ON THE CONVERGENCE OF A FACTORIZED VECTOR FINITE DIFFERENCE SCHEME

Boško S. Jovanović

Communicated by Gradimir Milovanović

Abstract. We consider a factorized vector finite difference scheme for solving multi-dimensional heat conduction equation. It can be treated as a vector version of Peaceman–Rachford scheme. A three-level version of this scheme is used to solve the wave equation. The stability and the convergence of these schemes are investigated.

Introduction

Different versions of alternating direction method [16], [4] are often used for numerical solution of multi-dimensional initial-boundary value problems of mathematical physics. Here we have, for example, splitting methods, composite methods, additive schemes, factorized schemes etc. (see [14]). All these methods directly or indirectly use the concept of vectorisation, i.e. one unknown mesh function is replaced by a vector mesh function.

Here we consider a factorized vector finite difference scheme proposed in [18]. We investigate the two-level and three-level versions of this scheme and prove its unconditional stability. The convergence rate estimates are obtained for initial-boundary value problems with generalized solutions from Sobolev spaces. Another vector finite difference schemes are considered in [1], [2], [9], [10] and [11].

Two-level scheme

As a model problem we consider the first initial-boundary value problem (IBVP) for the heat conduction equation

(1)
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u + f , \qquad (x, t) \in Q = \Omega \times (0, T) = (0, 1)^n \times (0, T) ,$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) , \qquad x \in \Omega ,$$

$$u(x, t) = 0, \qquad x \in \Gamma = \partial \Omega , \quad t \in (0, T) .$$

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 65M12

Supported by Ministry of Science and Technology of Serbia, grant number 04M03/C

We assume that the generalized solution of IBVP (1) belongs to the anisotropic Sobolev space $W_2^{s,\,s/2}(Q)$, $s\geq 1$ [13]. In this case there exists a trace $u|_{t=t'}\in W_2^{s-1}(\Omega)\subset L_2(\Omega)$ for $t'\in [0,T]$. We also assume that the solution u can be oddly extended in space variables outside the domain Ω , preserving the Sobolev class.

Let $\overline{\omega}$ be uniform mesh in $\overline{\Omega}$ with step size h. Let us set $\omega=\overline{\omega}\cap\Omega$, $\gamma=\overline{\omega}\setminus\omega$ and $\omega_i=\omega\cup\{x=(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\in\gamma\,|\,x_i=0,\ 0< x_j<1,\ j\neq i\}$. Let $\overline{\theta}$ be uniform mesh on [0,T] with step size τ , $\theta=\overline{\theta}\cap(0,T),\ \theta^-=\theta\cup\{0\}$ and $\theta^+=\theta\cup\{T\}$. Let, also, $\overline{\vartheta}$ be uniform mesh with step size τ on interval $[-\tau/2,\,T-\tau/2]$ and $\vartheta=\overline{\vartheta}\cap(-\tau/2,\,T-\tau/2)$, $\vartheta^-=\vartheta\cup\{-\tau/2\}$. Finally, let $\overline{Q}_{h\tau}=\overline{\omega}\times\overline{\theta}$ and $\widehat{Q}_{h\tau}=\overline{\omega}\times\overline{\vartheta}$. For a function v defined on the mesh $\overline{Q}_{h\tau}$ or $\widehat{Q}_{h\tau}$ we introduce the divided difference operators v_{x_i} , $v_{\bar{x}_i}$, v_t and $v_{\bar{t}}$ in the usual manner [17]. Let us denote v=v(x,t), $\hat{v}=v(x,t+\tau)$ and $\check{v}=v(x,t-\tau)$.

Let H_h be the set of discrete functions defined on the mesh $\overline{\omega}$, which vanish on γ . The identity operator on H_h will be denoted by I. We also denote

$$\Lambda_i v = \begin{cases}
-v_{x_i \bar{x}_i}, & x \in \omega \\
0, & x \in \gamma
\end{cases} \text{ and } \Lambda v = \sum_{i=1}^n \Lambda_i v.$$

We introduce the following discrete inner product

$$(v, w)_{\omega} = h^n \sum_{x \in \omega} v(x) w(x)$$

and the norms

$$||v||_{\omega} = (v, v)_{\omega}^{1/2} = \left(h^n \sum_{x \in \omega} v^2(x)\right)^{1/2}$$
 and $||v||_{\omega_i} = \left(h^n \sum_{x \in \omega_i} v^2(x)\right)^{1/2}$.

For a linear, selfadjoint and nonnegative operator A on H_h with we introduce so called "energy" seminorm

$$||v||_A = (A v, v)_{\omega}^{1/2}.$$

In particular

$$||v||_{A_i} = (A_i v, v)_{\omega}^{1/2} = ||v_{x_i}||_{\omega_i}.$$

Let us denote $\mathbf{H}_h = H_h^n$. Elements of the space \mathbf{H}_h will be denoted by $\mathbf{v} = (v^1, \dots, v^n)^T$, $\mathbf{w} = (w^1, \dots, w^n)^T$ etc. We introduce the matrix finite-difference operators $\mathbf{I} = \mathrm{diag}(I, \dots, I)$ and $\Lambda = \mathrm{diag}(\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_n)$. We also define the inner product and the associated norm of vector mesh functions

$$(\mathbf{v}, \, \mathbf{w}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (v^i, \, w^i)_{\omega} \,, \qquad \|\mathbf{v}\| = (\mathbf{v}, \, \mathbf{v})^{1/2} \,.$$

With T_i , T_t and T_t^+ we denote the Steklov averaging operators in space variables x_i and time variable t (see [8])

$$T_i f(x, t) = \frac{1}{h} \int_{x_i - h/2}^{x_i + h/2} f(x_1, \dots, x'_i, \dots, x_n, t) dx'_i,$$

$$T_t f(x, t) = T_t^+ f(x, t - \tau/2) = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{t - \tau/2}^{t + \tau/2} f(x_1, \dots, x_n, t') dt'.$$

Finally, C will stand for a positive generic constant, independent of h and τ .

We approximate the equation (1) by the following finite difference scheme (FDS) [18]

(2)
$$\left[\mathbf{I} + \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\mathbf{L} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I}\right) \Lambda\right] \left[\mathbf{I} + \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\mathbf{U} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I}\right) \Lambda\right] \mathbf{v}_t + \mathbf{E} \Lambda \mathbf{v} = \widetilde{\mathbf{f}}, \qquad t \in \theta^-,$$

where
$$\widetilde{\mathbf{f}} = (\widetilde{f}, \dots, \widetilde{f})^T$$
, $\widetilde{f} = T_1^2 \cdots T_n^2 T_t^+ f$,

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ I & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ I & I & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ I & I & \dots & I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I & \dots & I & I \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & I & I \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & I \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{L} + \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{U}.$$

Notice, that for a fixed $t \in \overline{\theta}$ vectors \mathbf{v} and $\widetilde{\mathbf{f}}$ belong to the space \mathbf{H}_h . The initial condition we approximate with

(3)
$$\mathbf{v}|_{t=0} = (T_1^2 \cdots T_n^2 u_0, \dots, T_1^2 \cdots T_n^2 u_0)^T$$

The scheme (2-3) is a factorized vector FDS. Here the solution u of IBVP (1) is approximated by a vector mesh function \mathbf{v} . FDS (2-3) can be treated as a vector version of Peacemann–Rachford method (see $[\mathbf{16}]$, $[\mathbf{18}]$, $[\mathbf{15}]$).

Since the solution of IBVP (1) may be discontinuous function, the components of the error-vector $\mathbf{z} = (z^1, \dots, z^n)^T$ we define by

$$z^i = T_1^2 \cdots T_n^2 u - v^i.$$

The vector **z** satisfies FDS

(4)
$$\left[\mathbf{I} + \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\mathbf{L} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I} \right) \Lambda \right] \left[\mathbf{I} + \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\mathbf{U} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I} \right) \Lambda \right] \mathbf{z}_t + \mathbf{E} \Lambda \mathbf{z} = \Phi, \quad t \in \theta^-,$$

$$\mathbf{z} \big|_{t=0} = \mathbf{0},$$

where $\Phi = (\varphi^1, \ldots, \varphi^n)^T$ and

$$\varphi^{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\Lambda_{j} \eta^{j} + \Lambda_{j} \chi \right) + \frac{\tau}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \Lambda_{j} \Lambda_{k} \chi + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{j}^{2} \chi \right)$$

$$+ \frac{\tau}{2} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \Lambda_{j} \Lambda_{k} \chi + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{i} \Lambda_{j} \chi \right) + \frac{\tau}{8} \Lambda_{i}^{2} \chi ,$$

$$\eta^{j} = \left(\prod_{l \neq j} T_{l}^{2} \right) \left(T_{j}^{2} u - T_{t}^{+} u \right), \qquad \chi = \frac{\tau}{2} T_{1}^{2} \cdots T_{n}^{2} u_{t} .$$

For an abstract two-level FDS

$$\mathbf{B}\,\mathbf{z}_t + \mathbf{A}\,\mathbf{z} = \Psi\,,$$

in a Hilbert space H the following propositions hold true.

Lemma 1. If $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^* \geq \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{B} - 0.5 \tau \mathbf{A} \geq \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}^* > \mathbf{0}$ then FDS (5) is stable and the a priori estimate

$$\max_{t \in \theta^{+}} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{2} + \tau \sum_{t \in \theta^{-}} \|\mathbf{z}_{t}\|_{\mathbf{D}}^{2} \leq 2 \left(\|\mathbf{z}|_{t=0}\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{2} + \tau \sum_{t \in \theta^{-}} \|\Psi\|_{\mathbf{D}^{-1}}^{2} \right)$$

holds.

Proof. Applying the inner product with $2 \tau \mathbf{z}_t$ to the equation (5) we get

$$2 \tau \left((\mathbf{B} - 0.5 \tau \mathbf{A}) \mathbf{z}_t, \mathbf{z}_t \right) + (\mathbf{A} \hat{\mathbf{z}}, \hat{\mathbf{z}}) - (\mathbf{A} \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}) = 2 \tau (\Psi, \mathbf{z}_t).$$

From here, using relations

$$((\mathbf{B} - 0.5 \tau \, \mathbf{A}) \, \mathbf{z}_t, \, \mathbf{z}_t) \ge \|\mathbf{z}_t\|_{\mathbf{D}}^2$$
 and $(\Psi, \, \mathbf{z}_t) \le 0.5 \, \|\mathbf{z}_t\|_{\mathbf{D}}^2 + 0.5 \, \|\Psi\|_{\mathbf{D}^{-1}}^2$,

after summation over the mesh θ^- , we obtain the desired a priori estimate. \Box

Lemma 2. If $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A}^*>\mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{B}=\mathbf{B}^*$ and $\mathbf{B}-0.5\,\tau\,\mathbf{A}\geq\mathbf{0}$ then FDS (5) is stable and the a priori estimate

$$\max_{t \in \theta^{+}} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{\mathbf{B} - 0.5 \tau \, \mathbf{A}}^{2} + \tau \sum_{t \in \theta^{-}} \left\| \frac{\hat{\mathbf{z}} + \mathbf{z}}{2} \right\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{2} \leq 2 \left(\|\mathbf{z}|_{t=0} \|_{\mathbf{B} - 0.5 \tau \, \mathbf{A}}^{2} + \tau \sum_{t \in \theta^{-}} \|\Psi\|_{\mathbf{A}^{-1}}^{2} \right)$$

holds.

Proof. Applying the inner product with $\tau(\hat{\mathbf{z}} + \mathbf{z})$ to the equation (5) we get

$$\left(\left(\mathbf{B} - 0.5 \, \tau \, \mathbf{A} \right) \hat{\mathbf{z}}, \, \hat{\mathbf{z}} \right) - \left(\left(\mathbf{B} - 0.5 \, \tau \, \mathbf{A} \right) \mathbf{z}, \, \mathbf{z} \right) + 2 \, \tau \left(\mathbf{A} \, \frac{\hat{\mathbf{z}} + \mathbf{z}}{2}, \, \frac{\hat{\mathbf{z}} + \mathbf{z}}{2} \right) = 2 \, \tau \left(\Psi, \, \frac{\hat{\mathbf{z}} + \mathbf{z}}{2} \right).$$

From here, using the inequality

$$\left(\Psi,\,\frac{\hat{\mathbf{z}}+\mathbf{z}}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\|\frac{\hat{\mathbf{z}}+\mathbf{z}}{2}\right\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\|\Psi\right\|_{\mathbf{A}^{-1}}^2,$$

after summation over the mesh θ^- , we obtain the desired a priori estimate. \Box Applying Λ to (4) we obtain a FDS in the canonical form (5), where

(6)
$$\mathbf{B} = \Lambda + 0.5 \tau \Lambda \mathbf{E} \Lambda + 0.25 \tau^{2} \Lambda (\mathbf{L} + 0.5 \mathbf{I}) \Lambda (\mathbf{U} + 0.5 \mathbf{I}) \Lambda = \mathbf{B}^{*} > \mathbf{0},$$
$$\mathbf{A} = \Lambda \mathbf{E} \Lambda = \mathbf{A}^{*} \geq \mathbf{0}, \quad \mathbf{B} - 0.5 \tau \mathbf{A} \geq \Lambda, \quad \Psi = \Lambda \Phi \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{z}|_{t=0} = \mathbf{0}.$$

According to lemma 1 FDS (5)–(6), or (2-3), is absolutely stable. The a priori estimate

$$\max_{t \in \theta^+} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2 + \tau \sum_{t \in \theta^-} \|\mathbf{z}_t\|_{\Lambda}^2 \le 2 \tau \sum_{t \in \theta^-} \|\Psi\|_{\Lambda^{-1}}^2 = 2 \tau \sum_{t \in \theta^-} \|\Phi\|_{\Lambda}^2,$$

or, in expanded form

(7)
$$\|\mathbf{z}\|_{3}^{2} \equiv \max_{t \in \theta^{+}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} z^{i} \right\|_{\omega}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau \sum_{t \in \theta^{-}} \|z_{t}^{i}\|_{A_{i}}^{2} \leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tau \sum_{t \in \theta^{-}} \|\varphi^{i}\|_{A_{i}}^{2}$$

holds.

Further

$$\|\varphi^{i}\|_{\Lambda_{i}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\|\eta_{x_{j}\bar{x}_{j}x_{i}}^{j}\|_{\omega_{i}} + \|\chi_{x_{j}\bar{x}_{j}x_{i}}\|_{\omega_{i}} \right) + \frac{\tau}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{\min\{i,j\}} \|\chi_{x_{j}\bar{x}_{j}x_{k}\bar{x}_{k}x_{i}}\|_{\omega_{i}}.$$

The value $\eta^j_{x_j\bar{x}_jx_i}$ in the node $(x,\,t)\in\omega_i imes\theta^-$ is a bounded linear functional of $u\in W^{s,\,s/2}_2(e)$, where $e=\prod_{l=1}^n(x_l-3h,\,x_l+3h) imes(t,\,t+ au)$ and $s\geq 1$. Moreover, $\eta^j_{x_j\bar{x}_jx_i}$ vanishes on the functions of the form $u=x_1^{\alpha_1}\,\cdots\,x_n^{\alpha_n}\,t^{\beta}$, $\alpha_1+\ldots+\alpha_n+2\,\beta\leq 4$. Using the Bramble–Hilbert lemma [3], [5], and the methodology proposed in [12] and developed in [6–8], for $\tau\asymp h^2$ (i.e. $C_1\,h^2\leq \tau\leq C_2\,h^2$), we obtain

$$|\eta_{x_j \bar{x}_j x_i}^j| \le C h^{s-4-n/2} |u|_{W_2^{s, s/2}(e)}, \qquad 3 \le s \le 5.$$

From here, by summation over the mesh $\omega_i \times \theta^-$, it follows that

$$\left(\tau \sum_{t \in \theta^{-}} \|\eta_{x_{j}\bar{x}_{j}x_{i}}^{j}\|_{\omega_{i}}^{2}\right)^{1/2} \leq C h^{s-3} \|u\|_{W_{2}^{s,s/2}(Q)}, \qquad 3 \leq s \leq 5.$$

In the same manner we can estimate $\chi_{x_j\bar{x}_jx_i}$ and $\tau\chi_{x_j\bar{x}_jx_k\bar{x}_kx_i}$. From these estimates and the inequality (7) we get the following convergence rate estimate for FDS (2–3):

(8)
$$\|\mathbf{z}\|_{3} \le C h^{s-3} \|u\|_{W_{0}^{s, s/2}(Q)}, \quad 3 \le s \le 5.$$

The estimate (8) is consistent with the smoothness of the solution of IBVP (1). Estimates of such type for IBVPs with variable coefficients are obtained in [6–8].

Another group of convergence rate estimates can be obtained in the following way. From (4) it follows that

$$\mathbf{z}_t = \left[\mathbf{I} + \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\mathbf{U} + \frac{1}{2} \, \mathbf{I}\right) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}\right]^{-1} \left[\mathbf{I} + \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\mathbf{L} + \frac{1}{2} \, \mathbf{I}\right) \boldsymbol{\Lambda}\right]^{-1} \left(\boldsymbol{\Phi} - \mathbf{E} \, \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \, \mathbf{z}\right)$$

and

(9)
$$\Lambda \mathbf{z}_{t} = \Lambda \left[\mathbf{I} + \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\mathbf{U} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I} \right) \Lambda \right]^{-1} \left[\mathbf{I} + \frac{\tau}{2} \left(\mathbf{L} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I} \right) \Lambda \right]^{-1} \left(\Phi - \mathbf{E} \Lambda \mathbf{z} \right).$$

Introducing a new "error"

$$z = \Lambda^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_i \, z^i$$

and considering that

$$\mathbf{E}\Lambda\mathbf{z} = (\Lambda z, \ldots, \Lambda z)^T,$$

from (9), by summation of the components of vectors from the left and the right side of equation, we get

$$\Lambda z_t = \Lambda (\psi - A z),$$

and

(10)
$$z_t + A z = \psi, \quad t \in \theta^-; \quad z|_{t=0} = 0,$$

where

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}, \qquad \psi = \Lambda^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{A}_{i} \varphi^{i},$$

$$A_{i} = \Lambda_{i} \left(I + \frac{\tau}{4} \Lambda_{i} \right)^{-2} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(I + \frac{\tau}{4} \Lambda_{j} \right)^{-2} \left(I - \frac{\tau}{4} \Lambda_{j} \right)^{2},$$

$$(11) \qquad \widetilde{A}_{i} = \left\{ \widehat{A}_{i} - \frac{\tau}{2} \Lambda_{i} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \widehat{A}_{j} \left(I + \frac{\tau}{4} \Lambda_{j} \right)^{-1} \times \right.$$

$$\times \prod_{k=i+1}^{j-1} \left(I + \frac{\tau}{4} \Lambda_{k} \right)^{-1} \left(I - \frac{\tau}{4} \Lambda_{k} \right) \left\{ \left(I + \frac{\tau}{4} \Lambda_{i} \right)^{-1},$$

$$\widehat{A}_{i} = \Lambda_{i} \left(I + \frac{\tau}{4} \Lambda_{i} \right)^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(I + \frac{\tau}{4} \Lambda_{j} \right)^{-1} \left(I - \frac{\tau}{4} \Lambda_{j} \right).$$

Operators \hat{A}_i , A_i and A are selfadjoint and satisfy the following relations

$$\begin{split} 0 &\leq A_i \leq \varLambda_i \,, \qquad -\varLambda_i \leq \hat{A}_i \leq \varLambda_i \,, \\ I - 0.5\,\tau\,A &= \frac{1}{2}\left[I + \prod_{j=1}^n \left(I - \frac{\tau}{4}\,\varLambda_j\right)^2 \left(I + \frac{\tau}{4}\,\varLambda_j\right)^{-2}\right] \geq 0.5\,I \,, \\ 0 &< \varLambda\,\prod_{j=1}^n \left(I + \frac{\tau}{4}\,\varLambda_j\right)^{-2} \leq A \leq \varLambda \,. \end{split}$$

For $\tau \approx h^2$ we also have

$$A \ge \alpha \Lambda$$
, $\alpha = \text{const} > 0$.

Applying lemmas 1 and 2 to equation (10) and its consequences

$$A^{-1}z_t + z = A^{-1}\psi \qquad \text{and} \qquad Az_t + A^2z = A\psi$$

we obtain the following a priori estimates

(12)
$$||z||_0^2 \equiv \tau \sum_{t \in \mathcal{A}^-} \left\| \frac{\hat{z} + z}{2} \right\|_{\omega}^2 \le C \, \tau \sum_{t \in \mathcal{A}^-} \|\Lambda^{-1}\psi\|_{\omega}^2 \,,$$

(13)
$$||z||_1^2 \equiv \max_{t \in \theta^+} ||z||_{\omega}^2 + \tau \sum_{t \in \theta^-} \left\| \frac{\hat{z} + z}{2} \right\|_{\Lambda}^2 \le C \tau \sum_{t \in \theta^-} ||\psi||_{\Lambda^{-1}}^2 ,$$

(14)
$$||z||_2^2 \equiv \max_{t \in \theta^+} ||z||_{\Lambda}^2 + \tau \sum_{t \in \theta^-} ||\Lambda \frac{\hat{z} + z}{2}||_{\omega}^2 + \tau \sum_{t \in \theta^-} ||z_t||_{\omega}^2 \le C \tau \sum_{t \in \theta^-} ||\psi||_{\omega}^2.$$

Further

(15)
$$\|\Lambda^{-1}\psi\|_{\omega} \le C\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \|\eta^{j}\|_{\omega} + \|\chi\|_{\omega}\right),$$

(16)
$$\|\psi\|_{\Lambda^{-1}} \le C \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\|\eta_{x_{j}}^{j}\|_{\omega_{j}} + \|\chi_{x_{j}}\|_{\omega_{j}} \right),$$

(17)
$$\|\psi\|_{\omega} \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\|\eta_{x_{j}\bar{x}_{j}}^{j}\|_{\omega} + \|\chi_{x_{j}\bar{x}_{j}}\|_{\omega} \right).$$

In such a way, the problem of deriving the convergence rate estimates for FDS (10)–(11), or (2–3), is reduced to estimation of terms η^j , χ , $\eta^j_{x_j}$, χ_{x_j} , $\eta^j_{x_j\bar{x}_j}$ and $\chi_{x_j\bar{x}_j}$. Using the Bramble–Hilbert lemma, in the same manner as in the previous case, from (12–17) we get

(18)
$$||z||_0 \le C h^s ||u||_{W^{s, s/2}_{\sigma}(\Omega)}, \qquad 1 \le s \le 2,$$

(19)
$$||z||_1 \le C h^{s-1} ||u||_{W_2^{s,s/2}(Q)}, \qquad 1 \le s \le 3,$$

(20)
$$||z||_2 \le C h^{s-2} ||u||_{W_2^{s,s/2}(Q)}, \qquad 2 \le s \le 4.$$

The estimates (18-20) are also consistent with the smoothness of data.

Three-level scheme

Three-level version of the previous FDS we will consider for the case of the first IBVP for the wave equation

(21)
$$\frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}} = \Delta u + f, \qquad (x, t) \in Q = \Omega \times (0, T) = (0, 1)^{n} \times (0, T),$$
$$u(x, 0) = u_{0}(x), \qquad \frac{\partial u(x, 0)}{\partial t} = u_{1}(x), \qquad x \in \Omega,$$
$$u(x, t) = 0, \qquad x \in \Gamma = \partial \Omega, \quad t \in (0, T).$$

We assume that the generalized solution of IBVP (21) belongs to the Sobolev space $W_2^s(Q)$, $s \geq 2$ [13]. In this case there exists a trace $u|_{t=t'} \in W_2^{s-1/2}(\Omega) \subset L_2(\Omega)$ for $t' \in [0,T]$. We also assume that the solution u can be oddly extended in space variables outside the domain Ω , preserving the Sobolev class.

On the mesh $\widehat{Q}_{h\tau}$ we approximate IBVP (21) by the following three-level factorized vector FDS

(22)
$$\left[\mathbf{I} + \frac{\tau^2}{4} \left(\mathbf{L} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I}\right) \Lambda\right] \left[\mathbf{I} + \frac{\tau^2}{4} \left(\mathbf{U} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I}\right) \Lambda\right] \mathbf{v}_{t\bar{t}} + \mathbf{E} \Lambda \mathbf{v} = \widetilde{\mathbf{f}}, \qquad t \in \vartheta,$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbf{f}} = (\widetilde{f}, \ldots, \widetilde{f})^T$, $\widetilde{f} = T_1 \cdots T_n T_t f$. The initial conditions we approximate by

(23)
$$\mathbf{v}|_{t=\pm\tau/2} = (T_1 \cdots T_n (u_0 \mp 0.5 \tau u_1), \dots, T_1 \cdots T_n (u_0 \mp 0.5 \tau u_1))^T$$

Similarly as in the previous case, we define the errors

$$z^i = T_1 \cdots T_n u - v^i$$
 and set $\mathbf{z} = (z^1, \ldots, z^n)^T$.

Vector **z** satisfies FDS

(24)
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} + \frac{\tau^2}{4} \left(\mathbf{L} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I} \right) \Lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} + \frac{\tau^2}{4} \left(\mathbf{U} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{I} \right) \Lambda \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{z}_{t\bar{t}} + \mathbf{E} \Lambda \mathbf{z} = \Phi, \quad t \in \vartheta,$$

$$\mathbf{z}_t|_{t=-\tau/2} = \mathbf{b}, \quad 0.5 \left(\mathbf{z} + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \right)|_{t=-\tau/2} = \mathbf{d},$$

where
$$\Phi = (\varphi^1, \ldots, \varphi^n)^T$$
, $\mathbf{b} = (\beta, \ldots, \beta)^T$, $\mathbf{d} = (\delta, \ldots, \delta)^T$ and

$$\varphi^{i} = \xi + \sum_{j=1}^{n} (\eta^{j} + \Lambda_{j} \zeta) + \frac{\tau^{2}}{4} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \Lambda_{k} \Lambda_{j} \zeta + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{j}^{2} \zeta \right) \right]$$

$$+ \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \Lambda_{k} \Lambda_{j} \zeta + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{i} \Lambda_{j} \zeta \right) + \frac{1}{4} \Lambda_{i}^{2} \zeta \right],$$

$$\xi = T_{1} \cdots T_{n} \left(u_{t\bar{t}} - T_{t} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}} \right),$$

$$\eta^{j} = T_{1} \cdots T_{n} \left(T_{t} \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x_{j}^{2}} - u_{x_{j}\bar{x}_{j}} \right),$$

$$\zeta = \frac{\tau^{2}}{4} T_{1} \cdots T_{n} u_{t\bar{t}},$$

$$\beta = T_{1} \cdots T_{n} \left(T_{t} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right) \Big|_{t=0},$$

$$\delta = 0.5 T_{1} \cdots T_{n} \left(u \Big|_{t=-\tau/2} - 2 u \Big|_{t=0} + u \Big|_{t=\tau/2} \right).$$

For a three-level FDS

(25)
$$\mathbf{C} \, \mathbf{z}_{t\bar{t}} + \mathbf{A} \, \mathbf{z} = \Psi \,,$$

in a Hilbert space H the following assertion holds true.

LEMMA 3. If $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^* \geq \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{C} - 0.25 \tau^2 \mathbf{A} \geq D = D^* > \mathbf{0}$ then FDS (25) is stable and the a priori estimate

$$\max_{t \in \vartheta} N(\mathbf{z}) \le N(\mathbf{z}) \big|_{t = -\tau/2} + \tau \sum_{t \in \vartheta} \|\Psi\|_{D^{-1}},$$

holds, where

$$N^2(\mathbf{z}) = \left\| \mathbf{z}_t \right\|_{\mathbf{C} - 0.25 \, \tau^2 \, \mathbf{A}}^2 + \left\| \frac{\mathbf{z} + \hat{\mathbf{z}}}{2} \right\|_{\mathbf{A}}^2.$$

Proof. Applying the inner product with $\hat{\mathbf{z}} - \check{\mathbf{z}} = \tau (\mathbf{z}_t + \mathbf{z}_{\bar{t}})$ to the equation (25) we get

$$N^2(\mathbf{z}) - N^2(\check{\mathbf{z}}) = \tau \left(\Psi, \mathbf{z}_t + \mathbf{z}_{\bar{t}} \right).$$

From here, using the inequalities

$$\left(\Psi, \mathbf{z}_t + \mathbf{z}_{\bar{t}}\right) \leq \|\Psi\|_{\mathbf{D}^{-1}} \left(\|\mathbf{z}_t\|_{\mathbf{D}} + \|\mathbf{z}_{\bar{t}}\|_{\mathbf{D}}\right) \leq \|\Psi\|_{\mathbf{D}^{-1}} \left[N(\mathbf{z}) + N(\check{\mathbf{z}})\right],$$

dividing by $N(\mathbf{z}) + N(\check{\mathbf{z}})$ and summing over the mesh ϑ , we obtain the desired a priori estimate. \square

Applying Λ to (24) we obtain a FDS in the canonical form (25), where

$$\mathbf{C} = \Lambda + \frac{\tau^2}{4} \Lambda \mathbf{E} \Lambda + \frac{\tau^4}{16} \Lambda (\mathbf{L} + 0.5 \mathbf{I}) \Lambda (\mathbf{U} + 0.5 \mathbf{I}) \Lambda = \mathbf{C}^* > \mathbf{0},$$

$$\mathbf{A} = \Lambda \mathbf{E} \Lambda = \mathbf{A}^* \ge \mathbf{0}, \qquad \mathbf{C} - 0.25 \tau^2 \mathbf{A} \ge \Lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi = \Lambda \Phi$$

Applying lemma 3 we obtain the a priori estimate

(26)
$$\max_{t \in \vartheta} N(\mathbf{z}) \leq N(\mathbf{z}) \Big|_{t = -\tau/2} + \tau \sum_{t \in \vartheta} \|\Psi\|_{\Lambda^{-1}}.$$

Further

$$\begin{split} N^{2}(\mathbf{z}) &= \left\| \mathbf{z}_{t} \right\|_{\mathbf{C} - 0.25 \, \tau^{2} \, \mathbf{A}}^{2} + \left\| \frac{\mathbf{z} + \hat{\mathbf{z}}}{2} \right\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{2} \geq \left\| \mathbf{z}_{t} \right\|_{\Lambda}^{2} + \left\| \Lambda \frac{\mathbf{z} + \hat{\mathbf{z}}}{2} \right\|_{\mathbf{E}}^{2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| z_{t}^{i} \right\|_{A_{i}}^{2} + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i} \frac{z^{i} + \hat{z}^{i}}{2} \right\|_{\omega}^{2} \equiv \left\| \mathbf{z} \right\|_{2}^{2}, \\ N^{2}(\mathbf{z}) \right|_{t=-\tau/2} &= \left\| \mathbf{b} \right\|_{\mathbf{C} - 0.25 \, \tau^{2} \, \mathbf{A}}^{2} + \left\| \mathbf{d} \right\|_{\mathbf{A}}^{2} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\left\| \beta \right\|_{A_{i}}^{2} + \frac{\tau^{4}}{16} \left\| \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{i} \, \beta + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \Lambda_{j} \, \beta \right\|_{A_{i}}^{2} \right) + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_{i} \, \delta \right\|_{\omega}^{2}, \\ \left\| \Psi \right\|_{\Lambda^{-1}} &= \left\| \Phi \right\|_{\Lambda} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\| \varphi^{i} \right\|_{A_{i}}^{2} \right)^{1/2}. \end{split}$$

Replacing these in (26), in the case when h and τ are of the same order $(\tau \times h)$, we get

(27)
$$\max_{t \in \vartheta} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{2} \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\|\beta_{x_{i}}\|_{\omega_{i}} + \|\delta_{x_{i}\bar{x}_{i}}\|_{\omega} + \tau \sum_{t \in \vartheta} \|\varphi_{x_{i}}^{i}\|_{\omega_{i}} \right).$$

To derive the convergence rate estimate we need to estimate the terms $\varphi^i_{x_i}$, β_{x_i} i $\delta_{x_i\bar{x}_i}$. Using the Bramble–Hilbert lemma, similarly as in the previous cases, for $\tau \times h$, we get from (27)

(28)
$$\max_{t \in \vartheta} \|\mathbf{z}\|_{2} \leq C h^{s-3} \|u\|_{W_{2}^{s}(Q)}, \qquad 3 \leq s \leq 5.$$

Similarly as in the case of two-level FDS, denoting

$$z = \Lambda^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda_i z^i,$$

from (24) we get a FDS in the form

(29)
$$\begin{aligned} z_{t\bar{t}} + A \, z &= \psi \,, \qquad t \in \vartheta \,, \\ z_t|_{t=-\tau/2} &= \beta \,, \qquad 0.5 \, (z+\hat{z})|_{t=-\tau/2} &= \delta \,, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}, \qquad \psi = \Lambda^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widetilde{A}_{i} \varphi^{i},$$

$$A_{i} = \Lambda_{i} \left(I + \frac{\tau^{2}}{8} \Lambda_{i} \right)^{-2} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(I + \frac{\tau^{2}}{8} \Lambda_{j} \right)^{-2} \left(I - \frac{\tau^{2}}{8} \Lambda_{j} \right)^{2},$$

$$\widetilde{A}_{i} = \left\{ \widehat{A}_{i} - \frac{\tau}{2} \Lambda_{i} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \widehat{A}_{j} \left(I + \frac{\tau^{2}}{8} \Lambda_{j} \right)^{-1} \times \right.$$

$$\times \prod_{k=i+1}^{j-1} \left(I + \frac{\tau^{2}}{8} \Lambda_{k} \right)^{-1} \left(I - \frac{\tau^{2}}{8} \Lambda_{k} \right) \left\{ \left(I + \frac{\tau^{2}}{8} \Lambda_{i} \right)^{-1},$$

$$\widehat{A}_{i} = \Lambda_{i} \left(I + \frac{\tau^{2}}{8} \Lambda_{i} \right)^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(I + \frac{\tau^{2}}{8} \Lambda_{j} \right)^{-1} \left(I - \frac{\tau^{2}}{8} \Lambda_{j} \right).$$

Operators \hat{A}_i , A_i and A are selfadjoint and satisfy the relations

$$\begin{split} 0 & \leq A_i \leq \varLambda_i \,, \qquad -\varLambda_i \leq \hat{A}_i \leq \varLambda_i \,, \\ I - 0.25\,\tau^2 \,A &= \frac{1}{2} \left[I + \prod_{j=1}^n \left(I - \frac{\tau^2}{8} \,\varLambda_j \right)^2 \left(I + \frac{\tau^2}{8} \,\varLambda_j \right)^{-2} \right] \geq 0.5\,I \,, \\ 0 & < \varLambda \, \prod_{j=1}^n \left(I + \frac{\tau^2}{8} \,\varLambda_j \right)^{-2} \leq A \leq \varLambda \,. \end{split}$$

For $\tau \approx h$ we have

$$A > \alpha \Lambda$$
, $\alpha = \text{const} > 0$.

Using these relations and lemma 3 one obtains the a priori estimate

(30)
$$\max_{t \in \vartheta} \|z\|_{1} \equiv \max_{t \in \vartheta} \left(\|z_{t}\|_{\omega}^{2} + \left\| \frac{z + \hat{z}}{2} \right\|_{\Lambda}^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$
$$\leq C \left(\|\beta\|_{\omega} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\delta_{x_{i}}\|_{\omega_{i}} + \tau \sum_{t \in \vartheta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\varphi^{i}\|_{\omega} \right).$$

Similarly, applying operator A^{k-1} (k=2, 3, ...) to equation (29) and repeating the same procedure, we get

(31)
$$\max_{t \in \vartheta} \|z\|_{k} \equiv \max_{t \in \vartheta} \left(\|z_{t}\|_{A^{k-1}}^{2} + \left\| \frac{z + \hat{z}}{2} \right\|_{A^{k}}^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$
$$\leq C \left(\|\beta\|_{A^{k-1}} + \|\delta\|_{A^{k}} + \tau \sum_{t \in \vartheta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|\varphi^{i}\|_{A^{k-1}} \right).$$

In such a way, estimating the right-hand side terms in (30) and (31) using Bramble-Hilbert lemma, in the same manner as in the previous cases, we obtain the following convergence rate estimate for the FDS (29), or (22–23)

$$\max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \|z\|_k \le C h^{s-k-1} \|u\|_{W^s_2(Q)}, \qquad k+1 \le s \le k+3; \qquad k = 1, 2, \dots.$$

References

- 1 В.Н. Абрашин, Об одном варианте метода переменных направлений решения мно-гомерных задач математической физики, Дифференциальные уравнения **26** (1990), 314-323.
- 2 В.Н. Абрашин, В.А. Муха, Об одном классе экономичных разностных схем решения многомерных задач математической физики, Дифференциальные уравнения 28 (1992), 1786–1799.
- 3 J.H. Bramble, S.R. Hilbert, Bounds for a class of linear functionals with application to Hermite interpolation, Numer. Math. 16 (1971), 362-369.
- 4 J. Douglas, On numerical integration of $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ by implicit methods, J. Soc. Industr. Appl. Math. 3 (1955), 42-65.
- 5 T. Dupont, R. Scott, Polynomial approximation of functions in Sobolev spaces, Math. Comput. **34** (1980), 441-463.
- 6 B.S. Jovanović, On the convergence of finite-difference schemes for parabolic equations with variable coefficients, Numer. Math. 54 (1989), 395-404.
- 7 B.S. Jovanović, Convergence of finite-difference schemes for parabolic equations with variable coefficients, Z. Angew. Math. Mech. 71 (1991), 647-650.
- 8 B.S. Jovanović, The finite-difference method for boundary-value problems with weak solutions, Posebna izdan. Mat. Inst. 16, Belgrade 1993.
- 9 B.S. Jovanović, On the convergence of a multicomponent alternating direction difference scheme, Publ. Inst. Math. 55 (69) (1994).
- 10 B.S. Jovanović, On the convergence of a multicomponent three level alternating direction difference scheme, Mat. vesnik 46 (1994), 99-103.
- 11 B.S. Jovanović, On a class of multicomponent alternating direction methods. In: D. Bainov, A. Dishliev (eds.), Third Int. Coll. Numer. Anal. held in Plovdiv 1994, SCTP, Singapore 1995, 97-106.
- 12 Р.Д. Лазаров, К вопросу о сходимости разностных схем для обобщенных решений уравнения Пуассона, Дифференциальные уравнения 17 (1981), 1287-1294.
- 13 J.L. Lions, E. Magenes, Boundary-value problems and its applications, Springer, Berlin 1972.
- 14 Г.И. Марчук, Методы расщепления, Наука, Москва (1988).
- 15 J.M. Ortega, W.C. Rheinboldt, Iterative solution of nonlinear equations of several variables, Academic Press, New York 1970.
- 16 D.W. Peaceman, H.H. Rachford, The numerical solution of parabolic and elliptic differential equations, J. Soc. Industr. Appl. Math. 3 (1955), 28-42.
- 17 А.А. Самарский, Теория разностных схем, Наука, Москва 1983.
- 18 П.Н. Вабищевич, *Векторные аддитивные разностные схемы*, Москва, Инст. Математ. Моделир. РАН, 1994, препр. № 2.

Matematički fakultet Studentski trg 16 11001 Beograd, p.p. 550 Yugoslavia (Received 27 10 1995)