

SYMPLECTIC AND COSYMPLECTIC FOLIATIONS ON COSYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS*

Domingo Chinae, Manuel de León, and Juan C. Marrero

Abstract. We prove that a compact symplectic or cosymplectic foliation on a cosymplectic manifold is stable. This result extends to the odd-dimensional case the corresponding one for symplectic foliations on symplectic manifolds. A large family of examples is given.

1. Introduction

As it is* well-known a compact holomorphic foliation of a Kähler manifold is stable (see [10]). The result holds for compact almost complex (resp., symplectic) foliations of an almost Kähler (resp., symplectic) manifold (see [6, 7]).

In this paper, we study the stability of foliations on cosymplectic manifolds. First, we introduce the notion of symplectic and cosymplectic foliations on a cosymplectic manifold, accordingly to the dimension of the foliation. Then we prove that a compact symplectic or cosymplectic foliation on a cosymplectic manifold is stable. To prove this, we use our previous results for the stability of invariant foliations of almost contact manifolds [2].

2. Algebraic preliminaries

Let E be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional vector space over R . The space E is called *cosymplectic* if there exist a 2-form Φ and a 1-form η such that $\eta \wedge \Phi^n \neq 0$. In such a case we say that the pair (Φ, η) is a *cosymplectic structure* on E and the triple (E, Φ, η) is called a *cosymplectic vector space*.

Let (E, Φ, η) be a cosymplectic vector space. Then there is a unique vector ξ such that

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \Phi(\xi, v) = 0,$$

AMS Subject Classification (1985): Primary: 53 C 15, 57 R 30

Key words: Symplectic and cosymplectic foliations, cosymplectic manifolds, stability of foliations

*Partially supported by DGICYT-Spain, Proyecto PB88-0012 and Consejería de Educación del Gobierno de Canarias-Spain

for all vector $v \in E$. The vector ξ is called the *canonical vector* of the cosymplectic vector space (E, Φ, η) . Note that the vector ξ is characterized by the following condition :

$$\omega(\xi)\eta \wedge \Phi^n = \omega \wedge \Phi^n,$$

for all 1-forms ω on E .

Let E_η^\perp be the annihilator space of η , i.e.,

$$E_\eta^\perp = \{v \in E \mid \eta(v) = 0\}.$$

It is clear that E_η^\perp is a symplectic vector space with respect to the induced 2-form Φ .

A $2s$ -dimensional subspace F is called *symplectic* if it is a symplectic subspace of E_η^\perp . If $\dim F = 2s + 1$, then F is called *cosymplectic* if the pair (Φ, η) induces a cosymplectic structure on F with canonical vector ξ .

A $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional vector space E over R is said to be *almost contact* if it admits a linear mapping $\phi : E \rightarrow E$, a vector ξ and a 1-form $\eta : E \rightarrow R$ such that

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1.$$

A subspace F of E is said to be *invariant* if $\phi(v) \in F$ for all $v \in F$ (see [11]). We easily see that only two cases occur for any invariant subspace F of E .

(1) If the vector $\xi \notin F$, then F has even dimension, ϕ induces an almost complex structure on F and $\eta|_F = 0$.

(2) If the vector $\xi \in F$, then F has odd dimension and it is an almost contact vector space endowed with the restrictions of ϕ and η .

These definitions may be extended fiberwise to vector bundles. Thus, let $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ be a vector bundle over an n -dimensional manifold M and with fiber R^{2n+1} . Then $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is called *cosymplectic* if there exist cross-sections Φ and η of $\Lambda^2 E^*$ and $\Lambda^1 E^*$, respectively, whose restrictions to the fibers of E define a cosymplectic structure. Hence there exists a unique cross-section ξ of $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ such that

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \Phi(\xi, X) = 0,$$

for all sections X of E .

The section ξ is called the *canonical section* of the cosymplectic vector bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow M$. Note that for each point x ξ_x is the canonical vector of the cosymplectic structure induced in the fiber E_x .

A vector bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow M$ is called *almost contact* if there exist a vector bundle automorphism ϕ , a cross-section ξ of E and a cross-section η of $\Lambda^1 E^*$, whose restrictions to the fibers of E define an almost contact structure.

In a similar way, we define symplectic and cosymplectic subbundles of a cosymplectic bundle, and invariant subbundles of an almost contact bundle.

Next, let (E, Φ, η) be a cosymplectic vector bundle over M with canonical section ξ , and F a symplectic or cosymplectic subbundle. Then we have

PROPOSITION 1. *There exists an almost contact structure (ϕ, ξ, η) and a metric g in E such that:*

- (1) $g_x(\phi_x u, \phi_x v) = g_x(u, v) - \eta_x(u)\eta_x(v)$,
- (2) $\Phi_x(u, v) = g_x(u, \phi_x v)$,
- (3) F_x is an invariant vector subspace of E_x ,

for all $x \in M, u, v \in E_x$.

Proof. Let E_η^\perp be the symplectic subbundle of E whose fiber at $x \in M$ is the space

$$(E_\eta^\perp)_x = \{u \in E_x \mid \eta_x(u) = 0\}.$$

We consider two cases, say F is a symplectic or cosymplectic subbundle of E . First, suppose that F is a symplectic subbundle of E . Thus, F is a symplectic subbundle of E_η^\perp . Then, from Theorem 3.4 of [6], there exists an almost complex structure J on E_η^\perp (i.e., J is an automorphism $J : E_\eta^\perp \rightarrow E_\eta^\perp$ of the vector bundle E_η^\perp with $J^2 = -I$) and a metric h in E_η^\perp such that:

- (i) $h_x(u, v) = h_x(J_x u, J_x v)$,
- (ii) $\Phi_x(u, v) = h_x(u, J_x v)$,
- (iii) F is a complex subbundle of E_η^\perp .

We set

$$\phi_x u = J_x(u - \eta_x(u)\xi_x),$$

and

$$g_x(u, v) = h_x(u - \eta_x(u)\xi_x, v - \eta_x(v)\xi_x) + \eta_x(u)\eta_x(v),$$

for all $x \in M, u, v \in E_x$. Then it is easy to prove that (ϕ, ξ, η) is an almost contact structure, g a metric on M and (1), (2) and (3) are satisfied.

Now, suppose that F is a cosymplectic subbundle of E . Then F_η^\perp is a symplectic subbundle of E_η^\perp . Thus, by a similar device, we deduce the result. \square

3. Foliations on cosymplectic manifolds

First, we recall some definitions about foliations on manifolds [5, 9].

Let F be a foliation of dimension p on a n -dimensional manifold M . We denote by TF the vector subbundle of TM which consists of the tangent vectors to F , and by $T_x F$ the fiber of TF over x . If X is a vector field tangent to F (i.e., $X(x) \in T_x F$ for all $x \in M$) then we put $X \in F$.

The foliation F is said to be *compact* if each leaf of F is compact. A leaf L of a compact foliation F is said to be *stable* if every neighborhood U of L contains an invariant neighborhood V of L , i.e., V is a collection of leaves. F is said to be *stable* if every leaf of F is stable.

Let M be a cosymplectic manifold with structure (Φ, η) , i.e., $\eta \wedge \Phi^n \neq 0$, $d\eta = 0$, $d\Phi = 0$. Then (TM, Φ, η) is a cosymplectic vector bundle. A foliation F of

dimension $p = 2s$ (resp. $p = 2s + 1$) is said to be *symplectic* (resp. *cosymplectic*) if the vector subbundle TF of TM is symplectic (resp. cosymplectic).

Let us recall that an almost contact metric manifold (M, ϕ, η, ξ, g) is called *almost cosymplectic* (in the sense of Blair [1]) if $d\Phi = 0, d\eta = 0$, where Φ is the fundamental 2-form of M , i.e., $\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$.

Now, let (M, Φ, η) be a cosymplectic manifold with canonical vector field ξ , and F a symplectic or cosymplectic foliation. Then, from Proposition 1, we have.

PROPOSITION 2. *There exists on M an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, η, ξ, g) with fundamental 2-form Φ which is almost cosymplectic, and the foliation F is invariant.*

Finally, from Proposition 2 and Theorem 1 of [2] we easily deduce our main result.

THEOREM 1. *A compact symplectic or cosymplectic foliation F of a cosymplectic manifold (M, Φ, η) is stable.*

4. Examples

Let S_r be the $2r + 1$ -dimensional solvable non-nilpotent Lie group of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^z & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_1 \\ 0 & e^{-z} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & y_1 \\ 0 & 0 & e^z & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-z} & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & y_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & e^z & 0 & 0 & x_r \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & e^{-z} & 0 & y_r \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $x_i, y_i, z \in R, 1 \leq i \leq r$. Then S_r may be identified with R^{2r+1} by assigning to each matrix in S_r its global coordinates $(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_r, y_r, z)$.

There exists a canonical injective Lie group homomorphism $j_r : S_r \rightarrow S_{r+1}$ defined by

$$j_r(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_r, y_r, z) = (x_1, y_1, \dots, x_r, y_r, 0, 0, z)$$

Then S_r may be considered as a Lie subgroup of S_{r+1} and we have a chain of Lie groups

$$\{e\} \subset S_1 \subset S_2 \subset \dots \subset S_r \subset S_{r+1} \subset \dots$$

Alternatively, S_r can be described as the semidirect group $S_r = R \rtimes_{\phi} R^{2r}$, where $\phi(z) : R^{2r} \rightarrow R^{2r}$ is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^z & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-z} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^z & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-z} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & e^z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & e^{-z} \end{pmatrix}$$

A simple computation shows that

$$\{\bar{\alpha}_i = e^{-z} dx_i, \bar{\beta}_i = e^z dy_i, \bar{\gamma} = dz\}$$

is a family of linearly independent left invariant 1 - forms on S_r . Then we have

$$d\bar{\alpha}_i = \bar{\alpha}_i \wedge \bar{\gamma}, d\bar{\beta}_i = -\bar{\beta}_i \wedge \bar{\gamma}, d\bar{\gamma} = 0.$$

The corresponding dual basis of left invariant vector fields on S_r is

$$\left\{ \bar{X}_i = e^z \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \bar{Y}_i = e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \bar{Z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right\}$$

and we have

$$[\bar{X}_i, \bar{Z}] = -\bar{X}_i, [\bar{Y}_i, \bar{Z}] = \bar{Y}_i,$$

all the other brackets being zero.

Now, let $B \in \text{Sl}(2, Z)$ be an unimodular matrix with positive real and different eigenvalues λ and λ^{-1} and $P \in \text{Gl}(2, R)$ such that

$$PBP^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Let be $z_0 \in R$ such that $\lambda = e^{z_0}$ and consider the lattice $L_r = P_r(Z^{2r})$, where

$$P_r = \begin{pmatrix} P & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & P & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & P \end{pmatrix}$$

Then L_r is invariant by $\phi(nz_0) = \phi(z_0)^n$, $\forall n \in Z$ and $\Gamma_r = (z_0)Z \rtimes_{\phi} L_r$ is a co-compact subgroup of S_r , i.e., $\text{Solv}(r) = \Gamma_r \backslash S_r$ is a compact non-nilpotent solvmanifold of dimension $2r+1$. We notice that $\text{Solv}(1)$ is the manifold considered in [8] and $\text{Solv}(1) \times S^1$ is the manifold considered in [3, 4].

Alternatively, the manifold $\text{Solv}(r)$ may be seen as the total space of a T^{2r} -bundle over S^1 . In fact, let $T^{2r} = R^{2r}/L_r$ the $2r$ -dimensional torus and $\rho : Z \rightarrow \text{Diff}(T^{2r})$ the representation defined as follows : $\rho(n)$ represents the transformation of T^{2r} covered by the linear transformation of R^{2r} given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^z & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-z} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^z & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & e^{-z} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & e^z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & e^{-z} \end{pmatrix}$$

This representation determines an action $A : Z \times (T^{2r} \times R) \rightarrow T^{2r} \times R$ defined by

$$A(n, [x_1, y_1, \dots, x_r, y_r], z) = (\rho(n)([x_1, y_1, \dots, x_r, y_r]), z + n).$$

Then $p : T^{2r} \times_Z R \rightarrow S^1$ is a T^{2r} -bundle where the projection p is given by

$$p[[x_1, y_1, \dots, x_r, y_r], z] = [z].$$

Then it is clear that $T^{2r} \times_Z R$ may be canonically identified to $\text{Solv}(r)$.

Since $j_r(\Gamma_r) \subset \Gamma_{r+1}$ then j_r induces a canonical embedding

$$J_r : \text{Solv}(r) \rightarrow \text{Solv}(r + 1).$$

If $\pi_r : S_r \rightarrow \text{Solv}(r)$ is the canonical projection, then we have a global basis $\{\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma\}$ of 1-forms on $\text{Solv}(r)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_r^* \alpha_i &= \bar{\alpha}_i, & \pi_r^* \beta_i &= \bar{\beta}_i, & \pi_r^* \gamma &= \bar{\gamma}, \\ d\alpha_i &= \alpha_i \wedge \gamma, & d\beta_i &= -\beta_i \wedge \gamma, & d\gamma &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the corresponding dual basis of vector fields, denoted by $\{X_i, Y_i, Z\}$ verifies

$$[X_i, Z] = -X_i, \quad [Y_i, Z] = Y_i,$$

the other brackets being all zero. Obviously, $\bar{X}_i, \bar{Y}_i, \bar{Z}$ and X_i, Y_i, Z are π_r -related.

Now, for any integer s , $1 \leq s < r$, let us consider the left invariant involutive distribution $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_s$ on S_r globally spanned by $\{\bar{X}_i, \bar{Y}_i, \bar{Z} \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\}$. Then $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_s$ is a subalgebra of the Lie algebra of S_r ; in fact, $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_s$ is the Lie algebra of the Lie subgroup S_s . Thus, the leaves of the foliation \bar{F}_s determined by $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_s$ are all diffeomorphic to S_s . Furthermore, since $\bar{\mathbf{F}}_s$ is left invariant, then it descends to a distribution \mathbf{F}_s on $\text{Solv}(r)$; \mathbf{F}_s defines a foliation F_s on $\text{Solv}(r)$ whose leaves are all diffeomorphic to $\text{Solv}(s)$.

Consider the cosymplectic structure (Φ, η) on $\text{Solv}(r)$ defined by

$$\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \wedge \beta_i, \quad \eta = \gamma.$$

A simple computation shows that F_s is a cosymplectic foliation on the cosymplectic manifold $(\text{Solv}(r), \Phi, \eta)$ and, from Theorem 1, it is stable.

Next, let \mathbf{F} be the involutive distribution on $\text{Solv}(r)$ globally spanned by $\{X_i, Y_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq r\}$. Then \mathbf{F} determines a foliation F on $\text{Solv}(r)$ whose leaves are precisely the fibres of the fibration $p : \text{Solv}(r) \rightarrow S^1$, which are $2r$ -dimensional tori. Thus, F is a compact foliation. Furthermore, it is easy to prove that F is a symplectic foliation on the cosymplectic manifold $(\text{Solv}(r), \Phi, \eta)$ and, from Theorem 1, it is stable. (We notice that this last result follows directly since the leaves of F are the fibres of p , which is a fibration with compact fibres [9]).

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Blair, *Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry*, Lecture Notes in Math. **509**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [2] D. Chinea, M. de León and J. C. Marrero, *Stability of invariant foliations on almost contact manifolds*, Preprint.
- [3] L. A. Cordero, M. Fernández, M. de León and M. Saralegui, *Compact symplectic four solvmanifolds without polarizations*. Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse (**10**) 2 (1989), 193–198.
- [4] M. Fernández and A. Gray, *Compact symplectic solvmanifolds not admitting complex structures*. Geometriae Dedicata **34** (1990), 295–299.
- [5] G. Hector and U. Hirsch, *Introduction to the Geometry of Foliations, Part A*. Aspects of Mathematics, Friedr. Vieweg and Sohn, Braunschweig, 1981.
- [6] H. Holmann, *Feuilletages complexes et symplectiques*. Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (4) **10** (1984), 91–108.
- [7] M. de León, *Examples of stable compact symplectic foliations on compact symplectic manifolds*. Portugaliae Math. **46** (4) (1989), 391–399.
- [8] M. de León, *Compact almost contact solvmanifolds admitting neither Sasakian nor cosymplectic structures*. Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (4) **15** (1989), 105–110.
- [9] P. Molino, *Riemannian Foliations*, Progress in Math. **73**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1988.
- [10] H. Rummeler, *Métriques kähleriennes et surfaces minimales*. Enseignement Math. **24** (1978), 305–310.
- [11] K. Yano and S. Ishihara, *Invariant submanifolds of an almost contact manifold*, Kôdai Math. Sem. Rep. **21** (1969), 350–364.

Domingo Chinea
 Departamento de Matemática Fundamental,
 Universidad de La Laguna,
 La Laguna, Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain

Received 25 07 1990

Manuel de León
 Unidad de Matemáticas,
 Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas,
 Serrano, 123, 28006 Madrid, Spain

Juan C. Marrero
 Departamento de Matemática Fundamental,
 Universidad de La Laguna,
 La Laguna, Tenerife, Canary Islands, Spain