

ON THE CLASSIFICATION OF TOTALLY UMBILICAL CR-SUBMANIFOLDS OF A KAEHLER MANIFOLD

M. A. Bashir

Abstract. We show that three-dimensional totally umbilical proper CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold are extrinsic spheres. Thus we extend a classification theorem of these submanifolds for dimension less than five.

1. Introduction. The notion of CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold was introduced by Bejancu [1]. Let \overline{M} be an m -dimensional Kaehler manifold with almost complex structure J . A $(2p + q)$ -dimensional submanifold M of \overline{M} is called a CR-submanifold if there exists a pair of orthogonal complementary distributions D and D^\perp such that $JD = D$ and $JD^\perp \subset \nu$, where ν is the normal bundle of M and $\dim D = 2p$, $\dim D^\perp = q$. Thus the normal bundle ν splits as $\nu = JD^\perp \oplus \mu$, where μ is an invariant sub-bundle of ν under J . A CR-submanifold is said to be proper if neither $D = \{0\}$ nor $D^\perp = \{0\}$.

Bejancu considered totally umbilical CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold. he proved that if $\dim D^\perp > 1$, then these submanifolds are totally geodesic [2]. Blair and Chen [3] and later Deshmukh and Husain have also studied these submanifolds. In fact Deshmukh and Husain have proved a classification theorem for totally umbilical CR-submanifolds provided that $\dim M \geq 5$. Their theorem is the following [4].

THEOREM 1. *Let M , ($\dim M \geq 5$) be a complete simply connected totally umbilical CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold \overline{M} . Then M is one of the following:*

- (i) *locally the Riemannian product of a holomorphic and a totally real submanifold of \overline{M} ;*
- (ii) *totally real submanifold;*
- (iii) *isometric to an ordinary sphere;*
- (iv) *homothetic to a Sasakian manifold.*

In this paper we consider the case where $\dim M = 3$. For this case we obtain the following theorem:

THEOREM 2. *Let M be a 3-dimensional totally umbilical proper CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold \overline{M} . Then M is an extrinsic sphere.*

Note that, since M in the above theorem is proper and 3-dimensional, then $\dim D^\perp = 1$. However if $\dim M = 4$ and M is proper, then $\dim D^\perp = 2$, and in this case one may use the result in [2] to conclude that M is totally geodesic. Using this and a result in [3] we conclude that if $\dim M = 4$, then M is locally a Riemannian product of a holomorphic submanifold and a totally real submanifold of \overline{M} . If $\dim M = 3$, then Theorem 2 and a result in [5] imply that M is either (iii) or (iv) of Theorem 1. Note that for $\dim M = 2$ or 1, M is either a holomorphic submanifold or a totally real submanifold. Thus a complete classification of totally umbilical CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold is obtained.

2. Preliminaries. We shall denote by $\overline{\nabla}$, ∇ , ∇^\perp the Riemannian connection on \overline{M} , M and the normal bundle respectively. They are related as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad \overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y)$$

$$(2.2) \quad \overline{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^\perp N, \quad N \in \nu.$$

where $h(X, Y)$ and $A_N X$ are the second fundamental forms which are related by

$$(2.3) \quad g(h(X, Y), N) = g(A_N X, Y)$$

where X and Y are vector fields on M .

Now let \overline{R} , R and R^\perp be the curvature tensors associated with $\overline{\nabla}$, ∇ and ∇^\perp respectively. The curvature tensor \overline{R} satisfies

$$(2.4) \quad \overline{R}(JX, JY)Z = \overline{R}(X, Y)Z, \quad \overline{R}(X, Y)JZ = J\overline{R}(X, Y)Z.$$

If X, Y, Z, W are vector fields on M , then Gauss and Codazzi equations are respectively given by

$$(2.5) \quad R(X, Y; Z, W) = \overline{R}(X, Y; Z, W) + g(h(X, W), h(Y, Z)) - g(h(X, Z), h(Y, W))$$

$$(2.6) \quad \overline{R}(X, Y; Z, N) = g((\overline{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) - (\overline{\nabla}_Y h)(X, Z)N),$$

where

$$\overline{R}(X, Y; Z, N) = g(\overline{R}(X, Y)Z, N)$$

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X h)(Y, Z) = \nabla_X^\perp h(Y, Z) - h(\nabla_X Y, Z) - h(\nabla_X Z, Y).$$

A CR-submanifold is said to be totally umbilical if $h(X, Y) = g(X, Y)H$, where $H = (\text{trace } h)/n$ is the mean curvature vector.

A totally umbilical submanifold of a Riemannian manifold which has nonzero parallel mean curvature vector (i.e. $\nabla_X^\perp H = 0$) is called an extrinsic sphere. If M is totally umbilical CR-submanifold, the equations (2.1), (2.2) and (2.6) become

$$(2.7) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(X, Y)H$$

$$(2.8) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X N = -g(H, N)X + \nabla_X^\perp N$$

$$(2.9) \quad \bar{R}(X, Y; Z, N) = g(Y, Z)g(\nabla_X^\perp H, N) - g(X, Z)g(\nabla_Y^\perp H, N).$$

Bianchi's first and second identities are given respectively by

$$(2.10) \quad R(X, Y, Z) + R(Y, Z, X) + R(Z, X, Y) = 0$$

$$(2.11) \quad (\nabla_X R)(Y, Z) + (\nabla_Y R)(Z, X) + (\nabla_Z R)(X, Y) = 0.$$

3. Three-dimensional totally umbilical CR-submanifold of Kaehler manifold. We consider a 3-dimensional totally umbilical proper CR-submanifold M of a Kaehler manifold \bar{M} . Then we prove the following lemmas

LEMMA 1. $H \in JD^\perp$, and for $z \in D^\perp$, $\nabla_z^\perp H = 0$.

Proof. Since M is proper and 3-dimensional, $\dim D = 2$ and $\dim D^\perp = 1$. For X, Y in D the equation $J\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \bar{\nabla}_X JY$ and (2.7) give

$$J\nabla_X Y + g(X, Y)JH = \nabla_X JY + g(X, JY)H.$$

Taking inner product with $N \in \mu$, we get

$$g(X, Y)g(JH, N) = g(X, JY)g(H, N)$$

With $Y = JX$ in the above equation, we have

$$\|X\|g(H, N) = 0, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad H \in JD^\perp.$$

To prove the second part of the Lemma, let $N \in \mu$. Then it follows from (2.9) that $\bar{R}(Z, X; JX, JN) = 0$ for $X \in D$. Using (2.4) in this equation we get $\bar{R}(Z, X; X, N) = 0$. Using (2.9) in this last equation we have $g(\nabla_Z^\perp H, N) = 0$, from which it follows that $\nabla_Z^\perp H \in JD^\perp$. We need to show that $\nabla_Z^\perp H \in \mu$. From (2.9) and (2.4) we get $\bar{R}(Z, X; X, Z) = \bar{R}(Z, X; JX, JZ) = 0$. Using linearity of \bar{R} , we then get $\bar{R}(Z, X; JX, Z) = 0$. From this it follows that $\bar{R}(Z, X, X, JZ) = 0$. Now using (2.9) the last equation gives $g(\nabla_Z^\perp H, JZ) = 0$, i.e. $\nabla_Z^\perp H \in \mu$. Thus $\nabla_Z^\perp H \in JD \cap \mu = \{0\}$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 1.

LEMMA 2. Let $\{X, JX, Z\}$ be an orthonormal frame field on M where $X \in D$ and $Z \in D^\perp$. Then we have the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X X &= aJX, & \nabla_{JX} X &= -bJX + \alpha Z, & \nabla_Z X &= cJX, \\ \nabla_X JX &= -aX - \alpha Z, & \nabla_{JX} JX &= bX, & \nabla_Z JX &= -cX, \\ \nabla_X Z &= \alpha JX, & \nabla_{JX} Z &= -\alpha X, & \nabla_Z Z &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where a, b, c are smooth functions on M and $\alpha = \|H\|$.

Proof. We know from Lemma 1 that $H \in JD^\perp$. Since $\dim JD^\perp = 1$, one can write $H = \alpha JZ$ for some smooth function α on M . Since M is totally umbilical we get

$$(3.1) \quad h(X, X) = h(JX, JX) = h(Z, Z) = \alpha JZ$$

$$(3.2) \quad A_{JZ}X = \alpha X, \quad A_{JZ}JX = \alpha JX, \quad A_{JZ}Z = \alpha Z, \\ h(X, JX) = h(X, Z) = h(Z, JX) = 0.$$

Using the equation (2.7) and (2.8) in the equation $\bar{\nabla}_Z JZ = J\bar{\nabla}_Z Z$ and taking inner product with $W \in D$, we get $g(\nabla_Z W) = 0$, i.e. $\nabla_Z Z \in D^\perp$. Since $g(Z, Z) = 1$ we also have $\nabla_Z Z \in D$. Therefore we have

$$(3.3) \quad \nabla_Z Z = 0.$$

Using (3.3) we have

$$(3.4) \quad g(\nabla_Z X, Z) = 0, \quad g(\nabla_Z JX, Z) = 0.$$

Also using the equation $(\bar{\nabla}_X J)(Z) = 0$ and (3.2) we get

$$(3.5) \quad g(\nabla_X Z, X) = 0, \quad g(\nabla_X Z, JX) = \alpha.$$

Now using the equation $(\bar{\nabla}_{JX} J)(Z) = 0$ we have

$$(3.6) \quad g(\nabla_{JX} Z, X) = -\alpha, \quad g(\nabla_{JX} Z, JX) = 0.$$

Similarly the equations $(\bar{\nabla}_X J)(X) = 0$, $(\bar{\nabla}_{JX} J)(X) = 0$ with the help of (3.1) give

$$(3.7) \quad g(\nabla_X X, Z) = 0, \quad g(\nabla_{JX} JX, Z) = 0.$$

The lemma follows from the equations, (3.3), (3.4), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7).

LEMMA 3. *Let $\{X, JX, Z\}$ be the orthonormal frame field on M . Then we have the following expressions for the curvature tensor of M*

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Z, Z) &= \alpha^2 X, & R(JX, Z, Z) &= \alpha^2 JX \\ R(JX, Z, X) &= (JX(c) + \alpha a - ca + Z(b))JX \\ R(X, JX, Z) &= -X(\alpha)X - JX(\alpha)JX \\ R(Z, X, JX) &= (X(c) - Z(a) + \alpha b - cb)X \\ R(Z, X, X) &= -(X(c) + \alpha b - Z(a) - cb)JX + \alpha^2 Z \\ R(Z, JX, JX) &= (JX(c) + Z(b) + \alpha a - ca)X + \alpha^2 Z \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using Lemma 2 and the definition of the curvature tensor R , $R(X, Y, Z) = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$, we get Lemma 3.

Proof of Theorem 2. Using the expressions for the curvature tensor given by Lemma (3) in Bianchi first identity (2.10) we get

$$[X(c) - Z(a) + ab - cb - X(\alpha)]X + [JX(c) + \alpha a - ca + Z(b) - JX(\alpha)]JX = 0$$

from which it follows that

$$(3.8) \quad X(c) - Z(a) + ab - cb - X(\alpha) = 0$$

$$(3.9) \quad JX(c) + \alpha a - ca + Z(b) - JX(\alpha) = 0$$

Applying Bianchi's second identity (2.11) to Z we have

$$(3.10) \quad (\nabla_X R)(JX, Z)Z + (\nabla_{JX} R)(Z, X)Z + (\nabla_Z R)(X, JX)Z = 0$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_X R)(JX, Z)Z &= \nabla_X R(JX, Z)Z - R(\nabla_X JX, Z)Z \\ &\quad - R(JX, \nabla_X Z)Z - R(JX, Z)\nabla_X Z. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3 and Lemma 2 we obtain

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} (\nabla_X R)(JX, Z)Z &= 2\alpha X(\alpha)JX + \alpha[JX(c) + Z(b) + \alpha a - ca]X \\ &= 2\alpha X(\alpha)JX + \alpha JX(\alpha)X, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.9) to get the last equality. Similarly we get

$$(3.12) \quad (\nabla_{JX} R)(Z, X)Z = -2\alpha JX(\alpha)X$$

$$(3.13) \quad (\nabla_Z R)(X, JX)Z = (cJX(\alpha) - ZX(\alpha))X - (cX(\alpha) + ZJX(\alpha))JX.$$

Now using (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13) in (3.10) we found that the X -components and the JX components give respectively

$$(3.14) \quad (c - \alpha)JX(\alpha) - ZX(\alpha) = 0$$

$$(3.15) \quad (2\alpha - c)X(\alpha) - ZJX(\alpha) = 0.$$

Using the equation $\bar{\nabla}_V JZ = J\bar{\nabla}_V Z$, where $V = X, JX$ or Z with the help of (3.2) and Lemma 2 we get $\nabla_V^\perp JZ = 0$. Since $\nabla_{\frac{1}{Z}}^\perp H = 0$, from Lemma 1, and $H = \alpha JZ$ we have

$$(3.16) \quad Z(\alpha) = 0.$$

Therefore, the equation $[Z, JX](\alpha) = [\nabla_Z JX - \nabla_{JX} Z](\alpha)$ implies that $ZJX(\alpha) = (\nabla_Z JX - \nabla_{JX} Z)(\alpha)$. Using Lemma 2 in this equation we get

$$(3.17) \quad ZJX(\alpha) = (\alpha - c)X(\alpha).$$

Using (3.17) in (3.15) we have

$$(3.18) \quad \alpha X(\alpha) = 0.$$

Now if we repeat the above arguments for the orthonormal frame field $\{W, JW, Z\}$, where $W = -JX$, we get the result in (3.18) for W with the same α as M is totally umbilical i.e. we get $\alpha W(\alpha) = 0$, or

$$(3.19) \quad \alpha JX(\alpha) = 0.$$

Equations (3.16), (3.18) and (3.19) imply that α^2 is constant. i.e. α is constant. Using this and $\nabla_V^\perp JZ = 0$ for $V = X, JX$ or Z we get $\nabla_V^\perp H = 0$ i.e. M is an extrinsic sphere.

Now we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 3 [2]. *Let M be a totally umbilical 4-dimensional proper CR-submanifold of a Kaehler manifold \overline{M} . Then M is totally geodesic.*

COROLLARY. *Let M be as in Theorem 2 or Theorem 3. If $\dim M = 4$, then M is locally the Riemannian product of a holomorphic submanifold and a totally real submanifold of \overline{M} . If $\dim M = 3$ then M is either (iii) or (iv) of Theorem 1.*

Proof. The first part of the corollary follows from Theorem 3 and a result of [3]. The second part follows from Theorem 2 and a result of [5].

Thus Theorem 1 is extended for $\dim M < 5$.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bejancu, *CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1978), 135–142.
- [2] A. Bejancu, *Umbilical CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold*, Rend. Mat. (6) (13) **15** (1980), 431–446.
- [3] D. E. Blair and B. Y. Chen, *On CR-submanifold of Hermitian manifolds*, Israel J. Math. **34** (1980), 353–363.
- [4] Sharief Deshmukh and S. I. Husain, *Totally umbilical CR-submanifolds of a Kaehler manifold*, Kodai Math. J. **9** (1986), 425–429.
- [5] S. Yamajuchi, H. Nemoto and N. Kawabata, *Extrinsic spheres in a Kaehler manifold*, Michigan Math. J. **31** (1984), 15–19.

Department of Mathematics, College of Science
King Saud University, P.O.Box 2455
Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia

(Received 10 09 1991)