M – PARANORMAL OPERATORS

S.C. Arora¹ and Ramesh Kumar

Abstract. V. Istratescu has recently defined M-paranormal operators on a Hilbert space H as: An operator T is called M-paranormal if for all $x \in H$ with ||x|| = 1,

$$||T^2x|| \ge \frac{1}{M}||Tx||^2$$

We prove the following results:

- 1. T is M-paranormal if and only if $M^2T^{*2}T^2 2\lambda T^*T + \lambda^2 \ge 0$ for all $\lambda > 0$.
- 2. If a M-paranormal operator T double commutes with a hyponormal operator S, then the product TS is M-paranormal.
- 3. If a paranormal operator T doble commutes with a M-hyponormal operator, then the product TS is M-paranormal.
- 4. If T is invertible M-paranormal, then T^{-1} is also M-paranormal.
- 5. If $ReW(T) \leq 0$, where W(T) denotes the numerical range of T, then T is M-paranormal for M > 8.
- 6. If a M-paranormal partial isometry T satisfies $||T|| \leq \frac{1}{M}$, then it is subnormal.

Introduction

Let H be a complex Hilbert Space and B(H), the set of all bounded operators on H. B.L. Wadhawa in [9] introduced the class of M-hyponormal operators: An operator T in B(H) is said to be M-hyponormal if there exists a real number M>0 such that

$$||(T - zI)^*x|| \le M||(T - zI)x||$$

for each x in H and for each complex number z. V. Istratescu in [7] has studied some structure theorems for a subclass of M-hyponormal operator. The following definition of M-paranormal operators also apprears in [7].

 $^{^{1}}$ Support of this work by the University Grants Commission research grant No. F 25–3 (8756)/77 (S.R.I.) is gratefully acknowledged.

DEFINITION: An operator T in B(H) is said to be M-paranormal if for all $x \in H$ with ||x|| = 1,

$$||T^2x|| \ge \frac{1}{M}||Tx||^2$$

If M=1, the class of M-paranormal operators becomes the class of paranormal operators as studied by Ando [1] and Furuta [4]. The purpose of the present paper is to study certain properties of M-paranormal operators.

1. We begin with a charaterization of M-paranormal operators in the following way;

THEOREM 1.1: A bounded linear operator T is M-paranormal if and only if

$$M^2T^{*2}T^2 - 2\lambda T^*T + \lambda^2 \ge 0$$

for all $\lambda > 0$.

PROOF We know that for positive numbers b and c, $c-2b\lambda+\lambda^2\geq 0$ for all $\lambda>0$ if and only if $b^2\leq c$. Let $b=\|Tx\|^2$ and $c=M^2\|T^2x\|^2$, $\|x\|=1$. Then T is M-paranormal if and only if $b^2\leq c$. This means that T is M-paranormal if and only if $M^2\|T^2x\|^2-2\lambda\|Tx\|^2+\lambda^2\geq 0$ for each $\lambda>0$ and for each x with $\|x\|=1$. This proves the assertion.

Equivalently, putting $A=(TT^*)^{1/2}$ and $B=(T^*T)^{1/2}$ we see that T is M-paranormal if and only if $M^2AB^2A-2\lambda A^2+\lambda^2\geq 0$ for each $\lambda>0$.

COROLLARY 1.2: Let T be a weighted shift with weights $\{\alpha_n\}$. Then T is M-paranormal if and only if

$$|\alpha_n| < M|\alpha_{n+1}|$$

for each n.

It can easily be seen by simple computations that if T is M-hyponormal, then it is M-paranormal. However the converse need not be true. Indeed if $\{e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for a separable Hilbert space and if T is a weighted bilateral shift defined as

$$Te_n = \frac{1}{2^{|n|}}e_{n+1}$$

for each n, that T is not M-hyponormal for any M>0 [8, Corollary 5] but by Corollary 1.2, T is M-paranormal for any $M\geq 2$. We also notice that T is not a paranormal operator. Again a compact paranormal operator is normal [6, Theorem 2]. However the operator T shows that this result is not valid for M-paranormal operators if M>1.

Embry [3] has established that an operator T is subnormal if and only if

$$\sum_{i,j=0}^{n} (T^{i+j}x_i, \ T^{i+j}x_j) \ge 0$$

for all finite collection of vectors x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n in H. Using this characterization, we find out the condition under which a M-paranormal operator becomes subnormal.

Theorem 1.3: If a M-paranormal partial isometry T satisfies $||T|| \leq \frac{1}{M}$, then it is subnormal.

PROOF: Since T is a partial isometry, $TT^*T = T$ [5, Corollary 3, Problem 98], Also T being M-paranormal, therefore by Theorem 1.1

$$M^2T^{*2}T^2 - 2\lambda T^*T + \lambda^2 > 0$$

for each $\lambda > 0$. Using $TT^*T = T$ we obtain

$$M^2 T^{*2} T^2 - 2\lambda T^* T + \lambda^2 T^* T = T^* T [M^2 T^{*2} T^2 - 2\lambda T^* T + \lambda^2] T^* T \ge 0$$

This is true for each $\lambda > 0$ and hence for $\lambda = 1$,

$$M^2 T^{*2} T^2 - T^* T \ge 0$$

This means

$$||Tx||^2 \le M^2 ||T^2x||^2 \le M^2 ||T||^2 ||Tx||^2 \le ||Tx||^2$$

since $||T|| \leq \frac{1}{M}$. This shows

$$T^*T = M^2T^{*2}T^2$$

which on repeated use yields $T^*T = M^{2(n-1)}T^{*n}T^n$ for each $n \geq 1$. Now, let x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n be a finite collection of vectors in H

$$\begin{split} M^{4n} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n} (T^{i+j}x_i, T^{i+j}x_j) &= \sum_{i,j=0}^{n} M^{4n-2(i+j-1)} (M^{2(i+j-1)}T^{*i+j}T^{i+j}x_i, x_j) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=0}^{n} M^{[2n+1-i-j]} (T^*Tx_i, x_j) \end{split}$$

Since T^*T is a projection [5, Problem 98], we obtain

$$\begin{split} M^{4n} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n} (T^{i+j}x_i, T^{i+j}x_j) &= \sum_{i,j=0}^{n} M^{2[2n+1-i-j]} ((T^*T)^{i+j}x_i, (T^*T)^{i+j}x_j) \\ &= M^{2(2n+1)}(x_0, x_0) + M^{4n} \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ i,j=1}} ((T^*T)x_i, (T^*T)x_j) \\ &+ M^{2(2n-1)} \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ i,j=2}} ((T^*T)^2x_i, (T^*T)^2x_j) + \dots + \\ &+ M^2 \sum_{\substack{i,j \\ i,j=2n}} ((T^*T)^{2n}x_i, (T^*T)^{2n}x_j) \end{split}$$

As $M \geq 1$, we get that

$$M^{2(2n+1)}(x_0, x_0) \ge M^{4n}(x_0, x_0)$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} M^{2(2n+1)}(x_0,x_0) + M^{4n} & \sum_{\stackrel{i,j}{i,j=1}} \left((T^*T)x_i, T^*T)x_j \right) \\ & \geq M^{4n}(x_0,x_0) + M^{4n} \sum_{\stackrel{i,j}{i,i=1}} \left((T^*T)x_i, (T^*T)x_j \right) \\ & = M^{4n} \sum_{i,j=0}^{1} \left((T^*T)^{i+j}x_i, (T^*T)^{i+j}x_j \right) \geq 0, \end{split}$$

since T^*T being self-adjoint is subnormal. Again

$$M^{4n} \sum_{i,j=0}^{1} ((T^*T)^{i+j} x_i, (T^*T)^{i+j} x_j) \geq M^{2(2n-1)} \sum_{i,j=0}^{1} ((T^*T)^{i+j} x_i), (T^*T)^{i+j} x_i).$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} M^{2(2n+1)}(x_0,x_0) + M^{4n} & \sum_{\substack{i,j\\i+j=1}} \left((T^*T)x_i, (T^*T)x_j \right) \\ & + M^{2(2n-1)} \sum_{\substack{i,j\\i+j=2}} \left((T^*T)^2x_i, (T^*T)x_j \right) \\ & \geq M^{2(2n-1)} \sum_{\substack{i,j=0\\i+j=2}}^{1} \left((T^*T)^{i+j}x_i, (v^*T)^{i+j}x_j \right) \\ & + M^{2(2n+1)} \sum_{\substack{i,j\\i+j=2}} \left((T^*T)^2x_i, (T^*T)^2x_j \right) \\ & = M^{2(2n-1)} \sum_{\substack{i,j=0\\i+j=2}}^{2} \left((T^*T)^{i+j}x_i, (T^*T)^{i+j}x_j \right) \geq 0. \end{split}$$

Continuing in this way, we would have

$$M^{4n} \sum_{i,j=0}^{n} (T^{i+j}x_i, T^{i+j}x_j) \ge M^2 \sum_{i,j=0}^{n} ((T^*T)^{i+j}x_i, (T^*T)^{i+j}x_j) \ge 0,$$

This gives

$$\sum_{i,j=0}^{n} (T^{i+j}x_i, T^{i+j}x_j) \ge 0$$

Hence T is subnormal.

COROLLARY 1.4: Every paranormal partial isometry is subnormal.

Our next result appears in [2] for general Banach Algebras. We are giving its proof here for operators on Hilbert space.

Theorem 1.5: If $ReW(T) \leq 0$, where W(T) denotes the numerical range of T, then T is M-paranormal for $M \geq 8$.

PROOF: We shall prove that

$$||T^2x|| \ge \delta[||\delta x + Tx|| - \delta||x||]$$

for each $x \in H$ and for each $\delta \geq 0$. Let $y = \delta x + Tx$. If y = 0, the required inequality is obviously true. Hence suppose that $y \neq 0$. Let $z = \frac{y}{\|y\|}$. Now

$$||y|| = ||y|| ||z||^2 = ||y|| \left(\frac{y}{||y||}, z\right)$$
$$= (y, z) = (\delta x + Tx, z)$$
$$= \delta(x, z) + (Tx, z).$$

Hence

$$||y||(Ty,z) = (Ty,z) = \delta(Tx,z) + (T^2x,z)$$
$$= \delta||y|| - \delta^2(x,z) - + (T^2x,z).$$

By hypothesis $Re(Tz, z) \leq 0$. Hence

$$\begin{split} \|T^2x\| & \geq |(T^2x, z)| \geq -Re(T^2x, z) \geq \delta \|y\| - \delta^2(x, z) \\ & \geq \delta \|y\| - \delta^2 \|x\| \\ & = \delta (\|\delta x + Tx\| - \delta \|x\|). \end{split}$$

Now

$$||Tx|| - \delta ||x|| \le ||Tx|| - \delta ||x||| \le ||Tx + \delta x||$$

Using this we get

$$||T^2x|| \ge \delta(-\delta||x|| + ||Tx|| - \delta||x||)$$

= $\delta(||Tx|| - 2\delta||x||)$

If ||x|| = 1 and $\delta = ||Tx||/4$, we obtain

$$||T^2x|| \ge \frac{||Tx||^2}{8}.$$

 \S 2: In this section we discuss the conditions under which, the sum, the product and the inverse (if it exists) of M-paranormal operators become M-paranormal. The question of inverse can be readily answered.

Theorem 2.1: If T is invertible M-paranormal operator then T^{-1} is also M-paranormal.

PROOF: We have

$$M||T^2x|| > ||Tx||^2$$

for each x with ||x|| = 1. This can be replaced by

$$\frac{M||x||}{||Tx||} \ge \frac{||Tx||}{||T^2x||}$$

for each $x \in H$. Now replace x by $T^{-2}x$, then

$$M||x|| \, ||T^{-2}x|| \ge ||T^{-1}x||^2.$$

for each x in H. This shows that T^{-1} is M-paranormal.

The sum of two M-paranormal even commuting or double commuting (A and B are said to be double commuting if A commutes with B and B^*) operators may not be M-paranormal as can be seen by the following example

Example 2.2: Let

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } S = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

be operators on 2-dimensional space. Then T and S are both $\sqrt{2}$ – paranormal while T+S is not so.

That the product of two M-paranormal commuting (even double commuting) may not be M-paranormal is illustrated by the following considerations.

Let T be any M-paranormal operator. We claim that $T \otimes I$ and $I \otimes T$ are both M-paranormal. This can be seen by using the fact that the tensor product of two positive operators is positive and the following computations.

$$M^{2}[(T \otimes I)^{*}]^{2}(T \otimes I)^{2} - 2\lambda(T \otimes I)^{*}(T \otimes I) + \lambda^{2}(I \otimes I)$$
$$= [M^{2}T^{*2}T^{2} - 2\lambda T^{*}T + \lambda^{2}] \otimes I.$$

Now $T\otimes T=(T\otimes I)$ $(I\otimes T)$. Thus to prove our assertion we find an example of a M-paranormal operator T such that $T\otimes T$ is not M-paranormal. Suppose that H is a 2-dimensional Hilbert space. Let K be the direct sum of a denumerable copies of H. Let A and B be any two positive operators on H. Define an operator $T=T_{A'B'n}$ on K as

$$T(x_1, x_2, \dots,) = (0, Ax_1, Ax_2, \dots, A_n, Bx_{n+1}, Bx_{n+2}, \dots),$$

we can compute to find that T is M-paranormal iff $M^2AB^2A-2\lambda A^2+\lambda^2\geq 0$ for each $\lambda>0$. Set

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} M & M \\ M & 2M \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$.

Then both C and D are positive and for $\lambda > 0$

$$M^2D - 2\lambda C + \lambda^2 = \begin{bmatrix} (M-\lambda)^2 & 2M(M-\lambda) \\ 2M(M-\lambda) & (2M-\lambda)^2 + 4M^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This operator is also seen to be positive. Now let $A = C^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $B = (C^{-1/2}DC^{-1/2})^{1/2}$. Taking $T = T_{A'B'n}$ as mentioned above, we find that T is M-paranormal. We claim that $T \otimes T$ is not M-paranormal. Let if possible

$$M^{2}[(T \otimes T)^{*}]^{2}(T \otimes T)^{2} - 2\lambda(T \otimes T)^{*}(T \otimes T) + \lambda^{2}(I \otimes I) \ge 0$$

for each $\lambda > 0$. Putting $\lambda = 1$, we get that

$$M^{2}[T^{*2}T^{2} \otimes T^{*2}T^{2}] - 2[T^{*}T \otimes T^{*}T] + I \otimes I > 0.$$

Thus the compression of this operator to the canonical image of $H \otimes H$ in $K \otimes K$ is also positive. But the compression coincides with

$$M^2(D\otimes D) - 2(C\otimes C) + I\otimes I = egin{bmatrix} 1-M^2 & 0 & 0 & 2M^2 \ 0 & 4M^2+1 & 2M^2 & 12M^2 \ 0 & 2M^2 & 4M^2+1 & 12M^2 \ 2M^2 & 12M^2 & 12M^2 & 56M^2+1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is not positive.

Theorem 2.3: If a M-paranormal operator T double commutes with a hyponormal operator S, then the product TS is M-paranormal.

PROOF: Let $\{E(t)\}$ be the resolution of the identity for the self-adjoint operator S^*S . By hypothesis T^*T and $T^{*2}T^{*2}$ both commute with every E(t). Since S is hyponormal, $S^*S \geq SS^*$. Hence for each $\lambda > 0$

$$\begin{split} M^2[(TS)^*]^2(TS)^2 - 2\lambda(TS)^*(TS) + \lambda^2 \\ &= M^2(T^{*2}T^2)(S^{*2}S^2) - 2\lambda(T^*T)(S^*S) + \lambda^2 \\ &\geq M^2T^{*2}T^2(S^*S)^2 - 2\lambda(T^*T)(S^*S) + \lambda^2 \\ &= \int\limits_0^\infty (t^2M^2T^{*2}T^2 - 2\lambda tT^*T + \lambda^2)dE(t) \\ &> 0. \end{split}$$

since T is M-paranormal. Hence TS is M-paranormal by Theorem 1.1.

If S is a M-hyponormal operator, then $M^2S^*S \geq SS^*$ [9]. Now if T is any operator double commuting with S, then

$$M^{2}[(TS)^{*}]^{2}(TS)^{2} - 2\lambda(vS)^{*}(TS) + \lambda^{2} \ge T^{*2}T^{2}(S^{*}S)^{2} - 2\lambda(T^{*}T)(S^{*}S) + \lambda^{2}$$

for each λ . Using this and arguing as in Theorem 2.3, we can prove the following.

Theorem 2.4: If a paranormal operator T double commutes with a M-hyponormal operator S, then TS is M-paranormal.

With suitable modifications in the proof of [1, Theorem 3], the following can be easily established.

Theorem 2.5: Let T and S be double commuting operators. Let one of T and S be paranormal and other be M-paranormal. Then the product TS is M-paranormal if there are a self-adjoint operator A and bounded positive Borel functions f(t) and g(t) such that

$$(f(t) - f(s))(g(t) - g(s)) \ge 0, (-\infty < t, s < \infty),$$

and one of the following holds.

- (a) $f(A) = T^*T$ and $g(A) = S^*S$,
- (b) $f(A) = T^{*2}T^2$ and $g(A) = S^*S$,
- (c) $f(A) = T^{*2}T^2$ and $g(A) = S^{*2}S^2$.

Remark 2.6: Motivated by M-power class considered by Istratescu [7], we consider the subclass S of M-paranormal operators satisfying

$$||T^n x||^2 \le M ||T^{2n} x||$$

for each $n \ge 1$ and for all $x \in H$ with ||x|| = 1. We con easily prove the following:

(i) If $T \in S$, then the spectral radius r_T of T satisfies

$$\frac{1}{M}||T|| \le r_T.$$

- (ii) If $T \in S$ and is invertible, then $T^{-1} \in S$.
- (iii) If $T \in S$ and $z \in \rho(T)$, the resolvent set of T, then

$$||(T-z)^{-1}|| \le \frac{M}{d(z,\sigma(T))}$$

- (iv) If $T \in S$ and is quasinilpontent then T = 0.
- (v) If $T \in S$, then the set

$$M_T = \{x : ||T^n x|| \le M||x||, \ n = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is a closed invariant subspace for T and also for all operators commuting with T.

The authors are extremely thankful to Dr. B. S. Yadav for his kind guidance in the preparation of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Ando, Operators with a norm condition, Acta Sci. Math. 33 (1972), 169-178.
- [2] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, Numerical ranges of operators on normed spaces and of elements of normed algebras, Cambridge University Press, 1971.
- [3] M. R. Embry, A generalization of the Halmos-Bram criterion for subnormal operators, Acta Sci. Math., 35 (1973) 61-64.
- [4] T. Furuta, On the class of paranormal operators, Proc. Japan Acad., 43 (1967), 594-598.
- [5] P. R. Halmos, A Hilcert Space Problem Book, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1967.
- [6] V. Istratescu, T. Saito and T. Yoshino, On a class of operators, Tohoku Math. J., 18 (1966), 410-413.
- [7] V. Istratescu, Some results on M-Hyponormal operators, Math. Seminar Notes, 6 (1978), 77-86.
- [8] M. Radjablipour, On Majorization and Normality of operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 62 (1977), 105-110.
- [9] B. L. Wadhwa, M-Hyponormal Operators, Duke Math. J. 41 (1974), 655-660.

Department of Mathematics. Hans Raj College, University of Delhi, Delhi – 11007, India. Department of Mathematics S.G.T.B. Khalsa College, University of Delhi, Delhi – 110007, India.