ -dimensional space of all polynomials  $\varrho(t) = c_0 + c_1 t + \dots + c_{k-1} t^{k-1}$ ,  $t \in I$ , and  $P_{k-1}^\perp \subset L_{p,v} := \{f : \|fv\|_p < \infty\}$  denotes the closed subspace of  $L_{p,v}$  of functions “orthogonal” to  $P_{k-1}$  in the sense that

$$\int_0^1 f(x)\varrho(x) dx = 0 \quad \text{for all } \varrho \in P_{k-1}, \quad f \in P_{k-1}^\perp.$$

In particular,  $f \in P_{k-1}^\perp$  if, and only if,

$$\int_0^1 f(x) dx = \int_0^1 xf(x) dx = \dots = \int_0^1 x^{k-1}f(x) dx = 0$$

and, obviously,

$$I_k f(x) = J_k f(x), \quad f \in P_{k-1}^\perp.$$

We need the following

**Lemma 1.** ([14], Chapter 4, Exercise 19). *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $Y \subset X$  the closed subspace. Let  $X^*$  be the dual space and*

$$Y^\perp = \{\varphi \in X^* : \varphi(y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in Y\}.$$

Then

$$(4) \quad \text{dist}_X(e, Y) := \inf_{y \in Y} \|e - y\|_X = \sup_{\varphi \in Y^\perp} \frac{|\varphi(e)|}{\|\varphi\|_{X^*}}$$

for all  $e \notin Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y \in Y$ ,  $\varphi \in Y^\perp$ . Then

$$\varphi(e) = \varphi(e) - \varphi(y) = \varphi(e - y)$$

and

$$|\varphi(e)| = |\varphi(e - y)| \leq \|\varphi\|_{X^*} \|e - y\|.$$

Consequently,

$$\sup_{\varphi \in Y^\perp} \frac{|\varphi(e)|}{\|\varphi\|_{X^*}} \leq \|e - y\|$$

and

$$(5) \quad \sup_{\varphi \in Y^\perp} \frac{|\varphi(e)|}{\|\varphi\|_{X^*}} \leq \text{dist}_X(e, Y).$$

Now suppose  $e \notin Y$ ,  $y \in Y$ . Then  $e - y \notin Y$  and by the Hahn-Banach theorem there exists  $\varphi \in X^*$  such that  $\varphi(y) = 0$  for all  $y \in Y$ ,  $\|\varphi\|_{X^*} = 1$  and  $\varphi(e - y) = \|e - y\|$ . This implies that  $\varphi \in Y^\perp$  and

$$|\varphi(e)| = |\varphi(e - y)| = \|e - y\| \geq \text{dist}_X(e, Y).$$

Therefore,

$$(6) \quad \sup_{\varphi \in Y^\perp} \frac{|\varphi(e)|}{\|\varphi\|_{X^*}} \geq \operatorname{dist}_X(e, Y).$$

Combining the estimates (5) and (6) we obtain (4).  $\square$

Put

$$M_k(p, q) := \sup_{AC_p^k \ni F \neq 0} \frac{\|Fu\|_q}{\|F^{(k)}v\|_p}.$$

Because of (2) and (3) we have

$$(7) \quad M_k(p, q) = \sup_{f \in P_{k-1}^\perp} \frac{\|(J_k f)u\|_q}{\|fv\|_p} = \sup_{f \in P_{k-1}^\perp} \frac{\|(I_k f)u\|_q}{\|fv\|_p}.$$

Denote  $p' = p/(p-1)$  and  $q' = q/(q-1)$  for  $1 < p, q < \infty$  and observe that  $(L_{p,v})^* = L_{p',1/v}$  if and only if  $v \in L_{p,\text{loc}}$  and  $1/v \in L_{p',\text{loc}}$ .

The following result gives an alternative version of the problems to characterize (1), (2), (3) and helps us to realise the desired solution for  $p = q = k = 2$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $1 < p, q < \infty$  and the weight functions  $u$  and  $v$  be such that  $(L_{p,v})^* = L_{p',1/v}$ ,  $(L_{q,u})^* = L_{q',1/u}$ . Then*

$$(8) \quad M_k(p, q) = \sup_{f \in L_{q',1/u}} \|f/u\|_{q'}^{-1} \operatorname{dist}_{L_{p',1/v}}(I_k f, P_{k-1}).$$

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 1 and the duality of  $L_{p,v}$  and  $L_{p',1/v}$ ,  $L_{q,u}$  and  $L_{q',1/u}$ ,  $J_k$  and  $I_k$ , we write

$$\begin{aligned} M_k(p, q) &= \sup_{g \in P_{k-1}^\perp} \frac{\|(J_k g)u\|_q}{\|gv\|_p} \\ &= \sup_{g \in P_{k-1}^\perp} \sup_{f \in L_{q',1/u}} \frac{\left| \int_0^1 (J_k g)f \right|}{\|f/u\|_{q'} \|gv\|_p} \\ &= \sup_{f \in L_{q',1/u}} \|f/u\|_{q'}^{-1} \sup_{g \in P_{k-1}^\perp} \frac{\left| \int_0^1 (I_k f)g \right|}{\|gv\|_p} \\ &= \sup_{f \in L_{q',1/u}} \|f/u\|_{q'}^{-1} \operatorname{dist}_{L_{p',1/v}}(I_k f, P_{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Remark.** The equality (8) holds for  $J_k f$  instead of  $I_k f$ .

The implicit formulae (8) becomes clearer when  $p = 2$ . Let  $d\mu(x) = |v(x)|^{-2} dx$  and

$$F_k(x) = I_k(fu)(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k)} \int_0^x (x-y)^{k-1} f(y)u(y) dy.$$

Then

$$\text{dist}_{L_{2,\mu}}(F_k, P_{k-1}) = \left( \int_I \left| F_k(x) - F_{k,0} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} F_{k,i}\omega_i(x) \right|^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{1/2},$$

where  $L_{2,\mu} = \{f: \|f\|_{2,\mu} := (\int_0^1 |f|^2 d\mu)^{1/2} < \infty\}$  and

$$F_{k,0} = \frac{1}{\mu(I)} \int_I F_k d\mu,$$

$$F_{k,i} = \frac{1}{\mu_i(I)} \int_I F_k \omega_i d\mu, \quad i = 1, \dots, k-1$$

and polynomials  $\{\omega_i(x)\}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ , appear from the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization process of  $\{1, t, \dots, t^{k-1}\}$  in  $L_{2,\mu}$  (see [4], Lemma 2).

Observe, that if  $p \neq 2$ ,  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $k = 1$ , then

$$\left( \int_I |F_1 - F_{1,0}|^p d\mu_p \right)^{1/p} \leq \text{dist}_{L_{p,\mu_p}}(F_1, P_0) \leq 2 \left( \int_I |F_1 - F_{1,0}|^p d\mu_p \right)^{1/p},$$

(see [3]), where  $d\mu_p(x) = |v(x)|^{-p} dx$ .

Thus, for  $p = 2$  the characterization problems of (1), (2) and (3) are equivalent to the following Poincaré-type inequality

$$(9) \quad \left\| F_k - F_{k,0} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} F_{k,i}\omega_i \right\|_{2,\mu} \leq C \|f\|_{q'}.$$

We need the following notation. Let  $k > 1$ ,  $1 < p, q < \infty$ ,  $1/r = 1/q - 1/p$  if  $1 < q < p < \infty$ . Put

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{k,0} &= A_{k,0;(a,b),u,v} \\
 &= \begin{cases} \sup_{a < t < b} \left( \int_t^b (x-t)^{q(k-1)} |u(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_a^t |v|^{-p'} \right)^{1/p'}, & p \leq q \\ \left( \int_a^b \left( \int_t^b (x-t)^{q(k-1)} |u(x)|^q dx \right)^{r/q} \left( \int_a^t |v|^{-p'} \right)^{r/q'} |v(t)|^{-p'} dt \right)^{1/r}, & p > q; \end{cases} \\
 A_{k,1} &= A_{k,1;(a,b),u,v} \\
 &= \begin{cases} \sup_{a < t < b} \left( \int_t^b |u|^q \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_a^t (t-x)^{p'(k-1)} |v(x)|^{-p'} dx \right)^{1/p'}, & p \leq q \\ \left( \int_a^b \left( \int_t^b |u|^q \right)^{r/p} \left( \int_a^t (t-x)^{p'(k-1)} |v(x)|^{-p'} dx \right)^{r/p'} |u(t)|^q dt \right)^{1/r}, & p > q; \end{cases} \\
 B_{k,0} &= B_{k,0;(a,b),u,v} \\
 &= \begin{cases} \sup_{a < t < b} \left( \int_a^t (t-x)^{q(k-1)} |u(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_t^b |v|^{-p'} \right)^{1/p'}, & p \leq q \\ \left( \int_a^b \left( \int_a^t (t-x)^{q(k-1)} |u(x)|^q dx \right)^{r/q} \left( \int_t^b |v|^{-p'} \right)^{r/q'} |v(t)|^{-p'} dt \right)^{1/r}, & p > q; \end{cases} \\
 B_{k,1} &= B_{k,1;(a,b),u,v} \\
 &= \begin{cases} \sup_{a < t < b} \left( \int_a^t |u|^q \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_t^b (x-t)^{p'(k-1)} |v(x)|^{-p'} dx \right)^{1/p'}, & p \leq q \\ \left( \int_a^b \left( \int_a^t |u|^q \right)^{r/p} \left( \int_t^b (x-t)^{p'(k-1)} |v(x)|^{-p'} dx \right)^{r/p'} |u(t)|^q dt \right)^{1/r}, & p > q; \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_k &= A_{k;(a,b),u,v} = \max(A_{k,0}, A_{k,1}), \\
 B_k &= B_{k;(a,b),u,v} = \max(B_{k,0}, B_{k,1}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The constants  $A_k$  and  $B_k$  are equivalent to the norms of the Riemann-Liouville operators  $I_k$  and  $J_k$ , respectively, from  $L_{p,v}(a, b)$  into  $L_{q,u}(a, b)$  [16–17].

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $1 < p, q < \infty$ ,  $k = 2$  and let the hypothesis of Theorem 1 be fulfilled. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (10) \quad M_2(p, q) &\leq \inf_{0 < \tau < \lambda < \sigma < 1} \left( A_{2;(0,\tau),u,v} + A_{1;(\tau,\lambda),u,(x-\tau)^{-1}v(x)} + B_{1;(\tau,\lambda),(x-\tau)u(x),v} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + D_{\tau,\lambda}^* + D_{\tau,\lambda} + B_{2;(\sigma,1),u,v} + A_{1;(\lambda,\sigma),(\sigma-x)u(x),v} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + B_{1;(\lambda,\sigma),u,(\sigma-x)^{-1}v(x)} + D_{\lambda,\sigma} + D_{\lambda,\sigma}^* \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{\tau,\lambda} &= \left( \int_{\tau}^{\lambda} |u|^q \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_0^{\tau} (\tau-x)^{p'} |v(x)|^{-p'} dx \right)^{1/p'}, \\
D_{\lambda,\sigma} &= \left( \int_{\lambda}^{\sigma} (\sigma-x)^q |u(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_0^{\lambda} |v|^{-p'} \right)^{1/p'}, \\
D_{\tau,\lambda}^* &= \left( \int_{\tau}^{\lambda} (x-\tau)^q |u(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_{\lambda}^1 |v|^{-p'} \right)^{1/p'}, \\
D_{\lambda,\sigma}^* &= \left( \int_{\lambda}^{\sigma} |u|^q \right)^{1/q} \left( \int_{\sigma}^1 (x-\sigma)^{p'} |v(x)|^{-p'} dx \right)^{1/p'}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** If  $f \in P_1^{\perp}$ , then for all  $x \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$(11) \quad I_2 f(x) = J_2 f(x).$$

Let  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and for any  $\tau \in (0, \lambda)$  and  $x \in (\tau, \lambda)$  we find

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 f(x) &= \int_0^x \left( \int_0^s f \right) ds = \int_0^{\tau} \left( \int_0^s f \right) ds + \int_{\tau}^x \left( \int_0^s f \right) ds \\
&= \int_0^{\tau} (\tau-y)f(y) dy - \int_{\tau}^x \left( \int_s^1 f \right) ds \\
&= \int_0^{\tau} (\tau-y)f(y) dy - \int_{\tau}^x f(y) \left( \int_{\tau}^y ds \right) dy \\
&\quad - \int_x^{\lambda} f(y) \left( \int_{\tau}^x ds \right) dy - \int_{\lambda}^1 f(y) \left( \int_{\tau}^x ds \right) dy \\
&= \int_0^{\tau} (\tau-y)f(y) dy - \int_{\tau}^x (y-\tau)f(y) dy \\
&\quad - (x-\tau) \int_x^{\lambda} f - (x-\tau) \int_{\lambda}^1 f.
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, with  $\sigma \in (\lambda, 1)$  for  $x \in (\lambda, \sigma)$  we write

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 f(x) &= J_2 f(x) = \int_x^1 \left( \int_s^1 f \right) ds \\
&= \int_{\sigma}^1 \left( \int_s^1 f \right) ds + \int_x^{\sigma} \left( \int_s^1 f \right) ds \\
&= \int_{\sigma}^1 (y-\sigma)f(y) dy - \int_x^{\sigma} (\sigma-y)f(y) dy \\
&\quad - (\sigma-x) \int_{\lambda}^x f - (\sigma-x) \int_0^{\lambda} f.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we estimate the norm of each term on the right hand side. Using [16–17] we obtain

$$\|\chi_{[0,\tau]}(I_2 f) u\|_q \leq A_{2;(0,\tau),u,v} \|\chi_{[0,\tau]} f v\|_p \leq A_{2;(0,\tau),u,v} \|f v\|_p.$$

Plainly

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_{[\tau,\lambda]}(I_2 f) u\|_q &\leq \|\chi_{[\tau,\lambda]}(x)u(x) \int_0^\tau (\tau - y)f(y) dy\|_q \\ &\quad + \|\chi_{[\tau,\lambda]}(x)u(x) \int_\tau^x (y - \tau)f(y) dy\|_q + \|\chi_{[\tau,\lambda]}(x)u(x)(x - \tau) \int_x^\lambda f\|_q \\ &\quad + \|\chi_{[\tau,\lambda]}(x)u(x)(x - \tau) \int_\lambda^1 f\|_q \end{aligned}$$

(we use the Hölder inequality for the first and the fourth term and the upper estimates which follow from the weighted Hardy inequalities [13] for the second and the third term)

$$\leq (D_{\tau,\lambda} + A_{1;(\tau,\lambda),u,(x-\tau)^{-1}v(x)} + B_{1;(\tau,\lambda),(x-\tau)u(x),v} + D_{\tau,\lambda}^*) \|f v\|_p.$$

Similarly, applying (11),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_{[\lambda,\sigma]}(I_2 f) u\|_q &\leq (D_{\lambda,\sigma}^* + B_{1;(\lambda,\sigma),u,(\sigma-x)^{-1}v(x)} + A_{1;(\lambda,\sigma),(\sigma-x)u(x),v} + D_{\lambda,\sigma}) \|f v\|_p. \\ \|\chi_{[\sigma,1]}(I_2 f) u\|_q &= \|\chi_{[\sigma,1]}(J_2 f) u\|_q \leq B_{2;(\sigma,1),u,v} \|f v\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Finally we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(I_2 f) u\|_q &\leq \|\chi_{[0,\tau]}(I_2 f) u\|_q + \|\chi_{[\tau,\lambda]}(I_2 f) u\|_q \\ &\quad + \|\chi_{[\lambda,\sigma]}(I_2 f) u\|_q + \|\chi_{[\sigma,1]}(I_2 f) u\|_q \\ &\leq (A_{2;(0,\tau),u,v} + D_{\tau,\lambda} + A_{1;(\tau,\lambda),u,(x-\tau)^{-1}v(x)} + B_{1;(\tau,\lambda),(x-\tau)u(x),v} \\ &\quad + D_{\tau,\lambda}^* + D_{\lambda,\sigma}^* + B_{1;(\lambda,\sigma),u,(\sigma-x)^{-1}v(x)} \\ &\quad + A_{1;(\lambda,\sigma),(\sigma-x)u(x),v} + D_{\lambda,\sigma} + B_{2;(\sigma,1),u,v}) \|f v\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\tau$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $\sigma$  were arbitrary the upper bound (10) of  $M_2(p, q)$  follows.  $\square$

**R e m a r k .** Theorem 2 gives the upper bound for  $M_k(p, q)$ , when  $k = 2$ . Obviously the similar upper estimates can be proved by the same method for  $k > 2$ . We omit the details.

Denote  $\mathcal{E}$  the right hand side of (10) when  $p = q = 2$ . The following result brings the characterization of (1) for  $p = q = k = 2$ .



**Theorem 3.** *Let the hypothesis of Theorem 1 be fulfilled for  $p = q = 2$ . Then*

$$(12) \quad \frac{1}{40} \kappa \mathcal{E} \leq M_2(2, 2) \leq \mathcal{E},$$

where  $\kappa = \kappa(v)$ .

**Proof.** The upper bound is an immediate corollary of Theorem 2. To prove the lower bound we use Theorem 1 and the arguments from Lemma 7 [4]. Let

$$d\mu(x) = |v(x)|^{-2} dx; \quad \mu(I) = \int_I d\mu(y);$$

$$\omega(x) = \int_I (x - y) d\mu(y); \quad d\mu_1(x) = |\omega(x)|^2 d\mu(x); \quad \mu_1(I) = \int_I d\mu_1(y).$$

If we take the point  $\lambda \in I$  such that  $\omega(\lambda) = 0$  and choose  $\tau, \sigma$  so that

$$0 < \tau < \lambda < \sigma < 1, \quad \mu(0, \tau) = \mu(\tau, \lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(\lambda, \sigma) = \mu(\sigma, b),$$

then there exist positive numbers  $\delta_i = \delta_i(v) \in (0, 1)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 5$  for which

$$\mu(0, \lambda) = \delta_1 \mu(I), \quad \mu_1(\tau, \lambda) = \delta_2 \mu_1(I), \quad \mu_1(\lambda, \sigma) = \delta_3 \mu_1(I),$$

$$\int_0^\tau (\tau - s)^2 d\mu(s) = \delta_4 \frac{\mu_1(I)}{\mu(I)^2},$$

$$\int_\sigma^1 (s - \sigma)^2 d\mu(s) = \delta_5 \frac{\mu_1(I)}{\mu(I)^2}.$$

Set  $\delta = \min_i \delta_i$  and  $\kappa = (\delta)^{3/2}$ . Then Lemma 7 [4] gives us the required lower bound  $M_2(2, 2) \geq \frac{1}{40} \kappa \mathcal{E}$ . □

#### References

- [1] *Drábek, P.; Kufner, A.*: The Hardy inequalities and Birkhoff interpolation. Bayreuther Math. Schriften 47 (1994), 99–104.
- [2] *Gurka, P.*: Generalized Hardy inequalities for functions vanishing on both ends of the interval. Preprint, 1987.
- [3] *Edmunds, D. E.; Evans, W. D.; Harris, D. J.*: Approximation numbers of certain Volterra integral operators. J. London Math. Soc., II. Ser. 37 (1988), 471–489.
- [4] *Edmunds, D. E.; Stepanov, V. D.*: The measure of non-compactness and approximation numbers of certain Volterra integral operators. Math. Ann. 298 (1994), 41–66.
- [5] *Heinig, H. P.; Kufner, A.*: Hardy's inequality for higher order derivatives. Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 192 (1992), 113–121.
- [6] *Kufner, A.*: Higher order Hardy inequalities. Bayreuther Math. Schriften 44 (1993), 105–146.

- [7] *Kufner, A.; Leinfelder, H.:* On overdetermined Hardy inequalities. Preprint, 1998.
- [8] *Kufner, A.; Simader, C. G.:* Hardy inequalities for overdetermined classes of functions. *Z. Anal. Anwend.* 16 (1997), no. 2, 387–403.
- [9] *Kufner, A.; Sinnamon, G.:* Overdetermined Hardy inequalities. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 213 (1997), 468–486.
- [10] *Kufner, A.; Wannebo, A.:* Some remarks on the Hardy inequality for higher order derivatives. *General Inequalities VI. Internat. Series of Numer. Math. Vol. 103*, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1992, pp. 33–48.
- [11] *Nasyrova, M.; Stepanov, V.:* On weighted Hardy inequalities on semiaxis for functions vanishing at the endpoints. *J. Inequal. Appl.* 1 (1997), 223–238.
- [12] *Nasyrova, M.:* Overdetermined weighted Hardy inequalities on semiaxis. *Proceedings of Dehli conference*. To appear.
- [13] *Opic, B.; Kufner, A.:* Hardy-type Inequalities. *Pitman Research Notes in Math., Series 219*, Longman Sci&Tech., Harlow, 1990.
- [14] *Rudin, W.:* *Functional Analysis*. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1973.
- [15] *Sinnamon, G.:* Kufner’s conjecture for higher order Hardy inequalities. *Real Analysis Exchange*, 21 (1995/96), 590–603.
- [16] *Stepanov, V. D.:* Weighted inequalities for a class of Volterra convolution operators. *J. London Math. Soc.(2)* 45 (1992), 232–242.
- [17] *Stepanov, V. D.:* Weighted norm inequalities of Hardy type for a class of integral operators. *J. London Math. Soc.(2)* 50 (1994), 105–120.

*Authors’ addresses:* *M. Nasyrova*, Khabarovsk State University of Technology, Department of Applied Mathematics, Tichookeanskaya 136, Khabarovsk, 680035, Russia, e-mail: [nasyrov@fizika.khstu.ru](mailto:nasyrov@fizika.khstu.ru); *V. Stepanov*, Computer Center of the Far-Eastern Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Shelest 118-205, Khabarovsk, 680042, Russia, e-mail: [stepanov@dv.khv.ru](mailto:stepanov@dv.khv.ru).