

GROUPOID ENRICHED CATEGORIES AND NATURAL SYSTEMS

TEIMURAZ PIRASHVILI

ABSTRACT. We generalize the Baues-Jibladze descent theorem to a large class of groupoid enriched categories.

1. Introduction

A natural system D on a category \mathbf{C} is a covariant functor on the category of factorizations \mathbf{FC} of \mathbf{C} (also called twisted arrow category of \mathbf{C} , see [Mac Lane 1971]). Cohomology of \mathbf{C} with coefficients in a natural system was first defined in [Baues & Wirsching 1985] and plays important rôle in many areas of algebra and topology [Baues 1989, Baues 2003, Jibladze & Pirashvili 1991, Jibladze & Pirashvili 2005, Pirashvili 1990]. One of the main points for the applications is the fact that the third cohomology group $H^3(\mathbf{C}; D)$ classifies the so called linear track extensions of \mathbf{C} by D [Pirashvili 1988, Pirashvili 1990]. Recently in [Baues & Jibladze 2002] it was proved that linear track extensions are essentially the same as groupoid enriched categories such that automorphism groups of all 1-arrows are abelian (=abelian track categories, see below). The proof of this important result relies on the fact that in any abelian track category \mathcal{T} , automorphism groups $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{T}}(f)$ of 1-arrows can be “descended” to the homotopy category \mathcal{T}_{\sim} , i. e. they only depend on the isomorphism class of f in a nice way – see Theorem 2.4 in [Baues & Jibladze 2002].

The aim of this work is to generalize this descent result for a large class of non-abelian natural systems equipped with certain type of descent data.

2. Preliminaries

A groupoid is called *abelian* if the automorphism group of each object is an abelian group. We will use the following notation for 2-categories. Composition of 1-arrows will be denoted by juxtaposition; for 2-arrows we will use additive notation, so composition is $+$ and identity 2-arrows are denoted by 0 . The hom-category for objects A, B of a 2-category will be denoted by $\llbracket A, B \rrbracket$.

There are several categories associated with a 2-category \mathcal{T} . The category \mathcal{T}_0 has the same objects as \mathcal{T} , while morphisms in \mathcal{T}_0 are 1-arrows of \mathcal{T} . The category \mathcal{T}_1 has the same objects as \mathcal{T}_0 . The morphisms $A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{T}_1 are 2-arrows $\alpha : f \Rightarrow f_1$ where

Received by the editors 2005-05-12 and, in revised form, 2005-09-03.

Transmitted by Jean-Louis Loday. Published on 2005-09-08.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 18D05.

Key words and phrases: Track category, linear track extension, natural system.

© Teimuraz Pirashvili, 2005. Permission to copy for private use granted.

$f, f_1 : A \rightarrow B$ are 1-arrows in \mathcal{T} . The composition in \mathcal{T}_1 is given by $(\beta : x \Rightarrow x_1)(\alpha : f \Rightarrow f_1) := (\beta\alpha : xf \Rightarrow x_1f_1)$, where

$$\beta\alpha = \beta f_1 + x\alpha = x_1\alpha + \beta f.$$

One furthermore has the source and target functors

$$\mathcal{T}_1 \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{s} \\ \xrightarrow{t} \end{array} \mathcal{T}_0,$$

where $s(\alpha : f \Rightarrow f_1) = f$ and $t(\alpha : f \Rightarrow f_1) = f_1$, the “identity” functor $i : \mathcal{T}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_1$ assigning to an 1-arrow f the triple $0_f : f \Rightarrow f$. Moreover, consider the pullback diagram

$$(*) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T}_1 \times_{\mathcal{T}_0} \mathcal{T}_1 & \xrightarrow{p_2} & \mathcal{T}_1 \\ p_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow t \\ \mathcal{T}_1 & \xrightarrow{s} & \mathcal{T}_0 \end{array}$$

there is also the “composition” functor $m : \mathcal{T}_1 \times_{\mathcal{T}_0} \mathcal{T}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_1$ sending $(\alpha : f \Rightarrow f_1, \alpha' : f_2 \Rightarrow f_1)$ to $\alpha + \alpha' : f_2 \Rightarrow f_1$. Note that these functors satisfy the identities $sp_1 = tp_2$, $sm = sp_2$, $tm = tp_1$ and $si = ti = \text{id}_{\mathcal{T}_0}$. Sometimes we will also simply write $\mathcal{T}_1 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{T}_0$ to indicate a 2-category \mathcal{T} .

A *track category* \mathcal{T} is a *category enriched in groupoids*, i. e. is the same as a 2-category all of whose 2-arrows are invertible. If the groupoids $\llbracket A, B \rrbracket$ are abelian for all $A, B \in \text{Ob} \mathcal{T}$, then \mathcal{T} is called an *abelian track category*. For track categories we might occasionally talk about *maps* instead of 1-arrows and *homotopies* or *tracks* instead of 2-arrows. If there is a homotopy $\alpha : f \Rightarrow g$ between maps $f, g \in \text{Ob}(\llbracket A, B \rrbracket)$, we will say that f and g are homotopic and write $f \simeq g$. Since the homotopy relation is a natural equivalence relation on morphisms of \mathcal{T}_0 , it determines the *homotopy category* $\mathcal{T}_{\simeq} = \mathcal{T}_0 / \simeq$. Objects of \mathcal{T}_{\simeq} are once again objects in $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{T})$, while morphisms of \mathcal{T}_{\simeq} are homotopy classes of morphisms in \mathcal{T}_0 . For objects A and B we let $[A, B]$ denote the set of morphisms from A to B in the category \mathcal{T}_{\simeq} . Thus

$$[A, B] = \llbracket A, B \rrbracket / \simeq.$$

Usually we let $q : \mathcal{T}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\simeq}$ denote the quotient functor. Sometimes for a 1-arrow f in \mathcal{T} we will denote $q(f)$ by $[f]$. A map $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a *homotopy equivalence* if there exists a map $g : B \rightarrow A$ and tracks $fg \simeq 1$ and $gf \simeq 1$. This is the case if and only if $q(f)$ is an isomorphism in the homotopy category \mathcal{T}_{\simeq} . In this case A and B are called *homotopy equivalent* objects.

A *track functor* $F : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}'$ between track categories is by definition a groupoid enriched functor. Let \mathbf{C} be a category. Then the category \mathbf{FC} of factorizations in \mathbf{C} is defined as follows. Objects of \mathbf{FC} are morphisms $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathbf{C} and morphisms

$(a, b) : f \rightarrow g$ in \mathbf{FC} are commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xleftarrow{a} & A' \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\ B & \xrightarrow{b} & B' \end{array}$$

in the category \mathbf{C} . A *natural system* on \mathbf{C} with values in a category \mathcal{C} is a covariant functor $D : \mathbf{FC} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. We write $D(f) = D_f$. If $a : C \rightarrow D$, $f : A \rightarrow C$ and $g : D \rightarrow B$ are morphisms in \mathbf{C} , then the morphism $D_f \rightarrow D_{af}$ induced by the morphism $(1_A, a) : f \rightarrow af$ in \mathbf{FC} will be denoted by a_* , while the morphism $D_g \rightarrow D_{ga}$ induced by $(a, 1_B) : g \rightarrow ga$ will be denoted by a^* .

A morphism of natural systems is just a natural transformation. For a functor $q : \mathbf{C}' \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, any natural system D on \mathbf{C} gives a natural system $D \circ (\mathbf{F}q)$ on \mathbf{C}' which we will denote $q^*(D)$.

For us a crucial observation is that any 2-category gives rise to a natural system. Indeed let \mathcal{B} be a 2-category. There is a natural system $\text{End}_{\mathcal{B}}$ of monoids on \mathcal{B}_0 (i. e. a functor $\mathbf{FB}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Monoids}$) which assigns to an 1-arrow $f : A \rightarrow B$ the monoid of all 2-arrows $f \Rightarrow f$ in \mathcal{B} . Indeed for $g : B \rightarrow B'$, $h : A' \rightarrow A$ morphisms in \mathcal{B}_0 we already defined the induced homomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} (\varepsilon \mapsto g_*\varepsilon = g\varepsilon) &: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(f, f) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(gf, gf), \\ (\varepsilon \mapsto h^*\varepsilon = \varepsilon h) &: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(f, f) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(fh, fh). \end{aligned}$$

For a track category \mathcal{T} , clearly $\text{End}_{\mathcal{T}} = \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{T}}$ takes values in the category of groups.

3. \mathcal{T} -natural systems

To state our main result we need to introduce some more notions.

3.1. DEFINITION. Consider a track category \mathcal{T} . A \mathcal{T} -natural system with values in a category \mathcal{C} is a natural system $D : \mathbf{FT}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ on \mathcal{T}_0 together with a family of morphisms

$$\nabla_{\xi} : D_f \rightarrow D_g$$

in the category \mathcal{C} , one for each track $\xi : f \Rightarrow g$ in \mathcal{T} , such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- i) $\nabla_{0_f} = \text{id}_{D_f}$ for all 1-arrows f in \mathcal{T} .
- ii) For $\xi : f \Rightarrow g$, $\eta : g \Rightarrow h$ one has $\nabla_{\eta+\xi} = \nabla_{\eta} \circ \nabla_{\xi}$.
- iii) For a diagram

$$\bullet \xleftarrow{f} \bullet \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{g} \\ \Downarrow \xi \\ \xrightarrow{g_1} \end{array} \bullet \xleftarrow{h} \bullet$$

the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 D_{fg} & \xleftarrow{f^*} & D_g & \xrightarrow{h^*} & D_{gh} \\
 \nabla_{f\xi} \downarrow & & \nabla_\xi \downarrow & & \nabla_{\xi h} \downarrow \\
 D_{fg_1} & \xleftarrow{f^*} & D_{g_1} & \xrightarrow{h^*} & D_{g_1h}
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

iv) For a diagram

$$\bullet \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f} \\ \Downarrow \xi \\ \xrightarrow{f_1} \end{array} \bullet \xleftarrow{g} \bullet \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{h} \\ \Downarrow \eta \\ \xrightarrow{h_1} \end{array} \bullet$$

the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 D_{fg} & & & & D_{gh} \\
 \nabla_{\xi g} \downarrow & \swarrow f^* & D_g & \searrow h^* & \downarrow \nabla_{g\eta} \\
 & & & & \\
 D_{f_1g} & \swarrow f_{1*} & & \searrow h_{1*} & D_{gh_1}
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

A morphism $\Phi : (D, \nabla) \rightarrow (D', \nabla')$ of \mathcal{T} -natural systems is a natural transformation Φ between the functors $D, D' : \mathbf{F}\mathcal{T}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 D \circ \mathbf{F}s & \xrightarrow{\Phi \mathbf{F}s} & D' \circ \mathbf{F}s \\
 \nabla \downarrow & & \downarrow \nabla' \\
 D \circ \mathbf{F}t & \xrightarrow{\Phi \mathbf{F}t} & D' \circ \mathbf{F}t
 \end{array}$$

commutes. We denote by $\mathcal{T}\text{-Nat}$ the category of \mathcal{T} -natural systems.

Let $G : \mathcal{T}' \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ be a track functor. For any \mathcal{T} -natural system (D, ∇) one defines a \mathcal{T}' -natural system $G^*(D, \nabla) = (D \circ \mathbf{F}G, \nabla G)$, where for $\xi' : f' \Rightarrow g'$ in \mathcal{T}' , $(\nabla G)_{\xi'} : D_{Gf'} \rightarrow D_{Gg'}$ is defined to be $\nabla_{G\xi'}$. In this way one obtains a functor

$$G^* : \mathcal{T}\text{-Nat} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}'\text{-Nat}.$$

3.2. EXAMPLE. For a track category \mathcal{T} , the group-valued natural system $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{T}}$ is equipped with a canonical structure of a \mathcal{T} -natural system given by

$$\nabla_\xi(a) = \xi + a - \xi.$$

Let D be a natural system on \mathcal{T}_\sim . Then q^*D is a natural system on \mathcal{T}_0 given by $(q^*D)_f = D_{q(f)}$. Here $q : \mathcal{T}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_\sim$ is the canonical projection. Define the structure of a \mathcal{T} -natural system on q^*D by $\nabla = \text{id} : D \circ \mathbf{F}q \circ \mathbf{F}s = D \circ \mathbf{F}q \circ \mathbf{F}t$. In this way one obtains the functor $q^* : \text{Nat}(\mathcal{T}_\sim) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}\text{-Nat}$. Our Theorem 4.1 claims that the functor q^* is a full embedding. Actually we also identify the essential image of the functor q^* . We need the following definition.

3.3. DEFINITION. A \mathcal{T} -natural system (D, ∇) is called inert if $\nabla_\varepsilon = \text{id}_f$ for all $\varepsilon : f \Rightarrow f$.

Inert \mathcal{T} -natural systems form a full subcategory of the category of \mathcal{T} -natural systems, which is denoted by $\mathcal{T}\text{-Inert}$. It is clear that the image of the functor q^* lies in $\mathcal{T}\text{-Inert}$. It is also clear that $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{T}}$ equipped with the canonical \mathcal{T} -natural system structure defined in Example 3.2 is inert if and only if \mathcal{T} is an abelian track category.

Let us observe that for any track functor $G : \mathcal{T}' \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ restriction of the functor $G^* : \mathcal{T}\text{-Nat} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}'\text{-Nat}$ yields the functor $G^* : \mathcal{T}\text{-Inert} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}'\text{-Inert}$.

4. The main result

4.1. THEOREM. Let \mathcal{T} be a track category. Then $q^* : \text{Nat}(\mathcal{T}_{\simeq}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}\text{-Inert}$ is an equivalence of categories. Furthermore, for any track functor $G : \mathcal{T}' \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Nat}(\mathcal{T}_{\simeq}) & \xrightarrow{q^*} & \mathcal{T}\text{-Inert} \\ \downarrow G_{\simeq}^* & & \downarrow G^* \\ \text{Nat}(\mathcal{T}'_{\simeq}) & \xrightarrow{q'^*} & \mathcal{T}'\text{-Inert} \end{array}$$

commutes.

PROOF. Let E and E' be natural systems on \mathcal{T}_{\simeq} and let $\Phi : q^*E \rightarrow q^*E'$ be a morphism of \mathcal{T} -natural systems. We claim that if f and g are homotopic maps in \mathcal{T}_0 (and therefore $qf = qg$), then the homomorphisms $\Phi_f : E_{qf} \rightarrow E'_{qf}$ and $\Phi_g : E_{qg} \rightarrow E'_{qg}$ are the same. Indeed, we can choose a track $\xi : f \Rightarrow g$. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (q^*E)_f & \xrightarrow{\nabla_\xi} & (q^*E)_g \\ \Phi_f \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi_g \\ (q^*E')_f & \xrightarrow{\nabla'_\xi} & (q^*E')_g \end{array}$$

By definition of the \mathcal{T} -natural system structure on q^*E and q^*E' the morphisms ∇_ξ and ∇'_ξ are the identity morphisms, hence the claim. This shows that the functor q^* is full and faithful.

It remains to show that for any inert \mathcal{T} -natural system (D, ∇) there exists a natural system E on \mathcal{T}_{\simeq} and an isomorphism $\Delta : D \rightarrow q^*E$ of \mathcal{T} -natural systems. First of all one observes that if $\xi, \eta : f \Rightarrow g$ are tracks, then $\nabla_\xi = \nabla_\eta : D_f \rightarrow D_g$. Indeed, thanks to the property ii) of Definition 3.1 we have

$$\nabla_\xi = \nabla_{\xi - \eta + \eta} = \nabla_{\xi - \eta} \nabla_\eta = \nabla_\eta,$$

because $\xi - \eta : g \Rightarrow g$ and D is inert. Therefore for $qf = qg$ there is a well defined homomorphism $\nabla_{f,g} : D_f \rightarrow D_g$ induced by any track $f \Rightarrow g$. Then the relation ii)

of Definition 3.1 shows that $\nabla_{g,h}\nabla_{f,g} = \nabla_{f,h}$ for any composable 1-arrows f, g, h . By harmless abuse of notation we will just write ∇ instead of $\nabla_{f,g}$ in what follows.

Since the functor $q : \mathcal{T}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\simeq}$ is identity on objects and full, we can choose for any arrow a in \mathcal{T}_{\simeq} a map $u(a)$ in \mathcal{T}_0 such that $qu(a) = a$. Moreover for any map f in \mathcal{T}_0 we can choose a track $\delta(f) : f \Rightarrow u(qf)$. Now we put

$$E_a := D_{u(a)} \text{ and } \Delta_f := \nabla = \nabla_{f,u(qf)} = \nabla_{\delta(f)} : D_f \rightarrow D_{u(qf)} = E_{qf}.$$

For a diagram $\overset{c}{\leftarrow} \overset{a}{\leftarrow} \overset{b}{\leftarrow}$ in the category \mathcal{T}_{\simeq} we define the homomorphism $c_* : E_a \rightarrow E_{ca}$ to be the following composite:

$$E_a = D_{u(a)} \xrightarrow{u(c)_*} D_{u(c)u(a)} \xrightarrow{\nabla} D_{u(ca)} = E_{ca}.$$

Similarly we define the homomorphisms $b_* : E_a \rightarrow E_{ab}$ to be the following composites:

$$E_a = D_{u(a)} \xrightarrow{u(b)_*} D_{u(a)u(b)} \xrightarrow{\nabla} D_{u(ab)} = E_{ab}.$$

It follows from the property iii) of Definition 3.1 that for any diagram $\overset{c_1}{\leftarrow} \overset{c}{\leftarrow} \overset{a}{\leftarrow}$ in the category \mathcal{T}_{\simeq} we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} D_{u(a)} & & & & \\ u(c)_* \downarrow & \searrow c_* & & & \\ D_{u(c)u(a)} & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & D_{u(ca)} & & \\ u(c_1)_* \downarrow & & u(c_1)_* \downarrow & \searrow c_{1*} & \\ D_{u(c_1)u(c)u(a)} & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & D_{u(c_1)u(ca)} & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & D_{u(c_1ca)} \end{array}$$

Thus $c_1(c_*) = \nabla(u(c_1)(u(c)_*))$. On the other hand by definition we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_{u(a)} & & \\ u(c_1c)_* \downarrow & \searrow (c_1c)_* & \\ D_{u(c_1c)u(a)} & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & D_{u(c_1ca)} \end{array}$$

It follows from the property iv) of Definition 3.1 that one has also the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_{u(a)} & \xrightarrow{(u(c_1)u(c))_*} & D_{u(c_1)u(c)u(a)} \\ u(c_1c)_* \downarrow & \swarrow \nabla & \nabla \downarrow \\ D_{u(c_1c)u(a)} & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & D_{u(c_1ca)} \end{array}$$

Therefore

$$(c_1c)_* = \nabla(u(c_1c)_*) = \nabla(\nabla(u(c_1)(u(c)_*))) = c_1(c_*).$$

Similarly $(b_1b)^* = (b_1^*)b$ and E is a well-defined natural system on \mathcal{T}_\sim . It remains to show that $\Delta : D \rightarrow q^*E$ is a natural transformation of functors defined on $\mathbf{F}\mathcal{T}_0$. To this end, one observes that for any composable morphisms g, f in the category \mathcal{T}_0 we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 D_f & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & D_{u(qf)} & & & & \\
 \downarrow g_* & & \downarrow g_* & \searrow u(qg)_* & & & \\
 D_{gf} & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & D_{gu(qf)} & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & D_{u(qg)u(qf)} & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & D_{uq(gf)}
 \end{array}$$

This means that the following diagram also commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 D_f & \xrightarrow{\Delta_f} & E_{qf} \\
 \downarrow g_* & & \downarrow (qg)_* \\
 D_{gf} & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{gf}} & E_{q(gf)}
 \end{array}$$

Similarly the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 D_g & \xrightarrow{\Delta_g} & E_{qg} \\
 \downarrow f_* & & \downarrow (qf)_* \\
 D_{gf} & \xrightarrow{\Delta_{gf}} & E_{q(gf)}
 \end{array}$$

also commutes and therefore Δ is indeed a natural transformation. ■

Now let \mathcal{T} be an abelian track category, so that $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{T}}$ is a natural system on \mathcal{T}_0 with values in the category of abelian groups. According to Example 3.2 it is equipped with the canonical structure of a \mathcal{T} -natural system, which is moreover inert, because \mathcal{T} is abelian. Thus one can use Theorem 4.1 to conclude that there is a natural system D defined on \mathcal{T}_\sim and an isomorphism of \mathcal{T} -natural systems $\tau : \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow q^*D$ defined on \mathcal{T}_0 . This was the main result of [Baues & Jibladze 2002].

References

H.-J. Baues, Algebraic homotopy. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 15. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989. xx+466 pp.

H.-J. Baues, The homotopy category of simply connected 4-mainfolds. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 297. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. xii+184 pp.

H.-J. Baues and M. Jibladze, Classification of abelian track categories. *K-Theory* **25** (2002), no. 3, 299–311.

- H.- J. Baues and G. Wirsching, Cohomology of small categories. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **38** (1985), no. 2-3, 187–211.
- J.W. Gray, Formal category theory: adjointness for 2-categories. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 391. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- M. Jibladze and T. Pirashvili, Cohomology of algebraic theories. *J. Algebra* **137** (1991), no. 2, 253–296.
- M. Jibladze and T. Pirashvili, Linear extensions and nilpotence of Maltsev theories. *Beiträge zur Algebra und Geometrie*, **46** (2005), 71-102. SFB343 preprint 00-032, Universität Bielefeld, 24 pp.
- S. MacLane, *Categories for the working mathematician*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 5. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1971.
- T. Pirashvili, Models for the homotopy theory and cohomology of small categories. *Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruzin. SSR* **129** (1988), no. 2, 261–264.
- T. Pirashvili, Cohomology of small categories in homotopical algebra, in: *K-theory and homological algebra* (Tbilisi, 1987–88). *Lecture Notes in Math.*, vol. 1437. Springer, Berlin, 1990, pp. 268–302.

A. Razmadze Mathematical Institute

M. Alexidze st. 1

Tbilisi 0193

Georgia

Email: `pira@rmi.acnet.ge`

This article may be accessed via WWW at <http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/> or by anonymous ftp at `ftp://ftp.tac.mta.ca/pub/tac/html/volumes/14/12/14-12.{dvi,ps}`

THEORY AND APPLICATIONS OF CATEGORIES (ISSN 1201-561X) will disseminate articles that significantly advance the study of categorical algebra or methods, or that make significant new contributions to mathematical science using categorical methods. The scope of the journal includes: all areas of pure category theory, including higher dimensional categories; applications of category theory to algebra, geometry and topology and other areas of mathematics; applications of category theory to computer science, physics and other mathematical sciences; contributions to scientific knowledge that make use of categorical methods.

Articles appearing in the journal have been carefully and critically refereed under the responsibility of members of the Editorial Board. Only papers judged to be both significant and excellent are accepted for publication.

The method of distribution of the journal is via the Internet tools `WWW/ftp`. The journal is archived electronically and in printed paper format.

SUBSCRIPTION INFORMATION. Individual subscribers receive (by e-mail) abstracts of articles as they are published. Full text of published articles is available in .dvi, Postscript and PDF. Details will be e-mailed to new subscribers. To subscribe, send e-mail to `tac@mta.ca` including a full name and postal address. For institutional subscription, send enquiries to the Managing Editor.

INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS. The typesetting language of the journal is \TeX , and $\text{\LaTeX} 2_{\epsilon}$ is the preferred flavour. \TeX source of articles for publication should be submitted by e-mail directly to an appropriate Editor. They are listed below. Please obtain detailed information on submission format and style files from the journal's WWW server at `http://www.tac.mta.ca/tac/`. You may also write to `tac@mta.ca` to receive details by e-mail.

MANAGING EDITOR. Robert Rosebrugh, Mount Allison University: `rrosebrugh@mta.ca`

\TeX TECHNICAL EDITOR. Michael Barr, McGill University: `mbarr@barrs.org`

TRANSMITTING EDITORS.

Richard Blute, Université d' Ottawa: `rblute@mathstat.uottawa.ca`

Lawrence Breen, Université de Paris 13: `breen@math.univ-paris13.fr`

Ronald Brown, University of North Wales: `r.brown@bangor.ac.uk`

Jean-Luc Brylinski, Pennsylvania State University: `jlb@math.psu.edu`

Aurelio Carboni, Università dell Insubria: `aurelio.carboni@uninsubria.it`

Valeria de Paiva, Xerox Palo Alto Research Center: `paiva@parc.xerox.com`

Ezra Getzler, Northwestern University: `getzler(at)math(dot)northwestern(dot)edu`

Martin Hyland, University of Cambridge: `M.Hyland@dpms.cam.ac.uk`

P. T. Johnstone, University of Cambridge: `ptj@dpms.cam.ac.uk`

G. Max Kelly, University of Sydney: `maxk@maths.usyd.edu.au`

Anders Kock, University of Aarhus: `kock@imf.au.dk`

Stephen Lack, University of Western Sydney: `s.lack@uws.edu.au`

F. William Lawvere, State University of New York at Buffalo: `wlawvere@acsu.buffalo.edu`

Jean-Louis Loday, Université de Strasbourg: `loday@math.u-strasbg.fr`

Ieke Moerdijk, University of Utrecht: `moerdijk@math.uu.nl`

Susan Niefield, Union College: `niefiels@union.edu`

Robert Paré, Dalhousie University: `pare@mathstat.dal.ca`

Jiri Rosicky, Masaryk University: `rosicky@math.muni.cz`

Brooke Shipley, University of Illinois at Chicago: `bshipley@math.uic.edu`

James Stasheff, University of North Carolina: `jds@math.unc.edu`

Ross Street, Macquarie University: `street@math.mq.edu.au`

Walter Tholen, York University: `tholen@mathstat.yorku.ca`

Myles Tierney, Rutgers University: `tierney@math.rutgers.edu`

Robert F. C. Walters, University of Insubria: `robert.walters@uninsubria.it`

R. J. Wood, Dalhousie University: `rjwood@mathstat.dal.ca`