NOTE ON THE CIRCUITS OF A PERFECT MATROID DESIGN

Dănut Marcu

Abstract. For a perfect matroid design M(E,r) on a finite set E with r as a rank function and $B\subseteq E$ a basis of M(E,r), the number of circuits of cardinality r(E)+1 containing B is given.

Preliminaries. Throughout this paper we use some notions and results according to the standard literature on matroid theory (e.g., see [1, 2]). Let E be a finite set and M(E,r) a matroid on E with r as a rank function $(r:\mathcal{P}(E)\to N)$, where \mathbb{N} is the set of non-negative integers and $\mathcal{P}(E)$ the power set of E). A subset $S\subseteq E$ is called independent if F(S)=|S|, where F(S) denotes the cardinality of F(S) a basis of F(S) being a maximal independent subset of F(S). A subset F(S) is called dependent if $F(S) \leq |S|$, a circuit of F(S) being a minimal dependent subset of F(S). The span F(S) of a subset F(S) is

$$\bar{S} = \{e \in E : r(S \cup \{e\}) = r(S)\}.$$

For any integer $1 \le k \le r(E)$ we consider the set

$$CL[M(E,r),k] = \{ S \subseteq E : S = \bar{S}, \ r(S) = k \},$$

and M(E, r) is called a *perfect matroid design* if every set of CL[M(E, r), k] has a common cardinal c(k), $1 \le k \le r(E)$. In the sequel we shall use without proofs (e.g., see [1, 2]) the following well-known results from matroid theory:

- (a) $r(S) = r(\bar{S})$ for each $S \subseteq E$,
- (b) if C is a circuit of M(E,r), and $e \in C$, then $e \in \overline{C \{e\}}$,
- (c) if B is a basis of M(E,r), then $\bar{B}=E$,
- (d) if C is a circuit of M(E, r), then r(C) = |C| 1,
- (e) if B is a basis of M(E,r) and $e \in E-B$, then there exists a unique circuit C(e,B) such that $e \in C(e,B) \subseteq B \cup \{e\}$.

The main result. Throughout, M(E,r) will be a perfect matroid design on E and $B \subseteq E$ an arbitrary fixed basis of M(E,r), the following sets of pairs being used:

$$A(B,k)=\{(F,e)\colon F\subseteq B,\ |F|=k,\ e\in\bar{F}\},\ \text{for any }1\leq k\leq r(E),$$

$$A(B)=\bigcup_{k=1}^{r(E)}A(B,k),$$

 $A(B,e) = \{ (F,e) : (F,e) \in A(B) \}, \text{ for each } e \in E,$

 $A(B, k, e) = \{(F, e) : (F, e) \in A(B), |F| = k\}, \text{ for each } e \in E \text{ and any } 1 \le k \le r(E).$

Obviously, according to (a) and (c) we have

$$|A(B,k)| = \binom{r(E)}{k}c(k), \text{ for any } 1 \le k \le r(E).$$
 (1)

Considering the function $\varepsilon: A(B) \to \{-1,1\}$ defined by $\varepsilon[(F,e)] = (-1)^{r(E)-k}$, where $(F,e) \in A(B,k)$, we obtain from (1)

$$\sum_{(F,e)\in A(B)}\varepsilon[(F,e)] = \sum_{k=1}^{r(E)}(-1)^{r(E)-k}\binom{r(E)}{k}c(k). \tag{2}$$

For each $e \in E$ let $\alpha(e, B) = \sum_{(F, e) \in A(B, e)} \varepsilon[(F, e)].$

Lemma 1. If $e \in B$, then $\alpha(e, B) = 0$.

Proof. By (a), (c) and the definition of A(B, k, e), if $e \in B$, then

$$|A(B, k, e)| = {r(E) - 1 \choose k - 1}, \text{ for any } 1 \le k \le r(E).$$

Thus

$$\alpha(e,B) = \sum_{k=1}^{r(E)} (-1)^{r(E)-k} \binom{r(E)-1}{k-1} = (1-1)^{r(E)-1} = 0.$$

LEMMA 2. If $e \in E - B$, then $\alpha(e, B) \in \{0, 1\}$.

Proof. Let C(e, B) be as in (e) and |C(e, B)| = p. Obviously, $p \le r(E) + 1$ by (a), (c) and (e). Therefore, if $F \subseteq B$, then by (b) and (d) we have

$$(F,e) \in A(B) \Leftrightarrow C(e,B) \subset F \cup \{e\}.$$

Hence

$$|A(B, k, e)| = \begin{cases} \binom{r(E) - p + 1}{k - p + 1}, & \text{if} \quad p - 1 \le k \le r(E), \\ 0, & \text{if} \quad 0 \le k \le p - 2, \end{cases}$$

that is,

$$\alpha(e,B) = \sum_{k=n-1}^{r(E)} (-1)^{r(E)-k} \binom{r(E)-p+1}{k-p+1} \text{ or } \alpha(e,B) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-m} \binom{n}{m},$$

where n = r(E) - p + 1 and m = k - p + 1. Consequently

$$\alpha(e, B) = \begin{cases} (1-1)^n = 0, & \text{if } n > 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark. From the above lemmas it follows that $\alpha(e,B)=1$ iff $e\notin B$ and |C(e,B)=r(E)+1, that is, iff $B\cup\{e\}$ is a circuit of M(E,r) by (d), (a) and (c).

Let us denote by $\omega[B, r(E)+1]$ the number of circuits of cardinality r(E)+1 containing B.

THEOREM.

$$\omega[B, r(E) + 1] = \sum_{k=1}^{r(E)} (-1)^{r(E)-k} \binom{r(E)}{k} c(k).$$

Proof. It follows from (2) and remark since

$$\sum_{e \in E} \alpha(e,B) = \sum_{e \in E} \sum_{(F,e) \in A(B,e)} \varepsilon[(F,e)] = \sum_{(F,e) \in A(B)} c[(F,e)]$$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. von Randow, Introduction to the Theory of Matroids, Lecture Notes in Economics and Mathematical Systems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1975.
- [2] D.J.A. Welsh, Matroid Theory, Academic Press, London-New York-San Francisco, 1976.

Faculty of Mathematics University of Bucharest Academiei 14 70109-Bucharest Romania (Received 24 05 1983)