

DEGENERATE ELLIPTIC EQUATION INVOLVING A SUBCRITICAL SOBOLEV EXPONENT

J. CHABROWSKI

Abstract: We prove the existence of a solution of degenerate elliptic equation (1) involving a subcritical Sobolev exponent. To solve (1) we establish the existence of a solution of the constrained minimization problem (3). A relative compactness of a minimizing sequence is obtained by examining a possible loss of a mass at infinity of a minimizing sequence.

1 – Introduction

The purpose of this article is to investigate the existence of a nontrivial solution of the degenerate equation

$$(1) \quad -D_i(a(x) D_i u) + \lambda u = K(x) |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N$$

in a weighted Sobolev space which will be defined in Section 2, where $\lambda > 0$ is a parameter, $2 < p < \frac{2N}{N-2}$ and $N \geq 3$. We assume that $a(x)$ and $K(x)$ are continuous and bounded in \mathbf{R}^N and moreover $a(x) \geq 0$ and $a(x) \neq 0$ on \mathbf{R}^N and $\alpha \leq K(x) \leq \beta$ on \mathbf{R}^N , for some constants $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$. We establish the existence of a nontrivial solution under assumptions on a and K , which control the location of zeros of $a(x)$ and the behaviour of $a(x)$ and $K(x)$ at infinity. The latter assumption can be replaced by the periodicity assumption on $K(x)$. However, we only need a periodicity assumption either on K or a . The case of a periodic function a is only treated for a uniformly elliptic equation.

Unlike the case of unbounded domains, degenerate equations in bounded domains, in particular the Dirichlet problem, have a quite extensive literature [MS], [SA], where further bibliographical references can be found.

A variational problem (3) (Section 2) associated with (1) is characterized by a lack of compactness. In Section 3 we give a description of a possible loss of mass at infinity of a minimizing sequence in quantitative terms. This will be used to show that a minimizing sequence is relatively compact.

2 – Preliminaries

The appropriate Sobolev space for equation (1) is $H_a^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$, defined as a completion of C_0^∞ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_a^2 = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (a(x) |Du|^2 + \lambda u^2) dx .$$

The dual space is denoted by $H_a^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^N)$, that is $H_a^1(\mathbf{R}^N)^* = H_a^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^N)$. Since a is a bounded function, the Sobolev space $H^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$ is continuously embedded in $H_a^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$.

In this paper we always denote in a given Banach space X a weak convergence by “ \rightharpoonup ” and a strong convergence by “ \rightarrow ”.

A function $u \in H_a^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$ is a solution of (1) if

$$(2) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (a(x) Du D\phi + \lambda u \phi - K(x) |u|^{p-2} u \phi) dx = 0$$

for each $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^N)$.

To find a solution to equation (1), we consider the constrained minimization problem

$$(3) \quad M_{a,K} = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} a(x) |Du|^2 dx; u \in H_a^1(\mathbf{R}^N), \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} K(x) |u|^p dx = 1 \right\} .$$

To ensure that $M_{a,K} > 0$ we impose the following condition on a

(A) There exists $R_0 > 0$ such that

$$\{x; a(x) = 0\} \subset B(0, R_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{a} \in L^q(B(0, R_0))$$

for some $q > \frac{Np}{2N+2p-Np}$.

Then we have the following result:

Proposition 1. *Suppose that (A) holds and that $\inf_{\mathbf{R}^N - B(0, R_0)} a(x) > 0$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$(4) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (a(x) |Du|^2 + \lambda u^2) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for all $u \in H_a^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$.

Proof: We follow the argument from paper [PA] (Proposition 2.1). We may assume, by taking R_0 larger if necessary, that $\{x; a(x) = 0\} \subset B(0, R_0 - 2)$ and $\inf_{\mathbf{R}^N - B(0, R_0 - 2)} a(x) > 0$. Let $r = \frac{2q}{1+q}$. Then $q > \frac{Np}{2N+2p-Np}$ implies $p < \frac{Nr}{N-r}$ ($1 < r < 2 < N$). Consequently by the Sobolev embedding theorem $H_0^{1,r}(B(0, R_0))$ is continuously (compactly) embedded in $L^p(B(0, R_0))$. This fact will be used to establish (4). Toward this end we define for every $R > 0$ a function $\phi_R \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$ such that $\phi_R(x) = 1$ on $B(0, R)$, $\phi_R(x) = 0$ on $\mathbf{R}^N - B(0, R + 1)$ and $0 \leq \phi_R(x) \leq 1$ on \mathbf{R}^N . Applying the Hölder inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{B(0, R_0)} |Du|^r dx &\leq \int_{B(0, R_0+1)} |D(u \phi_{R_0})|^r dx \\
 (5) \quad &= \int_{B(0, R_0+1)} a^{\frac{q}{1+q}} |D(u \phi_{R_0})|^{\frac{2q}{q+1}} \frac{1}{a^{\frac{q}{q+1}}} dx \\
 &\leq C \left(\int_{B(0, R_0+1)} \frac{1}{a^q} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q+1}} \left(\int_{B(0, R_0+1)} (a |Du|^2 + \lambda u^2) dx \right)^{\frac{q}{q+1}}
 \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. Inequality (5) combined with the Sobolev inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\int_{B(0, R_0-1)} |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq C \left(\int_{B(0, R_0)} |u \phi_{R_0-1}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 &\leq C \left(\int_{B(0, R_0)} |D(u \phi_{R_0-1})|^r dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
 (6) \quad &\leq C \left(\int_{B(0, R_0)} (|Du|^r + \lambda |u|^r) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \\
 &\leq C \left(\int_{B(0, R_0+1)} (a |Du|^2 + \lambda u^2) dx \right)^{\frac{q}{r(q+1)}} \\
 &= C \left(\int_{B(0, R_0+1)} (a |Du|^2 + \lambda u^2) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\psi_R = 1 - \phi_R$, we see that $\psi_R(x) = 1$ on $\mathbf{R}^N - B(0, R + 1)$. Then the Sobolev inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^N - B(0, R_0-1)} |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^N - B(0, R_0-2)} |u \psi_{R_0-2}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 (7) \quad &\leq C \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (a |Du|^2 + \lambda u^2) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the assumption $\inf_{\mathbf{R}^N - B(0, R_0-2)} a(x) > 0$. Estimates (6) and (7) then imply the assertion of Proposition 1. ■

Since we always assume that $\alpha \leq K(x) \leq \beta$ on \mathbf{R}^N , for some constants $0 < \alpha < \beta$ (see Introduction), estimate (4) can be rewritten as

$$(8) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} K(x) |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (a(x) |Du|^2 + \lambda u^2) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. As an immediate consequence of Proposition 1, we have $M_{a,K} > 0$.

It may happen that $M_{a,K} = 0$ if condition (A) is not satisfied. For instance this occurs if

$$(9) \quad a(x) \leq C |x|^b \quad \text{for } |x| \leq \delta$$

for some constants $\delta > 0$ and $b > \frac{2N+2p-Np}{p}$ and $a(x) > 0$ for $x \neq 0$.

Indeed, let $w \in C_0^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$ with $\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} K(x) |w|^p dx = 1$ and set

$$\phi(x) = \frac{w(x\sigma) \sigma^{\frac{N}{p}}}{\left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} K\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) |w(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}} \quad \text{for } \sigma > 0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} M_{a,K} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} K\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) |w(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} &\leq \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \left(a\left(\frac{x}{\sigma}\right) |Dw(x)|^2 \sigma^{\frac{2N+2p-Np}{p}} + \lambda w(x)^2 \sigma^{\frac{2N}{p}-N} \right) dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} |x|^b |Dw(x)|^2 \sigma^{\frac{2N+2p-Np}{p}-b} dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} w(x)^2 \sigma^{\frac{2N}{p}-N} dx \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$, where C is a positive constant independent of σ .

It is clear that if a satisfies (9) then $\int_{B(0,R_0)} \frac{1}{a^q} dx = \infty$.

To proceed further we introduce a functional $F: H_a^1(\mathbf{R}^N) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by

$$F(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (a(x) |Du|^2 + \lambda u^2) dx - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} K(x) |u|^p dx,$$

which is of class C^1 . It is routine calculation to show that (see Theorem 2.1 [LTW]):

Proposition 2. *Suppose that (A) holds and that $\inf_{\mathbf{R}^N - B(0,R_0)} a(x) > 0$ and let $\{u_m\} \subset H_a^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$ be a minimizing sequence for problem (3). Then*

$v_m = M_{a,K}^{\frac{1}{p-2}} u_m$ satisfies

- (i) $F(v_m) \rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right) M_{a,K}^{\frac{p}{p-2}}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$,
- (ii) $F'(v_m) \rightarrow 0$ in $H_a^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

This result implies that if a minimizing sequence $\{u_m\} \subset H_a^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$ has a limit point u , then $M_{a,K}^{\frac{1}{p-2}} u$ satisfies equation (1).

3 – Existence result

In order to show that a minimizing sequence of (3) is relatively compact in $H_a^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$ we introduce quantities which control a possible loss of mass of this sequence at infinity.

Let $a(x)$ satisfy (A) and suppose that $\inf_{\mathbf{R}^N - B(0, R_0)} a(x) > 0$. If $\{u_m\} \subset H_a^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$ is a minimizing sequence for (3), then $\{u_m\}$ is bounded in $H_a^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$ and restricted to $\mathbf{R}^N - B(0, R_0)$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbf{R}^N - B(0, R_0))$. It also follows from Proposition 1 that $\{u_m\}$ restricted to $B(0, R_0)$, is bounded in $H^{1,r}(B(0, R_0))$, $p < \frac{Nr}{N-r}$. Therefore we may assume that $u_m \rightharpoonup u$ in $H_0^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$ and $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbf{R}^N)$. It is clear that the following quantities are well defined:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_\infty &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N - B(0, R)} |u_m|^p dx, \\ \beta_\infty &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N - B(0, R)} (|Du_m|^2 + \lambda u_m^2) dx, \\ \alpha_{K,\infty} &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N - B(0, R)} K(x) |u_m|^p dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\beta_{a,\infty} = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^N - B(0, R)} (a(x) |Du_m|^2 + \lambda u_m^2) dx.$$

It is easy to check that if $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} K(x) = K(\infty)$ and $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} a(x) = a(\infty)$, then $\alpha_{K,\infty} = K(\infty) \alpha_\infty$ and $\beta_{a,\infty} = a(\infty) \beta_\infty$.

Writing for each $R > 0$

$$1 = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} K(x) |u_m|^p dx = \int_{B(0, R)} K(x) |u_m|^p dx + \int_{\mathbf{R}^N - B(0, R)} K(x) |u_m|^p dx$$

and letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ and then $R \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$(10) \quad 1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) |u|^p dx + \alpha_{K,\infty} .$$

Therefore to show that u is a solution of the minimization problem (3), it is enough to show that $\alpha_{K,\infty} = 0$.

Since the norm is weakly lower semicontinuous with respect to weak convergence we derive in a similar manner the inequality

$$(11) \quad M_{a,K} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a |Du_m|^2 + \lambda u_m^2) dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a |Du|^2 + \lambda u^2) dx + \beta_{a,\infty} .$$

Finally, by writing for each $R > 0$,

$$M_{a,K} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) |u_m \psi_R|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a |D(u_m \psi_R)|^2 + \lambda (u_m \psi_R)^2) dx ,$$

where ψ_R is a function introduced in the proof of Proposition 1, we easily derive

$$(12) \quad M_{a,K} (\alpha_{K,\infty})^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \beta_{a,\infty} .$$

We commence with the following technical lemma.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that (A) holds and that $\inf_{\mathbb{R}^N - B(0,R_0)} a(x) > 0$. Let $\{u_m\} \subset H_a^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a minimizing sequence for problem (3). If $u_m \rightharpoonup u \neq 0$ in $H_a^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then u is a solution of problem (3).*

Proof: According to the above discussion we need to show that $\alpha_{K,\infty} = 0$. Arguing indirectly, let us assume that $\alpha_{K,\infty} > 0$. Then by (10) we have

$$(13) \quad 0 < \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) |u|^p dx < 1 .$$

Since $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \langle F'(u_m M_{a,K}^{\frac{1}{p-2}}), u_m M_{a,K}^{\frac{1}{p-2}} \psi_R \rangle = 0$ uniformly in $R \geq 1$, we see that

$$(14) \quad \beta_{a,\infty} = \alpha_{K,\infty} M_{a,K} .$$

Combining (14), (10) and (11), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a |Du|^2 + \lambda u^2) dx \leq M_{a,K} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K |u|^p dx .$$

Since we always have

$$M_{a,K} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a |Du|^2 + \lambda u^2) dx ,$$

we see that the last two inequalities imply

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} K|u|^p dx \geq 1 ,$$

which contradicts (13). ■

We are now in a position to establish the following existence result.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that (A) holds. If $a(x) \leq a(\infty)$ on \mathbf{R}^N , where $a(\infty) = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} a(x)$ with the strict inequality on a set of positive measure in \mathbf{R}^N and $K(x) \geq K(\infty)$ on \mathbf{R}^N , where $K(\infty) = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} K(x)$. Then problem (3) has a solution $u \in H_a^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$. Moreover, $u \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^N - B(0, R_0))$ and $u \in H^{1,r}(B(0, R_0))$ with $r = \frac{2q}{1+q}$.*

Proof: Let $\{u_m\} \subset H_a^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$ be a minimizing sequence for problem (3). According to the comments made at the beginning of this section we may assume that $u_m \rightharpoonup u$ in $H_a^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$ and $u \rightarrow u$ in $L_{loc}^p(\mathbf{R}^N)$. By virtue of Lemma 1, it is sufficient to show that $u \not\equiv 0$ on \mathbf{R}^N . Assuming that $u \equiv 0$ on \mathbf{R}^N , we see that $\alpha_{K,\infty} = 1$. As in the proof of Lemma 1, we check that $M_{a,K} = \beta_{a,\infty}$. We now compare $M_{a,K}$ with M_∞ defined by

$$M_\infty = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (a(\infty) |Du|^2 + \lambda u^2) dx; u \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^N), \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} K(\infty) |u|^p dx = 1 \right\}.$$

It is well known that this problem has a positive radially symmetric solution \bar{u} with an exponential decay at infinity which is unique up to a translation (see [KW]). It follows from the definition of M_∞ that

$$M_\infty \left(K(\infty) \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} |u_m \psi_R|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (a(\infty) |D(u_m \psi_R)|^2 + \lambda (u_m \psi_R)^2) dx .$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ and then $R \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$M_\infty = M_\infty (K(\infty) \alpha_\infty)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq a(\infty) \beta_\infty = \beta_{a,\infty} ,$$

which is equivalent to

$$M_\infty \leq M_{a,K} .$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{a,K} &= M_{a,K} \left(K(\infty) \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} |\bar{u}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq M_{a,K} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} K(x) |\bar{u}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (a(x) |D\bar{u}|^2 + \lambda \bar{u}^2) dx < \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (a(\infty) |D\bar{u}|^2 + \lambda \bar{u}^2) dx = M_\infty \end{aligned}$$

and we arrived at a contradiction. We also show that $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $H_a^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$. For u is a solution of problem (3) we have $\alpha_{K,\infty} = 0$. Then $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^N)$ due to the uniform convexity of the space $L^p(\mathbf{R}^N)$. The convergence $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $H_a^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$ follows then from the following identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} \left(a(x) |Dw_m - w_n|^2 + \lambda(w_m - w_n)^2 \right) dx = \\ & = \left\langle F'(w_m) - F'(w_n), w_m - w_n \right\rangle + \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} K \left(|w_m|^{p-2} w_m - |w_n|^{p-2} w_n \right) (w_m - w_n) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $w_m = M_{a,K}^{\frac{1}{p-2}} u_m$. ■

Since $F(u) = F(|u|)$, by the maximum principle the solution u can be chosen to be positive on \mathbf{R}^N .

Obviously the assumption “ $a(x) \leq a(\infty)$ on \mathbf{R}^N with strict inequality on a set of positive measure in \mathbf{R}^N ” can be replaced by “ $K(x) \geq K(\infty)$ on \mathbf{R}^N with strict inequality on a set of positive measure”.

4 – Case of K periodic

It is known ([EL], Corollary II.2 or [L1], Corollary II.3) that for a uniformly elliptic equation on \mathbf{R}^N , with a and K periodic on \mathbf{R}^N with the same period, problem (3) has a solution. At the end of this section we give a simple proof of this result based on an analysis of quantities $\alpha_{K,\infty}$ and $\beta_{a,\infty}$. In Theorem 2 below we show, using the above mentioned results, that if a satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1 and K is periodic on \mathbf{R}^N , then problem (3) has a solution. This means that if $\{x : a(x) = 0\} = \emptyset$, that is equation (1) is uniformly elliptic, we only need the periodicity of K . However, we must retain the assumption on behaviour of a at infinity. In the final result of this paper, Theorem 3, we prove the existence of solution of problem (3) in the case of a uniformly elliptic equation (1), assuming that a is periodic on \mathbf{R}^N and K satisfies assumptions of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that a satisfies assumptions of Theorem 1. If K is a periodic function on \mathbf{R}^N , then problem (3) has a solution in $H_a^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$.*

Proof: Let $\{u_m\} \subset H_a^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$ be a minimizing sequence for problem (3). As in the proof of Theorem 1 we may assume that $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $H_a^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$, $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbf{R}^N)$. It is enough to show that $u \not\equiv 0$ on \mathbf{R}^N . Assuming that $u \equiv 0$ on \mathbf{R}^N , we have $\alpha_{K,\infty} = 1$. It follows from the proof of Theorem 1 that $M_{a,K} = \beta_{a,\infty}$.

To proceed further we consider the minimization problem

$$M_P = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a(\infty) |Du|^2 + \lambda u^2) dx; u \in H_a^1(\mathbb{R}^N), \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) |u|^p dx = 1 \right\}.$$

Because the coefficients $a(\infty)$ and $K(x)$ are periodic, this problem has a positive solution $\bar{u} \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Considering the inequality

$$M_P \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) |(u_m \psi_R)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a(\infty) |D(u_m \psi_R)|^2 + \lambda (u_m \psi_R)^2) dx$$

we show that

$$(15) \quad M_P = M_P (\alpha_{K,\infty})^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \beta_\infty a(\infty) = \beta_{a,\infty} = M_{a,K} .$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{a,K} &= M_{a,K} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} K(x) |\bar{u}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a(x) |D\bar{u}|^2 dx + \lambda \bar{u}^2) dx \\ &< \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (a(\infty) |D\bar{u}|^2 + \lambda \bar{u}^2) dx = M_P \end{aligned}$$

and this contradicts inequality (15). ■

In case of a uniformly elliptic equation, we can interchange assumptions on a and K in the sense that a is periodic on \mathbb{R}^N and K satisfies condition $K(x) \geq K(\infty)$ on \mathbb{R}^N .

We need the following result which is well known and can be obtained as a by-product of the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [BC] or Theorem 4.1 in [LTW].

Lemma 2. *Suppose that $0 < a_1 \leq a(x) \leq a_2$ on \mathbb{R}^N for some constants a_1 and a_2 . Then for each minimizing sequence $\{u_m\} \subset H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ of problem (3), there exist a subsequence of $\{u_m\}$, denoted again by $\{u_m\}$, and a sequence $\{y_m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^N$ such that $u_m(\cdot + y_m) \rightharpoonup u \neq 0$ in $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Combining Lemmas 1 and 2 we obtain

Corollary 1. *Suppose that a and K are both periodic with the same period $y \in \mathbb{Z}^N$ and that $0 < a_1 \leq a(x) \leq a_2$ on \mathbb{R}^N for some constants a_1 and a_2 . Then problem (3) has a solution.*

Theorem 3. *Let $0 < a_1 \leq a(x) \leq a_2$ on \mathbb{R}^N for some constants a_1 and a_2 and suppose that a is periodic on \mathbb{R}^N , that is $a(x + y) = a(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$*

and $y \in \mathbf{Z}^N$. If $K(x) \geq K(\infty) = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} K(x)$ on \mathbf{R}^N , then problem (3) has a solution.

Proof: We have to consider the case where $K(x) \geq K(\infty)$ with strict inequality on a set of positive measure on \mathbf{R}^N , since otherwise the result follows by Corollary 1. Since $\{u_m\}$ is bounded in $H^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$ we may assume that $u_m \rightharpoonup u$ in $H^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$ and $u_m \rightarrow u$ in $L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbf{R}^N)$. The assertion will follow from Lemma 1 if we can show that $u \neq 0$. Arguing indirectly, assume that $u \equiv 0$ on \mathbf{R}^N . This implies that $\alpha_{K,\infty} = 1$. As in the proof of Lemma 1 we check that $\beta_{a,\infty} = M_{a,K}$. We now consider the minimization problem

$$M_{P,\infty} = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (a(x) |Du|^2 + \lambda u^2) dx; u \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^N), \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} K(\infty) |u|^p dx = 1 \right\}.$$

Since a and $K(\infty)$ are periodic on \mathbf{R}^N there exists a positive function $\bar{u} \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} K(\infty) |\bar{u}|^p dx = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad M_{P,\infty} = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (a(x) |D\bar{u}|^2 + \lambda \bar{u}^2) dx.$$

Let ψ_R be a function from Proposition 1, then

$$M_{P,\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} K(\infty) |u_m \psi_R|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (a(x) |D(u_m \psi_R)|^2 + \lambda (u_m \psi)^2) dx.$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ and then $R \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain

$$(16) \quad M_{P,\infty} = M_{P,\infty} (\alpha_{K,\infty})^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \beta_{a,\infty} = M_{a,K}.$$

Since $M_{P,\infty}$ is achieved by $\bar{u} \in H^1(\mathbf{R}^N)$, we have

$$M_{a,K} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^N} K(x) |\bar{u}|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (a(x) |D\bar{u}|^2 + \lambda \bar{u}^2) dx = M_{P,\infty}.$$

Since $\bar{u} > 0$ on \mathbf{R}^N , and $K(x) > K(\infty)$ on a set of positive measure in \mathbf{R}^N , we get that $M_{a,K} < M_{P,\infty}$ which contradicts (16). ■

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT – The author wishes to thank the referee for suggestions and corrections.

REFERENCES

- [BC] BENCI, V. and CERAMI, G. – Positive solutions of some nonlinear elliptic problems, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, 99 (1987), 283–300.
- [EL] ESTEBAN, M.J. and LIONS, P.L. – Γ -convergence and the concentration — compactness method for some variational problems with lack of compactness, *Ricerche di Matematica*, 36(1) (1987), 73–101.
- [KW] KWONG, M.K. – Uniqueness of positive solutions of $\Delta u - u + u^p = 0$ in \mathbf{R}_N , *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, 105 (1989), 243–266.
- [LI1] LIONS, P.L. – On positive solutions of semilinear elliptic equations in unbounded domains, *Nonlinear Equations and their Equilibrium States* (1988), 85–122.
- [PL2] LIONS, P.L. – The concentration — compactness principle in the calculus of variations, The locally compact case, part 2, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré*, 1(4) (1984), 223–283.
- [MS] MURTHY, M.K.V. and STAMPACCHIA, G. – Boundary value problems for some degenerate elliptic operators, *Annali Mat. Pura Appl.*, 80 (1968), 1–122.
- [PA] PASSASEO, D. – Some concentration phenomena in degenerate semilinear elliptic problems, *Nonlinear Analysis, TMA* 24(7) (1995), 1011–1025.
- [LTW] WEN - CHIG LIEN, SHYUH - YAUR TZENG and HWAI - CHINAN WANG – Existence of solutions of semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains, *Diff. Int. Equations*, 6(6) (1993), 1281–1298.
- [SA] LEONARDI SALVATORE – The best constant in a weighted Poincaré and Friedrichs inequality, *Ren. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova*, 92 (1994), 195–208.

J. Chabrowski,
The University of Queensland, Department of Mathematics,
St. Lucia, 4072 Queensland – AUSTRALIA