

## A REMARK ON PARABOLIC EQUATIONS

ALAIN HARAUX

**Abstract:** If  $L = L^*$  is a self-adjoint linear operator generating a strongly continuous semi-group on a real Hilbert space  $H$  and  $\alpha \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , any mild solution  $u$  of  $u' = Lu + \alpha(t)u$  satisfies  $(u(0), u(t)) \geq 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . On the other hand for any  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $(\pi/L)^2 < \lambda < 4(\pi/L)^2$ , there are solutions  $u$  of the one-dimensional semilinear heat equation  $u_t - u_{xx} + u^3 - \lambda u = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times (0, L)$ ,  $u(t, 0) = u(t, L) = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  such that  $\int_\Omega u(0, x) u(t, x) dx < 0$  for some  $t > 0$ .

**Résumé:** Si  $L = L^*$  est un opérateur auto-adjoint, generateur d'un semi-groupe fortement continu sur un espace de Hilbert réel  $H$  et  $\alpha \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ , toute solution  $u$  de  $u' = Lu + \alpha(t)u$  satisfait  $(u(0), u(t)) \geq 0$  pour tout  $t \geq 0$ . D'autre part pour tout  $\lambda > 0$  tel que  $(\pi/L)^2 < \lambda < 4(\pi/L)^2$ , il existe des solutions  $u$  de l'équation de la chaleur à une dimension  $u_t - u_{xx} + u^3 - \lambda u = 0$  dans  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times (0, L)$ ,  $u(t, 0) = u(t, L) = 0$  sur  $\mathbb{R}^+$  telles que  $\int_\Omega u(0, x) u(t, x) dx < 0$  pour un certain  $t > 0$ .

### 1 – A simple positivity property

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded, open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with a Lipschitz continuous boundary and let us consider the linear parabolic equation

$$(1.1) \quad u_t - \Delta u + a(t, x)u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^+ \times \partial\Omega,$$

where  $a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega)$ . For any  $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , there is a unique global solution

$$u \in C([0, \infty); L^\infty(\Omega)) \cap C((0, \infty); H_0^1(\Omega))$$

of (1.1) with initial datum  $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$ . It is well-known that (1.1) is positivity preserving in the sense that if  $u_0 \geq 0$ , then  $u(t, x) \geq 0$  a.e. on  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega$ . For more

general initial data, when  $a = 0$  we know that the inner product  $(u_0, u(t, \cdot))$  in the sense of  $L^2(\Omega)$  is nonnegative (in fact, even positive if  $u_0 \neq 0$ ) since the heat semi-group is the exponential of a self-adjoint operator. More generally we have the following

**Proposition 1.1.** *Let  $L = L^*$  be a self-adjoint linear operator on a real Hilbert space  $H$ , generating a strongly continuous semi-group on  $H$  and  $\alpha \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ . Then for any  $u_0 \in H$ , the unique mild solution  $u \in C([0, \infty); H)$  of*

$$(1.2) \quad u' = Lu + \alpha(t)u$$

such that  $u(0) = u_0$  is such that  $(u_0, u(t)) \geq 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

**Proof:** Denoting by  $A(t)$  the primitive of  $\alpha(t)$  which vanishes at 0 we have

$$u(t) = \exp(A(t)) \exp(tL) u_0 \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

The result follows immediately since  $\exp(tL) = \exp[(t/2)L] \{ \exp[(t/2)L] \}^* \geq 0$ . ■

**Corollary 1.2.** *If  $a(t, x) = a_1(t) + a_2(x)$  with  $a_1 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$  and  $a_2 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , then for any  $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , the unique global solution  $u$  of (1.1) with initial datum  $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$  is such that  $(u_0, u(t, \cdot))_H \geq 0$  for all  $t \geq 0$ , where  $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$  denotes the inner product in  $H = L^2(\Omega)$ .*

**Proof:** Just apply Proposition 1.2 with  $L = \Delta - a_2(x)I$  with Dirichlet boundary conditions. ■

## 2 – A counterexample

In the investigation of uniqueness of anti-periodic solutions to semi-linear parabolic equations (cf. e.g. [2, 5, 7, 8]) the question naturally arises of whether an equation such as (1.1) can have a non-trivial solution  $u$  with  $u(\tau, \cdot) = -u(0, \cdot)$  for some  $\tau > 0$ . Such a possibility would be excluded if we knew that Corollary 1.2 is valid for any potential  $a \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega)$ . As we shall see now, it is *not* the case. Consider the one-dimensional semilinear heat equation

$$(2.1) \quad u_t - u_{xx} + cu^3 - \lambda u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times (0, L), \quad u(t, 0) = u(t, L) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^+,$$

with  $c > 0, \lambda > 0$ . All solutions of this problem are global and uniformly bounded on  $\mathbb{R}^+ \times (0, L)$ . For  $(\pi/L)^2 = \lambda_1(0, L) < \lambda < \lambda_2(0, L) = 4(\pi/L)^2$ , the stationary “elliptic problem”

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi \in H_0^1(0, L), \quad -\varphi_{xx} + c\varphi^3 - \lambda\varphi = 0$$

has exactly 3 solutions, namely 0, the positive solution  $\varphi$  and the negative solution  $(-\varphi)$ . Setting  $\Omega = (0, L)$ , we shall establish

**Theorem 2.1.** *For any  $c > 0$  and  $\lambda_1 < \lambda < \lambda_2$ , there is  $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  such that the unique global solution  $u$  of (2.1) with initial datum  $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$  satisfies*

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} u_0(x) u(t, x) dx < 0$$

for some  $t > 0$ .

**Proof:** We proceed by contradiction. Assume, instead of (2.3), that for all  $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  we have

$$(2.4) \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} u_0(x) u(t, x) dx \geq 0 .$$

Since any solution  $u$  of (2.1) is well-known (cf. e.g. [4]) to converge at infinity to one of the 3 solutions of (2.2), let us investigate first what happens if  $u(t, \cdot)$  converges to  $\varphi$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . From (2.4) we deduce immediately, by passing to the limit

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} u_0(x) \varphi(x) dx \geq 0 .$$

At this stage, changing if necessary  $u$  to  $(-u)$ , we have obtained the following properties:

- If  $u(t, \cdot)$  converges to  $\varphi$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\int_{\Omega} u_0(x) \varphi(x) dx \geq 0$ .
- Similarly if  $u(t, \cdot)$  converges to  $(-\varphi)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\int_{\Omega} u_0(x) \varphi(x) dx \leq 0$ .

To derive a contradiction, we shall prove the following

**Lemma 2.2.** *Assuming  $\int_{\Omega} u_0(x) \varphi(x) dx > 0$ , we have  $u(t) \rightarrow \varphi$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , and*

$$(2.6) \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} u(t, x) \varphi(x) dx \geq 0 .$$

**Proof:** Since by the previous results  $u(t)$  cannot tend to  $(-\varphi)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we must have either  $u(t) \rightarrow 0$  or  $u(t) \rightarrow \varphi$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Now let  $u_\varepsilon$  be the solution of equation (2.1) such that  $u_\varepsilon(0) = u_0 - \varepsilon\varphi$  with  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough, we have  $\int_{\Omega} (u_0(x) - \varepsilon\varphi(x)) \varphi(x) dx > 0$ , and the solution  $u_\varepsilon$  of equation (2.1) such that  $u_\varepsilon(0) = u_0 - \varepsilon\varphi$  also tends either to 0 or  $\varphi$  at infinity while  $w := u - u_\varepsilon \geq 0$ .

Now if  $u(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , we also must have  $u_\varepsilon(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , both convergences being uniform on  $[0, L]$ . Since  $\lambda > \lambda_1(0, L) = (\pi/L)^2$ , an immediate calculation now shows that, as a consequence of the equation

$$w_t - w_{xx} + c(u^2 + u u_\varepsilon + u_\varepsilon^2) w = \lambda w \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^+ \times (0, L), \quad w(t, 0) = w(t, L) = 0 \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^+$$

there exists  $T > 0$  and  $\eta > 0$  for which

$$\forall t \geq T, \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} w(t, x) \psi(x) dx \geq \eta \int_{\Omega} w(t, x) \psi(x) dx$$

with  $\psi(x) := \sin(\pi/L)x$  on  $[0, L]$ . Of course this implies that either  $w = 0$  for  $t \geq T$ , excluded by backward uniqueness (cf. e.g. [1, 3]) or  $w$  is unbounded as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , a contradiction. Consequently we must have  $u(t) \rightarrow \varphi$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Then (2.6) follows from the fact that for each  $\tau > 0$ ,  $v(t, \cdot) = u(t + \tau, \cdot)$  is a solution of (2.1) with  $v(t) \rightarrow \varphi$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . ■

**Proof of Theorem 2.1 (continued):** We now turn our attention to those initial data  $u_0$  orthogonal to  $\varphi$  in  $H$ , which means

$$(2.7) \quad \int_{\Omega} u_0(x) \varphi(x) dx = 0 .$$

Considering  $v_\varepsilon$  be the solution of equation (2.1) such that  $v_\varepsilon(0) = u_0 + \varepsilon\varphi$  with  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we remark that as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,  $v_\varepsilon(t, \cdot)$  converges to  $u(t, \cdot)$  uniformly for each  $t \geq 0$  fixed. By Lemma 2.2 we have

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} v_\varepsilon(t, x) \varphi(x) dx \geq 0$$

and by letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we deduce:

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} u(t, x) \varphi(x) dx \geq 0 .$$

Changing  $u_0$  to  $(-u_0)$ , from (2.7) we also deduce

$$\forall t \geq 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} u(t, x) \varphi(x) dx \leq 0 .$$

Hence finally (2.7) implies

$$(2.8) \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} u(t, x) \varphi(x) dx = 0 .$$

The fact that (2.7) implies (2.8) is contradictory with direct properties of (2.1). Since  $\varphi(x)$  is not constant, there is  $h(x) \in L^2(\Omega)$  such that, for instance

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{\Omega} h(x) \varphi(x) dx = 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} h(x) \varphi^3(x) dx > 0 .$$

Let  $h_n(x)$  be a sequence of  $C^\infty$  functions with compact support converging to  $h$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . For  $n$  large we have

$$\frac{\int_{\Omega} h_n(x) \varphi(x) dx}{\int_{\Omega} \varphi^2(x) dx} = c_n \rightarrow 0$$

while  $\int_{\Omega} (h_n(x) - c_n \varphi(x)) \varphi(x) dx = 0$  and  $\int_{\Omega} (h_n(x) - c_n \varphi(x)) \varphi^3(x) dx > 0$  for  $n$  large. Therefore we can find  $h(x) \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  (and even a  $C^\infty$  function) satisfying (2.9). Picking  $u_0 = \alpha h$  with  $\alpha > 0$  small enough, we now find

$$(2.10) \quad \int_{\Omega} u_0(x) \varphi(x) dx = 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} u_0(x) \varphi(x) (\varphi^2(x) - u_0^2(x)) dx > 0 .$$

On the other hand for  $t > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} u(t, x) \varphi(x) dx &= \int_{\Omega} u_t(t, x) \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} \{u_{xx} - u^3 + \lambda u\} \varphi dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \{\varphi_{xx} + \lambda \varphi\} u dx - \int_{\Omega} u^3 \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} u \varphi (\varphi^2 - u^2) dx . \end{aligned}$$

By considering *small* values of  $t$ , we see that  $\int_{\Omega} u(t, x) \varphi(x) dx$  is increasing on a small time interval  $[t', t'']$ . Since  $\int_{\Omega} u_0(x) \varphi(x) dx = 0$ , this contradicts (2.8). The proof of Theorem 2.1 is now complete. ■

**Corollary 2.3.** *The conclusion of Corollary 1.2 is not valid for a general potential  $a \in (\mathbb{R}^+ \times \Omega)$ .*

**Proof:** We choose  $\Omega = (0, L)$ ,  $u_0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  such that the unique global solution  $u$  of (2.1) with initial datum  $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$  satisfies (2.3), and  $a(t, x) := cu^2 - \lambda$ . ■

### REFERENCES

[1] BARDOS, C. and TARTAR, L. – Sur l’unicité rétrograde des équations paraboliques et quelques questions voisines, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, 59 (1973), 10–25.  
 [2] BEAULIEU, A. – Etude de l’unicité des solutions antipériodiques pour des équations d’évolution non linéaires, *Portugaliae Mathematica*, 49(4) (1992).

- [3] GHIDAGLIA, J.M. – Some backward uniqueness results, *J. Nonlinear Anal*, T.M.A.
- [4] HARAUX, A. – *Systèmes dynamiques dissipatifs et applications*, R.M.A. n° 17, Collection dirigée par P.G. Ciarlet et J.L. Lions, Masson, Paris, 1991.
- [5] HARAUX, A. – Anti-periodic solutions of some nonlinear evolution equations, *Manuscripta Math.*, 63 (1989), 479–505.
- [6] HARAUX, A. and KIRANE, M. – Estimations  $C^1$  pour des problèmes paraboliques semilinéaires, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse*, 5 (1983), 265–280.
- [7] OKOCHI, H. – On the existence of periodic solutions to some nonlinear abstract parabolic equations, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 40 (1988), 451–553.
- [8] SOUPLET, P. – Une condition optimale d'unicité pour les solutions antipériodiques d'équations d'évolution paraboliques, *CRAS Série I*, t.319, 1037–1041.

Alain Haraux,  
Analyse Numérique, T.55–65, 5ème étage, Université P. et M. Curie,  
4, Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05 – FRANCE