

## LAGRANGE-TYPE OPERATORS ASSOCIATED WITH $U_n^\varrho$

Heiner Gonska, Ioan Raşa, and  
Elena-Dorina Stănilă

**ABSTRACT.** We consider a class of positive linear operators which, among others, constitute a link between the classical Bernstein operators and the genuine Bernstein–Durrmeyer mappings. The focus is on their relation to certain Lagrange-type interpolators associated to them, a well known feature in the theory of Bernstein operators. Considerations concerning iterated Boolean sums and the derivatives of the operator images are included. Our main tool is the eigenstructure of the members of the class.

### 1. Introduction

The present note continues the authors' research on a class  $U_n^\varrho$  of Bernstein-type operators which constitute a link between the classical Bernstein operators  $B_n(\varrho \rightarrow \infty)$  and the so-called genuine Bernstein–Durrmeyer operators  $U_n(\varrho = 1)$ . This class of operators was introduced by Păltănea in [12] and has since then been the subject of several papers dealing with various aspects of the matter. A detailed description is given in the next section. Here we present their relationship to the Lagrange interpolation using the eigenstructure of the  $U_n^\varrho$ , thus extending in a natural way results known for  $B_n$ . The eigenstructure is also useful to describe the convergence behavior of iterated Boolean sums based on a single mapping  $U_n^\varrho$ ,  $\varrho$  and  $n$  fixed. In the final Section 4 a relationship between certain divided differences used in Section 2 and the representation of the derivatives  $(U_n^\varrho)^{(j)}$  is established.

### 2. Lagrange type operators associated with $U_n^\varrho$

**2.1. A first description of  $L_n^\varrho$ .** Let  $\varrho > 0$  and  $n \geq 1$  be fixed. Consider the functionals  $F_{n,k}^\varrho : C[0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , defined by

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary: 41A36; Secondary: 41A05.

*Key words and phrases*: Bernstein operators, genuine Bernstein–Durrmeyer operators, Păltănea operators, Lagrange interpolation, eigenstructure, iterated Boolean sum, representation of derivatives.

Dedicated to Professor Giuseppe Mastroianni on the occasion of his 75th birthday.

$$F_{n,0}^{\varrho}(f) = f(0), F_{n,n-1}^{\varrho}(f) = f(1),$$

$$F_{n,k}^{\varrho}(f) = \int_0^1 \frac{t^{k\varrho-1}(1-t)^{(n-k)\varrho-1}}{B(k\varrho, (n-k)\varrho)} f(t) dt, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

The operator  $U_n^{\varrho} : C[0, 1] \rightarrow \Pi_n$  (the space of algebraic polynomials of degree no greater than  $n$ ) is given by  $U_n^{\varrho}(f; x) := \sum_{k=0}^n F_{n,k}^{\varrho}(f) p_{n,k}(x)$ ,  $f \in C[0, 1]$ , where  $p_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$ ,  $x \in [0, 1]$ . With a slight abuse of notation consider also the operator  $U_n^{\varrho} : \Pi_n \rightarrow \Pi_n$ . Its eigenvalues  $\lambda_{n,k}^{(\varrho)}$  and eigenfunctions  $p_{n,k}^{(\varrho)}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , are described in [4]; in particular,

$$1 = \lambda_{\varrho,0}^{(\varrho)} = \lambda_{\varrho,1}^{(\varrho)} > \lambda_{\varrho,2}^{(\varrho)} > \lambda_{\varrho,3}^{(\varrho)} > \dots > \lambda_{\varrho,n}^{(\varrho)} > 0,$$

which means that  $U_n^{\varrho} : \Pi_n \rightarrow \Pi_n$  is invertible. Consider the inverse operator  $(U_n^{\varrho})^{-1} : \Pi_n \rightarrow \Pi_n$  (note the domain of definition here!) and define  $L_n^{\varrho} : C[0, 1] \rightarrow \Pi_n$  by

$$(2.1) \quad L_n^{\varrho} = (U_n^{\varrho})^{-1} \circ U_n^{\varrho}.$$

Then  $U_n^{\varrho}(L_n^{\varrho}f) = U_n^{\varrho}(f)$ ,  $f \in C[0, 1]$ , which leads to

$$(2.2) \quad F_{n,k}^{\varrho}(L_n^{\varrho}f) = F_{n,k}^{\varrho}(f), \quad f \in C[0, 1], \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Relation (2.2) expresses an interpolatory property with respect to the functionals  $F_{n,0}^{\varrho}, \dots, F_{n,n}^{\varrho}$ ; more precisely, given  $f \in C[0, 1]$ ,  $L_n^{\varrho}f$  is the unique polynomial in  $\Pi_n$  satisfying (2.2). In particular,  $L_n p = p$ , for all  $p \in \Pi_n$ . It is known [3] that

$$(2.3) \quad \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} F_{n,k}^{\varrho}(f) = f(k/n), \quad f \in C[0, 1], \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

This entails

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} U_n^{\varrho}(f) = B_n f, \quad \text{uniformly on } [0, 1],$$

for all  $f \in C[0, 1]$ ; here  $B_n$  denotes the classical Bernstein operator on  $C[0, 1]$ . Let  $L_n$  be the Lagrange operator on  $C[0, 1]$  based on the nodes  $0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, 1$ . It is easy to see that

$$(2.5) \quad L_n = B_n^{-1} \circ B_n,$$

where  $C[0, 1] \xrightarrow{B_n} \Pi_n \xrightarrow{(B_n)^{-1}} \Pi_n$ . Similar to the above, the symbol  $(B_n)^{-1}$  means the inverse of  $B_n : \Pi_n \rightarrow \Pi_n$ . We will see that

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} L_n^{\varrho}(f) = L_n f, \quad \text{uniformly on } [0, 1],$$

for all  $f \in C[0, 1]$ . If we interpret (2.4) by saying that  $U_n^{\infty} = B_n$ , then (2.6) can be interpreted as  $L_n^{\infty} = L_n$ . On the other hand, one has (see [4, (3.3)])

$$(2.7) \quad U_n^{\varrho} f = \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_{\varrho,k}^{(\varrho)} p_{\varrho,k}^{(\varrho)} \mu_{\varrho,k}^{(\varrho)}(f), \quad f \in C[0, 1],$$

where  $\mu_{\varrho,k}^{(n)}$  are the dual functionals of  $p_{\varrho,k}^{(n)}$ . This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} L_n^\varrho(f) &= (U_n^\varrho)^{-1}(U_n^\varrho f) = \sum_{k=0}^n \lambda_{\varrho,k}^{(n)} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\varrho,k}^{(n)}} p_{\varrho,k}^{(n)} \mu_{\varrho,k}^{(n)}(f), \text{ i.e.,} \\ (2.8) \quad L_n^\varrho(f) &= \sum_{k=0}^n p_{\varrho,k}^{(n)} \mu_{\varrho,k}^{(n)}(f), \quad f \in C[0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

So the relationship between  $U_n^\varrho$  and  $L_n^\varrho$ , expressed by (2.7) and (2.8), is similar to the relationship between  $B_n = U_n^\infty$  and  $L_n = L_n^\infty$ , described in [1, Sect. 6].

To conclude this section let us recall that  $U_n^\varrho = B_n \circ \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{n\varrho}$  where

$$\overline{\mathbb{B}}_r(f; x) = \begin{cases} f(0), & x = 0; \\ \frac{1}{B(rx, r - rx)} \int_0^1 t^{rx-1} (1-t)^{r-rx-1} f(t) dt, & 0 < x < 1; \\ f(1), & x = 1. \end{cases}$$

is the Lupaş–Mühlbach Beta operator (see [7, p. 63], [11]). From (2.1) and (2.5) it follows

$$(2.9) \quad L_n^\varrho = (\overline{\mathbb{B}}_{n\varrho})^{-1} \circ L_n \circ \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{n\varrho}, \quad \varrho > 0,$$

i.e., the operators  $L_n^\varrho$  and  $L_n$  are similar.

**2.2. A concrete approach to  $L_n^\varrho$ .** In order to obtain other representations of the operators  $L_n^\varrho$  we shall use a classical method described, for example, in [13, Sect. 1.2], [2], [8, Sect. 1.3]. Let  $n \geq 1, \varrho > 0$  and  $f \in C[0, 1]$  be fixed. Then  $L_n^\varrho f \in \Pi_n$  has the form  $L_n^\varrho f = c_0 e_0 + c_1 e_1 + \dots + c_n e_n$ , where  $e_j(x) = x^j, x \in [0, 1], j \geq 0$ , and  $c_j \in \mathbb{R}$ . According to (2.2), the coefficients  $c_0, \dots, c_n$  satisfy the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned} L_n^\varrho f &= c_0 e_0 + c_1 e_1 + \dots + c_n e_n \\ F_{n,0}^\varrho(f) &= c_0 F_{n,0}^\varrho(e_0) + c_1 F_{n,0}^\varrho(e_1) + \dots + c_n F_{n,0}^\varrho(e_n) \\ &\dots \\ F_{n,n}^\varrho(f) &= c_0 F_{n,n}^\varrho(e_0) + c_1 F_{n,n}^\varrho(e_1) + \dots + c_n F_{n,n}^\varrho(e_n). \end{aligned}$$

By eliminating  $c_0, \dots, c_n$ , we get

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{vmatrix} L_n^\varrho f & e_0 & e_1 & \dots & e_n \\ F_{n,0}^\varrho(f) & F_{n,0}^\varrho(e_0) & F_{n,0}^\varrho(e_1) & \dots & F_{n,0}^\varrho(e_n) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ F_{n,n}^\varrho(f) & F_{n,n}^\varrho(e_0) & F_{n,n}^\varrho(e_1) & \dots & F_{n,n}^\varrho(e_n) \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

Since  $F_{n,j}^\varrho(e_m) = (i\varrho)^{\overline{m}} / (n\varrho)^{\overline{m}}$ , where by  $x^{\overline{k}} = x(x+1) \dots (x+k-1)$  we have denoted the rising factorial, from (2.10) we get after elementary computations

(2.11)

$$L_n^\varrho f = -V\left(0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, 1\right)^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & e_0 & \frac{(n\varrho)^{\bar{1}}}{(n\varrho)} e_1 & \dots & \frac{(n\varrho)^{\bar{n}}}{(n\varrho)^n} e_n \\ F_{n,0}^\varrho(f) & 1 & \frac{(0\varrho)^{\bar{1}}}{(n\varrho)} & \dots & \frac{(0\varrho)^{\bar{n}}}{(n\varrho)^n} \\ F_{n,1}^\varrho(f) & 1 & \frac{(1\varrho)^{\bar{1}}}{(n\varrho)} & \dots & \frac{(1\varrho)^{\bar{n}}}{(n\varrho)^n} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ F_{n,n}^\varrho(f) & 1 & \frac{(n\varrho)^{\bar{1}}}{(n\varrho)} & \dots & \frac{(n\varrho)^{\bar{n}}}{(n\varrho)^n} \end{vmatrix}$$

where  $V$  is the Vandermonde determinant. Now we are in the position to prove (2.6).

**THEOREM 2.1.** *For each  $f \in C[0, 1]$  we have*

$$\lim_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} L_n^\varrho f = L_n f, \text{ uniformly on } [0, 1].$$

**PROOF.** Let us remark that

$$(2.12) \quad \lim_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(j\varrho)^{\bar{k}}}{(n\varrho)^k} = \left(\frac{j}{n}\right)^k.$$

From (2.3), (2.11), and (2.12) we deduce

(2.13)

$$\lim_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} L_n^\varrho f = -V\left(0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, 1\right)^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & e_0 & e_1 & \dots & e_n \\ f(0) & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) & 1 & \frac{1}{n} & \dots & \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ f\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) & 1 & \frac{n-1}{n} & \dots & \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^n \\ f(1) & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Since the right-hand side of (2.13) is  $L_n f$  (see, e.g., [15, Sect. 3.1], [8, Sect. 1.3]), the proof is complete.  $\square$

**2.3. The associated divided difference.** The coefficient of  $e_n$  in the expression of  $L_n f$  is the divided difference of  $f$  at the nodes  $0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, 1$ , and is given by (see e.g. [15, Sect. 2.6]):

$$(2.14) \quad \left[0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, 1; f\right] = V\left(0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, 1\right)^{-1} \times \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & f(0) \\ 1 & \frac{1}{n} & \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2 & \dots & \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{n-1} & f\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & \frac{n-1}{n} & \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^2 & \dots & \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{n-1} & f\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right) \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & f(1) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Let us denote by  $[F_{n,0}^\varrho, F_{n,1}^\varrho, \dots, F_{n,n}^\varrho; f]$  the coefficient of  $e_n$  in  $L_n^\varrho f$ .

THEOREM 2.2. For each  $f \in C[0, 1]$  we have

$$(2.15) \quad [F_{n,0}^\varrho, F_{n,1}^\varrho, \dots, F_{n,n}^\varrho; f] = \frac{(n\varrho)^{\bar{n}}}{(n\varrho)^n} V\left(0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, 1\right)^{-1} \\ \times \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & F_{n,0}^\varrho(f) \\ 1 & \frac{1}{n} & (\frac{1}{n})^2 & \dots & (\frac{1}{n})^{n-1} & F_{n,1}^\varrho(f) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & \frac{n-1}{n} & (\frac{n-1}{n})^2 & \dots & (\frac{n-1}{n})^{n-1} & F_{n,n-1}^\varrho(f) \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & F_{n,n}^\varrho(f) \end{vmatrix}.$$

PROOF. From (2.11) we get immediately

$$\begin{aligned} & [F_{n,0}^\varrho, F_{n,1}^\varrho, \dots, F_{n,n}^\varrho; f] \\ &= \frac{(n\varrho)^{\bar{n}}}{(n\varrho)^n} V\left(0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, 1\right)^{-1} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \frac{(0\varrho)^{\bar{1}}}{n\varrho} & \dots & \frac{(0\varrho)^{\overline{n-1}}}{(n\varrho)^{n-1}} & F_{n,0}^\varrho(f) \\ 1 & \frac{(1\varrho)^{\bar{1}}}{n\varrho} & \dots & \frac{(1\varrho)^{\overline{n-1}}}{(n\varrho)^{n-1}} & F_{n,1}^\varrho(f) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & \frac{(n\varrho)^{\bar{1}}}{n\varrho} & \dots & \frac{(n\varrho)^{\overline{n-1}}}{(n\varrho)^{n-1}} & F_{n,n}^\varrho(f) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{(n\varrho)^{\bar{n}}/(n\varrho)^n}{(n\varrho)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} V\left(0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, 1\right)} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & (0\varrho)^{\bar{1}} & \dots & (0\varrho)^{\overline{n-1}} & F_{n,0}^\varrho(f) \\ 1 & (1\varrho)^{\bar{1}} & \dots & (1\varrho)^{\overline{n-1}} & F_{n,1}^\varrho(f) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & (n\varrho)^{\bar{1}} & \dots & (n\varrho)^{\overline{n-1}} & F_{n,n}^\varrho(f) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \frac{(n\varrho)^{\bar{n}}/(n\varrho)^n}{(n\varrho)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} V\left(0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, 1\right)} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & F_{n,0}^\varrho(f) \\ 1 & \varrho & \dots & \varrho^{n-1} & F_{n,1}^\varrho(f) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & n\varrho & \dots & (n\varrho)^{n-1} & F_{n,n}^\varrho(f) \end{vmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and this leads to (2.15).  $\square$

REMARK 2.1. From (2.3), (2.14) and (2.15) we derive

$$\lim_{\varrho \rightarrow \infty} [F_{n,0}^\varrho, F_{n,1}^\varrho, \dots, F_{n,n}^\varrho; f] = \left[0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, 1; f\right]$$

for all  $f \in C[0, 1]$ . Moreover, let  $f \in C[0, 1]$  and  $\Phi_n$  a (Lagrange type) polynomial with  $\Phi_n \in \Pi_n$ ,  $\Phi_n(\frac{j}{n}) = F_{n,j}^\varrho(f)$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, n$ . From (2.14) and (2.15) it is easy to deduce

$$[F_{n,0}^\varrho, F_{n,1}^\varrho, \dots, F_{n,n}^\varrho; f] = \frac{(n\varrho)^{\bar{n}}}{(n\varrho)^n} \left[0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{n-1}{n}, 1; \Phi_n\right].$$

The last (classical) divided difference can be computed by recurrence; see [15].

REMARK 2.2. Using (2.8), we see that

$$(2.16) \quad \mu_{\varrho,n}^{(n)} = [F_{n,0}^\varrho, F_{n,1}^\varrho, \dots, F_{n,n}^\varrho; \cdot].$$

For the Bernstein operator (i.e., for  $\varrho \rightarrow \infty$ ), (2.16) can be found in [1, p. 164].

REMARK 2.3.  $u_{n+1}^\varrho := e_{n+1} - L_n^\varrho e_{n+1}$  is the unique monic polynomial in  $\Pi_{n+1}$  such that  $L_n^\varrho u_{n+1}^\varrho = 0$ . For example,  $u_{n+1}^\infty = x(x-1)(x-\frac{1}{n}) \dots (x-\frac{n-1}{n})$ . Moreover,  $u_{n+1}^1(x) = x(x-1)J_{n-1}(x)$ , where  $J_0(x), J_1(x), \dots$  are the monic Jacobi polynomials, orthogonal on  $[0, 1]$  with respect to the weight function  $x(1-x)$ . Indeed,  $F_{n,0}^1(u_{n+1}^1) = F_{n,n}^1(u_{n+1}^1) = 0$ , and

$$\int_0^1 t^{k-1}(1-t)^{n-k-1}u_{n+1}^1(t) dt = \int_0^1 t^{k-1}(1-t)^{n-k-1}t(t-1)J_{n-1}(t) dt = 0$$

(since for all  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ ,  $t^{k-1}(1-t)^{n-k-1}$  is a polynomial of degree  $n-2$ ). This implies  $F_{n,k}^1(u_{n+1}^1) = 0, k = 1, \dots, n-1$ , and so  $L_n^1(u_{n+1}^1) = 0$ . For general values of  $\varrho$  we have not found such compact representations.

Now we shall prove a general result.

THEOREM 2.3. *The polynomial  $u_{n+1}^\varrho$  has  $n+1$  distinct roots in  $[0, 1]$ .*

PROOF. By using Remark 2.3 and (2.9) we get  $(\overline{\mathbb{B}}_{n\varrho}^{-1} \circ L_n \circ \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{n\varrho})(u_{n+1}^\varrho) = 0$ , which entails  $L_n(\overline{\mathbb{B}}_{n\varrho} u_{n+1}^\varrho) = 0$ . Now the same Remark 2.3 yields

$$\overline{\mathbb{B}}_{n\varrho} u_{n+1}^\varrho = \frac{(n\varrho)^{n+1}}{(n\varrho)^{n+1}} u_{n+1}^\infty.$$

So  $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_{n\varrho} u_{n+1}^\varrho$  has  $n+1$  distinct roots in  $[0, 1]$ . According to [6],  $u_{n+1}^\varrho$  has at least  $n+1$  distinct roots in  $[0, 1]$ ; to finish the proof, it suffices to remark that  $u_{n+1}^\varrho$  is a polynomial of degree  $n+1$ .  $\square$

Now let us recall the representation of  $L_n$  in terms of the fundamental Lagrange polynomials:

$$L_n f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n l_{n,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad f \in C[0, 1], \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

Using (2.9) we infer that  $L_n^\varrho$  has a similar representation, namely

$$L_n^\varrho f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n l_{n,k}^\varrho(x) F_{n,k}^\varrho(f),$$

where

$$(2.17) \quad l_{n,k}^\varrho := \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{n\varrho}^{-1}(l_{n,k}), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

THEOREM 2.4. *For each  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , the polynomial  $l_{n,k}^\varrho$  has  $n$  distinct roots in  $[0, 1]$ .*

PROOF. Since, according to (2.17),  $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_{n\varrho}(l_{n,k}^\varrho) = l_{n,k}$ , the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.3 and we omit it.  $\square$

In what follows we shall establish mean value theorems for the generalized divided difference and for the remainder  $R_n^\varrho f := f - L_n^\varrho$ .

THEOREM 2.5. Let  $n \geq 1, \varrho > 0$  and  $f \in C[0, 1]$  be given. Then there exist  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = 1$  such that

$$(2.18) \quad R_n^\varrho f(t_i) = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

PROOF. According to (2.2),  $F_{n,k}^\varrho(R_n^\varrho f) = 0, k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , i.e.

$$(2.19) \quad R_n^\varrho f(0) = R_n^\varrho f(1) = 0,$$

$$(2.20) \quad \int_0^1 t^{k\varrho-1}(1-t)^{(n-k)\varrho-1} R_n^\varrho f(t) dt = 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Set  $x := (\frac{t}{1-t})^\varrho, j := k-1$ , and  $h(x) := R_n^\varrho f(\frac{x^{1/\varrho}}{1+x^{1/\varrho}}), x \geq 0$ . Then (2.20) becomes

$$(2.21) \quad \int_0^\infty (1+x^{1/\varrho})^{-n\varrho} x^j h(x) dx = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n-2.$$

Suppose that the number of the roots of  $h$  in  $(0, +\infty)$  is at most  $n-2$ , i.e.,

$$\{x \in (0, +\infty) : h(x) = 0\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}, r \leq n-2.$$

Then there exists a polynomial  $p \in \Pi_{n-2}$  such that  $\{x \in (0, +\infty) : p(x) = 0\} \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$  and, moreover,

$$(2.22) \quad \int_0^\infty (1+x^{1/\varrho})^{-n\varrho} p(x) h(x) dx > 0.$$

Obviously (2.22) contradicts (2.21), which means that  $h$  has at least  $n-1$  roots in  $(0, +\infty)$ . It follows that  $R_n^\varrho f$  has at least  $n-1$  roots in  $(0, 1)$ . Together with (2.19), this proves the theorem.  $\square$

COROLLARY 2.1. Let  $n \geq 1, \varrho > 0$  and  $f \in C^n[0, 1]$  be given. Then there exists  $\xi \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$[F_{n,0}^\varrho, F_{n,1}^\varrho, \dots, F_{n,n}^\varrho; f] = \frac{f^{(n)}(\xi)}{n!}.$$

PROOF. According to Theorem 2.5,  $R_n^\varrho f$  has at least  $n+1$  roots in  $[0, 1]$ . It follows that  $(R_n^\varrho f)^{(n)}$  has at least a root  $\xi \in (0, 1)$ . Thus

$$0 = (R_n^\varrho f)^{(n)}(\xi) = f^{(n)}(\xi) - n![F_{n,0}^\varrho, F_{n,1}^\varrho, \dots, F_{n,n}^\varrho; f],$$

and the proof is finished.  $\square$

Let now  $n \geq 1, \varrho > 0$  and  $f \in C^{n+1}[0, 1]$  be given. Consider the points  $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n$  satisfying (2.18), and let  $\omega(t) = (t-t_0)\dots(t-t_n)$ .

COROLLARY 2.2. Let  $x \in [0, 1] \setminus \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ . Under the above assumption there exists  $\eta_x \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$R_n^\varrho f(x) = \omega(x) \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\eta_x)}{(n+1)!}.$$

PROOF. Consider the function  $w(t) = \omega(x)R_n^\varrho f(t) - \omega(t)R_n^\varrho f(x), t \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $x, t_0, \dots, t_n$  are roots of  $w$ , which means that there exists  $\eta_x \in (0, 1)$  such that  $w^{(n+1)}(\eta_x) = 0$ . Now it suffices to remark that  $w^{(n+1)}(t) = \omega(x)f^{(n+1)}(t) - (n+1)!R_n^\varrho f(x)$ .  $\square$

Corollaries 2.1 and 2.2 generalize the mean value theorems for the divided difference and the remainder in the classical Lagrange interpolation; see [15, Sect. 3.1], [8, Sect. 1.4].

### 3. Iterated Boolean sums of the operators $U_n^\varrho$

For  $M \geq 1$ , let  $\bigoplus^M U_n^\varrho = I - (I - U_n^\varrho)^M$  be the iterated Boolean sum of  $U_n^\varrho$ ; here  $I$  stands for the identity operator on  $C[0, 1]$ . Iterated Boolean sums of the classical Bernstein operator and modifications thereof were investigated by numerous authors in the past, among them Mastroiani and Occorsio [9, 10]. Some historical information on this method which may be traced to Natanson can be found in [5]. From a general result of Wenz [16, Theorem 2] it follows that  $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \bigoplus^M U_n^\varrho f = L_n^\varrho f$ ,  $f \in C[0, 1]$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . With the notation from the preceding sections, we can say more, namely

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $f \in C[0, 1]$  be given. Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \lambda_{\varrho, n}^{(n)})^{-M} \left( \bigoplus^M U_n^\varrho f - L_n^\varrho f \right) = -[F_{n,0}^\varrho, F_{n,1}^\varrho, \dots, F_{n,n}^\varrho; f] p_{\varrho, n}^{(n)},$$

uniformly on  $[0, 1]$ .

**PROOF.** We have, according to (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus^M U_n^\varrho f &= (I - (I - U_n^\varrho)^M) f = \sum_{i=1}^M (-1)^{i+1} \binom{M}{i} (U_n^\varrho)^i f \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^M (-1)^{i+1} \binom{M}{i} \sum_{k=0}^n (\lambda_{\varrho, k}^{(n)})^i p_{\varrho, k}^{(n)} \mu_{\varrho, k}^{(n)}(f) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n p_{\varrho, k}^{(n)} \mu_{\varrho, k}^{(n)}(f) \sum_{i=1}^M (-1)^{i+1} \binom{M}{i} (\lambda_{\varrho, k}^{(n)})^i \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n p_{\varrho, k}^{(n)} \mu_{\varrho, k}^{(n)}(f) (1 - (1 - (\lambda_{\varrho, k}^{(n)})^M)). \end{aligned}$$

Combined with (2.8) this yields

$$\bigoplus^M U_n^\varrho f - L_n^\varrho f = - \sum_{k=0}^n p_{\varrho, k}^{(n)} \mu_{\varrho, k}^{(n)}(f) (1 - \lambda_{\varrho, k}^{(n)})^M, \quad \text{i.e.,}$$

$$(1 - \lambda_{\varrho, n}^{(n)})^{-M} \left( \bigoplus^M U_n^\varrho f - L_n^\varrho f \right) = -p_{\varrho, n}^{(n)} \mu_{\varrho, n}^{(n)}(f) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu_{\varrho, k}^{(n)}(f) \mu_{\varrho, k}^{(n)}(f) \left( \frac{1 - \lambda_{\varrho, k}^{(n)}}{1 - \lambda_{\varrho, n}^{(n)}} \right)^M.$$

Since  $0 < (1 - \lambda_{\varrho, k}^{(n)}) / (1 - \lambda_{\varrho, n}^{(n)}) < 1$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n - 1$ , we get

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \lambda_{\varrho, n}^{(n)})^{-M} \left( \bigoplus^M U_n^\varrho f - L_n^\varrho f \right) = -\mu_{\varrho, n}^{(n)}(f) p_{\varrho, n}^{(n)}.$$

To conclude the proof it suffices to use (2.16). □

**REMARK 3.1.** For  $\varrho \rightarrow \infty$ , (3.1) was obtained in [14, Th. 26.7].



#### 4. The derivatives of $U_n^e$

In this section we show that there is a natural relationship between the derivatives of the operator images and the divided differences  $[\dots; \Phi_n]$  which we introduced in Remark 2.1.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *With the usual notation the following relationships hold*

$$(i) \quad (U_n^e(f; x))' = n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_{n-1,k}(x) \Delta^1 F_{n,k}^e(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_{n-1,k}(x) \left[ \frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}; \Phi_n \right];$$

$$(ii) \quad (U_n^e(f; x))^{(j)} = n(n-1) \cdots (n-j+1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-j} p_{n-j,k}(x) \Delta^j F_{n,k}^e(f)$$

$$= n(n-1) \cdots (n-j+1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-j} p_{n-j,k}(x) \frac{j!}{n^j} \left[ \frac{k}{n}, \dots, \frac{k+j}{n}; \Phi_n \right];$$

$$(iii) \quad U_n^e(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \Delta^k F_{n,0}^e(f) e_k(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{k!}{n^k} \left[ 0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{k}{n}; \Phi_n \right] e_k(x);$$

where as before  $\Phi_n \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) = F_{n,k}^e(f)$ .

**PROOF.** (i) The forward difference was defined in [3, p. 792] by

$$\Delta^j F_{n,k}^e(f) = \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{j}{i} (-1)^{i+j} F_{n,k+i}^e(f).$$

Thus we have

$$\left[ \frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}; \Phi_n \right] = \frac{\Phi_n \left( \frac{k+1}{n} \right) - \Phi_n \left( \frac{k}{n} \right)}{\frac{k+1}{n} - \frac{k}{n}} = n [F_{n,k+1}^e(f) - F_{n,k}^e(f)] = n \Delta^1 F_{n,k}^e(f);$$

(ii) The first equality can be found in [3, p. 792]. It remains to show that

$$\Delta^j F_{n,k}^e(f) = \frac{j!}{n^j} \left[ \frac{k}{n}, \dots, \frac{k+j}{n}; \Phi_n \right].$$

We have that  $\Delta^{j+1} F_{n,k}^e(f) = \Delta(\Delta^j F_{n,k}^e(f)) = \Delta^j F_{n,k+1}^e(f) - \Delta^j F_{n,k}^e(f)$ . By using the recurrence formula for divided differences (see e.g. [15, p.104]) we get

$$\Delta^j F_{n,k+1}^e(f) - \Delta^j F_{n,k}^e(f) = \frac{j!}{n^j} \cdot \frac{j+1}{n} \cdot \frac{\left[ \frac{k+1}{n}, \dots, \frac{k+j+1}{n}; \Phi_n \right] - \left[ \frac{k}{n}, \dots, \frac{k+j}{n}; \Phi_n \right]}{\frac{k+j+1}{n} - \frac{k}{n}}$$

$$= \frac{(j+1)!}{n^{j+1}} \left[ \frac{k}{n}, \dots, \frac{k+j+1}{n}; \Phi_n \right] = \Delta^{j+1} F_{n,k}^e(f),$$

$$U_n^e(f; x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(U_n^e f)^{(j)}(0)}{j!} x^j$$

and show that  $(U_n^e(f; x))^{(j)} = n(n-1) \cdots (n-j+1) \Delta^j F_{n,0}^e(f)$ .

To this end we take  $x = 0$  in (ii); because  $p_{n-j,0}(0) = 1$  and for all  $k \geq 1$ ,  $p_{n-j,k}(0) = 0$ , from  $\sum_{k=0}^{n-j}$  only the first term remains, which concludes the proof.  $\square$

REMARK 4.1. In the case  $\rho \rightarrow \infty$  we can find the analogues of the above relationships in [15, pp. 300–302].

### References

1. S. Cooper, S. Waldron, *The eigenstructure of the Bernstein operator*, J. Approx. Theory **105** (2000), 133–165.
2. P. J. Davis, *Interpolation and Approximation*, Dover, New York, 1975.
3. H. Gonska, R. Păltănea, *Simultaneous approximation by a class of Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators preserving linear functions*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **60** (2010), 783–799.
4. H. Gonska, I. Rașa, E.D. Stănilă, *The eigenstructure of operators linking the Bernstein and the genuine Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators*, Mediterr. J. Math. (2013), DOI: 10.1007/s00009-013-0347-0
5. H. Gonska, X.-L. Zhou, *Approximation theorems for the iterated Boolean sums of Bernstein operators*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **53** (1994), 21–31.
6. D. Kacsó, E. D. Stănilă, *On the class of operators  $U_n^{\rho}$  linking the Bernstein and the genuine Bernstein-Durrmeyer operators*, J. Appl. Funct. Anal. **9** (2014), 335–348.
7. A. Lupaș, *Die Folge der Betaoperatoren*, Ph.D. Thesis, Stuttgart: Universität Stuttgart 1972.
8. G. Mastroianni, G. V. Milovanović, *Interpolation Processes. Basic Theory and Applications*, Springer, 2008.
9. G. Mastroianni, M. R. Occorsio, *Una generalizzazione dell'operatore di Bernstein*, Rend. Accad. Sci. Mat. Fis. Nat. Napoli, Serie IV, **44** (1977), 151–169.
10. ———, *Una generalizzazione dell'operatore di Stancu*, Rend. Accad. Sci. Mat. Fis. Mat. Napoli, Serie IV, **45** (1978), 495–511.
11. G. Mühlbach, *Rekursionsformeln für die zentralen Momente der Pólya und der Beta-Verteilung*, Metrika **19** (1972), 171–177.
12. R. Păltănea, *A class of Durrmeyer type operators preserving linear functions*, Ann. Tiberiu Popoviciu Sem. Funct. Equat. Approxim. Convex. (Cluj-Napoca) **5** (2007), 109–117.
13. E. Popoviciu, *Teoreme de Medie din Analiza Matematică și Legătura lor cu Teoria Interpolării*, Editura Dacia, Cluj, 1972.
14. I. Rașa, T. Vladislav, *Analiza Numerică. Aproximare, problema lui Cauchy abstractă, proiectori Altomare*, Ed. Tehnica, București 1999.
15. D. D. Stancu, O. Agratini, Gh. Coman, R. Trămbițaș, *Analiză Numerică și Teoria Aproximării*, vol. I, Cluj-Napoca: Presa Universitară Clujeană 2001.
16. H. J. Wenz, *On the limits of (linear combinations of) iterates of linear operators*, J. Approx. Theory **89** (1997), 219–237.

University of Duisburg-Essen  
 Faculty of Mathematics  
 Forsthausweg 2  
 47057 Duisburg  
 Germany  
 heiner.gonska@uni-due.de  
 elena.stanila@stud.uni-due.de

Technical University of Cluj-Napoca  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Str. Memorandumului nr. 28  
 RO-400114 Cluj-Napoca  
 Romania  
 Ioan.Rasa@math.utcluj.ro