

THE NOTIONS OF w -NET AND Y -COMPACT SPACE VIEWED UNDER INFINITESIMAL MICROSCOPE

Rade Živaljević

Abstract. Nonstandard analysis of A. Robinson is used to give a nonstandard description of the notion of w -net introduced by H.J. Wu in [6]. This concept leads to the notion of Y -compact space so that $[0, 1]$ -compact spaces are compact in the usual sense while R -compact spaces are E. Hewit's realcompact spaces.

Among typical applications of A. Robinson's infinitesimal (nonstandard) analysis are constructions of various kinds of completions of topological spaces, algebras, Banach spaces etc. If X is the object under consideration, one usually starts with a subset $A \subset^* X$ of the nonstandard picture of the object X , and defines the completion of X as the set $c(X) := A / \sim$ where \sim is a suitable equivalence relation on the set A . Examples of this kind can be found in almost any book or paper concerning this subject, like A. Robinson [5], W.A.J. Luxemburg and K.D. Stroyan [4], M. Davis [1], W. Henson [3] or J.C. Dyre [2], and they are both standard (e. g. Stone-Čech compactification) and nonstandard (nonstandard hulls of Banach space).

In [6] Hueytzen J. Wu introduced the concept of w -net and used it, among other applications, to state a general form of the Tychonoff Compactness Theorem. Also, this notion leads to the definition of w -complete spaces and w -completions, which seems to be a useful unification and generalization of compactifications and the real-compactification of a Tychonoff space X .

The aim of this note is to give nonstandard characterizations of concepts mentioned above and to use them to discuss some properties of a naturally defined class of Y -compact spaces, for a given Hausdorff space Y . All definitions and concepts of nonstandard analysis used in this paper are standard and can be found in any text on the subject. For a one-page account of the main definitions and principles, it is recommended to the interested reader to see "Non-standard analysis for pedestrians" in [2]. The nonstandard model is assumed to be polysaturated.

1. w-nets versus w-points

Definition 1. (Wu [6]) Let $\mathcal{A} = \{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of continuous functions on a topological space X . A net $\langle x_m | m \in D \rangle$, where $\langle D, \leq \rangle$ is a directed set, is called a w -net induced by \mathcal{A} if $f_i \langle x_m | m \in D \rangle := \langle f_i x_m | m \in D \rangle$ converges for each $i \in I$.

Definition 2. Let \mathcal{A} be as before. A point $x \in {}^*X$ is called w -point if for each $i \in I$ $f_i(x)$ is near-standard in *X_i . The set of all w -points is denoted by $W({}^*X)$.

PROPOSITION 1. *A net $\langle X_m | m \in D \rangle$ in space X is a w -net induced by the family \mathcal{A} if and only if x_m is a w -point for all $m \in \text{inf}({}^*D)$ and $(\forall i \in I) (\forall m, n \in \text{inf}({}^*D)) {}^*f_i x_m \approx {}^*f_i x_n$ where $\text{inf}({}^*D) := \{m \in {}^*D | (\forall n \in D) n \leq m\}$*

Proof. The following two equivalences are well-known.

- (a) $\langle y_m | m \in D \rangle$ converges iff $(\forall m, n \in \text{inf}({}^*D)) (y_m, y_n \in ns({}^*X) \text{ and } y_m \approx y_n)$.
- (b) $\langle y_m | m \in D \rangle$ has a cluster point in $E = cl(E) \subset X$ iff $\exists m \in \text{inf}({}^*D) (y_m \in ns({}^*E))$.

As usual $m(x)$ is the monad of x , $ns({}^*X) := \{y \in {}^*X | (\exists x \in X) y \in m(x)\}$ and $y_1 \approx y_2$ means that both y_1, y_2 are in the same monad.

The proposition easily follows from (a).

PROPOSITION 2. (Wu[6]) *Let $\mathcal{A} = \{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of continuous functions f_i on X into Hausdorff spaces X_i such that the topology on X is the initial (weak) topology induced by \mathcal{A} . Let E be a subset of X . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every w -net in E induced by \mathcal{A} has a cluster point in the closure $cl(E)$ of E .*
- (2) *Every w -net in $cl(E)$ induced by \mathcal{A} converges in $cl(E)$.*

Proof (nonstandard). (2) \rightarrow (1) is trivial, so let us prove (1) \rightarrow (2). By Proposition 1 and the equivalence (a) of its proof, (2) is equivalent with

- (3) every w -point $x \in {}^*(clE)$ is near-standard in *X .

Assume (1). Let $x \in {}^*(clE)$ be a w -point. Define $\mathcal{D} = \{O | O \subset X \text{ open and } x \in {}^*O\}$, $\langle \mathcal{D}, \supset \rangle$ is a directed set. Obviously $O \cap E \neq \emptyset$ for every $O \in \mathcal{D}$; so let $\langle y_O | O \in \mathcal{D} \rangle$ be a net in E such that $y_O \in O \cap E$ for every $O \in \mathcal{D}$. This net is a w -net. Indeed, let for a given $f_i \in \mathcal{A}$, $z_i = st^* f_i(x) \in X_i$. Then for every open $V \ni z_i$, $f_i^{-1}V$ is an open set such that $x \in ({}^*f_i)^{-1}({}^*V) = ({}^*f_i^{-1}V)$. Hence, $f_i^{-1}V \in \mathcal{D}$, which proves that $\langle f_i y_O | O \in \mathcal{D} \rangle$ converges to z_i . By (1) $\langle y_O | O \in \mathcal{D} \rangle$ has a cluster point in clE , hence $y_m \in ns(clE)$ for some $m \in \text{inf}({}^*D)$. Let $y \in st y_m$ (we do not assume that X is Hausdorff). From the definition of \mathcal{D} it follows that $(\forall f \in \mathcal{A}) {}^*f(x) \approx {}^*f(y_m) \approx f(y)$. But, since the topology on X is induced by \mathcal{A} , we see that $x \in m(y)$, which proves that x is near-standard.

The proposition above yields the following version of the Tychonoff Compactness Theorem proved by Wu in [6].

THEOREM 1. *Let \mathcal{A} be a family of continuous functions on a topological space X . Then X is compact iff*

- (1) $f(X)$ is contained in a compact subset C_f for each $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and
- (2) every w -net induced by \mathcal{A} has a cluster point in X .

Proof. (nonstandard). Let $x \in {}^*X$. Condition (1) implies that ${}^*f(x) \in ns({}^*C_f)$ for every $f \in \mathcal{A}$, hence x is w -point. Condition (2) is by the proof of Proposition 2 equivalent with

- (3) every w -point $x \in {}^*X$ is near-standard.

So, $x \in ns({}^*X)$. By the well-known nonstandard characterization of compactness X is compact.

2. w -completing of topological spaces

All definitions in this paragraph are nonstandard versions of definitions taken from the paper of Wu quoted above. Let $W({}^*X)$ be the set of all w -points, i.e., $W({}^*X) = \{x \in {}^*X \mid (\forall f_i \in \mathcal{A}) {}^*f_i(x) \in ns({}^*X_i)\}$. For $x, y \in W({}^*X)$, let $x \sim y$ iff $(\forall f \in \mathcal{A}) {}^*f(x) \approx {}^*f(y)$. Then, $\langle Y, e \rangle$, where $Y = W({}^*X)/\sim$ and $e: X \rightarrow Y$ is the mapping induced by $i: X \rightarrow {}^*X$ (note that it is not necessarily one-to-one) is called the w -transformation of X induced by $\mathcal{A} = \{f_i\}_{i \in I}$. It is clear that every function f_i can be extended to Y by $\overline{f_i}([x]) = st^*f_i(x)$ for $x \in W({}^*X)$ and $[x] \in Y$. It will be assumed that Y is equipped with the initial topology induced by the family $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = \{\overline{f_i}\}_{i \in I}$ which makes $e: X \rightarrow Y$ continuous and $e(X)$ dense in Y . Space Y is a “completion” of X in the following sense.

PROPOSITION 3. (Wu [6]) *Every w -net in Y induced by $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ converges in Y .*

Proof (nonstandard). Let $\langle [y_n] \mid n \in D \rangle$ be an w -net in Y where $y_n \in W({}^*X)$. By saturation we can assume that there exists an internal function $y: {}^*D \rightarrow {}^*X$ such that $(\forall n \in D) y_n = y(n)$. Let $z_i \in Y_i$ be defined by $\lim_{n \in D} st^*f_i(y_n) = z_i$. Let $\mathcal{H}_{i,O} = \{m \in {}^*D \mid f_i y(m) \in {}^*O\}$ and $\mathcal{G}_n = \{m \in {}^*D \mid n \leq m\}$ for $i \in I$, O is an open neighborhood of z_i and $n \in D$. Since $\lim \langle st^*f_i y_n \mid n \in D \rangle = z_i$ the family

$$\mathcal{U} = \{\mathcal{H}_{i,O} \mid i \in I \text{ and } O \in \mathcal{B}(z_i)\} \cup \{\mathcal{G}_n \mid n \in D\}$$

has the finite intersection property. By saturation $\bigcap \mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$. So let $m \in \bigcap \mathcal{U}$. It is easy to see that $y(m)$ must be a w -point in *X and that $\langle [y_n] \mid n \in D \rangle$ converges to $y(m)$.

Definition 3. If $\langle Y, e \rangle$ is the w -transformation of the space X such that X is a Hausdorff space equipped with the initial topology induced by \mathcal{A} , then $e: X \rightarrow Y$ is an embedding and the w -transformation is called w -completion of the space X .

The proof of the last proposition in this paragraph is left to the reader.

PROPOSITION 4. *The w -completion $\langle Y, e \rangle$ of the Hausdorff space X with respect to the family $\mathcal{A} = \{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ has the following universal property. For any pair*

$\langle Z, r \rangle$ and family $\mathcal{B} = \{g_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that Z is a Hausdorff space with the initial topology induced by \mathcal{B} , if $r: X \rightarrow Z$ is an embedding onto a dense subspace of Z and $(\forall i \in I)g_i \circ r = f_i$, then there exists a unique mapping $j: Z \rightarrow Y$ such that $(\forall i \in I)g_i = \overline{f_i} \circ j$.

Definition 4. Let X and Y be two Hausdorff spaces such that the topology on X is induced by the family $\mathcal{A} = \text{Map}(X, Y)$ of all continuous functions from X to Y (X will be referred to as a Y -Tychonoff space). If X coincides with its w -completion w.r.t. family \mathcal{A} , it is called a Y -compact space. Another characterization is the following. A Y -Tychonoff space is Y -compact if $(\forall x \in {}^*X)[(\forall f \in \text{Map}(X, Y))^*f(x) \in ns(Y)] \rightarrow x \in ns({}^*X)$.

Let us note that R -Tychonoff spaces are Tychonoff spaces in the usual sense, while R -compactness coincides with realcompactness. So it is not surprising that Y -compact spaces share some properties of realcompact spaces.

PROPOSITION 5. Let us assume that the product $\Pi\langle X_i | i \in I \rangle$ of a family of Y -Tychonoff spaces is again a Y -Tychonoff space. Then if X_i is Y -compact for every $i \in I$, $\Pi\langle X_i | i \in I \rangle$ is also a Y -compact space.

Proof. Let $f \in {}^*(\Pi\langle X_i | i \in I \rangle)$ be a w -point w.r.t. family $\text{Map}(\Pi\langle X_i | i \in I \rangle, Y)$. This implies that $(\forall i \in I)(f(i))$ is a w -point in X_i so, by assumption, let $g \in \Pi\langle Y_i | i \in I \rangle$ has the property $(\forall i \in I)st f(i) = g(i)$. But, the monad of *g is

$$m({}^*g) = \{f \in {}^*(\Pi\langle X_i | i \in I \rangle) | (\forall i \in I)g(i) \approx f(i)\},$$

hence f is near-standard.

COROLLARY. Products of realcompact spaces are realcompact.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Davis, *Applied Nonstandard Analysis*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1977.
- [2] J. C. Dyre, *Non-standard Characterizations of Ideals in $C(\mathbf{B})$* , Math. Scand. **50**(1982),
- [3] C. W. Henson, *Nonstandard Hulls of Banach Spaces*, Israel J. Math. **25** (1976) 108-144.
- [4] W. A. J. Luxemburg, K. D. Stroyan, *Introduction to the Theory of Infinitesimals* (Pure and Applied Mathematics 72), Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [5] A. Robinson, *Non-standard Analysis*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1966.
- [6] H. J. Wu, *The Generalizations of Tychonoff, Stone-Weierstrass Theorems, Compactifications and the Realcompactification* (too appear).

Matematički institut
Knez-Mihailova 35
11000 Beograd
Jugoslavija

(Received 13 12 1982)