New York Journal of Mathematics

New York J. Math. 30 (2024) 756-773.

Spaces of generators for the 2 × 2 complex matrix algebra

W. S. Gant and Ben Williams

ABSTRACT. This paper studies the space of *r*-tuples of 2×2 complex matrices that generate the full matrix algebra, considered up to change-of-basis. We show that when *r* is 2, this space is homotopy equivalent to the quotient of a product of a circle and a sphere by an involution. When *r* is greater than 2, we determine the rational cohomology of the space in degrees less than 4r - 6. As an application, we use the machinery of [5] to prove that for all natural numbers *d*, there exists a ring *R* of Krull dimension *d* and a degree-2 Azumaya algebra *A* over *R* that cannot be generated by fewer than $2\lfloor d/4 \rfloor + 2$ elements.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	756
2.	Preliminaries	760
3.	Invariant theory	761
4.	Connectivity	763
5.	The case of $r = 2$	763
6.	Cohomology of $U(r)$	765
7.	The case of odd <i>r</i>	767
8.	The case of even <i>r</i> and the proof of Theorem 1.3	771
References		772

1. Introduction

Throughout, $r \ge 2$ denotes a natural number. This paper is an investigation of the topology of two families of spaces, denoted U(r) and B(r) below, related to generating *r*-tuples for the 2 × 2 matrix algebra.

Received January 2, 2024.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 14F25 (Primary); 15A99, 16S15, 55R40 (Secondary). *Key words and phrases.* Matrix algebras; spaces of generators; generators of Azumaya algberas.

We acknowledge the support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), RGPIN-2016-03780, RGPIN-2021-02603. Cette recherche a été financée par le Conseil de recherches en sciences naturelles et en génie du Canada (CRSNG), RGPIN-2016-03780, RGPIN-2021-02603.

Let U(r) denote the space of *r*-tuples of 2×2 matrices that generate $Mat_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{C})$ as an algebra. By a theorem of Burnside ([11]) this is the set of all *r*-tuples $(A_1, ..., A_r)$ that do not have an eigenvector in common.

The group PGL(2; \mathbb{C}) acts on Mat_{2×2}(\mathbb{C}) by conjugation, in other words, by change of basis. It acts on U(r) by simultaneous conjugation. This action is free, see Proposition 3.1, and the quotient B(r) is a manifold, see Proposition 3.3.

The spaces B(r) are approximations to the classifying space B PGL(2), in the pattern of [16] or [3]. The map $U(r) \rightarrow B(r)$ is a principal PGL(2; \mathbb{C})-bundle and is therefore classified by a map $B(r) \rightarrow B$ PGL(2; \mathbb{C}), unique up to homotopy. The classifying map is topologically (2r-3)-connected (Corollary 4.4), so that in particular, the induced map on cohomology H^{*i*}(B PGL(2; \mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^{*i*}(B(r); \mathbb{Q}) is an isomorphism in the range $i \leq 2r - 4$. This paper examines the invariants of B(r) beyond this range.

Three of our four main results are Proposition 5.3, which determines the homotopy type of *B*(2) completely, and Propositions 7.6 and 8.1, which calculate the rational cohomology $H^i(B(r); \mathbb{Q})$ when i < 4r - 6.

Applications to generation of algebras. Our last main result is to answer a question left open by [5], which we now explain.

Over a commutative ring *R*, an *Azumaya algebra of degree* 2 is a unital, associative but not commutative *R*-algebra *A* so that there exists a faithfully flat extension ϕ : $R \rightarrow S$ such that $A \otimes_R S$ is isomorphic to $Mat_{2\times 2}(S)$ as an *S*-algebra. Associated with such an algebra *A* over a ring *R*, there is an algebraic principal PGL(2)-bundle $T \rightarrow \text{Spec } R$ given locally on Spec *R* as the bundle of isomorphisms $A|_U \rightarrow Mat_{2\times 2} \times U$. As a special case of [5, Proposition 4.1] applied to $Mat_{2\times 2}$, there is a natural bijective correspondence:

 $\{PGL(2)\text{-equivariant morphisms } T \rightarrow U(r)\} \leftrightarrow$

{*r*-tuples of elements of A that generate A as an algebra. }. (1)

If *A* and *A'* are two algebras over *R* and $(a_1, ..., a_r) \in A^r$ and $(a'_1, ..., a'_r) \in (A')^r$ are *r*-tuples of elements, then we will say that an *isomorphism*

$$\phi: (A; a_1, \dots, a_r) \to (A'; a_1', \dots, a_r')$$

is an isomorphism $\phi : A \to A'$ so that $\phi(a_i) = a'_i$ for all $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$. By passing to quotients, we arrive at:

Proposition 1.1. With notation as above, there exists a bijective correspondence

 $\{Morphisms \operatorname{Spec} R \to B(r)\} \leftrightarrow$

 $\begin{cases} Isomorphism classes of Azumaya algebras of degree 2, \\ equipped with r-tuples of elements of A that generate A \\ as an algebra. \end{cases}$ (2)

Proof. First we relate the left-hand side of (2) with that of (1). In brief, the variety B(r) is the stack quotient of U(r) by PGL(2). More explicitly, given a PGL(2)-equivariant morphism $T \rightarrow U(r)$, take the quotient to arrive at a morphism Spec $R \rightarrow B(r)$. Conversely, given a morphism f : Spec $R \rightarrow B(r)$, one can pull the torsor $U(r) \rightarrow B(r)$ back along f to produce a torsor $T \rightarrow$ Spec R, defined up to isomorphism. These operations are inverse to each other, provided the torsor is always considered up to isomorphism, so we see that the set of morphisms Spec $R \rightarrow B(r)$ is in bijective correspondence with the set of isomorphism classes of spans

Spec
$$R \leftarrow T \rightarrow U(r)$$

where the left map is a PGL(2)-torsor and the right map is PGL(2)-equivariant.

That is, the left-hand side of (2) is in bijective correspondence with isomorphism classes of PGL₂-equivariant maps $T \rightarrow U(r)$, i.e., things that may appear on the left of (1). Naturality of the correspondence (1) then implies that the left-hand side of (2) is in bijective correspondence with isomorphism classes of algebras *A* equipped with *r*-tuples of generating elements, i.e., things that may appear on the right of (1). This is what we wanted.

If we specialize to the case where *R* is a reduced finite-type \mathbb{C} -algebra, the objects *T* and Spec *R* are complex varieties, and we may use topological methods to give computable obstructions to generating *A* by *r* elements. Write *X* for Spec *R* viewed as a complex analytic space. We may find a topological obstruction to the existence of PGL(2; \mathbb{C})-equivariant maps $T \rightarrow U(r)$, by finding obstructions to a lift in the diagram:

$$X \xrightarrow{f} B \operatorname{PGL}(2; \mathbb{C})$$

$$(3)$$

where the maps to *B* PGL(2; \mathbb{C}) are those classifying the PGL(2; \mathbb{C})-bundles $T \to X$ and $U(r) \to B(r)$. From this point of view, any cohomology class $\alpha \in H^*(B \operatorname{PGL}(2; \mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Z})$ that maps to 0 in $H^*(B(r); \mathbb{Z})$ furnishes a potential obstruction for *A* to be generated by *r* elements, and the obstruction actually applies if $f^*(\alpha) \neq 0$.

The final sections of [5] are concerned with using this idea to produce examples of algebras of various kinds that cannot be generated by *r* elements. In [5, Sections 13 and 14], the calculations for forms of $Mat_{s\times s}(\mathbb{C})$ are done when s > 2, i.e., for Azumaya algebras of degree at least 3. The theorem of [5] is essentially¹ this:

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 1.5(b) of [5]). For any s > 2 and any integer $d \ge 0$, there exists a finite type ring R over \mathbb{C} of Krull dimension d and an Azumaya R-algebra

¹The cited theorem applies over all fields of characteristic 0.

B such that B cannot be generated by fewer than

$$\left\lfloor \frac{d}{2(s-1)} \right\rfloor + 2$$

.

elements.

The proof of [5] does not extend to the case of s = 2. The spaces U(r) and B(r) behave differently from their analogues for s > 2. The techniques of [5] cannot determine the smallest *i* such that the natural map $H^i(B \operatorname{PGL}(2; \mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \to H^i(B(r); \mathbb{Q})$ is not injective, in contrast to the case of higher *i* which is handled by [5, Lemma 14.5].

In this paper, we adopt different methods to study U(r), B(r). Provided r > 2, we show that the natural map

$$\mathrm{H}^{i}(B \operatorname{PGL}(2; \mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathrm{H}^{i}(B(r); \mathbb{Q})$$

is not injective when i = 2r - 2 and r is odd, or when i = 2r and r is even. These statements follow from Propositions 7.6 and 8.1, along with the observation that $H^*(B \operatorname{PGL}(2; \mathbb{C}); \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[p_1]$ where $|p_1| = 4$.

The same argument used to prove [5, Theorem 1.5(b)] now establishes our last main result:

Theorem 1.3. If $d \ge 0$, there exists a finitely generated \mathbb{C} -ring R of Krull dimension d and an Azumaya algebra A of degree 2 over R such that A cannot be generated by fewer than

$$2\left\lfloor \frac{d}{4} \right\rfloor + 2$$

elements.

This theorem represents an improvement in our understanding of how many generators are required for a general degree-2 Azumaya algebra A over a ring R of Krull dimension d. In [4, Theorem 1.2], it is proved that all such A can be generated by d + 2 elements.

An alternative argument in [5, Sec. 13], not involving the spaces U(r) and B(r), uses [14] to produce examples of finitely generated \mathbb{R} -rings R of Krull dimension d and quaternion algebras A over R that require $\lfloor \frac{d+1}{2} \rfloor$ generators. The examples we produce in this paper represent an improvement on this in two respects.

First,

$$2\left\lfloor\frac{d}{4}\right\rfloor + 2 \ge \left\lfloor\frac{d+1}{2}\right\rfloor$$

with equality only when $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, so that our result is a numerical improvement on that of [5, Sec. 13].

Second, the examples of [5, Sec. 13] rely implicitly on the fact that -1 is not a square in *R*. The examples we give here can be constructed over any field of characteristic 0, and the same bounds on the number of generators required

can be obtained from the bounds over \mathbb{C} by use of the Lefschetz principle, see [5, Sec. 10]. We give the arguments over \mathbb{C} to keep the paper short.

Beyond proving Theorem 1.3, the topology of B(r) and its relation to the classifying space B PGL(2; \mathbb{C}) can answer other questions about whether or not Azumaya algebras of degree 2 can be generated by r elements, by virtue of the lifting obstruction in (3).

Acknowledgements. We are extremely grateful to Zinovy Reichstein for many helpful conversations and pointers to the literature in this area. The paper has benefitted greatly from his generous advice.

2. Preliminaries

Algebras in this paper are unital, associative \mathbb{C} -algebras, not necessarily commutative. Here are some conventions that hold in the rest of the paper.

- M denotes $Mat_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{C})$.
- Except where it would lead to confusion, we write PGL(2) for the complex Lie group PGL(2; ℂ).
- *r* is a positive integer, and to avoid vacuity, $r \ge 2$.
- An *r*-tuple of matrices $(A_1, ..., A_r) \in M^r$ may be denoted **A**.
- Z(r) is the subset of M^r consisting of r-tuples $(A_1, ..., A_r)$ that generate a proper subalgebra of M.
- U(r) is the complement of Z(r) in M^r .
- The term "variety" will mean "complex algebraic variety" and all varieties we encounter are quasiprojective. By a "point" of a variety *X*, we mean a complex point.

Proposition 2.1. The space Z(r) of non-generating r-tuples is a closed subvariety of codimension r - 1 in M^r .

Proof. This is a special case of [5, Proposition 7.1]. Consider the incidence variety *Y* in $M^r \times \mathbb{C}P^1$ consisting of pairs $\mathbf{A} \times L$ where *L* is an eigenspace for each matrix in the *r*-tuple \mathbf{A} :



Since PGL(2; \mathbb{C}) acts on *Y* and transitively on \mathbb{CP}^1 , the projection $Y \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ is flat. Each fibre of the projection $Y \to \mathbb{CP}^1$ is an affine space of dimension 3r, so that *Y* has dimension 3r + 1. Since *Y* is closed in $M^r \times \mathbb{CP}^1$, and \mathbb{CP}^1 is a proper variety, the image of *Y* in M^r is a closed subvariety. This image is precisely Z(r).

It remains to show that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Z(r) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} Y$. There is a dense open subset $Y_0 \subset Y$ where the first matrix in **A** has at most 2 different eigenspaces, and therefore the map $Y_0 \to M^r$ has finite fibres. It follows that the image of

 $\operatorname{proj}_1|_{Y_0}$ is a locally closed subvariety of M^r of dimension 3r - 1. This image is dense in Z(r) and so the result follows.

There is an action of PGL(2) on M^r given by

$$G \cdot (A_1, \dots, A_r) = (GA_1G^{-1}, \dots, GA_rG^{-1}).$$

This action restricts to an action of PGL(2) on U(r).

Let M'(r) denote the set of *r*-tuples of 2×2 -matrices $(A_1, ..., A_r)$ such that $Tr(A_i) = 0$ for all *i*. There is a deformation retraction Φ of M^r onto M'(r), given by

$$\Phi: \mathbf{M}^{r} \times [0,1] \to M, \qquad \Phi(A_{1}, \dots, A_{r}, t) = (A_{1} - t \operatorname{Tr}(A_{1}), \dots, A_{r} - t \operatorname{Tr}(A_{r})).$$
(4)

Write U'(r) for the intersection $U(r) \cap M'(r)$. The space U'(r) is invariant under the PGL(2, \mathbb{C})-action, and therefore there is a quotient $B'(r) = U'(r)/PGL(2, \mathbb{C})$.

Proposition 2.2. The inclusions $U'(r) \rightarrow U(r)$ and $B'(r) \rightarrow B(r)$ are homotopy equivalences.

Proof. We observe that the deformation retraction in (4) restricts to a deformation retraction of U(r) onto U'(r), and induces a deformation retraction of B(r) onto B'(r).

3. Invariant theory

We wish to understand the action of the reductive group PGL(2) on U(r) and in particular the quotient B(r) = U(r)/PGL(2). What we present here is the n = 2 case of a much more general theory, developed in [15], [1], [13], [9], and elsewhere.

In this section, we encounter \mathbb{C} -schemes which we do not show to be varieties. While we are happy to use "point of *V*" to mean "rational point of *V*" when *V* is a variety, whenever it is not obvious that *X* is a variety, we use the more precise term "rational point".

Proposition 3.1. The action of PGL(2) on U(r) is free.

Proof. Let $(A_1, A_2, ..., A_r)$ be a point of U(r). Suppose some $G \in PGL(2)$ satisfies $GA_iG^{-1} = A_i$ for all *i*. Then conjugation by *G* fixes any matrix that can be expressed as a polynomial in the A_i . Since this is the set of all matrices, we see that *G* must act trivially on M. It follows that *G* is the identity element of PGL(2).

Consider the coordinate ring of *r*-tuples of matrices, M^r . This ring is a polynomial ring in 4*r* variables, and PGL(2) acts on it by virtue of its action on M. There is a subring, which we will call $\mathcal{R}_{r,2}$, consisting of those PGL(2)-invariant polynomial functions on M^r .

The ring $\mathcal{R}_{r,2}$ is the coordinate ring of a universal categorical quotient scheme $M^r / PGL(2)$ according to [12, Theorem 1.1]. A description of the rational points

of Spec($\mathcal{R}_{r,2}$) is given by [1, 12.6]. In order to explain that description, we introduce some further terminology.

Let $F_r = \mathbb{C}\{x_1, ..., x_r\}$ denote the free associative algebra generated by *r* indeterminates. Following [1], given an *r*-tuple $\mathbf{A} = (A_1, ..., A_r) \in \mathbf{M}^r$, we define a matrix representation

$$\phi_{\mathbf{A}}: F_r \to \mathbf{M}$$

by $x_i \mapsto A_i$. The set of representations is in obvious bijection with M^{*r*}.

Each representation ϕ_A endows \mathbb{C}^2 with an F_r -module structure, and ϕ_A is said to be *semisimple* if \mathbb{C}^2 is totally reducible with this structure. That is, ϕ_A is semisimple if either **A** has no common invariant subspace, in which case \mathbb{C}^2 is irreducible as an F_r -module, or if \mathbb{C}^2 decomposes as a direct sum of simultaneous eigenspaces of the A_i .

If $\phi_{\mathbf{A}}$ is not semisimple, there is an associated semisimple representation in the closure of the PGL(2)-orbit of $\phi_{\mathbf{A}}$. This is a general fact proved in [1, 12.6]. In the 2 × 2 case, the associated semisimple representation is obtained from **A** by bringing **A** to simultaneous upper-triangular form

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & * \\ 0 & \mu_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_2 & * \\ 0 & \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_r & * \\ 0 & \mu_r \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

(possible since the A_i do not generate M and therefore they have a common eigenvector), then replacing the *r*-tuple by

$$\mathbf{A}' = \left(\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_r & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_r \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

We now can describe the points of Spec($\mathcal{R}_{r,2}$), as promised earlier.

Theorem 3.2 (Artin). The rational points of $\text{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_{r,2})$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the PGL(2)-orbits of semisimple representations $\phi_{\mathbf{A}}$. The quotient map $q : \mathbf{M}^r \to \text{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_{r,2})$ takes a general r-tuple \mathbf{A}' to the orbit of the associated semisimple representation.

Proposition 3.3. The quotient map $U(r) \rightarrow B(r) = U(r)/PGL(2)$ is a principal PGL(2)-bundle and B(r) is a manifold.

Proof. We show that $U(r) \rightarrow B(r)$ is a geometric quotient in the sense of [12]. We know that $M^r \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathcal{R}_{r,2}$ is a universal categorical quotient. Since M^r admits only the trivial line bundle, and the trivial line bundle admits only one PGL(2)-linearization, we know that every point of M^r is semistable for the PGL(2) action.

We observe that for all points **A** of U(r), the hypotheses of [12, Amplification 1.11(2)] apply. The regularity hypothesis is satisfied here because the action of PGL(2) on U(r) is free. As for closure, if $\mathbf{A} \in U(r)$, then Theorem 3.2 assures us that the closed set $q^{-1}(q(\mathbf{A}))$ is precisely the orbit of **A**.

We deduce that U(r) consists of stable points for the action of PGL(2), so that [12, Converse 1.13] applies to say that the action of PGL(2) on U(r) is proper and the quotient B(r) is a quasiprojective \mathbb{C} -variety.

Since the action of PGL(2) on U(r) is proper, standard results in manifold theory (see e.g., [10]) tell us that B(r) is a manifold, in this case a smooth variety, and the quotient map is a principal PGL(2)-bundle map.

4. Connectivity

We make use of the following well-known result. The proof is an extended exercise in transversality.

Proposition 4.1. Let $Z \hookrightarrow M$ be a closed embedding of smooth manifolds of real codimension d > 0. Then the inclusion $M \setminus Z \hookrightarrow M$ is (d - 1)-connected.

Corollary 4.2. Let $Z \hookrightarrow M$ be a closed embedding of smooth manifolds of real codimension d > 0, and suppose M is (d - 2)-connected. Then $M \setminus Z$ is (d - 2)-connected

Here are two immediate applications of this.

Proposition 4.3. The space U(r) is (2r - 4)-connected.

Proof. We know $U(r) = M^r \setminus Z(r)$, where Z(r) is defined as in Proposition 2.1. The variety Z(r) is singular, but it admits a stratification into smooth locally closed subvarieties of complex dimension $\leq 3r - 1$. The space $M^r \approx \mathbb{C}^{4r}$ is contractible, so by repeated application of Corollary 4.2, we see that U(r) is (d-2)-connected where *d* is the real codimension of Z(r) in M^r , i.e., d = 2r - 2.

Corollary 4.4. A map $B(r) \rightarrow B$ PGL(2) classifying the principal PGL(2)-bundle $U(r) \rightarrow B(r)$ is (2r - 3)-connected.

Proof. The map fits in a homotopy fibre sequence $U(r) \rightarrow B(r) \rightarrow B$ PGL(2). Since U(r) is (2r-4)-connected, the map $B(r) \rightarrow B$ PGL(2) is (2r-3)-connected.

5. The case of r = 2

When r = 2, we are able to determine the homotopy type of the variety B(r) completely. In Section 3, we observed that B(r) is an open subvariety of an affine scheme Spec $\mathcal{R}_{r,2}$.

Theorem 5.1 ([15, Theorem 5]). The ring $\mathcal{R}_{r,2}$ of PGL(2)-invariant polynomials on the space of *r*-tuples ($A_1, ..., A_r$) of 2 × 2 matrices is generated by the elements

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname{Tr}(A_i) \quad where \ i \in \{1, \dots, r\}, \\ & \operatorname{Tr}(A_i^2) \quad where \ i \in \{1, \dots, r\}, \\ & \operatorname{Tr}(A_iA_j) \quad where \ i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\} \ and \ i < j, \\ & \operatorname{Tr}(A_iA_jA_l) \quad where \ i, j, l \in \{1, \dots, r\} \ and \ i < j < l. \end{split}$$

In fact, we will use this result only when r = 2. Here, there are no triple products and so every element of the ring $\mathcal{R}_{2,2}$ is a polynomial in the functions

$$Tr(A_1), Tr(A_2), Tr(A_1^2), Tr(A_2^2), Tr(A_1A_2).$$
 (5)

Explicitly, this implies that Spec $\mathcal{R}_{2,2}$ is a closed subscheme of the variety \mathbb{C}^5 , and therefore that B(2) is a locally closed subscheme. We know that the dimension of B(2) as a \mathbb{C} -variety is dim U(r) – dim PGL(2) = 8 – 3 = 5, so that B(2) must actually be dense in \mathbb{C}^5 and Spec $\mathcal{R}_{2,2} = \mathbb{C}^5$. That is, the functions in (5) are algebraically independent.

Proposition 5.2. Let A_1 and A_2 be two 2×2 -matrices. Then A_1 and A_2 do not generate the matrix algebra M if and only if $Tr(A_1A_2)$ is a root of the equation

$$[2x - \operatorname{Tr}(A_1)\operatorname{Tr}(A_2)]^2 = [2\operatorname{Tr}(A_1^2) - \operatorname{Tr}(A_1)^2][2\operatorname{Tr}(A_2^2) - \operatorname{Tr}(A_2)^2].$$
 (6)

This is a corollary of the results of [6, Section 2]. Specifically, [6, Theorem 2.9] says that A_1, A_2 generate M, i.e., they are not simultaneously similar to upper triangular matrices, if and only if they lie in the complement in M² of the closed affine variety defined by (6). This variety is called U in [6, Theorem 2.2].

As a consequence of this result, the space B(2) is an open affine subvariety of \mathbb{C}^5 determined by the non-vanishing of a single polynomial. In light of Proposition 2.2, the space B(2) admits a deformation retraction onto the space of traceless pairs, B'(2). When $\text{Tr}(A_1) = 0 = \text{Tr}(A_2)$, the equation (6) simplifies, and so B'(2) is the affine open subvariety of \mathbb{C}^3 determined by the non-vanishing of the polynomial:

$$x^2 - z_1 z_2,$$
 (7)

where $z_1 = \text{Tr}(A_1^2)$ and $z_2 = \text{Tr}(A_2^2)$.

Proposition 5.3. The space B(2) is homotopy equivalent to the balanced product $S^1 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ S^2 , where $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ has the antipodal action on each factor.

Proof. The inclusion $B'(2) \hookrightarrow B(2)$ is a homotopy equivalence, and B'(2) is given by the non-vanishing of (7). After a change of coordinates, we can write B'(2) as the affine complement of the subvariety of \mathbb{C}^3 determined by $x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 0$.

Consider the variety *Y* consisting of quadruples $(\lambda, y_1, y_2, y_3) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ and $y_1^2 + y_2^2 + y_3^2 = 1$. This variety carries a free action by $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ given by

 $(\lambda, y_1, y_2, y_3) \mapsto (-\lambda, -y_1, -y_2, -y_3).$

There is a continuous map $f : \mathbb{C}^{\times} \times TS^2 \to B'(2)$ given by $f(\lambda, y_1, y_2, y_3) = (\lambda y_1, \lambda y_2, \lambda y_3)$. This map is surjective and satisfies

$$f(\lambda, y_1, y_2, y_3) = f(-\lambda, -y_1, -y_2, -y_3).$$

It is elementary to check that the induced map

$$f: Y/(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \to B'(2)$$

is a continuous bijection between 5-manifolds, and therefore a homeomorphism.

If TS^2 denotes the tangent bundle of the real 2-manifold S^2 , consisting of pairs of vectors $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$ and $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 1$, then the function

$$(\lambda, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \mapsto (\lambda, \sqrt{1 + \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \mathbf{u} + i\mathbf{v}})$$

is a homeomorphism $\mathbb{C}^{\times} \times TS^2 \to Y$ (we learned this fact from [8]). This homeomorphism is $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariant where $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ acts by multiplication by -1 on each factor. We may write $\mathbb{C}^{\times} \times TS^2$ instead of *Y*.

The embedding $S^1 \times S^2 \subset \mathbb{C}^{\times} \times TS^2$, which sends (λ, \mathbf{u}) to $(\lambda, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{0})$, is a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariant weak equivalence. There is an induced homotopy equivalence $S^1 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ $S^2 \hookrightarrow B'(2)$.

6. Cohomology of U(r)

In this section, $H^*(X)$ will denote the cohomology of *X* with rational coefficients.

In the cases of r > 2, we are unable to find an elegant description of the homotopy type of B(r). We can, however, calculate a good deal of its rational cohomology. The overall method is as follows. We first calculate some of the rational cohomology of U(r) by analyzing a stratification of $Z(r) = M^r \setminus U(r)$ into smooth subvarieties. This is achieved in this section. In principle, the calculation can be pushed further, but we do not need any more for the rest of this paper.

In Section 7, we calculate some of the rational cohomology of $H^i(B(r))$ when r is odd by using the Serre spectral sequence of the homotopy fibre sequence $U(r) \rightarrow B(r) \rightarrow B \text{ PGL}(2)$. The difficulty is in determining the differentials, and we employ several comparison arguments to show that the first differential that has nonzero domain and codomain is in fact not zero. In Section 8, we determine $H^i(B(r))$ for even r in a range similar to that of the odd case, which we do by comparison to the odd case. This is enough to establish Theorem 1.3.

We begin our calculation of the cohomology of U(r) with some definitions:

- T(r): those *r*-tuples $(A_1, ..., A_r) \in M^r$ that pairwise commute, i.e., such that $[A_i, A_j] = \mathbf{0}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, ..., r\}$.
- $W(r) = Z(r) \setminus T(r)$: those *r*-tuples $(A_1, ..., A_r)$ that share an eigenvector and have the property that $[A_i, A_i] \neq \mathbf{0}$ for some $i, j \in \{1, ..., r\}$.

Note that $T(r) \subset Z(r)$, since the algebra generated by a pairwise commuting *r*-tuple is commutative. Moreover, T(r) is a closed subvariety of M^r .

Proposition 6.1. The complex dimension of T(r) is 2r + 2.

Proof. Let *L* denote the subalgebra of M consisting of scalar matrices. Consider the subset of T(r) consisting of *r*-tuples of scalar matrices, L^r . This has complex dimension *r*.

Now we work with the variety $T(r) \setminus L^r$ of *r*-tuples of matrices that commute, but at least one of which is not scalar. Let $Y_i \subset T(r) \setminus L^r$ denote the open

subvariety where the *i*-th matrix, A_i , is not scalar. The sets Y_i furnish a (Zariski) open cover of $T(r) \setminus L^r$.

Since A_i is a 2 × 2 matrix, its eigenvalues have geometric multiplicity 1. Its characteristic polynomial agrees with its minimal polynomial, i.e., it is *non-derogatory* in the terminology of [7, 3.2.4], and therefore a matrix commutes with A_i if and only if it is of the form $aA_i + bI_2$.

There is an isomorphism of varieties

$$(\mathsf{M} \setminus L) \times (\mathbb{C}^2)^{r-1} \approx Y_i$$

given by

$$(A_i, (a_1, b_1, \dots, \widehat{a_i, b_i}, \dots, a_r, b_r)) \mapsto (a_1A_i + b_1I_2, \dots, A_i, \dots, a_rA_i + b_rI_2),$$

where the hat denotes omission. It follows that the complex dimension of Y_i , and therefore of $T(r) \setminus L^r$, is 4 + (2r - 2), which is 2r + 2, as claimed.

Next we consider the variety W(r), which is dense in Z(r) and therefore of complex dimension 3r + 1. If an *r*-tuple $(A_1, ..., A_r)$ is in W(r), then the A_i have a unique shared 1-dimensional eigenspace. Consider the map

$$p: W(r) \to \mathbb{C}P^1 \tag{8}$$

that sends an *r*-tuple in W(r) to its common 1-dimensional eigenspace. This map is PGL(2)-equivariant, where PGL(2) acts on \mathbb{CP}^1 in the usual way. Since the action on the target is transitive, the fibres are all isomorphic to the fibre $p^{-1}([1:0])$ consisting of *r*-tuples $(A_1, ..., A_r)$ of upper triangular matrices such that $[A_i, A_j] \neq 0$ for some *i*, *j*. With this in hand, we can construct algebraic local trivializations over the standard open cover of \mathbb{CP}^1 to see that *p* is a fibre bundle.

Proposition 6.2. *If* r > 2*, then*

$$\widetilde{H}^{i}(W(r);\mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } i = 2; \\ 0 & \text{if } i < 2r - 3 \text{ and } i \neq 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Identify the variety of *r*-tuples of upper triangular matrices with \mathbb{C}^{3r} . In the map of (8), the fibre $F = p^{-1}([1 : 0])$ is an open subvariety of \mathbb{C}^{3r} and is therefore smooth. The space W(r) is the total space of a fibre bundle in the category of \mathbb{C} -varieties with smooth base and fibre.

We calculate the connectivity of *F* as follows. The space *F* consists of *r*-tuples of upper-triangular matrices $(A_1, ..., A_r)$ such that at least one commutator $[A_i, A_j]$ is not 0. Write \mathbb{C}^{3r} for the space of all *r*-tuples of upper triangular matrices, and let *Q* denote the complement of *F* in \mathbb{C}^{3r} , i.e., the closed subvariety determined by the vanishing of the commutators. An argument very similar to that of Proposition 6.1 shows that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Q = 2r + 1$, so that the codimension of *Q* in \mathbb{C}^{3r} is r - 1. Since *F* is the complement in \mathbb{C}^{3r} of a closed subvariety of complex codimension r - 1, the variety *F* is 2r - 4-connected by Corollary 4.2.

The statement of the proposition now follows from the Serre spectral sequence in rational cohomology for the fibration $F \to W(r) \to \mathbb{C}P^1$.

Proposition 6.3. *If* r > 2, *then*

$$\widetilde{H}^{i}(U(r);\mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } i = 2r - 3 \text{ or } i = 2r - 1; \\ 0 & \text{if } i < 4r - 6 \text{ and } i \neq 2r - 3, 2r - 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Corollary 4.2 and Proposition 6.1 combine to show $M^r \setminus T(r)$ is (4r - 6)connected. It is 4r-dimensional and W(r) is therefore of complex codimension r - 1 in it. Now applying the Thom–Gysin sequence

$$\cdots \to \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}^{i-1}(U(r)) \to \mathrm{H}^{i-2(r-1)}(W(r)) \to \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}^{i}(\mathrm{M}^{r} \setminus T(r)) \to \widetilde{\mathrm{H}}^{i}(U(r)) \to \cdots$$

to $M^r \setminus T(r)$, W(r) and $U(r) = (M^r \setminus T(r)) \setminus W(r)$ gives the result.

For convenience of later reference, let us name generators $\alpha_i \in \widetilde{H}^i(U(r); \mathbb{Z})$ for $i \in \{2r - 3, 2r - 1\}$.

7. The case of odd r

Again, in this section $H^*(X)$ denotes the cohomology of *X* with rational coefficients.

We compute $H^i(B(r))$ in degrees i < 4r - 6 by means of the Serre spectral sequence associated with the homotopy fibre sequence $U(r) \rightarrow B(r) \rightarrow B$ PGL(2):

 $\mathbf{E}_2^{p,q} = \mathbf{H}^p(B\operatorname{PGL}(2); \mathbf{H}^q(U(r))) \Rightarrow \mathbf{H}^{p+q}(B(r)).$

We restrict our attention to terms $E_k^{p,q}$ with p + q < 4r - 6. We may identify

$$\mathrm{H}^*(B\operatorname{PGL}(2;\mathbb{C})) = \mathrm{H}^*(B\operatorname{SO}_3)$$

since SO₃ \simeq SO(3; \mathbb{C}) \cong PGL(2; \mathbb{C}). The rational cohomology ring H*(*B* SO₃) is $\mathbb{Q}[p_1]$, where p_1 is the Pontryagin class and has degree 4, [2, Theorem 1.4]. The E₂-page of the spectral sequence can be determined in the range p + q < 4r - 6 by reference to Proposition 6.3.

Suppose now that *r* is odd. The first differential that is not obviously 0 is the transgressive differential d_{2r-2} : $H^{2r-3}(U(r)) \rightarrow H^{2r-2}(B \text{ PGL}(2))$, indicated in Figure 1.

Once we establish that this differential is not 0, then the computation of $H^i(B(r))$ in the range i < 4r - 6 (Proposition 7.6) follows. The differentials with source and target in the range p + q < 4r - 6 on succeeding pages all vanish for trivial reasons.

The first comparison. Embed S^1 in PGL(2) via the map

$$\lambda\mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $\lambda \in S^1$ acts on U(r) by

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 \\ c_1 & d_1 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} a_r & b_r \\ c_r & d_r \end{bmatrix} \right) \mapsto \left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & \bar{\lambda}b_1 \\ \lambda c_1 & d_1 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} a_r & \bar{\lambda}b_r \\ \lambda c_r & d_r \end{bmatrix} \right).$$
(9)



FIGURE 1. The E_{2r-2} -page of the Serre spectral sequence converging to $H^*(B(r))$ when *r* is odd. All indicated classes generate a term isomorphic to \mathbb{Q} . The empty terms are all 0.

We obtain a map of homotopy fibre sequences

$$U(r) \longrightarrow B(r) \longrightarrow B \operatorname{PGL}(2)$$

$$\| \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow (10)$$

$$U(r) \longrightarrow U(r)/S^{1} \longrightarrow BS^{1}.$$

The second comparison. Consider the inclusion $i : S^{2r-3} \times S^{2r-3} \rightarrow U(r)$ given by

$$((b_1, \dots, b_{r-1}), (c_1, \dots, c_{r-1})) \mapsto \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & b_1 \\ c_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b_{r-1} \\ c_{r-1} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \right),$$

where S^{2r-3} is embedded in \mathbb{C}^{r-1} in the usual way. To see that the target of *i* is in fact U(r), observe that the eigenspaces of the last matrix of an *r*-tuple (A_1, \ldots, A_r) in the image of *i* are span $\{e_1\}$ and span $\{e_2\}$. Since $b_i, c_j \neq 0$ for some $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, r-1\}$, the *r*-tuple (A_1, \ldots, A_r) does not have a common eigenvector and hence generates the matrix algebra.

Endow $S^{2r-3} \times S^{2r-3}$ with the S^1 -action $\lambda \cdot (b, c) = (\bar{\lambda}b, \lambda c)$. Then *i* is equivariant with respect to this action, so we obtain a homotopy commutative diagram of homotopy fibre sequences:

$$U(r) \longrightarrow U(r)/S^{1} \longrightarrow BS^{1}$$

$$\downarrow \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \qquad \parallel \qquad (11)$$

$$S^{2r-3} \times S^{2r-3} \longrightarrow S^{2r-3} \times_{S^{1}} S^{2r-3} \longrightarrow BS^{1}.$$

Notation 7.1. Let ${}^{r_{k}}_{k}$, ${}^{r_{d}}_{k}$ (respectively ${}^{"}E_{k}^{p,q}$, ${}^{"}d_{k}$) denote the terms and differentials of the Serre spectral sequence associated with the top (bottom, respectively) homotopy fibre sequence in (11). We also make the identification

$$\mathrm{H}^{2r-3}(S^{2r-3} \times S^{2r-3}) \cong \mathrm{H}^{2r-3}(S^{2r-3}) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{2r-3}(S^{2r-3})$$

via the Künneth formula.

Lemma 7.2. The transgressive differential ${}^{\prime\prime}d_{2r-2}$: $H^{2r-3}(S^{2r-3} \times S^{2r-3}) \rightarrow H^{2r-2}(BS^1)$ is surjective and the kernel is span{ $\{(\rho_{2r-3}, -\rho_{2r-3})\}$, where ρ_{2r-3} is a generator of $H^{2r-3}(S^{2r-3})$.

Proof. Comparison to the Serre spectral sequence associated with

$$S^{2r-3} \to \mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{r-2} \to BS^{1}$$

via either projection shows that the differential in question is surjective. For the second part, it suffices to show that ${}^{\prime\prime}d_{2r-2}(\beta,\gamma) = {}^{\prime\prime}d_{2r-2}(\gamma,\beta)$ for classes $\beta,\gamma \in H^{2r-3}(S^{2r-3})$. The product $S^{2r-3} \times S^{2r-3}$ is equipped with an S^1 -equivariant involution σ : $(b,c) \mapsto (\bar{c},\bar{b})$, where \bar{a} denotes the (r-1)-tuple $(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_{r-1})$. The map σ induces a self-map of spectral sequences $\sigma_k^{p,q}$: ${}^{\prime\prime}E_k^{p,q} \to {}^{\prime\prime}E_k^{p,q}$. In particular, the differential ${}^{\prime\prime}d_{2r-2}$ is invariant under the action of σ . Note that the homeomorphism $S^{2r-3} \to S^{2r-3}$ defined by $a \mapsto \bar{a}$ has degree 1, being the composition of an even number of reflections. Hence, σ is homotopic to the self-homeomorphism of $S^{2r-3} \times S^{2r-3}$ that switches factors. The induced map σ^* on rational cohomology in degree 2r - 3 also switches factors. The result follows.

Next, we discuss the induced map i^* : $H^*(U(r)) \rightarrow H^*(S^{2r-3} \times S^{2r-3})$.

Lemma 7.3. The class $i^*(\alpha_{2r-3})$ is nonzero.

Proof. Consider the inclusion $j : \mathbb{C}^{r-1} \mapsto M^r \setminus T(r)$ given by

$$(b_1, \dots, b_{r-1}) \mapsto \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & b_1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & b_{r-1} \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

There is a pullback square

$$\{\mathbf{0}\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{r-1}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{j}$$

$$W(r) \longrightarrow \mathbf{M}^{r} \setminus T(r)$$

where the two horizontal maps are closed inclusions of smooth \mathbb{C} -varieties. The map *j* induces a map of Thom–Gysin sequences provided W(r) is transverse to *j*.

To see that the intersection is transverse, let $\epsilon > 0$ and $\alpha : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to W(r)$ be a smooth path such that $\alpha(0) = j(0)$. We may write

$$\alpha(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1(t) & b_1(t) \\ 1 + c_1(t) & d_1(t) \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} a_{r-1}(t) & b_{r-1}(t) \\ 1 + c_{r-1}(t) & d_{r-1}(t) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a_r(t) + 1 & b_r(t) \\ c_r(t) & d_r(t) - 1 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix}$$

for some smooth paths $a_k, b_k, c_k, d_k : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \to \mathbb{C}$ that evaluate to 0 at t = 0. We wish to show that $b'_k(0) = 0$ for each k = 1, ..., r - 1. Recall there is a map $p : W(r) \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ that sends an *r*-tuple to its common eigenspace. Since $p\alpha(0) = [0 : 1]$, we may assume that the image of α lies in $p^{-1}(U_1)$ where $U_1 = \{[z : 1] : z \in \mathbb{C}\} \approx \mathbb{C}$. Write $p\alpha(t) = [z(t) : 1]$, and let $k \in \{1, ..., r - 1\}$. If the *k*th matrix of $\alpha(t)$ has the eigenspace [z(t) : 1], then

$$a_k(t)z(t) + b_k(t) = (1 + c_k(t))z(t)^2 + d_k(t)z(t).$$

Taking derivatives with respect to t and evaluating at t = 0, we get $b'_k(0) = 0$. A portion of the induced map of Thom–Gysin sequences takes the form:

$$\begin{array}{c} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{H}^{2r-3}(\mathbb{C}^{r-1} \setminus \mathbf{0}) \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{0}(\{\mathbf{0}\}) \longrightarrow & \mathbf{0} \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ &$$

Since $M^r \setminus T(r)$ is (4r - 6)-connected by Corollary 4.2 and Proposition 6.1, the map ∂ is an isomorphism. It follows that

$$j^*$$
: $\mathrm{H}^{2r-3}(U(r)) \to \mathrm{H}^{2r-3}(\mathbb{C}^{r-1} \setminus \mathbf{0})$

is an isomorphism. The map *j* restricted to $\mathbb{C}^{r-1} \setminus \mathbf{0}$ is, up to homotopy, the restriction of the map *i* to one of the spherical factors. It follows that i^* is non-trivial in degree 2r - 3.

Lemma 7.4. There exists a nonzero element β of $H^{2r-3}(S^{2r-3})$ such that $i^*(\alpha_{2r-3}) = (\beta, \beta)$.

Proof. Set $i^*(\alpha_{2r-3}) = (\beta, \gamma)$. In light of Lemma 7.3, it suffices to show $\beta = \gamma$.

The space U(r) admits an action by the connected Lie group $\mathbb{C}^{\times} \times PGL(2)$, given by

$$(\lambda, P) \cdot (A_1, \dots, A_r) = (\lambda P A_1 P^{-1}, \dots, \lambda P A_r P^{-1}).$$

Let $\tau : U(r) \to U(r)$ denote the action by $\begin{pmatrix} -1, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Connectivity implies that $\tau \simeq id_{U(r)}$.

Explicitly τ acts in the following way on each entry of **A**:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} -d & c \\ b & -a \end{bmatrix},$$

and we observe that the diagram

$$S^{2r-3} \times S^{2r-3} \xrightarrow{\text{swap}} S^{2r-3} \times S^{2r-3}$$

$$\downarrow^{i} \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{i}$$

$$U(r) \xrightarrow{\tau} U(r)$$

commutes. The result follows.

Proposition 7.5. When r > 2 is odd, the class $d_{2r-2}(\alpha_{2r-3})$ is a generator of $H^{2r-2}(B \operatorname{PGL}(2))$.

Proof. Naturality of the Serre spectral sequence for the second comparison says that $d_{2r-2} = d_{2r-2}i^*$. The latter map is an isomorphism by Lemmas 7.2 and 7.4. The first comparison then shows that d_{2r-2} is an isomorphism. \Box

Proposition 7.6. If r > 2 is odd,

$$H^{i}(B(r)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } i \leq 2r - 6 \text{ and } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \\ \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } 2r - 1 \leq i < 4r - 6 \text{ and } i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise when } i < 4r - 6. \end{cases}$$

Proof. In the range p + q < 4r - 6, one has $E_{2r-1}^{p,q} \cong E_{\infty}^{p,q}$. The result follows immediately.

8. The case of even r and the proof of Theorem 1.3

Proposition 8.1. *If* $r \ge 2$ *is even,*

$$H^{i}(B(r)) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } i \leq 2r - 4 \text{ and } i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}; \\ \mathbb{Q} & \text{if } 2r - 3 \leq i < 4r - 6 \text{ and } i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise when } i < 4r - 6. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The first possibly supported differential in this case is the transgressive differential

$$d_{2r} : \mathrm{H}^{2r-1}(U(r)) \to \mathrm{H}^{2r}(B\operatorname{PGL}(2)).$$

There is a PGL(2)-equivariant inclusion $U(r) \rightarrow U(r+1)$ given by

$$(A_1, \dots, A_r) \mapsto (A_1, \dots, A_r, 0).$$

Comparison to the Serre spectral sequence associated with $U(r + 1) \rightarrow B(r + 1) \rightarrow B$ PGL(2) together with Proposition 7.5 shows that $d_{2r}(\alpha_{2r-1})$ is generator of H^{2r}(B PGL(2)). Similar to the odd case, one has $E_{2r+1}^{p,q} \cong E_{\infty}^{p,q}$ in the range p + q < 4r - 6.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Set *i* to be the largest integer such that $i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $i \leq d$, which is to say $i = 4\lfloor d/4 \rfloor$. Set r = i/2 + 1 so that

$$r = 2\left\lfloor \frac{d}{4} \right\rfloor + 1$$

We observe that

$$\mathrm{H}^{i}(B(r);\mathbb{Z})\longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^{i}(B\operatorname{PGL}(2);\mathbb{Z})$$

is not injective, by noting that i/2 is even and so r is odd, then using Proposition 7.6 with i = 2r - 2. Therefore [5, Theorem 11.4] applies with $M = Mat_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{C})$ as the underlying algebra (note that our $H^i(B(r); \mathbb{Z})$ is $H^i_G(U_r)$ in [5, Theorem 11.4]). This assures us that there exists a finite type \mathbb{C} -ring R' having Krull dimension i and a degree-2 Azumaya algebra A' over R' such that A' cannot be generated by r elements.

By construction, $i \le d < i + 4$. If we replace R' by a polynomial ring R in d - i variables $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^{d-i}$ over R', we obtain a ring having Krull dimension d and

the modified algebra $A = A' \otimes_{R'} R$ similarly cannot be generated by *r* elements, or else the specialization of those generators at $x_j = 0$ would serve as generators for A'.

Therefore, we have produced a degree-2 Azumaya algebra *A* over a ring *R* of Krull dimension *d* such that *A* cannot be generated by fewer than

$$2\left\lfloor\frac{d}{4}\right\rfloor + 2$$

elements.

References

- ARTIN, MICHAEL. On Azumaya algebras and finite dimensional representations of rings. *J. Algebra* **11** (1968), 532–563 MR0242890(39 #4217), Zbl 0222.16007, doi:10.1016/0021-8693(69)90091-X. 761, 762
- BROWN, EDGAR H., JR. The cohomology of BSO_n and BO_n with integer coefficients. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 85 (1982), no. 2, 283–288. MR0652459(83d:55015), Zbl 0509.55010, doi: 10.2307/2044298. 767
- [3] EDIDIN, DAN; GRAHAM, WILLIAM. Equivariant intersection theory. *Invent. Math.* 131 (1998) no. 3, 595–634. MR1614555, Zbl 0940.14003, doi: 10.1007/s002220050214.757
- [4] FIRST, URIYA A.; REICHSTEIN, ZINOVY. On the number of generators of an algebra. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 355 (2017), no. 1, 5–9. MR3590278, Zbl 1371.13024, arXiv:1610.08156, doi: 10.1016/j.crma.2016.11.015.759
- [5] FIRST, URIYA A.; REICHSTEIN, ZINOVY; WILLIAMS, BEN. On the number of generators of an algebra over a commutative ring. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **375** (2022), no. 10, 7277–7321. MR4491427, Zbl 1517.16014 doi: 10.1090/tran/8720. 756, 757, 758, 759, 760, 771
- [6] FRIEDLAND, SHMUEL. Simultaneous similarity of matrices. Adv. in Math. 50 (1983), no. 3, 189–265. MR0724475(86b:14020), Zbl 0532.15009, doi:10.1016/0001-8708(83)90044-0. 764
- HORN, ROGER. A.; JOHNSON, CHARLES R. Matrix analysis. *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, 1985. xiii+561 pp. ISBN:0-521-30586-1. MR0832183(87e:15001), Zbl 0576.15001, doi: 10.1017/CBO9780511810817. 766
- [8] HUISMAN, JOHANNES. Tangent bundle of sphere as a complex manifold, 2016. https://math.stackexchange.com/q/1785524.765
- [9] LE BRUYN, LIEVEN. Trace rings of generic 2 by 2 matrices. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 66 (1987), no. 363, vi+100 pp. MR0878906(88b:16032), Zbl 0675.16009, doi: 10.1090/memo/0363. 761
- [10] LEE, JOHN M. Introduction to smooth manifolds. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 218. Springer, New York, 2013. xvi+708 pp. ISBN:978-1-4419-9981-8. MR2954043, Zbl 1258.53002, doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-9982-5. 763
- [11] LOMONOSOV, VICTOR; ROSENTHAL, PETER. The simplest proof of Burnside's theorem on matrix algebras. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 383 (2004), 45–47. MR2073890(2005c:16046), Zbl 1058.15019, doi: 10.1016/j.laa.2003.08.012. 757
- [12] MUMFORD, DAVID B.; FOGARTY, JOHN; KIRWAN, FRANCES C. Geometric invariant theory. Third edition. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (2), 34. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994. xiv+292 pp. ISBN:3-540-56963-4. MR1304906(95m:14012), Zbl 0797.14004. 761, 762
- PROCESI, CLAUDIO. The invariant theory of *n* × *n* matrices. *Advances in Math.* **19** (1976), no. 3, 306–381. MR0419491(54 #7512), Zbl 0331.15021, doi: 10.1016/0001-8708(76)90027-X. 761
- [14] SHUKLA, ABHISHEK K.; WILLIAMS, BEN. Classifying spaces for étale algebras with generators. *Canadian J. Math.* **73** (2021), no. 3, 854–874, MR4282693, Zbl 1467.13027, arXiv:1902.07745, doi: 10.4153/S0008414X20000206.759

- [15] SIBIRSKIĬ, KONSTANTIN S. Algebraic invariants for a set of matrices. *Sibirsk. Mat. Ž* 9 (1968), 152–164. MR0223379(36 #6427), Zbl 0273.15024, doi: 10.1007/BF02196663.761, 763
- [16] TOTARO, BURT. The Chow ring of a classifying space. *Algebraic K-Theory* (Seattle, WA, 1997), 249–281. Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 67. *Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI*, 1999. MR1743244(2001f:14011), Zbl 0967.14005, arXiv:math/9802097. 757

(W. S. Gant) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC V6T 1Z2, CANADA wsgant@math.ubc.ca

(Ben Williams) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VAN-COUVER, BC V6T 1Z2, CANADA tbjw@math.ubc.ca

This paper is available via http://nyjm.albany.edu/j/2024/30-34.html.