

## DECOMPOSITIONS OF $2 \times 2$ MATRICES OVER LOCAL RINGS

Huanyin Chen, Sait Halicioglu, and Handan Kose

**ABSTRACT.** An element  $a$  of a ring  $R$  is called perfectly clean if there exists an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $a - e \in U(R)$ . A ring  $R$  is perfectly clean in case every element in  $R$  is perfectly clean. In this paper, we completely determine when every  $2 \times 2$  matrix and triangular matrix over local rings are perfectly clean. These give more explicit characterizations of strongly clean matrices over local rings. We also obtain several criteria for a triangular matrix to be perfectly J-clean. For instance, it is proved that for a commutative local ring  $R$ , every triangular matrix is perfectly J-clean in  $T_n(R)$  if and only if  $R$  is strongly J-clean.

### 1. Introduction

The commutant and double commutant of an element  $a$  in a ring  $R$  are defined by  $\text{comm}(a) = \{x \in R \mid xa = ax\}$ ,  $\text{comm}^2(a) = \{x \in R \mid xy = yx \text{ for all } y \in \text{comm}(a)\}$ , respectively. An element  $a \in R$  is strongly clean provided that there exists an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}(a)$  such that  $a - e \in U(R)$ . A ring  $R$  is called strongly clean in the case that every element in  $R$  is strongly clean. Strongly clean matrix rings and triangular matrix rings over local rings have been extensively studied by many authors (cf. [1, 2, 5, 6] and [12, 13]). An element  $a \in R$  is quasipolar provided that there exists an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $a + e \in U(R)$  and  $ae \in R^{\text{qnil}}$ , where  $R^{\text{qnil}} = \{x \in R \mid 1 + xr \in U(R) \text{ for any } r \in \text{comm}(x)\}$ . A ring  $R$  is called quasipolar if every element in  $R$  is quasipolar. As is well known, a ring  $R$  is quasipolar if and only if for any  $a \in R$  there exists a  $b \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $b = bab$  and  $b - b^2a \in R^{\text{qnil}}$ . This concept has evolved from Banach algebra. In fact, for a Banach algebra  $R$ ,

$$a \in R^{\text{qnil}} \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0.$$

It is shown that every quasipolar ring is strongly clean. Recently, quasipolar  $2 \times 2$  matrix rings and triangular matrix rings over local rings were also studied from different point of views (cf. [7, 9, 11]).

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 16S50; 16S70; 16U99.

*Key words and phrases*: perfectly clean ring; perfectly J-clean ring; quasipolar ring; matrix; triangular matrix.

Communicated by Žarko Mijajlović.

The motivation for this article is to introduce a medium class between strongly clean rings and quasipolar rings, and then explore more explicit decompositions of  $2 \times 2$  matrices over a local ring. An element  $a$  of a ring  $R$  is called perfectly clean if there exists an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $a - e \in U(R)$ . A ring  $R$  is perfectly clean in the case every element in  $R$  is perfectly clean. We completely determine when every  $2 \times 2$  matrix and triangular matrix over local rings are perfectly clean. These also give more explicit characterizations of strong clean matrices over local rings, and enhance many known results, e.g., [5, Theorem 8], [11, Theorem 2.8] and [12, Theorem 7]. Replaced  $U(R)$  by  $J(R)$ , we introduce perfectly J-clean rings as a subclass of perfectly clean rings. Furthermore, we show that strong J-cleanness for triangular matrices over a local ring can be enhanced to such stronger properties. These also generalize the corresponding properties of J-quasipolarity, e.g., [8, Theorem 4.9].

We write  $U(R)$  and  $J(R)$  for the set of all invertible elements and the Jacobson radical of  $R$ ;  $M_n(R)$  and  $T_n(R)$  stand for the rings of all  $n \times n$  matrices and triangular matrices over a ring  $R$ .

## 2. Perfect rings

Clearly, an abelian exchange ring is perfectly clean. Every quasipolar ring is perfectly clean. For instance, every strongly  $\pi$ -regular ring. In fact, we have  $\{\text{quasipolar rings}\} \subsetneq \{\text{perfectly clean rings}\} \subsetneq \{\text{strongly clean rings}\}$ . In this section, we explore the properties of perfect rings, which will be used in the sequel. We begin with

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is perfectly clean.
- (2) For any  $a \in R$ , there exists an  $x \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $x = xax$  and  $1 - x \in (1 - a)R \cap R(1 - a)$ .

**PROOF.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) For any  $a \in R$ , there exists an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $u := a - e \in U(R)$ . Set  $x = u^{-1}(1 - e)$ . Let  $y \in \text{comm}(a)$ . Then  $ay = ya$ . As  $uy = (a - e)y = y(a - e) = yu$ , we get  $u^{-1}y = yu^{-1}$ . Thus,  $xy = u^{-1}(1 - e)y = u^{-1}y(1 - e) = yu^{-1}(1 - e) = yx$ . This implies that  $x \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ . Further,  $xax = u^{-1}(1 - e)(u + e)u^{-1}(1 - e) = u^{-1}(1 - e) = x$ . Clearly,  $u = (1 - e) - (1 - a)$ , and so  $1 - u^{-1}(1 - e) = u^{-1}(1 - a)$ . This implies that  $1 - x \in R(1 - a)$ . Likewise,  $1 - x \in (1 - a)R$  as  $(1 - e)u^{-1} = u^{-1}(1 - e)$ . Therefore  $1 - x \in (1 - a)R \cap R(1 - a)$ , as required.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) For any  $a \in R$ , there exists an  $x \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $x = xax$  and  $1 - x \in (1 - a)R \cap R(1 - a)$ . Write  $e = 1 - ax$ . If  $y \in \text{comm}(a)$ , then  $ay = ya$ , and so  $axy = ayx = yax$ . This shows that  $ey = ye$ ; hence,  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ . In addition,  $ex = xe = 0$ . Write  $1 - x = (1 - a)s = t(1 - a)$  for some  $s, t \in R$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (a - e)(x - es) &= ax - aes + es = ax + (1 - a)es \\ &= ax + e(1 - a)s = ax + e(1 - x) = ax + e = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise,  $(x - te)(a - e) = 1$ . Therefore  $a - e \in U(R)$ , as desired.  $\square$

COROLLARY 2.1. *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is perfectly clean.
- (2) For any  $a \in R$ , there exists an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $ea e \in U(eRe)$  and  $(1 - e)(1 - a)(1 - e) \in U((1 - e)R(1 - e))$ .

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) For any  $a \in R$ , it follows from Theorem 2.1 that there exists an  $x \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $x = xax$  and  $1 - x \in (1 - a)R \cap R(1 - a)$ . Write  $1 - x = (1 - a)s = t(1 - a)$  for some  $s, t \in R$ . Set  $e = ax$ . For any  $y \in \text{comm}(a)$ , we have  $ay = ya$ , and so  $ey = (ax)y = a(yx) = (ay)x = y(ax) = ye$ . Hence,  $e^2 = e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ . Clearly,  $(eae)(exe) = (exe)(eae) = e$ ; hence,  $ea e \in U(eRe)$ . Furthermore,  $1 - e = (1 - x) + (1 - a)x = (1 - a)(s + x)$ . This shows that  $(1 - e)(1 - a)(1 - e)(1 - x)(1 - e) = 1 - e$ . Likewise,  $(1 - e)(1 - x)(1 - e)(1 - a)(1 - e) = 1 - e$ . Therefore  $(1 - e)(1 - a)(1 - e) \in U((1 - e)R(1 - e))$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) For any  $a \in R$ , we have an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $ea e \in U(eRe)$  and  $(1 - e)(1 - a)(1 - e) \in U((1 - e)R(1 - e))$ . Hence,  $a - (1 - e) = (eae - (1 - e)(1 - a)(1 - e)) \in U(R)$ . Set  $p = 1 - e$ . Then  $a - p \in U(R)$  with  $p \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ , as desired.  $\square$

Recall that a ring  $R$  is strongly nil clean provide that every element in  $R$  is the sum of an idempotent and a nilpotent element that commutate (cf. [4] and [10]).

THEOREM 2.2. *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then  $R$  is strongly nil clean if and only if*

- (1)  $R$  is perfectly clean,
- (2)  $N(R) = \{x \in R \mid 1 - x \in U(R)\}$ .

PROOF. Let  $R$  be strongly nil clean. For any  $a \in R$ , we see that  $a - a^2 \in N(R)$ . Write  $(a - a^2)^n = 0$ . Let  $f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{2n}{i} t^{2n-i} (1 - t)^i \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ . Then we have  $f(t) \equiv 0 \pmod{t^n}$ . Clearly,

$$f(t) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} \binom{2n}{i} t^{2n-i} (1 - t)^i = (t + (1 - t))^n = 1;$$

hence,  $f(t) \equiv 1 \pmod{(1 - t)^n}$ . This shows that  $f(t)(1 - f(t)) \equiv 0 \pmod{t^n(1 - t)^n}$ . Let  $e = f(a)$ . Then  $e \in R$  is an idempotent. For any  $x \in \text{comm}(a)$ , we see that  $xa = ax$ , and so  $xe = xf(a) = f(a)x = ex$ . Thus,  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ . Furthermore,  $a - e = a - a^{2n} + (a - a^2)g(a) = (a - a^2)(1 + a + a^2 + \dots + a^{2n-2} + g(a)) \in N(R)$ , where  $g(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ . Thus,  $a = (1 - e) + (2e - 1 + a - e)$  with  $1 - e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  and  $2e - 1 + a - e \in U(R)$ . Therefore,  $R$  is perfectly clean.

Clearly,  $N(R) \subseteq \{x \in R \mid 1 - x \in U(R)\}$ . If  $1 - x \in U(R)$ , then  $x = e + w$  with  $e \in \text{comm}(x)$  and  $w \in N(R)$ . Hence,  $1 - e = (1 - x) + w \in U(R)$ . This implies that  $1 - e = 1$ , and so  $x = w \in N(R)$ . Therefore  $N(R) = \{x \in R \mid 1 - x \in U(R)\}$ .

Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) hold. For any  $a \in R$ , there exist an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  and a unit  $u \in R$  such that  $-a = e - u$ . Hence,  $a = -e + u = (1 - e) - (1 - u)$ . By hypothesis,  $1 - u \in N(R)$ . Accordingly,  $R$  is strongly nil clean.  $\square$

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then  $R$  is strongly nil clean if and only if*

- (1)  $R$  is quasipolar;
- (2)  $N(R) = \{x \in R \mid 1 - x \in U(R)\}$ .

PROOF. Suppose that  $R$  is strongly nil clean. Then (2) holds by Theorem 2.2. For any  $a \in R$ , as in the proof of Theorem 2.2,  $a = e + w$  with  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  and  $w \in N(R)$ . Hence,  $a = (1 - e) + (2e - 1 + w)$  where  $2e - 1 + w \in U(R)$ . Furthermore,  $(1 - e)a = (1 - e)w \in N(R) \subseteq R^{\text{nil}}$ . Therefore  $R$  is quasipolar.

Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) hold. Then  $R$  is perfectly clean. Accordingly, we complete the proof by Theorem 2.2. □

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is perfectly clean.
- (2) For each  $a \in R$  there exists an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $a - e$  and  $a + e$  are invertible.

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $a \in R$ . Then  $a^2 \in R$  is perfectly clean. Thus, we can find an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a^2)$  such that  $a^2 - e \in U(R)$ . Since  $a \cdot a^2 = a^2 \cdot a$ , we see that  $ae = ea$ . Hence,  $a^2 - e = (a - e)(a + e)$ , and therefore we conclude that  $a - e, a + e \in U(R)$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is trivial. □

THEOREM 2.3. *Let  $R$  be perfectly clean. Then for any  $A \in M_n(R)$  there exist  $U, V \in \text{GL}_n(R)$  such that  $2A = U + V$ .*

PROOF. We prove the result by induction on  $n$ . For any  $a \in R$ , there exists an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $u := a - e, v := a + e \in U(R)$ , by Lemma 2.1. Hence,  $2a = u + v$ , and so the result holds for  $n = 1$ . Assume that the result holds for  $n \leq k$  ( $k \geq 1$ ). Let  $n = k + 1$ , and let  $A \in M_n(R)$ . Write  $A = \begin{pmatrix} x & \alpha \\ \beta & X \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $x \in R, \alpha \in M_{1 \times k}(R), \beta \in M_{k \times 1}(R)$  and  $X \in M_k(R)$ . In view of Lemma 2.1, we have a  $u \in U(R)$  such that  $2x - u = v \in U(R)$ . By hypothesis, we have a  $U \in \text{GL}_k(R)$  such that  $2(X - 2\beta v^{-1}\alpha) - U = V \in \text{GL}_k(R)$ . Hence

$$2A - \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & U \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v & 2\alpha \\ 2\beta & V + 4\beta v^{-1}\alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\begin{pmatrix} v & 2\alpha \\ 2\beta & V + 4\beta v^{-1}\alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ 2\beta v^{-1} & I_k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v & 2\alpha \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_n(R).$$

By induction, we complete the proof. □

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let  $R$  be a quasipolar ring. If  $\frac{1}{2} \in R$ , then every  $n \times n$  matrix over  $R$  is the sum of two invertible matrices.*

PROOF. As every quasipolar ring is perfectly clean, the proof follows by Theorem 2.3. □

As a consequence, we derive the following known fact: Let  $R$  be a strongly  $\pi$ -regular ring with  $\frac{1}{2} \in R$ . Then every  $n \times n$  matrix over  $R$  is the sum of two invertible matrices.

**3. Matrices and triangular matrices**

Recall that a ring  $R$  is local if it has only one maximal right ideal. A ring  $R$  is local if and only if for any  $a \in R$  either  $a$  or  $1 - a$  is invertible. Necessary and sufficient conditions under which  $2 \times 2$  matrices over a local ring are attractive. In this section, we extend these known results on strongly clean matrices to perfect cleanness.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $u \in U(R)$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $a \in R$  is perfectly clean.
- (2)  $uau^{-1} \in R$  is perfectly clean.

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) By hypothesis, there exists an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $a - e \in U(R)$ . Hence,  $uau^{-1} - ueu^{-1} \in U(R)$ . For any  $x \in \text{comm}(uau^{-1})$ , we see that  $x(uau^{-1}) = (uau^{-1})x$ , and so  $(u^{-1}xu)a = a(u^{-1}xu)$ . Thus,  $(u^{-1}xu)e = e(u^{-1}xu)$ . Hence  $x(ueu^{-1}) = (ueu^{-1})x$ . We conclude that  $ueu^{-1} \in \text{comm}^2(uau^{-1})$ , as required.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is symmetric. □

A ring is *weakly cobleached* provided that for any  $a \in J(R)$ ,  $b \in 1 + J(R)$ ,  $l_a - r_b$  and  $l_b - r_a$  are both injective. For instance, every commutative local ring, every local ring with nil Jacobson radical.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let  $R$  be a weakly cobleached local ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $M_2(R)$  is perfectly clean.
- (2)  $M_2(R)$  is strongly clean.
- (3) For any  $A \in M_2(R)$ ,  $A \in \text{GL}_2(R)$ , or  $I_2 - A \in \text{GL}_2(R)$ , or  $A$  is similar to a diagonal matrix.

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is trivial.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is obtained by [13, Theorem 7].

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) For any  $A \in M_2(R)$ ,  $A \in \text{GL}_2(R)$ , or  $I_2 - A \in \text{GL}_2(R)$ , or  $A$  is similar to a diagonal matrix. If  $A$  or  $I_2 - A \in \text{GL}_2(R)$ , then  $A$  is perfectly clean. Assume now that  $A$  is similar to a diagonal matrix with  $A, I_2 - A \notin \text{GL}_2(R)$ . We may assume that  $A$  is similar to  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\lambda \in U(R)$ ,  $\mu \in J(R)$ . If  $\lambda \in 1 + U(R)$ , then  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} - I_2 \in \text{GL}_2(R)$ ; hence, it is perfectly clean. In view of Lemma 3.1,  $A$  is perfectly clean. Thus, we assume that  $\lambda \in 1 + J(R)$ . By Lemma 3.1, it will suffice to show that  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(R)$  is perfectly clean. Clearly,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu - 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu - 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(R)$ .

We show that the idempotent  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{comm}^2\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}\right)$ . For any  $\begin{pmatrix} x & s \\ t & y \end{pmatrix} \in \text{comm}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}\right)$ , one has  $\lambda s = s\mu$  and  $\mu t = t\lambda$ ; hence,  $s = t = 0$ . This implies

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & s \\ t & y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & s \\ t & y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{comm}^2\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}\right)$ , hence the result. □

COROLLARY 3.1. *Let  $R$  be a commutative local ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $M_2(R)$  is perfectly clean.
- (2)  $M_2(R)$  is strongly clean.
- (3) For any  $A \in M_2(R)$ ,  $A \in \text{GL}_2(R)$ , or  $I_2 - A \in \text{GL}_2(R)$ , or  $A$  is similar to a diagonal matrix.

PROOF. It is a consequence of Theorem 3.1 as every commutative local ring is weakly cobleached.  $\square$

Let  $p$  be a prime. We use  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p$  to denote the ring of all  $p$ -adic integers. In view of [6, Theorem 2.4],  $M_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$  is strongly clean, and therefore  $M_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}_p)$  is perfectly clean, by Corollary 3.1.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let  $R$  and  $S$  be local rings. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\begin{pmatrix} R & V \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$  is perfectly clean.
- (2) For any  $a \in J(R)$ ,  $b \in 1 + J(S)$ ,  $v \in V$ , there exists a unique  $x \in V$  such that  $ax - xb = v$ .

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $a \in 1 + J(R)$ ,  $b \in J(S)$  and  $v \in V$ . Set  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & -v \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}$ . By hypothesis, we can find an idempotent  $E \in \text{comm}^2(A)$  such that  $A - E \in \begin{pmatrix} R & V \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$  is invertible. Clearly,  $E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  for some  $x \in V$ . Thus,  $ax - xb = v$ . Suppose that  $ay - yb = v$  for a  $y \in V$ . Then

$$A \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A,$$

and so  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{comm}(A)$ . This implies that

$$E \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} E;$$

hence,  $x = y$ . Therefore there exists a unique  $x \in V$  such that  $ax - xb = v$ , as desired.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $T = \begin{pmatrix} R & V \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ , and let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & v \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} R & V \\ 0 & S \end{pmatrix}$ .

Case I.  $a \in J(R)$ ,  $b \in J(S)$ . Then  $A - \begin{pmatrix} 1_R & 0 \\ 0 & 1_S \end{pmatrix} \in U(T)$ ; hence,  $A$  is perfectly clean.

Case II.  $a \in U(R)$ ,  $b \in U(S)$ . Then  $A - 0 \in U(T)$ ; hence,  $A$  is perfectly clean.

Case III.  $a \in U(R)$ ,  $b \in J(S)$ . (i)  $a \in 1 + U(R)$ ,  $b \in J(S)$ . Then  $A - \begin{pmatrix} 1_R & 0 \\ 0 & 1_S \end{pmatrix} \in T$  is invertible; hence,  $A \in T$  is perfectly clean. (ii)  $a \in 1 + J(R)$ ,  $b \in J(S)$ . Then we can find a  $t \in V$  such that  $at - tb = -v$ . Let  $\begin{pmatrix} x & s \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} \in \text{comm}(A)$ . Then

$$A \begin{pmatrix} x & s \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & s \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} A,$$

and so  $ax = xa$ ,  $by = yb$ , and  $as - sb = xv - vy$ . Hence, we check that

$$\begin{aligned} a(xt - ty + s) - (xt - ty + s)b &= x(at - tb) - (at - tb)y + (as - sb) \\ &= -xv + vy + (as - sb) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis,  $xt - ty = -s$ , and so we get

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & s \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & ty \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & xt + s \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x & s \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We infer that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^2 - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{comm}^2(A).$$

Furthermore,  $A - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in U(T)$ . Therefore  $A$  is perfectly clean.

*Case IV.*  $a \in J(R)$ ,  $b \in U(S)$  Then  $A$  is perfectly clean, as in the preceding discussion.  $\square$

A ring  $R$  is *uniquely weakly bleached* provided that for any  $a \in J(R)$ ,  $b \in 1 + J(R)$ ,  $l_a - r_b$  and  $l_b - r_a$  are both isomorphisms.

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *Let  $R$  be local. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $T_2(R)$  is perfectly clean.
- (2)  $R$  is uniquely weakly bleached.

**PROOF.** It is clear by Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

For instance, if  $R$  is a commutative local ring or a local ring with nil Jacobson radical, then  $T_2(R)$  is perfectly clean.

#### 4. Perfectly J-clean rings

An element  $a \in R$  is said to be perfectly J-clean provided that there exists an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $a - e \in J(R)$ . A ring  $R$  is perfectly J-clean if every element in  $R$  is perfectly J-clean.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then  $R$  is perfectly J-clean if and only if*

- (1)  $R$  is quasipolar.
- (2)  $R/J(R)$  is Boolean.

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $R$  is perfectly J-clean. Let  $a \in R$  is perfectly J-clean. Then there exists an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $w := a - e \in J(R)$ . Hence,  $a - (1 - e) = 2e - 1 + w \in U(R)$ . Additionally,  $(1 - e)a = (1 - e)w \in J(R) \subseteq R^{\text{qnil}}$ . This implies that  $a \in R$  is quasipolar. Furthermore,  $a - a^2 = (e + w) - (e + w)^2 \in J(R)$ , and then  $R/J(R)$  is Boolean.

Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) hold. Let  $a \in R$ . Then there exists an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $u := a - e \in U(R)$ . Moreover,  $R/J(R)$  is Boolean, and so  $a - a^2 = (e + u) - (e + u)^2 = u(1 - 2e - u) \in J(R)$ . This shows that  $1 - 2e - u \in J(R)$ , whence  $a - (1 - e) = (e + u) - (1 - e) = 2e - 1 + u \in J(R)$ . Therefore  $R$  is perfectly J-clean.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.1.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is perfectly J-clean.
- (2)  $R$  is perfectly clean, and  $R/J(R)$  is Boolean.
- (3)  $R$  is quasipolar, and  $R$  is strongly J-clean.

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is obvious by Theorem 4.1, as every quasipolar ring is perfectly clean.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) For any  $a \in R$  there exists an idempotent  $p \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $u := a - p \in U(R)$ . As  $R/J(R)$  is Boolean, we have  $\bar{u} = \bar{u}^2$ ; hence,  $u \in 1 + J(R)$ . Furthermore,  $2 \in J(R)$ . Accordingly,  $a = p + u = (1 - p) + (2p - 1 + u)$  with  $1 - p \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  and  $2p - 1 + u \in J(R)$ , as desired.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Suppose  $R$  is perfectly J-clean. Then  $R$  is strongly J-clean. By the preceding discussion,  $R$  is quasipolar.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Since  $R$  is strongly J-clean,  $R/J(R)$  is Boolean. Therefore the proof is complete by the discussion above.  $\square$

EXAMPLE 4.1. Let  $R = T_2(\mathbb{Z}_{2^n})$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Then  $T_2(R)$  is perfectly J-clean.

PROOF. As  $R$  is finite, it is periodic. This shows that  $R$  is strongly  $\pi$ -regular. Hence,  $T_2(R)$  is quasipolar, by [9, Theorem 2.6]. As  $J(\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}) = 2\mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ , we see that  $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$  is Boolean. Hence,  $T_2(R)/J(T_2(R))$  is Boolean. Therefore the result follows by Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

Recall that a ring  $R$  is uniquely strongly clean provided that for any  $a \in R$  there exists a unique idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}(a)$  such that  $a - e \in U(R)$ .

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then  $R$  is perfectly J-clean if and only if*

- (1)  $R$  is perfectly clean, (2)  $R$  is uniquely strongly clean.

PROOF. Suppose  $R$  is perfectly J-clean. Then  $R$  is perfectly clean. Hence,  $R$  is strongly clean. Let  $a \in R$ . Write  $a = e + u = f + v$  with  $e = e^2 \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ ,  $f = f^2 \in R$ ,  $u \in J(R)$ ,  $v \in U(R)$ ,  $ea = ae$  and  $fa = af$ . Then  $f \in \text{comm}(a)$ , and so  $ef = fe$ . Thus,  $e - f = v - u \in U(R)$  and  $(e - f)(e + f - 1) = 0$ . This implies that  $f = 1 - e$ , and therefore  $R$  is uniquely strongly clean.

Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) hold. Then  $R/J(R)$  is Boolean. Therefore we complete the proof by Corollary 4.1.  $\square$

COROLLARY 4.2. *A ring  $R$  is uniquely clean if and only if  $R$  is abelian perfectly J-clean.*

PROOF. As every uniquely clean ring is abelian (cf. [4, Corollary 16.4.16]), it is clear by Proposition 4.1.  $\square$

THEOREM 4.2. *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is perfectly J-clean.  
 (2) For any  $a \in R$ , there exists a unique idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $a - e \in J(R)$ .

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) For any  $a \in R$ , there exists an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $a - e \in J(R)$ . Assume that  $a - f \in J(R)$  for an idempotent  $f \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ . Clearly,  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a) \subseteq \text{comm}(a)$ . As  $f \in \text{comm}^2(a)$ , we see that  $ef = fe$ . Thus,  $(e - f)^3 = e - f$ , and so  $(e - f)(1 - (e - f)^2) = 0$ . But  $e - f = (a - f) - (a - e) \in J(R)$ , as  $a - f, a - e \in J(R)$ . Hence,  $e = f$ , as desired.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is trivial.  $\square$



Recall that a ring  $R$  is strongly  $J$ -clean provided that for any  $a \in R$  there exists an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}(a)$  such that  $a - e \in J(R)$  (cf. [3, 4]).

COROLLARY 4.3. *A ring  $R$  is perfectly  $J$ -clean if and only if*

- (1)  $R$  is quasipolar,    (2)  $R$  is strongly  $J$ -clean.

PROOF. Suppose  $R$  is perfectly  $J$ -clean. Then  $R$  is strongly  $J$ -clean. For any  $a \in R$ , there exists an idempotent  $p \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $w := a - p \in J(R)$ . Hence,  $a = (1 - p) + (2p - 1 + w)$  with  $1 - p \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  and  $2p - 1 + w \in U(R)$ . Furthermore,  $(1 - p)a = (1 - p)w \in J(R) \subseteq R^{\text{nil}}$ . Therefore,  $R$  is quasipolar.

Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) hold. Since  $R$  is quasipolar, it is perfectly clean. By virtue of [4, Proposition 16.4.15],  $R/J(R)$  is Boolean. Therefore the proof is complete by Corollary 4.1. □

Following Cui and Chen [8], a ring  $R$  is called  $J$ -quasipolar provided that for any element  $a \in R$  there exists an  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $a + e \in J(R)$ . We further show that the two concepts coincide. But this is not the case for a single element. That is,

PROPOSITION 4.2. *A ring  $R$  is perfectly  $J$ -clean if and only if for any element  $a \in R$  there exists an  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $a + e \in J(R)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $R$  be perfectly  $J$ -clean. Then  $R/J(R)$  is Boolean, by Theorem 4.1. Hence,  $\bar{2}^2 = \bar{2}$ , i.e.,  $\bar{2} \in J(R)$ . For any  $a \in R$ , there exists an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $a - e \in J(R)$ . This implies that  $a + e = (a - e) + 2e \in J(R)$ . The converse is similar by [8, Corollary 2.3]. □

EXAMPLE 4.2. Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_3$ . Note that  $J(R) = 0$ . Since  $\bar{1} - \bar{1} = \bar{0} \in J(R)$ ,  $\bar{1}$  is perfectly  $J$ -clean, but we can not find an idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $\bar{1} + e \in J(R)$ , because  $\bar{1} + \bar{0} \notin J(R)$  and  $\bar{1} + \bar{1} = \bar{2} \notin J(R)$ .

Further, though  $\bar{2} + \bar{1} = \bar{0} \in J(R)$ , we can not find an idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $\bar{2} - e \in J(R)$ , because  $\bar{2} - \bar{0} = \bar{2} \notin J(R)$  and  $\bar{2} - \bar{1} = \bar{1} \notin J(R)$ .

LEMMA 4.1. *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then  $a \in R$  is perfectly  $J$ -clean if and only if*

- (1)  $a \in R$  is quasipolar,    (2)  $a - a^2 \in J(R)$ .

PROOF. Suppose that  $a \in R$  is perfectly  $J$ -clean. Then there exists an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}^2(a)$  such that  $w := a - e \in J(R)$ . Hence,  $a - (1 - e) = 2e - 1 + w \in U(R)$ . Additionally,  $(1 - e)a = (1 - e)w \in J(R) \subseteq R^{\text{nil}}$ . This implies that  $a \in R$  is quasipolar. Furthermore,  $(e + w) - (e + w)^2 = -(2e - 1 + w)w \in J(R)$ .

Conversely, assume that (1) and (2) hold. Then there exists an idempotent  $e \in \text{comm}^2(-a)$  such that  $(-a) + e \in U(R)$ . Set  $u := a - e$ . Then  $a - a^2 = (e + u) - (e + u)^2 = u(1 - 2e - u) \in J(R)$ ; hence,  $1 - 2e - u \in J(R)$ . This shows that  $a - (1 - e) = (e + u) - (1 - e) = 2e - 1 + u \in J(R)$ . Therefore  $a \in R$  is perfectly  $J$ -clean. □

THEOREM 4.3. *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring, and let  $A \in T_n(R)$ . If  $2 \in J(R)$ , then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A \in T_n(R)$  is perfectly  $J$ -clean.    (2) Each  $A_{ii} \in T_n(R)$  is perfectly  $J$ -clean.

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is obvious.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Clearly, the result holds for  $n = 1$ . Suppose that the result holds for  $n - 1$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \alpha \\ 0 & A_1 \end{pmatrix} \in T_n(R)$  where  $a_{11} \in R, \alpha \in M_{1 \times (n-1)}(R)$  and  $A_1 \in T_{n-1}(R)$ . Then we have an idempotent  $e_{11} \in R$  such that  $w_{11} := a_{11} - e_{11} \in J(R)$ . By hypothesis, we have an idempotent  $E_1 \in T_{n-1}(R)$  such that  $W_1 := A_1 - E_1 \in J(T_{n-1}(R))$  and  $E_1 \in \text{comm}^2(A_1)$ . As  $2 \in J(R)$ ,

$$W_1 + (1 - 2e_{11} - w_{11})I_{n-1} \in I_{n-1} + J(T_{n-1}(R)) \subseteq U(T_{n-1}(R)).$$

Let  $E = \begin{pmatrix} e_{11} & \beta \\ 0 & E_1 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\beta = \alpha(E_1 - e_{11}I_{n-1})(W_1 + (1 - 2e_{11} - w_{11})I_{n-1})^{-1}$ . Then  $A - E \in J(T_n(R))$ . As

$$\begin{aligned} e_{11}\beta + \beta E_1 &= \beta(E_1 + e_{11}I_{n-1}) \\ &= \alpha(E_1 - e_{11}I_{n-1})(E_1 + e_{11}I_{n-1})(W_1 + (1 - 2e_{11} - w_{11})I_{n-1})^{-1} = \beta, \end{aligned}$$

we see that  $E = E^2$ .

For any  $X = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & \gamma \\ 0 & X_1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{comm}(A)$ , we have  $x_{11}\alpha + \gamma A_1 = a_{11}\gamma + \alpha X_1$ ; hence,

$$\alpha(X_1 - x_{11}I_{n-1}) = \gamma(A_1 - a_{11}I_{n-1}).$$

As  $E_1 \in \text{comm}^2(A_1)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma(A_1 - a_{11}I_{n-1})(E_1 - e_{11}I_{n-1}) \\ &= \alpha(X_1 - x_{11}I_{n-1})(E_1 - e_{11}I_{n-1}) \\ &= \alpha(E_1 - e_{11}I_{n-1})(X_1 - x_{11}I_{n-1}) \\ &= \beta(W_1 + (1 - 2e_{11} - w_{11})I_{n-1})(X_1 - x_{11}I_{n-1}) \\ &= \beta(X_1 - x_{11}I_{n-1})(W_1 + (1 - 2e_{11} - w_{11})I_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} &\gamma(A_1 - a_{11}I_{n-1})(E_1 - e_{11}I_{n-1}) \\ &= \gamma(E_1 - e_{11}I_{n-1})(E_1 + W_1 - (e_{11} + w_{11})I_{n-1}) \\ &= \gamma(E_1 - e_{11}I_{n-1})(E_1 + e_{11}I_{n-1} + (W_1 - 2e_{11} - w_{11})I_{n-1}) \\ &= \gamma(E_1 - e_{11}I_{n-1} + (E_1 - e_{11}I_{n-1})(W_1 - 2e_{11} - w_{11})I_{n-1}) \\ &= \gamma(E_1 - e_{11}I_{n-1})(W_1 + (1 - 2e_{11} - w_{11})I_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from  $W_1 + (1 - 2e_{11} - w_{11})I_{n-1} \in U(T_{n-1}(R))$  that  $\gamma(E_1 - e_{11}I_{n-1}) = \beta(X_1 - x_{11}I_{n-1})$ . Hence,  $e_{11}\gamma + \beta X_1 = x_{11}\beta + \gamma E_1$ , and so  $EX = XE$ . This implies that  $E \in \text{comm}^2(A)$ . By induction,  $A \in T_n(R)$  is perfectly J-clean for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.4.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is strongly J-clean.
- (2)  $T_n(R)$  is perfectly J-clean for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (3)  $T_n(R)$  is perfectly J-clean for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) As  $R$  is strongly J-clean,  $R/J(R)$  is Boolean. Hence,  $2 \in J(R)$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $T_n(R)$  is perfectly J-clean by Theorem 4.3.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) These are clear by Theorem 4.3. □

Let  $R$  be Boolean. As a consequence of Corollary 4.4,  $T_n(R)$  is perfectly J-clean for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

LEMMA 4.2. *Let  $R$  be a ring, and  $u \in U(R)$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $a \in R$  is perfectly J-clean.
- (2)  $uau^{-1} \in R$  is perfectly J-clean.

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) As in the proof of Lemma 3.1,  $uau^{-1} \in R$  is quasipolar. Furthermore,  $uau^{-1} - (uau^{-1})^2 = u(a - a^2)u^{-1} \in J(R)$ . As in the proof of Theorem 4.1,  $uau^{-1} \in R$  is perfectly J-clean.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is symmetric. □

We end this paper by showing that strong J-cleanness of  $2 \times 2$  matrix ring over a commutative local ring can be enhanced to perfect J-cleanness.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let  $R$  be a commutative local ring, and let  $A \in M_2(R)$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A$  is perfectly J-clean.
- (2)  $A$  is strongly J-clean.
- (3)  $A \in J(M_2(R))$ , or  $I_2 - A \in J(M_2(R))$ , or the equation  $x^2 - \text{tr}(A)x + \det(A) = 0$  has a root in  $J(R)$  and a root in  $1 + J(R)$ .

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is trivial.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is proved by [4, Theorem 16.4.31].

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) If  $A \in J(M_2(R))$  or  $I_2 - A \in J(M_2(R))$ , then  $A$  is perfectly J-clean. Otherwise, it follows from [4, Theorem 16.4.31 and Proposition 16.4.26] that there exists a  $U \in \text{GL}_2(R)$  such that

$$UAU^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \\ & \beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \\ & \beta - 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\alpha \in J(R), \beta \in 1 + J(R)$ . For any  $X \in \text{comm}(UAU^{-1})$ , we have  $X \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \\ & \beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \\ & \beta \end{pmatrix} X$ ; hence,  $\beta X_{12} = \alpha X_{12}$ . This implies that  $X_{12} = 0$ . Likewise,  $X_{21} = 0$ . Thus,

$$X \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} X,$$

and so  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{comm}^2(UAU^{-1})$ . As a result,  $UAU^{-1}$  is perfectly J-clean, and then so is  $A$  by Lemma 4.2. □

COROLLARY 4.5. *Let  $R$  be a commutative local ring. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $M_2(R)$  is perfectly clean.
- (2) For any  $A \in M_2(R)$ ,  $A \in \text{GL}_2(R)$ , or  $I_2 - A \in \text{GL}_2(R)$ , or  $A \in M_2(R)$  is perfectly J-clean.

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is proved by Theorem 3.1, [4, Corollary 16.4.33] and Theorem 4.4.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is obvious. □

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are grateful to the referee for his/her helpful suggestions. H. Chen is thankful for the support by the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province, China (No. LY17A010018).

### References

1. G. Borooah, A. J. Diesl, T. J. Dorsey, *Strongly clean triangular matrix rings over local rings*, J. Algebra **312** (2007), 773–797.
2. ———, *Strongly clean matrix rings over commutative local rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **212** (2008), 281–296.
3. H. Chen, *On strongly  $J$ -clean rings*, Commun. Algebra **38** (2010), 3790–3804.
4. ———, *Rings Related Stable Range Conditions*, Series in Algebra **11**, World Scientific, Hackensack, New Jersey, 2011.
5. J. Chen, X. Yang, Y. Zhou, *When is the  $2 \times 2$  matrix ring over a commutative local ring strongly clean?*, J. Algebra **301** (2006), 280–293.
6. ———, *On strongly clean matrix and triangular matrix rings*, Commun. Algebra **34** (2006), 3659–3674.
7. J. Cui, J. Chen, *Quasipolar triangular matrix rings over local rings*, Commun. Algebra **40** (2012), 784–794.
8. ———, *A class of quasipolar rings*, Commun. Algebra **40** (2012), 4471–4482.
9. ———, *Characterizations of quasipolar rings*, Commun. Algebra **41** (2013), 3207–3217.
10. A. J. Diesl, *Nil clean rings*, J. Algebra **383** (2013), 197–211.
11. M. P. Drazin, *A class of outer generalized inverses*, Linear Algebra Appl. **436** (2012), 1909–1923.
12. Y. Li, *Strongly clean matrix rings over local rings*, J. Algebra **312** (2007), 397–404.
13. X. Yang, Y. Zhou, *Strong cleanness of the  $2 \times 2$  matrix ring over a general local ring*, J. Algebra **320** (2008), 2280–2290.

Department of Mathematics  
Hangzhou Normal University  
Hangzhou, China  
huanyinchen@aliyun.com

(Received 26 03 2015)  
(Revised 18 07 2016)

Department of Mathematics  
Ankara University  
Ankara, Turkey  
halici@ankara.edu.tr

Department of Mathematics  
Ahi Evran University  
Kirsehir, Turkey  
handankose@gmail.com