

## EXISTENCE AND DATA DEPENDENCE FOR MULTIVALUED WEAKLY REICH-CONTRACTIVE OPERATORS

Liliana Guran Manciu

**Abstract.** In this paper we define the concept of weakly Reich-contractive operator and give a fixed point result for this type of operators. Then we study the data dependence for this new result.

### 1 Introduction

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A singlevalued operator  $T$  from  $X$  into itself is called contractive if there exists a real number  $r \in [0, 1)$  such that  $d(T(x), T(y)) \leq rd(x, y)$  for every  $x, y \in X$ . It is well know that if  $X$  is a complete metric space then a contractive operator from  $x$  into itself has a unique fixed point in  $X$ . In 1972 S. Reich was obtained some generalizations of this results for some classes of generalized contractive operators and in some recent papers [10]-[13] S. Reich et al. gave some applications of these results.

In 1996 the Japanese mathematicians O. Kada, T. Suzuki and W. Takahashi introduced the concept of  $w$ -distance (see [4]) and discussed some properties of this new distance. Later, T. Suzuki and W. Takahashi starting by the definition above, gave some fixed points result for a new class of operators, weakly contractive operators (see [17]).

In 2001 T. Suzuki (see [15]) introduced the concept of  $\tau$ -distance on a metric space which is a generalization of both  $w$ -distance and Tataru's distance. He gave some examples of  $\tau$ -distance and improve the generalization of Banach contraction principle, Caristi's fixed point theorem, Ekeland's variational principle and the Takahashi's nonconvex minimization theorem, see [15]. Also, some fixed point theorems for multivalued operators on a complete metric space endowed with a  $\tau$ -distance were established in T. Suzuki [16].

The purpose of this paper is to give a fixed point theorem for a new class of operators, the so-called weakly Reich-contractive operators. Then we present a data

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10; 54H25

Keywords:  $w$ -distance,  $\tau$ -distance, weakly Reich-contraction, fixed point, multivalued operator

\*\*\*\*\*

<http://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>

dependence result for the fixed point set of these operators.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. We will use the following notations:

$P(X)$  - the set of all nonempty subsets of  $X$ ;

$\mathcal{P}(X) = P(X) \cup \emptyset$

$P_{cl}(X)$  - the set of all nonempty closed subsets of  $X$ ;

$P_b(X)$  - the set of all nonempty bounded subsets of  $X$ ;

$P_{b,cl}(X)$  - the set of all nonempty bounded and closed, subsets of  $X$ ;

For  $A, B \in P_b(X)$  we recall the following functionals.

$D : \mathcal{P}(X) \times \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, D(Z, Y) = \inf\{d(x, y) : x \in Z, y \in Y\}$ ,  $Z \subset X$  - the gap functional.

$\delta : \mathcal{P}(X) \times \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \delta(A, B) := \sup\{d(a, b) | x \in A, b \in B\}$  - the diameter functional;

$\rho : \mathcal{P}(X) \times \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \rho(A, B) := \sup\{D(a, B) | a \in A\}$  - the excess functional;

$H : \mathcal{P}(X) \times \mathcal{P}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, H(A, B) := \max\{\sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} d(a, b), \sup_{b \in B} \inf_{a \in A} d(a, b)\}$  - the

Pompeiu-Hausdorff functional;

$FixF := \{x \in X | x \in F(x)\}$  - the set of the fixed points of  $F$ ;

The concept of  $w$ -distance was introduced by O. Kada, T. Suzuki and W. Takahashi (see[4]) as follows:

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. The functional  $w : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called  $w$ -distance on  $X$  if the following axioms are satisfied :

1.  $w(x, z) \leq w(x, y) + w(y, z)$ , for any  $x, y, z \in X$ ;
2. for any  $x \in X : w(x, \cdot) : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is lower semicontinuous;
3. for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $w(z, x) \leq \delta$  and  $w(z, y) \leq \delta$  implies  $d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$ .

Some examples of  $w$ -distance can be find in [16].

For the proof of the main results we need the following crucial result for  $w$ -distance (see[17]).

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and let  $w$  be a  $w$ -distance on  $X$ . Let  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  be two sequences in  $X$ , let  $(\alpha_n), (\beta_n)$  be sequences in  $[0, +\infty[$  converging to zero and let  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then the following hold:*

1. *If  $w(x_n, y) \leq \alpha_n$  and  $w(x_n, z) \leq \beta_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $y = z$ .*
2. *If  $w(x_n, y_n) \leq \alpha_n$  and  $w(x_n, z) \leq \beta_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $(y_n)$  converges to  $z$ .*

\*\*\*\*\*

3. If  $w(x_n, x_m) \leq \alpha_n$  for any  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m > n$ , then  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence.
4. If  $w(y, x_n) \leq \alpha_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence.

The concept of  $\tau$ -distance was introduced by T. Suzuki (see[1]) as follows.

**Definition 2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Then  $\tau : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called  $\tau$ -distance on  $X$  if there exists a function  $\eta : X \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  and the following are satisfied :

- $(\tau_1)$   $\tau(x, z) \leq \tau(x, y) + \tau(y, z)$ , for any  $x, y, z \in X$ ;
- $(\tau_2)$   $\eta(x, 0) = 0$  and  $\eta(x, t) \geq t$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ , and  $\eta$  is concave and continuous in its the second variable;
- $(\tau_3)$   $\lim_n x_n = x$  and  $\lim_n \sup\{\eta(z_n, \tau(z_n, x_m)) : m \geq n\} = 0$  imply  $\tau(w, x) \leq \liminf_n (\tau(w, x_n))$  for all  $w \in X$ ;
- $(\tau_4)$   $\lim_n \sup\{\tau(x_n, y_m) : m \geq n\} = 0$  and  $\lim_n \eta(x_n, t_n) = 0$  imply  $\lim_n \eta(y_n, t_n) = 0$ ;
- $(\tau_5)$   $\lim_n \eta(z_n, \tau(z_n, x_n)) = 0$  and  $\lim_n \eta(z_n, \tau(z_n, y_n)) = 0$  imply  $\lim_n d(x_n, y_n) = 0$ .

Notice that one may replace  $(\tau_2)$  by the following  $(\tau_2)'$ :

$(\tau_2)'$   $\inf\{\eta(x, t) : t > 0\} = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ , and  $\eta$  is nondecreasing in the second variable.

Some examples of  $\tau$ -distance are given in [15].

We recall the definition of  $\tau$ -Cauchy sequence and some lemmas (see [16]), useful for the proofs of the fixed point results on metric spaces endowed with a  $\tau$ -distance.

**Definition 3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and let  $\tau$  be a  $\tau$ -distance on  $X$ . Then a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is called  $\tau$ -Cauchy if there exists a function  $\eta : X \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfying  $(\tau_2)$ - $(\tau_5)$  and a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  in  $X$  such that  $\lim_n \sup\{\eta(z_n, \tau(z_n, x_m)) : m \geq n\} = 0$ .

A crucial results in order to obtain fixed point theorems by using  $\tau$ -distance are the following lemmas.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and let  $\tau$  be a  $\tau$ -distance on  $X$ . If a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  satisfies  $\lim_n \sup\{\tau(x_n, x_m) : m > n\} = 0$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is a  $\tau$ -Cauchy sequence. Moreover, if a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  satisfies  $\lim_n \tau(x_n, y_n) = 0$ , then  $\{y_n\}$  is also a  $\tau$ -Cauchy sequence and  $\lim_n d(x_n, y_n) = 0$ .

**Lemma 5.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and let  $\tau$  be a  $\tau$ -distance on  $X$ . If a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  satisfies  $\lim_n \tau(z, x_n) = 0$  for  $z \in X$  then  $\{x_n\}$  is a  $\tau$ -Cauchy sequence. Moreover, if a sequence  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  also satisfies  $\lim_n \tau(z, y_n) = 0$ , then  $\lim_n d(x_n, y_n) = 0$ . In particular, for  $x, y, z \in X$ ,  $\tau(z, x) = 0$  and  $\tau(z, y) = 0$  imply  $x = y$ .

\*\*\*\*\*

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and let  $\tau$  be a  $\tau$ -distance on  $X$ . If  $\{x_n\}$  is a  $\tau$ -Cauchy sequence, then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Moreover, if  $\{y_n\}$  is a sequence satisfying  $\lim_n \sup\{\tau(x_n, y_m) : m > n\} = 0$ , then  $\{y_n\}$  is a  $\tau$ -Cauchy sequence and  $\lim_n d(x_n, y_n) = 0$ .*

### 3 Existence of fixed points for multivalued weakly Reich-contractive operators

For the first result of this section, let us define the notion of multivalued weakly Reich-contractive operators.

**Definition 7.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $T : X \rightarrow P(X)$  is called multivalued weakly Reich-contractive operator if for every  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}_+$  such that  $a + b + c \in [0, 1)$ , there exists a  $w$ -distance on  $X$  such that for every  $x, y \in X$  and  $u \in T(x)$  there exists  $v \in T(y)$  such that*

$$w(u, v) \leq aw(x, y) + bD_w(x, T(x)) + cD_w(y, T(y)),$$

where  $D_w(x, T(x)) := \inf\{w(x, y) : y \in T(x)\}$ .

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $w$  be a  $w$ -distance on  $X$   $x_0 \in X$  and  $r > 0$ . Let us define:

$B_w(x_0; r) := \{x \in X | w(x_0, x) < r\}$  the open ball centered at  $x_0$  with radius  $r$  with respect to  $w$ ;

$\widetilde{B}_w^d(x_0; r)$ - the closure in  $(X, d)$  of the set  $B_w(x_0; r)$ .

One of the main results is the following fixed point theorem for weakly Reich-contractive operators.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $x_0 \in X$ ,  $r > 0$ ,  $\alpha := \frac{a+b}{1-c}$  for every  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $a + b + c \in [0, 1)$  and  $T : \widetilde{B}_w(x_0; r) \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$  a multivalued operator such that:*

1.  $T$  is weakly Reich-contractive operator with respect to a  $w$ -distance;
2. For every  $x, y \in X$ , with  $y \notin T(y)$  we have that

$$\inf\{w(x, y) + D_w(x, T(x)) : x \in X\} > 0;$$

3.  $D_w(x_0, T(x_0)) < (1 - \alpha)r$ .

Then there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $x^* \in T(x^*)$ .

\*\*\*\*\*

*Proof.* Let  $0 < s < r$  and  $D_w(x_0, T(x_0)) < (1 - \alpha)s < (1 - \alpha)r$ .

Then there exists  $x_1 \in T(x_0)$  such that  $w(x_0, x_1) < (1 - \alpha)s \leq s$ . Hence  $x_1 \in B_w(x_0; s)$ .

For  $x_1 \in T(x_0)$  there exists  $x_2 \in T(x_1)$  such that

$$w(x_1, x_2) \leq aw(x_0, x_1) + bD_w(x_0, T(x_0)) + cD_w(x_1, T(x_1))$$

$$w(x_1, x_2) \leq aw(x_0, x_1) + bw(x_0, x_1) + cw(x_1, x_2)$$

$$w(x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{a+b}{1-c}w(x_0, x_1)$$

Then  $w(x_1, x_2) \leq \alpha w(x_0, x_1) \leq \alpha(1 - \alpha)s$ .

Then  $w(x_0, x_2) \leq w(x_0, x_1) + w(x_1, x_2) < (1 - \alpha)s + \alpha(1 - \alpha)s = (1 - \alpha^2)s \leq s$ .

Hence  $x_2 \in B_w(x_0; s)$ .

For  $x_1 \in B_w(x_0; s)$  and  $x_2 \in T(x_1)$  there exists  $x_3 \in T(x_2)$  such that

$$w(x_2, x_3) \leq aw(x_1, x_2) + bD_w(x_1, T(x_1)) + cD_w(x_2, T(x_2))$$

$$w(x_2, x_3) \leq aw(x_1, x_2) + bw(x_1, x_2) + cw(x_3, x_3)$$

$$w(x_2, x_3) \leq \frac{a+b}{1-c}w(x_1, x_2)$$

Then  $w(x_2, x_3) \leq \alpha w(x_1, x_2) \leq \alpha^2 w(x_0, x_1) \leq \alpha^2(1 - \alpha)s$ .

Then  $w(x_0, x_3) \leq w(x_0, x_2) + w(x_2, x_3) < (1 - \alpha^2)s + \alpha^2(1 - \alpha)s = (1 - \alpha)(1 + \alpha + \alpha^2)s = (1 - \alpha^3)s < s$ . Hence  $x_3 \in B_w(x_0; s)$ .

By induction we obtain in this way a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in B_w(x_0; s)$  with the following properties:

- (1)  $x_n \in T(x_{n-1})$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (2)  $w(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq \alpha^n(1 - \alpha)s$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m > n$  we have

$$w(x_n, x_m) \leq w(x_n, x_{n+1}) + w(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + w(x_{m-1}, x_m) \leq$$

$$\leq \alpha^n(1 - \alpha)s + \alpha^{n+1}(1 - \alpha)s + \dots + \alpha^{m-1}(1 - \alpha)s \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{\alpha^n}{1 - \alpha}(1 - \alpha)s = \alpha^n s.$$

Using Lemma 1(3) we have that  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in B_w(x_0; s)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(X, d)$ . Since  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space it follows that the sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a limit  $x^* \in \widetilde{B}_w^d(x_0; s)$ .

Assume that  $x^* \notin T(x^*)$ . Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $(x_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \in B_w(x_0; s)$  converge to  $x^*$  and  $w(x_n, \cdot)$  is lower semicontinuous we have

$$w(x_n, x^*) \leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} w(x_n, x_m) \leq \alpha^n s, \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

\*\*\*\*\*

Therefore by hypothesis (2) and by using the above inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< \inf\{w(x, x^*) + D_w(x, T(x)) : x \in X\} \\ &\leq \inf\{w(x_n, x^*) + w(x_n, x_{n+1}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &\leq \inf\{\alpha^n s(2 - \alpha)w(x_0, x_1) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction. Thus we conclude that  $x^* \in T(x^*)$ .  $\square$

A global result for previous theorem is the following fixed point result for multivalued weakly Reich-contractive operators.

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $T : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$  a multivalued operator such that such that:*

1.  *$T$  is weakly Reich-contractive operator with respect to a  $w$ -distance;*
2. *For every  $x, y \in X$ , with  $y \notin T(y)$  we have that*

$$\inf\{w(x, y) + D_w(x, T(x)) : x \in X\} > 0;$$

*Then there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that  $x^* \in T(x^*)$ .*

Notice that some similar results can be found in [7].

**Remark 10.** *Similar results can be obtained for the case of  $\tau$ -distance.*

## 4 Data dependence for multivalued weakly Reich-contractive operators

The main result of this section is the following data dependence theorem for the fixed point set of multivalued weakly Reich contractive operators.

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $T_1, T_2 : X \rightarrow P_{cl}(X)$  be two multivalued weakly Reich-contractive operators with respect to a  $w$ -distance, with  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  where  $\alpha := \frac{a+b}{1-c}$ , for every  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $a + b + c \in [0, 1)$  and satisfying for every  $x, y \in X$ , with  $y \notin T_i(y)$ , the following inequality  $\inf\{w(x, y) + D_w(x, T_i(x)) : x \in X\} > 0$ . Then the following are true:*

1.  *$FixT_1 \neq \emptyset \neq FixT_2$ ;*
2. *We suppose that there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that for every  $u \in T_1(x)$  there exists  $v \in T_2(x)$  such that  $w(u, v) \leq \eta$ , (respectively for every  $v \in T_2(x)$  there exists  $u \in T_1(x)$  such that  $w(v, u) \leq \eta$ ).*

\*\*\*\*\*

Then for every  $u^* \in \text{Fix}T_1$  there exists  $v^* \in \text{Fix}T_2$  such that  
 $w(u^*, v^*) \leq \frac{\eta}{1-\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha = \alpha_i$  for  $i = \{1, 2\}$ ;  
 (respectively for every  $v^* \in \text{Fix}T_2$  there exists  $u^* \in \text{Fix}T_1$  such that  
 $w(v^*, u^*) \leq \frac{\eta}{1-\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha = \alpha_i$  for  $i = \{1, 2\}$ )

*Proof.* Let  $u_0 \in \text{Fix}T_1$ , then  $u_0 \in T_1(u_0)$ . Using the hypothesis (2) we have that there exists  $u_1 \in T_2(u_0)$  such that  $w(u_0, u_1) \leq \eta$ .

Since  $T_1, T_2$  are weakly Reich-contractive with  $\alpha_i \in [0, 1)$ , where  $\alpha := \frac{a+b}{1-c}$ , for every  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $a + b + c \in [0, 1)$  and  $i = \{1, 2\}$  we have that for every  $u_0, u_1 \in X$  with  $u_1 \in T_2(u_0)$  there exists  $u_2 \in T_2(u_1)$  such that

$$w(x_1, x_2) \leq aw(u_0, u_1) + bD_w(u_0, T_2(u_0)) + cD_w(u_1, T_2(u_1))$$

$$w(u_1, u_2) \leq aw(u_0, u_1) + bw(u_0, u_1) + cw(u_1, u_2)$$

$$w(u_1, u_2) \leq \frac{a+b}{1-c}w(u_0, u_1)$$

Then  $w(u_1, u_2) \leq \alpha w(u_0, u_1)$ .

For  $u_1 \in X$  and  $u_2 \in T_2(u_1)$  there exists  $u_3 \in T_2(u_2)$  such that

$$w(u_2, u_3) \leq aw(u_1, u_2) + bD_w(u_1, T_2(u_1)) + cD_w(u_2, T_2(u_2))$$

$$w(u_2, u_3) \leq aw(u_1, u_2) + bw(u_1, u_2) + cw(u_3, u_3)$$

$$w(u_2, u_3) \leq \frac{a+b}{1-c}w(u_1, u_2)$$

Then  $w(u_2, u_3) \leq \alpha w(u_1, u_2) \leq \alpha^2 w(u_0, u_1)$ .

By induction we obtain a sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X$  such that

(1)  $u_{n+1} \in T_2(u_n)$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;

(2)  $w(u_n, u_{n+1}) \leq \alpha^n w(u_0, u_1)$

For  $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$  we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} w(u_n, u_{n+p}) &\leq w(u_n, u_{n+1}) + w(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) + \dots + w(u_{n+p-1}, u_{n+p}) \leq \\ &< \alpha^n w(u_0, u_1) + \alpha^{n+1} w(u_0, u_1) + \dots + \alpha^{n+p-1} w(u_0, u_1) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha^n}{1-\alpha} w(u_0, u_1) \end{aligned}$$

By the Lemma 1(3) we have that the sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space we have that there exists  $v^* \in X$  such that  $u_n \xrightarrow{d} v^*$ .

Assume that  $v^* \notin T_2(v^*)$ . Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $(x_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \in X$  converge to  $v^*$  and  $w(x, \cdot) : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is lower semicontinuous we have

$$w(u_n, v^*) \leq \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} w(u_n, u_{n+p}) \leq \frac{\alpha^n}{1-\alpha} w(u_0, u_1) \tag{4.1}$$

By hypothesis we have the following inequality:

\*\*\*\*\*

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &< \inf\{w(u, v^*) + D_w(u, T_2(u)) : x \in X\} \\
&\leq \inf\{w(u_n, v^*) + w(u_n, u_{n+1}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\
&\leq \inf\{\frac{\alpha^n}{1-\alpha}w(u_0, u_1) + \alpha^n w(u_0, u_1) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Which is a contradiction. Thus we conclude that  $v^* \in T(v^*)$ .

Then, by  $w(u_n, v^*) \leq \frac{\alpha^n}{1-\alpha}w(u_0, u_1)$ , with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , for  $n = 0$  we obtain

$$w(u_0, v^*) \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha}w(u_0, u_1) \leq \frac{\eta}{1-\alpha}$$

which complete the proof. □

## References

- [1] A. Granas, J. Dugundji, *Fixed Point Theory*, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 2003.
- [2] Y. Feng, S. Liu, *Fixed point theorems for multivalued contractive mappings and multivalued Caristi type mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **317**(2006), 103-112. [Zbl 1094.47049](#).
- [3] L. Guran, *Existence and data dependence for multivalued weakly contractive operators*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Mathematica, **54**(2009), no. 3, 67-76.
- [4] O. Kada, T. Suzuki, W. Takahashi, *Nonconvex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metric spaces*, Math. Japonica **44**(1996) 381-391. [MR1416281](#)(97j:49011). [Zbl 0897.54029](#).
- [5] A. Latif, A.W. Albar , *Fixed point results for multivalued maps*, Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sci. **2**, 21-24(2007), 1129-1136. [MR2373908](#). [Zbl 1165.54018](#).
- [6] A. Latif, A. A. N. Abdou, *Fixed point results for generalized contractive multimaps in metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications Volume **2009**(2009), Article ID 432130, 16 pages doi:10.1155/2009/432130. [MR2544343](#). [Zbl 1179.54065](#).
- [7] A. Latif, Saleh Abdullah Al-Mezel, *Fixed point results related to Reich'S problem*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Vol.4(2009), Issue 2, 147-159.
- [8] T. Lazăr, A. Petruşel, N. Shahzad, *Fixed points for non-self operators and domanin invariance theorems*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications, **70**(2009), 117-125.
- [9] S. Reich, *Fixed points of contractive functions*, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital., **5**(1972), 26-42.

\*\*\*\*\*

Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications **7** (2012), 136 – 145

<http://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>



- [10] S. Reich, A. J. Zaslavski, *Convergence of iterates of nonexpansive set-valued mappings*, Set Valued Mappings with Applications in Nonlinear Analysis, **4**(2002) of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 411–420, Taylor and Francis, London, UK.
- [11] S. Reich, A. J. Zaslavski, *Generic existence of fixed points for set-valued mappings*, Set-Valued Analysis, **10**(2002), no. 4, 287–296.
- [12] S. Reich, A. J. Zaslavski, *Two results on fixed points of set-valued nonexpansive mappings*, Revue Roumaine de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, **51**(2006), no. 1, 89–94.
- [13] S. Reich, A. J. Zaslavski, *Existence and Approximation of Fixed Points for Set-Valued Mappings*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Volume **2010**(2010), Article ID 351531, 10 pages doi:10.1155/2010/351531. [Zbl 1189.54037](#)
- [14] I. A. Rus, *Generalized Contractions and Applications*, Presa Clujeană Universitară, Cluj-Napoca, 2001.
- [15] T. Suzuki, *Generalized Distance and Existence Theorems in Complete Metric Spaces*, J.Math.Anal.Appl., **253**(2001), 440-458. [MR1808147\(2002f:49038\)](#). [Zbl 0983.54034](#).
- [16] T. Suzuki, *Several Fixed Point Theorems Concerning  $\tau$ -distance*, Fixed Point Theory and Application, **3**(2004), 195-209. [MR2096951](#). [Zbl 1076.54532](#).
- [17] T. Suzuki, W. Takahashi, *Fixed points theorems and characterizations of metric completeness*, Topological Methods in Nonlinear Analysis, Journal of Juliusz Schauder Center, **8**(1996), 371-382. [MR1483635\(99c:54064\)](#). [Zbl 0902.47050](#).

Liliana Guran Manciu

Department of Finance and Business Administration,

Faculty of Economic Sciences, Titu Maiorescu University,

Calea Văcărești, nr. 189, 040056, sector 4, Bucharest, Romania.

e-mail: liliana.guran@utm.ro, gliliana.math@gmail.com.

\*\*\*\*\*

Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications **7** (2012), 136 – 145

<http://www.utgjiu.ro/math/sma>