

ON NONLINEAR EQUATIONS OF EVOLUTION IN BANACH SPACES

Stanislav Szufła

Abstract. The paper contains an existence theorem and a Kneser-type theorem for the problem $x' = A(t)x + f(t, x)$, $x(0) = x_0$, where $\{A(t)\}_{t \in [0, d]}$ is a family of linear operator generating an evolution operator $U(t, s)$, and f is a continuous function satisfying a Kamke condition with respect to the measure of noncompactness.

In this paper we shall give an existence theorem for mild solutions of the Cauchy problem

$$x' = A(t)x + f(t, x), \quad x(0) = x_0, \quad (1)$$

where $\{A(t)\}_{t \in [0, d]}$ is a family of closed linear operators in a Banach space E and f is a continuous function with values in E . Moreover, using the Browder-Gupta connectedness principle [4], we shall show that the set of these solutions is a compact R_δ , i.e. it is homeomorphic to the intersection of decreasing sequence of compact absolute retracts. Let us remark that our existence proof differs strongly from those in known papers concerning (1) (see e.g. [2], [3], [8–10], [14]).

Let $Q = \{(t, s): 0 \leq s \leq t \leq d\}$, $B = \{x \in E: \|x - x_0\| \leq b\}$, and let $L(E)$ denote the space of all bounded linear operators in E . We assume that $\{A(t)\}$ generates an evolution operator $U: Q \rightarrow L(E)$ with the following properties

- (U1) the function $(t, s) \rightarrow U(t, s)$ is continuous on Q ;
- (U2) $U(t, s)U(s, r) = U(t, r)$ and $U(t, t) = I$ for all $(t, s), (s, r) \in Q$;
- (U3) there exists a continuous function $p: [0, d] \rightarrow R_+$ such that

$$\|U(t, s)\| \leq \exp \int_s^t p(r) dr \quad \text{for all } (t, s) \in Q.$$

Let us recall some definitions:

A function $u: [0, a] \rightarrow E$ is called a mild solution of (1) if u is continuous and satisfies

$$u(t) = U(t, 0)x_0 + \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s, u(s))ds \quad (2)$$

for all $t \in [0, a]$ (cf. [2]).

A function $h: (0, d] \times R_+ \rightarrow R_+$ is called a Kamke function if (i) $h(t, \cdot)$ is continuous for almost every $t \in [0, d]$ and $h(\cdot, r)$ is measurable for every $r \in R_+$;

(ii) for every bounded subset Z of $(0, d] \times R_+$ there exists a function m_Z defined on $(0, d]$ such that $h(t, r) \leq m_Z(t)$ for $(t, r) \in Z$ and m_Z is integrable on $[c, d]$ for every small $c > 0$;

(iii) for each c , $0 < c \leq d$, the identically zero function is the only absolutely continuous function on $[0, c]$ which satisfies $u'(t) = h(t, u(t))$ almost everywhere on $[0, c]$ and such that $D_+u(0) = u(0) = 0$ (cf. [7]).

For any bounded subset X of E the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness of X – denoted $\beta(X)$ – is defined to be the infimum of $\varepsilon > 0$ such that X has a finite ε -net in E . For properties of β see [15].

Moreover, denote by μ the Lebesgue measure in R .

Our fundamental result is given by the following

THEOREM 1. *Assume that 1° f is a bounded continuous function from $[0, d] \times B$ into E ; 2° q is a function from $(0, d] \times R_+$ into R_+ such that $(t, r) \rightarrow p(t)r + q((t, r))$ is a Kamke function; 3° for any subset X of B and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a closed subset J_ε of $[0, d]$ such that $\mu([0, d] \setminus J_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ and*

$$\beta(f(T \times X)) \leq \sup_{t \in T} q(t, \beta(X))$$

for each closed subset T of J_ε .

Then there exists at least one mild solution of (1) defined on a subinterval J of $[0, d]$.

REMARK. It can be easily verified that, in the case when q is nondecreasing in r , the condition 3° holds whenever $f = f_1 + f_2$, where f_1 is a completely continuous function and $\|f_2(t, x) - f_2(t, y)\| \leq q(t, \|x - y\|)$ for all $x, y \in B$ and for a.e. $t \in [0, d]$.

Proof. Let us put $k(t, s) = \exp \int_s^t p(r)dr$, $K = \sup\{k(t, s): (t, s) \in Q\}$ and $M = \sup\{\|f(t, x)\|: 0 \leq t \leq d, x \in B\}$. We choose a number a such that $0 < a \leq d$ and

$$\|U(t, 0)x_0 - x_0\| + M \int_0^t k(t, s)ds \leq b \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, a]. \quad (3)$$

Let $J = [0, a]$. Denote by C the Banach space of continuous function $J \rightarrow E$ with the usual supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_c$, and let $\tilde{B} \subset C$ be the subset of those function

with values in B . We introduce a mapping F defined by

$$F(x)(t) = U(t, 0)x_0 + \int_0^t U(t, s)f(s, x(s))ds \quad (x \in \tilde{B}, t \in J).$$

In view of $(U1')$ and (3), from the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(x)(t) - F(x)(\tau)\| &\leq \|U(t, 0)x_0 - U(\tau, 0)x_0\| + M \int_0^\tau \|U(t, s) - U(\tau, s)\|ds + \\ &\quad + KM(t - \tau) \end{aligned}$$

$$\|F(x)(t) - x_0\| \leq \|U(t, 0)x_0 - x_0\| + M \int_0^t k(t, s)ds \quad (x \in \tilde{B}, 0 \leq \tau \leq t \leq a)$$

it follows that $F(\tilde{B})$ is an equicontinuous subset of \tilde{B} . On the other hand, if $x_n, x \in \tilde{B}$ and $\lim \|x_n - x\|_c = 0$, then by 1° , $(U1')$ and the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(x_n)(t) = F(x)(t)$ for $t \in J$. From this we deduce that F is a continuous mapping $\tilde{B} \rightarrow \tilde{B}$.

For any positive integer n we define a function u_n by

$$u_n(t) = \begin{cases} x_0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq a_n \\ U(t - a_n, 0)x_0 + \int_0^{t-a_n} U(t - a_n, s)f(s, u_n(s))ds & \text{if } a_n \leq t \leq a \end{cases}$$

where $a_n = a/n$. Then $u_n \in \tilde{B}$ and

$$u_n(t) = F(u_n)(r_n(t)), \quad (4)$$

where

$$r_n(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq a_n \\ t - a_n & \text{if } a_n \leq t \leq a \end{cases}.$$

Since the set $F(\tilde{B})$ is equicontinuous, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - F(u_n)\|_c = 0. \quad (5)$$

Put $V = \{u_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ and $W = F(V)$. For simplicity we introduce the following notation:

$$V(t) = \{x(t) : x \in V\}, \quad \int_T U(t, s)f(s, V(s))ds = \left\{ \int_T U(t, s)f(s, x(s))ds : x \in V \right\}.$$

It is clear from (5) that the sets V, W are equicontinuous and

$$\beta_c(V) = \beta_c(W) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(V(t)) = \beta(W(t)) \quad \text{for all } t \in J. \quad (6)$$

Hence, by Ambrosetti's lemma [1; Th. 2.3], the function $t \rightarrow v(t) = \beta(V(t))$ is continuous on J .

Let us fix $\tau, t, 0 < \tau < t \leq a$. First we shall show that

$$\beta \left(\int_{\tau}^t U(t, s) f(s, V(s)) ds \right) \leq \int_{\tau}^t k(t, s) q(s, v(s)) ds. \quad (7)$$

By the Scorza-Dragoni theorem, for a given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a closed subset D_ε of J such that $\mu(J \setminus D_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ and the function q is uniformly continuous on $D_\varepsilon \times [0, b]$. Choose $\delta > 0$ in such a way that

$$|q(s_1, r_1) - q(s_2, r_2)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |k(t, s_1) - k(t, s_2)| < \varepsilon$$

for $s_1, s_2 \in D_\varepsilon, r_1, r_2 \in [0, b]$ satisfying $|s_1 - s_2| < \delta$ and $|r_1 - r_2| < \delta$, and choose η such that $0 < \eta < \delta$ and $|v(s_1) - v(s_2)| < \delta$ for $s_1, s_2 \in J$ with $|s_1 - s_2| < \eta$. We divide the interval $[\tau, t]$ into n parts

$$\tau = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = t$$

in such a way that $t_i - t_{i-1} < \eta$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let $D_i = [t_{i-1}, t_i] \cap D_\varepsilon$ and $V_i = \{x(s) : x \in V, s \in D_i\}$. In virtue of Ambrosetti's lemma [1; Th. 2.2] we have

$$\beta(V_i) = \sup\{\beta(V(s)) : s \in D_i\} = v(s_i), \quad (8)$$

where $s_i \in D_i$. Moreover, by 3°, we may choose a closed subset J_ε of J such that $\mu(J \setminus J_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ and

$$\beta(f(T \times V_i)) \leq \sup_{s \in T} q(s, \beta(V_i)) \quad (9)$$

for each closed T of J_ε and $i = 1, \dots, n$. Let

$$P = [\tau, t] \cap D_\varepsilon \cap J_\varepsilon, \quad S = [\tau, t] \setminus P \quad \text{and} \quad T_i = D_i \cap J_\varepsilon.$$

Then

$$\int_{\tau}^t U(t, s) f(s, V(s)) ds \subset \int_P U(t, s) f(s, V(s)) ds + \int_S U(t, s) f(s, V(s)) ds,$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta \left(\int_{\tau}^t U(t, s) f(s, V(s)) ds \right) \\ & \leq \beta \left(\int_P U(t, s) f(s, V(s)) ds \right) + \beta \left(\int_S U(t, s) f(s, V(s)) ds \right). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Further,

$$\int_P U(t, s) f(s, V(s)) ds \subset \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{T_i} U(t, s) f(s, V(s)) ds \subset \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(T_i) \overline{\text{conv}} V_i,$$

where $Y_i = \{U(t, s)f(s, y) : s \in T_i, y \in V_i\}$. Since the set $\{U(t, s) : s \in T_i\}$ is compact, it is clear that

$$\beta(Y_i) \leq \sup_{s \in T_i} \|U(t, s)\| \beta(f(T_i \times V_i)).$$

Thus, by (U3), (8) and (9), there exist $\alpha_i, \tau_i \in T_i$ such that

$$\beta(Y_i) \leq k(t, \alpha_i)q(\tau_i, v(s_i)).$$

Consequently,

$$\beta \left(\int_P U(t, s)f(s, V(s))ds \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(T_i)k(t, \alpha_i)q(\tau_i, v(s_i)). \quad (11)$$

On the other hand, by 2°, there exists an integrable function $m : [\tau, t] \rightarrow R_+$ (dependent only on τ, t) such that

$$q(s, r) \leq m(s) \text{ for } \tau \leq s \leq t \text{ and } 0 \leq r \leq b.$$

Therefore

$$\mu(T_i)k(t, \alpha_i)q(\tau_i, v(s_i)) \leq \int_{T_i} k(t, s)q(s, v(s))ds + \varepsilon \int_{T_i} m(s)ds + K\varepsilon\mu(T_i),$$

and hence, owing to (11),

$$\beta \left(\int_P U(t, s)f(s, V(s))ds \right) \leq \int_{\tau}^t k(t, s)q(s, v(s))ds + \varepsilon \int_{\tau}^t m(s)ds + K\varepsilon(t - \tau) \quad (12)$$

Furthermore, as $\|U(t, s)f(s, x(s))\| \leq KM$ for all $x \in \tilde{B}$ and $s \in J$, we have

$$\beta \left(\int_S U(t, s)f(s, V(s))ds \right) \leq KM\mu(S). \quad (13)$$

From (10), (12) and (13) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta \left(\int_{\tau}^t U(t, s)f(s, V(s))ds \right) \\ & \leq \int_{\tau}^t k(t, s)q(s, v(s))ds + \varepsilon \int_{\tau}^t m(s)ds + K\varepsilon(t - \tau) + KM\mu(S). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu(S) < 2\varepsilon$ and the above inequality holds for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain (7).

Consider now the function w defined by

$$w(s) = \sup\{\|f(s, x) - f(s, y)\| : x, y \in B, \|x - x_0\| \leq c(s), \|y - x_0\| \leq c(s)\},$$

where $c(s) = \min(b, \sup_{0 \leq r \leq s} \|U(r, 0)x_0 - x_0\| + KM s)$. The function w is a modification of the function introduced by Olech in [11]. We shall prove that w is lower equicontinuous on $(0, a)$ and continuous at 0. For given $s \in (0, a)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $x, y \in B$ such that

$$\|x - x_0\| \leq c(s), \|y - x_0\| \leq c(s) \quad \text{and} \quad w(s) - \varepsilon/2 \leq \|f(s, x) - f(s, y)\|.$$

As f and c are continuous, there exists $\delta < 0$ such that

$$\|f(r, u) - f(s, x)\| \leq \varepsilon/4 \quad \text{and} \quad \|f(r, z) - f(s, y)\| \leq \varepsilon/4$$

for all $r \in J$, $u, z \in B$ with $|r - s| \leq \delta$, $\|u - x\| \leq \delta$ and $\|z - y\| \leq \delta$, and there exists $\eta > 0$ such that $|c(r) - c(s)| \leq \delta$ for all $r \in J$ with $|r - s| \leq \eta$. Hence, putting

$$u_r = \frac{c(r)}{c(s)}(x - x_0) + x_0 \quad \text{and} \quad z_r = \frac{c(r)}{c(s)}(y - x_0) + x_0,$$

we have $\|u_r - x_0\| \leq c(r)$, $\|z_r - x_0\| \leq c(r)$, $\|u_r - x\| \leq \delta$, $\|z_r - y\| \leq \delta$

$$\begin{aligned} w(s) - \varepsilon/2 &\leq \|f(s, x) - f(s, y)\| \leq \|f(s, x) - f(r, u_r)\| \\ &\quad + \|f(r, u_r) - f(r, z_r)\| + \|f(r, z_r) - f(s, y)\| \leq w(r) + \varepsilon/2, \end{aligned}$$

so that $w(s) \leq w(r) + \varepsilon$ for $r \in J$ with $|r - s| \leq \eta$. This proves that w is lower semicontinuous at s . The continuity of w at 0 is an immediate consequence of the fact that f and c are continuous and $w(0) = c(0) = 0$.

From (4) and the definitions of c and w it follows that

$$\|u_n(s) - x_0\| \leq c(s) \quad \text{for } s \in J \text{ and } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$\left\| \int_{\tau}^t U(t, s) f(s, u_m(s)) ds - \int_{\tau}^t U(t, s) f(s, u_n(s)) ds \right\| \leq K \int_{\tau}^t w(s) ds$$

for $m, n = 1, 2, \dots$. Hence

$$\beta \left(\int_{\tau}^t U(t, s) f(s, V(s)) ds \right) \leq K \int_{\tau}^t w(s) ds. \quad (14)$$

Since for any $x \in \tilde{B}$

$$F(x)(t) = U(t, \tau)F(x)(\tau) + \int_{\tau}^t U(t, s)f(s, x(s))ds,$$

we have

$$\beta(F(V)(t)) \leq \|U(t, \tau)\| \beta(F(V)(\tau)) + \beta \left(\int_{\tau}^t U(t, s) f(s, V(s)) ds \right).$$

Consequently, by (6) and (U3),

$$v(t) \leq \exp \left(\int_{\tau}^t p(s) ds \right) v(\tau) + \beta \left(\int_{\tau}^t U(t, s) f(s, V(s)) ds \right).$$

In view of (7) and (14), this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} v(t) - v(\tau) &\leq \left(\exp \int_0^t p(s) ds - \exp \int_0^{\tau} p(s) ds \right) \exp \left(- \int_0^{\tau} p(s) ds \right) v(\tau) + \\ &\min \left(K \int_{\tau}^t w(s) ds, \exp \left(\int_0^t p(s) ds \right) \int_{\tau}^t \exp \left(- \int_0^{\tau} p(r) dr \right) q(s, v(s)) ds \right) \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

for $0 < \tau < t \leq a$.

In particular, from (15) it follows that

$$v(t) - v(\tau) \leq N \left(\exp \int_0^t p(s) ds - \exp \int_0^{\tau} p(s) ds \right) + K \int_{\tau}^t w(s) ds \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \tau \leq t \leq a,$$

where

$$N = \max_{r \in J} v(r) \exp \left(- \int_0^r p(s) ds \right),$$

which proves that the function v is absolutely continuous on J . This fact, plus (15) implies the inequality

$$v'(\tau) \leq p(\tau)v(\tau) + \min(Kw(\tau), q(\tau, v(\tau))) \quad \text{for almost every } \tau \in J. \quad (16)$$

Obviously $v(0) = \beta(W(0)) = \beta(\{x_0\}) = 0$.

By 2° and Lemma 1 from [11], the function $z = 0$ is the only absolutely continuous function satisfying almost everywhere the equation

$$z' = p(t)z + \min(Kw(t), q(t, z))$$

and the initial condition $z(0) = 0$. Hence, applying the theorem on differential inequalities (cf. [5], [12]), from (16) we deduce that $v(t) = 0$ for all $t \in J$. Therefore, by (5) and Ambrosetti's lemma [1; Th. 2.3] we obtain

$$\beta_c(V) = \beta_c(W) = \sup_{t \in J} v(t) = 0,$$

i.e. V is relatively compact in C . Consequently, we can find a subsequence (u_{n_j}) of (u_n) which converges in C to a limit u . In view of (5), this implies that $\|u - F(u)\|_C = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{n_j} - F(u_{n_j})\|_C = 0$. Thus $u = F(u)$, i.e. u is a solution of (2).

The next result is a Kneser type theorem for (1).

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that the assumptions $1^\circ - 3^\circ$ are fulfilled and in addition the function q is nondecreasing in r . Then the set of all mild solutions of (1) on J is a compact R_δ .*

Proof. Let us put

$$\rho(x) = \begin{cases} x, & \text{for } x \in B \\ x_0 + b(x - x_0)/\|x - x_0\|, & \text{for } x \in E \setminus B \end{cases}$$

and

$$g(t, x) = f(t, \rho(x)) \quad \text{for } (t, x) \in J \times E.$$

Then g is a continuous function from $J \times E$ into E and $\|g(t, x)\| < M$ for $(t, x) \in J \times E$. Moreover, as

$$\rho(X) \subset x_0 + \cup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq 1} \lambda X,$$

we have $\beta(\rho(X)) \leq \beta(X)$ for any bounded subset X of E . Since the function $r \rightarrow q(t, r)$ is nondecreasing, from this we deduce that the function g satisfies 3° .

Consider the mapping G defined by

$$G(x)(t) = U(t, 0)x_0 + \int_0^t U(t, s)g(s, x(s))ds \quad (x \in C, t \in J).$$

Similarly as for F in the proof of Theorem 1, it can be shown that G is a continuous mapping $C \rightarrow \tilde{B}$ and the image $G(C)$ is equicontinuous. Further, for any positive integer n , we define a mapping G_n by

$$G_n(x)(t) = G(x)(r_n(t)) \quad (x \in C, t \in J),$$

where

$$r_n(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq a/n \\ t - a/n & \text{if } a/n \leq t \leq a. \end{cases}$$

It can be easily verified (see e.g. [19]) that

- (i) G_n is continuous;
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n(x) = G(x)$ uniformly in $x \in C$;
- (iii) $I - G_n$ is a homeomorphism $C \rightarrow C$.

Now we shall show that $I - G$ is a proper mapping, that is

$$(I - G)^{-1}(Y) \text{ is compact for any compact subset } Y \text{ of } C. \quad (17)$$

Let Y be a given compact subset of C , and let (u_n) be an infinite sequence in $(I - G)^{-1}(Y)$. Since $u_n - G(u_n) \in Y$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we can find a subsequence (u_{n_j}) of (u_n) and $y \in Y$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|u_{n_j} - G(u_{n_j}) - y\|_C = 0.$$

Putting $V = \{u_{n_j} : j = 1, 2, \dots\}$ and repeating the argument (with slight modifications) from the proof of Theorem 1, we infer that the set V is relatively compact in C . This proves (17).

Applying now Theorem 7 from [4], we conclude that the set $(I - G)^{-1}(0)$ is compact R_δ . As $\|G(x)(t)\| \leq b$ for all $x \in C$ and $t \in J$, $(I - G)^{-1}(0)$ is equal to the set of all mild solutions of (1) on J .

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, *Un teorema di esistenza per le equazioni differenziali negli spazi di Banach*, Rend. Smin. Mat. Univ. Padova **39** (1967), 349–360.
- [2] F. Browder, *Nonlinear equations of evolution*, Ann. of Math. **80** (1964), 485–523.
- [3] F. Browder, *Nonlinear operators and nonlinear equations of evolution*, Proc. Symp. Nonlin. Funct. Anal. Chicago, AMS, 20, II, Providence, R. I. 1972.
- [4] F. Browder, C. Gupta, *Topological degree and nonlinear mappings of analytic type in Banach space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **26** (1969), 390–402.
- [5] F. Cafiero, *Sui teoremi di unicità relativi ad un'equazione differenziale ordinaria del primo ordine*, Giorn. Mat. Battaglini **78** (1948), 10–41.
- [6] A. Cellina, *On the existence of solutions of ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **14** (1971), 129–136.
- [7] E. Coddington, N. Levinson, *Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*, New York-Toronto-London, 1955.
- [8] T. Kato, *Quasilinear Equations of Evolution With Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 448, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1974.
- [9] S. Krein, *Linear differential equations in Banach spaces*, Transl. of Math. Monographs 29, AMS, 1971.
- [10] R. Martin, *Nonlinear Operators and Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*, New York, 1976.
- [11] C. Olech, *On the existence and uniqueness of solutions of an ordinary differential equation in the case of Banach space*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **8** (1960), 667–673.
- [12] C. Olech, Z. Opial, *Sur une inégalité différentielle*, Ann. Polon. Math. **7** (1960), 247–254.
- [13] G. Pianigiani, *Existence of solutions of ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **23** (1975), 853–857.
- [14] J. Prüß, *On semilinear evolution equations in Banach spaces*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **303/304** (1978), 144–158.
- [15] B. Sadavskii, *Limit-compact and condensing operators*, Russ. Math. Surveys **27** (1972), 85–155.
- [16] G. Scorza-Dracconi, *Un teorema sulle funzioni continue rispetto a una e misurabili rispetto all'altra variabile*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **17** (1948), 102–108.
- [17] S. Szufła, *Some remarks on ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **16** (1968), 795–800.
- [18] S. Szufła, *On the existence of solutions of ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces*, Boll. U. M. I. **15A** (1978), 535–544.
- [19] G. Vidossich, *A fixed point theorem for function spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **36** (1971), 81–587.
- [20] S. Szufła, *On the existence of solutions of differential equations in Banach spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Math. **30** (1982), 507–515.

Institute of Mathematics,
A. Mickiewicz University,
Poznan, Poland

(Received 12 12 1983)