Algebraic & Geometric Topology Volume 2 (2002) 137{155 Published: 28 February 2002



A norm for the cohomology of 2-complexes

Vladimir Turaev

Abstract We introduce a norm on the real 1-cohomology of nite 2-complexes determined by the Euler characteristics of graphs on these complexes. We also introduce twisted Alexander-Fox polynomials of groups and show that they give rise to norms on the real 1-cohomology of groups. Our main theorem states that for a nite 2-complex X, the norm on $H^1(X;\mathbb{R})$ determined by graphs on X majorates the Alexander-Fox norms derived from 1(X).

AMS Classi cation 57M20; 57M05

Keywords Group cohomology, norms, 2-complexes, Alexander-Fox polynomials

Introduction

We introduce a (possibly degenerate) norm on the real 1-cohomology of nite 2-complexes. The de nition of this norm is similar to Thurston's de nition of a norm on the 2-homology of 3-manifolds. The key di erence is that instead of surfaces in 3-manifolds we consider graphs on 2-complexes. In many instances the resulting theory is similar to but simpler than the one of Thurston.

In generalization of the standard Alexander-Fox polynomial of groups we introduce twisted Alexander-Fox polynomials. We show that they determine norms on the real 1-cohomology of groups.

Our main result is a comparison theorem which states that for a nite 2-complex X, the norm on $H^1(X;\mathbb{R})$ determined by graphs on X majorates the Alexander-Fox norms derived from $_1(X)$.

This result is a cousin of the classical Seifert inequality in knot theory which says that the genus of a knot K S^3 is greater than or equal to the half of the span of the Alexander polynomial of K. A more general estimate from below for the Thurston norm appeared in the Seiberg-Witten theory in dimension 3, see [1], [3], [4], [5]. This estimate is a 3-dimensional version of the much deeper

adjunction inequality in dimension 4. A related (weaker) result in dimension 3 appeared also in [6].

We state here a sample application of our main theorem to codimension 1 submanifolds of triangulated manifolds. Let M be a closed connected oriented triangulated manifold of dimension m-3. Let S-M be a closed oriented (m-1)-dimensional submanifold of M representing a non-zero element s-2 $H_{m-1}(M;\mathbb{Z})=H^1(M;\mathbb{Z})$. Let n be the maximal positive integer dividing s in $H^1(M;\mathbb{Z})$. Assume that S intersects the 2-skeleton $M^{(2)}$ transversely along a (nite 1-dimensional) CW-space $=S\setminus M^{(2)}$. If $_1(M)=_1(S^3nK)$ where K is a knot in S^3 then j ()j-n(d-1) where d is the span of the Alexander polynomial of K. For example, if $_1(M)=hx;y:x^py^q=1i$ is the group of a torus (p;q)-knot with relatively prime integers p;q-2 then j ()j-n(pq-p-q).

1 A norm on the 1-cohomology of a 2-complex

1.1 Two-complexes By a *graph* we mean a nite CW-complex of dimension 1. By a *nite 2-complex* we mean the underlying topological space of a nite 2-dimensional CW-complex such that each its point has a neighborhood homeomorphic to the cone over a graph. The latter condition is aimed at eliminating all kinds of local wilderness. Examples of nite 2-complexes: compact surfaces; 2-skeletons of nite simplicial spaces; products of graphs with a closed interval.

We de ne two subspaces $\operatorname{Int} X$ and $\mathscr{O} X$ of a nite 2-complex X. The subspace $\operatorname{Int} X$ X consists of the points which have a neighborhood homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 . Clearly, $\operatorname{Int} X$ is a 2-manifold with nite number of components. Its complement $X n \operatorname{Int} X$ is a graph contained in the 1-skeleton of any CW-decomposition of X.

The *boundary* @X of X is the closure in X of the set of all points of XnIntX which have an open neighborhood in X homeomorphic to \mathbb{R} or to $\mathbb{R}^2_+ = f(a;b)$ 2 $\mathbb{R}^2_+ b$ 0g. A simple local analysis shows that @X is a graph contained in the 1-skeleton of any CW-decomposition of X. If X is a compact surface then @X is its boundary in the usual sense and IntX = Xn@X.

1.2 Graphs on 2-complexes A graph embedded in a nite 2-complex X is *regular* if $X \cap \mathbb{Z} X$ and there are a closed neighborhood U of in $X \cap \mathbb{Z} X$ and a homeomorphism U [-1;1] sending any point $X \cap \mathbb{Z} Z$ to $X \cap \mathbb{Z} Z$ to $X \cap \mathbb{Z} Z$

is connected then Un has two components. A choice of one of them is called a *coorientation* of . If is not connected then a *coorientation* of is a choice of coorientation for all components of .

Any vertex of a regular graph X is incident to at least two edges of (counting with multiplicity). Hence () 0. Set _() = - () 0.

A cooriented regular graph X determines a 1-dimensional cohomology class $s \ 2 \ H^1(X;@X) = H^1(X;@X;\mathbb{Z})$ as follows. Choose a neighborhood U of and a homeomorphism f:U! [-1;1] as above so that the coorientation of is determined by the components of Un lying in $f^{-1}((0;1])$. We de ne a map $g: X=@X! \ S^1 = fz \ 2 \ C: jzj = 1g$ by $g(XnU) = -1 \ 2 \ S^1$ and $g(x) = \exp(if(x))$ for $x \ 2 \ U$ where $f(x) \ 2 \ [-1;1]$ is the projection of $f(x) \ 2 \ [-1;1]$ to [-1;1]. Set $s = g(s_0)$ where s_0 is the generator of $H^1(S^1) = \mathbb{Z}$ determined by the counterclockwise orientation of S^1 . It is clear that s does not depend on the choice of U and f. To evaluate s on the homology class of a path in X whose endpoints either coincide or lie in @X, one should count the algebraic number of intersections of this path with S. If S = S, then S = S.

A simple transversality argument shows that for any $s \ 2 \ H^1(X;@X)$ there is a cooriented regular graph X such that s = s. It can be constructed as follows. First, one realises s as $g(s_0)$ for a certain map $g: X \ ! \ S^1$ sending @X to $-1 \ 2 \ S^1$. Secondly, one x es a CW-decomposition of X and deforms g so that it maps the 0-skeleton $X^{(0)}$ of X into $S^1 nf 1g$. Then one deforms g(rel $X^{(0)}$) so that its restriction to the 1-skeleton $X^{(1)}$ of X becomes transversal to the point $1 \ 2 \ S^1$. Finally, one deforms g(rel $X^{(1)}$) so that its restriction to any 2-cell of X becomes transversal to $1 \ 2 \ S^1$. Then $g = g^{-1}(1)$ is a regular graph on g and g determines its coorientation such that g is g and g determines its coorientation such that g is g and g determines its coorientation such that g is g.

1.3 A norm on $H^1(X; @X; \mathbb{R})$ By a *norm* on a real vector space V we mean an \mathbb{R} -valued function jj:::jj on V such that jjsjj = 0 and $jjs + s^0jj = jjsjj + jjs^0jj$ for any $s; s^0 = 2$ V. A norm is allowed to be degenerate, i.e., to vanish on nonzero vectors. A norm jj:::jj on V is *homogeneous*, if jjksjj = jkjjjsjj for any k = 2 \mathbb{R} ; s = 2 V. One similarly de nes norms on lattices, the only difference is that in the definition of homogeneity k = 2 \mathbb{R} .

Let X be a nite 2-complex. For $s \ 2 \ H^1(X; @X) = H^1(X; @X; \mathbb{Z})$, set

$$jjsjj = \min_{j:S = S} -()$$

where runs over cooriented regular graphs in X such that s = s. The next lemma shows that j:::jj is a homogeneous norm on $H^1(X; @X)$. It extends

uniquely to a homogeneous continuous norm on $H^1(X; @X; \mathbb{R})$ denoted $jj:::jj_X$ or simply jj:::jj.

1.4 Lemma jj:::jj is a homogeneous norm on $H^1(X; @X)$.

Proof We verify that $j|s + s^{0}j| = j|s|j + j|s^{0}j|$ for any $s: s^{0} \ge H^{1}(X; @X)$. Let f be cooriented regular graphs in X such that S = S f f g g. We slightly \ $^{\ell}$ Int X and each point 2 \ $^{\ell}$ is a transversal deform so that intersection of an (open) edge of with an (open) edge of $^{\ell}$. A smoothing of replaces the crossing at -type con guration. There is by the such that the coorientations of $f^{\prime\prime}$ induce (locally) a unique smoothing at a coorientation of the resulting graph. Applying this smoothing at all points \ $^{\emptyset}$ we transform \int_{-0}^{0} into a cooriented regular graph, $^{\emptyset}$, in X. It is obvious that $S = S + S^{\emptyset}$ and ijS = i $|j|S + S^{\emptyset}|j \quad |j|S|j + |j|S^{\emptyset}|j|.$

The homogeneity of jj:::jj is proven by the same argument as in [7], p.103. The key point is that if a cooriented regular graph in X represents ks with integer k 1 and $s \ 2 \ H^1(X;@X)$ then splits as a disjoint union of k graphs representing s. This implies that jjksjj kjjsjj. The opposite inequality is obvious since for any X representing s a union of k parallel copies of represents ks.

- **1.5 Properties of** $jj:::jj_X$ (1) Replacing everywhere embedded graphs in X by immersed graphs, we obtain the same norm. (By an immersed graph we mean a graph in X which locally looks like an embedded graph or like a transversal crossing of two embedded arcs in Int X.) The immersed graphs lead to the same norm because the smoothing of an immersed graph at all its double points yields an embedded graph with the same Euler characteristic.
- (2) It is easy to describe the subset of $H^1(X;@X)$ consisting of the vectors with zero norm. Indeed, for a regular graph X we have () = 0 if and only if is a closed 1-dimensional submanifold of IntX. Therefore the set of vectors in $H^1(X;@X)$ with zero norm coincides with the set of vectors S corresponding to cooriented closed 1-manifolds IntX. The argument in [7], p.105 shows that the set of vectors in $H^1(X;@X;\mathbb{R})$ with zero norm is the \mathbb{R} -linear span of such S.

by a system of inequalities j(s)j-1 where runs over a nite subset of $H_1(X;@X)$. This follows from general properties of norms taking integral values on a lattice of maximal rank, see [7], p. 106.

- (4) If p: X! X is an n-sheeted covering with n 2 then $@X = p^{-1}(@X)$ and for any $s 2 H^1(X; @X; \mathbb{R})$, we have jjp(s)jj = njjsjj where p is the induced homomorphism $H^1(X; @X; \mathbb{R}) ! H^1(X; @X; \mathbb{R})$. Indeed, if is a cooriented regular graph in X representing s then the graph $p^{-1}() X$ represents p(s). Therefore jjp(s)jj = njjsjj. On the other hand, if f is a cooriented regular graph in f representing f is an immersed graph. Smoothing if necessary f we can assume that f is an immersed graph. Smoothing it at all crossing points we obtain a cooriented regular graph f such that f is an immersed graph. Hence f is an immersed graph f is an immersed graph f such that f is an immersed graph f is an immersed graph f such that f is an immersed graph f is an immers
- **1.6 A computation from cocycles** For any nite 2-complex X and S 2 $H^1(X;@X)$, we can compute $jjsjj_X$ in terms of 1-cocycles on X. Fix a CW-decomposition of X and orient all its edges (= open 1-cells). Consider a \mathbb{Z} -valued cellular 1-cocycle k on (X;@X). Set $jkj = \binom{n_e=2-1}{jk(e)j}$ where e runs over all edges of X not lying on @X, n_e 2 is the number of 2-cells of X adjacent to e (counted with multiplicity), and k(e) $2\mathbb{Z}$ is the value of k on e. We claim that $jjsjj_X = \min_k jkj$ where k runs over all cellular 1-cocycles on (X;@X) representing S. This reduces the computation of jjsjj to a standard algorithmically solvable minimization problem on a lattice.

The formula $jjsjj = \min_k jkj$ is especially useful in the cases where either all 0-cells of X lie on @X or @X = j and X has only one 0-cell. In both cases every cohomology class $S \supseteq H^1(X;@X)$ is represented by a unique cocycle.

1.7 Examples (1) If X is a compact surface then all elements of $H^1(X; @X)$ are represented by regular graphs consisting of disjoint embedded circles. Therefore the norm $jj:::jj_X$ on $H^1(X; @X; \mathbb{R})$ vanishes.

- (2) Let be a graph such that all its vertices are incident to at least two edges (counted with multiplicity). Let f be a homeomorphism of onto itself. The mapping torus, X, of f is a 2-complex with void boundary. The bers of the natural bration X! S^1 determine a class, s 2 $H^1(X)$. Clearly, jjsjj __(). We show in Sect. 3 that jjsjj = _(). This example can be generalised to maps ! whose mapping torus is a 2-complex.
- (3) Let be a graph as in the previous example. The cylinder X = [-1;1] is a nite 2-complex with @X = f-1;1g. The graph 0 X endowed with a coorientation represents a certain $s \ 2 \ H^1(X;@X)$. The cylinder X embeds in S^1 in the obvious way and therefore it follows from the previous example that jjsjj = -().
- **1.8 Two-complexes associated with group presentations** Let be a group presented by a nite number of generators and relations hx_1 ; ...; x_m : r_1 ; ...; r_n i where r_1 ; ...; r_n are words in the alphabet x_1^{-1} ; ...; x_m^{-1} . In this subsection we consider only presentations such that each generator appears in the relations at least twice. The presentation hx_1 ; ...; x_m : r_1 ; ...; r_n i gives rise in the usual way to a 2-dimensional CW-complex X with one 0-cell, m one-cells and n two-cells. Let $\#(x_i)$ be the total number of appearances of x_i in the words r_1 ; ...; r_n . (A power x_i^k appearing in these words contributes jkj to $\#(x_i)$). It is clear that $\#(x_i)$ is the number of 2-cells of X adjacent to the i-th 1-cell of X. By assumption, $\#(x_i) = 2$ for all i so that @X = i. Using the formulas of Sect. A 3.6, we can compute the norm A 3.7 if A 3.7 if A 4.7 if A 4.7 if A 5.7 if A 5.8 if A 6.9 if A 6.9 if A 6.9 if A 6.9 if A 7.1 if A 8.1 if A 8.1 if A 8.2 if A 9.1 if A 9.1 if A 9.2 if A 9.3 if A 9.3 if A 9.4 if A 9.5 if A 9.6 if A 9.7 if A 9.1 if A 9.

We say that a nite presentation of by generators and relations is *minimal* if the corresponding norm on $H^1(\ ;\mathbb{R})$ (considered as a function) is smaller than or equal to the norm on $H^1(\ ;\mathbb{R})$ determined by any other nite presentation of . For instance, if each generator appears in the relations exactly twice, then the corresponding norm is zero and the group presentation is minimal. Another example: $= hx; y : x^p y^q = 1i$ where p; q = 2 are relatively prime integers. A generator $s : 2H^1(\) = \mathbb{Z}$ takes values -q and p on x; y, respectively. The norm of s with respect to this presentation equals (p=2-1)q+(q=2-1)p=pq-p-q. We shall show in Sect. 3 that this presentation is minimal.

1.9 A related construction We describe a related construction which derives a norm on the cohomology of a compact surface from a family of loops on . Let $= f_{i}g_{i}$ be a nite family of closed curves in Int whose all crossings and self-crossings are transversal double intersections. We de ne a norm j:::j on $H^1(; @; \mathbb{R})$ as follows. For any $S 2 H^1(; @)$, set $jsj = \min_S \#(S \setminus [i])$ where S runs over cooriented closed 1-dimensional submanifolds of representing s and meeting I_{i} transversely (in the complement of the set of double points of [i, j). Here $\#(S \setminus [i, j)$ is the number of points in $S \setminus [i, j]$. It is easy to check that j:::j is a homogeneous norm on $H^1(\ ; @)$. As usual it extends uniquely to a homogeneous continuous norm, also denoted j:::j, on $H^1(\ ; @ ; \mathbb{R})$. This norm is preserved under the rst and third Reidemeister moves on the loops $f_{i}g_{i}$ but in general is not preserved under the second Reidemeister move. A simple example is provided by a small loop . The norm j:::j on $H^1(\mathcal{P}_{\mathscr{Q}};\mathbb{R})$ is zero. On the other hand we into an immersed loop can deform in S which splits into 2-discs. The norm *j:::j* is then non-degenerate.

2 The Alexander-Fox polynomials and norms

The Alexander polynomial is mostly known in the context of knot theory. Fox observed that this polynomial depends only on the knot group and in fact can be de ned for an arbitrary nitely generated group. In this section we recall the relevant de nitions following [2]. In generalisation of the standard Alexander-Fox polynomial, we introduce twisted Alexander-Fox polynomials and consider the associated norms on 1-cohomology of groups.

Fix throughout this section a nitely generated group . Set $H = H_1()$ and G = H=TorsH. The ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[H]$! $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ induced by the projection H ! G will be denoted by pr.

- **2.1 The elementary ideals** The group determines an increasing sequence $E_1()$::: of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ called *the* of ideals $E_0()$ $E_2()$ *elementary ideals* of . They can be computed from an arbitrary presentation by generators and relations $hx_1; ...; x_m : r_1; r_2; ...i$ with nite m 1. Here each r_i is viewed as an element of the free group, F, generated by x_1 ; ...; x_m ; the number of relations can be in $\$ nite. Every $f\ 2\ F$ can be uniquely expanded in $\mathbb{Z}[F]$ as $1 + \bigcap_{j=1}^{m} f_j(x_j - 1)$ with $f_1 : \dots : f_m \setminus 2\mathbb{Z}[F]$. The element $f_j \setminus 2\mathbb{Z}[F]$ is called the *j*-th Fox derivative of f and denoted by $@f = @x_j$. Consider the matrix $[@r_i = @x_i]_{i:i}$ over $\mathbb{Z}[F]$. Applying the natural projections $\mathbb{Z}[F]$! $\mathbb{Z}[]$! $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ to the entries of this matrix we obtain a matrix, A, over $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ called the Alexander-Fox matrix of the presentation $hx_1; ...; x_m : r_1; r_2; ...i$. It has m columns and possibly in nite number of rows. Adding if necessary to r_1 ; r_2 ; ... several copies of the neutral element 1 2 F we can assume that A has at least *m* rows. For d = 0;1;..., the ideal $E_d()$ $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ is generated by the minor determinants of A of order m - d. This ideal does not depend on the presentation of . We shall be interested only in the ideal $E_1()$ which will be denoted E().
- **2.2** The Alexander-Fox polynomials Consider the ideal $\operatorname{pr}(E(\))$ $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. Since $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ is a unique factorization domain, one can consider the greatest common divisor of the elements of $\operatorname{pr}(E(\))$. This gcd is an element of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ de ned up to multiplication by G. It is called the Alexander-Fox polynomial of and denoted ().

The obvious inclusion $pr(E(\))$ () $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ can be slightly improved provided $\operatorname{rk} H$ 2. Namely, if $\operatorname{rk} H$ 2, then

$$\operatorname{pr}(E(\)) \qquad (\) \mathcal{J} \qquad (2:a)$$

where J is the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. This inclusion goes back to [2], Prop. 6.4 at least in the case Tors H = 0. We give a proof of (2.a) at the end of Sect. 2.

In generalisation of (), we de ne *twisted Alexander-Fox polynomials* of numerated by $2 \text{ (Tors } H) = \text{Hom}(\text{Tors } H; \mathbb{C} \text{)}$. Fix a splitting H = Tors H G. For 2 (Tors H), consider the ring homomorphism $\sim : \mathbb{Z}[H] ! \mathbb{C}[G]$ sending fg with f 2 Tors H; g 2 G to (f)g where $(f) \text{ 2 } \mathbb{C} = \mathbb{C}$. The ring $\mathbb{C}[G]$ is a unique factorization domain and we can set $() = \gcd \sim (E())$.

This gcd is an element of $\mathbb{C}[G]$ de ned up to multiplication by elements of G and nonzero complex numbers. Under a di erent choice of the splitting $H=\operatorname{Tors} H$ G, the polynomial (), represented say by $_{g2G} c_g g$ with $c_g \ 2 \ \mathbb{C}$, is replaced by $_{g2G} c_g (g) g$ where $g_{g2G} c_g (g) g$

2.3 The Alexander-Fox polytopes and norms Fix 2 (TorsH). In analogy with the Newton polytope of a polynomial, we can derive from an Alexander-Fox polytope (or briefly AF-polytope) P () H_1 (; \mathbb{R}). Pick a representative $\int_{a_2G} c_{a_2} g \, 2 \, \mathbb{C}[G]$ of (). Set

$$P() = HULL(f\frac{1}{2}(g^{real} - (g^{l})^{real}) j g; g^{l} 2 G; c_{g} \neq 0; c_{g^{l}} \neq 0 g)$$

where g^{real} 2 $H_1(\ ;\mathbb{R})$ is the real homology class represented by g 2 G and for a subset S of a linear space, HULL(S) denotes the convex hull of S. By convention, if $(\)=0$ then P $(\)=f0g$. The polytope P $(\)$ is a compact convex polytope symmetric in the origin and independent of the representative ${}_g c_g g$. Its vertices lie on the half-integral lattice (1=2) G where G $H_1(\ ;\mathbb{R})$ consists of integral homology classes.

We de ne the *Alexander-Fox norm* (or briefly AF-norm) jj:::jj on $H^1(\ ;\mathbb{R})$ by

$$jjsjj = 2 \max_{x2P\ (\)} js(x)j = \max_{g:g^{\emptyset}2G; c_gc_g\ell \neq 0} js(g) - s(g^{\emptyset})j$$

where $s \ 2 \ H^1(\ ;\mathbb{R})$ and $s(x) \ 2 \ \mathbb{R}$ is the evaluation of s on x. This norm is continuous and homogeneous. It was rst considered in the case = 1 by C. McMullen [6].

The AF-norms are natural with respect to group isomorphisms: For a group isomorphism $': {}^{\ell}!$ and $s \, 2 \, H^1(\;;\mathbb{R}); \; 2 \, (\operatorname{Tors} H_1(\;))$, we have jjsjj = jj'(s)jj' where ' and ' are the induced homomorphisms $H^1(\;;\mathbb{R})! H^1(\;^{\ell};\mathbb{R})$ and $\operatorname{Tors} H_1(\;^{\ell})! \operatorname{Tors} H_1(\;)$, respectively.

- **2.4 Examples** (1) If has a presentation with m generators and m-2 relations then $E(\)=0$ and the AF-norms on $H^1(\ ;\mathbb{R})$ are 0.
- (2) Let p;q 2 be relatively prime integers and $= hx; y : x^p y^q = 1i$. Let t be a generator of $H_1() = \mathbb{Z}$. Set n = pq p q + 1. The polynomial () is represented by the Laurent polynomial $(t^{pq} 1)(t 1)(t^p 1)^{-1}(t^q 1)^{-1}$ with lowest term 1 and highest term t^n . The AF-polytope in $H_1(;\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{R}$ is the interval with endpoints $-(n=2)t^{real}$ and $(n=2)t^{real}$. The AF-norm of both generators of $H^1() = \mathbb{Z}$ is equal to n = pq p q + 1.

(3) Let $= hx, y : x^k y^l x^{-k} y^{-l} = 1; y^m = 1i$ where k; l = 1; m = 2. It is clear that $H_1(\cdot) = \mathbb{Z} = (\mathbb{Z} = m\mathbb{Z})$ with generators [x], [y] represented by x, y. A direct computation shows $E(\cdot)$ is generated by 3 elements: $1 + [y] + \dots + [y]^{m-1}, (1 + [x] + \dots + [x]^{k-1})([y]^l - 1)$, and $([x]^k - 1)(1 + [y] + \dots + [y]^{l-1})$. Setting [y] = 1 we obtain that $(\cdot) = \gcd(l; m)$. The corresponding AF-norm is zero. Let be a nontrivial character of $Tors H_1(\cdot) = \mathbb{Z} = m\mathbb{Z}$. Then $= ([y]) \neq 1$ is a complex root of unity of order m. If t = 1 then t = 1 then t = 1 where t = 1 is the generator of t = 1 then t = 1 then t = 1 where t = 1 is the generator of t = 1 then t = 1

- **2.5** Remark The structure of the ideal E() can be sometimes described using the theory of Reidemeister torsions. Suppose that $= {}_{1}(X)$ where X is a nite connected 2-complex with (X) = 0. As above, set $H = H_1(X) =$ $H_1()$; G = H=Tors H. The maximal abelian torsion (X) is an element of the commutative ring Q(H) obtained from $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ by inverting all non-zerodivisors (see [8],[9]). The natural homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[H]$! $\mathcal{Q}(H)$ is an inclusion and we can identify $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ with its image. Then E() = (X)I where I is the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ (for a proof, see [8], p. 689). If rkH(X) 2 $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ and for any 2 (Tors H), the twisted AF-polynomial is represented by $\sim ((X)) \ 2 \mathbb{C}[G]$. If rkH = 1, then (X) splits as a sum $a + (t-1)^{-1}$ where $a \ 2\mathbb{Z}[H]$, $= \int_{f2\operatorname{Tors}H} f \ 2\mathbb{Z}[H]$, and t is any element of H whose projection pr(t) 2 $G = \mathbb{Z}$ is a generator. Then for any non-trivial 2 (Tors H), the polynomial () is represented by \sim (a) 2 $\mathbb{C}[G]$. character The polynomial () corresponding to = 1 is represented by pr((t-1)a) + $\int Tors Hj 2 \mathbb{Z}[G]$.
- **2.6 Proof of (2.a)** Consider a presentation $hx_1; ...; x_m : r_1; r_2; ...; i$ of by generators and relations with nite m-1 and at least m relations. Let A be the Alexander matrix of this presentation. It is enough to show that for any minor determinant D of A of order m-1, we have $\operatorname{pr}(D) 2$ () J. Assume for concreteness that D is the determinant of a submatrix of the rst m-1 rows of A. Let ${}^{\emptyset}$ be the group $hx_1; ...; x_m : r_1; r_2; ...; r_{m-1}i$. Set $H^{\emptyset} = H_1({}^{\emptyset})$. The natural surjection H^{\emptyset} ! $H = H_1({}^{\circ})$ induces a ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[H^{\emptyset}]$! $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ denoted . It follows from de nitions that $D : \mathcal{D}(E({}^{\circ})) = \mathcal{D}(E({}^{\circ}))$. Note that $\operatorname{rk} H^{\emptyset} = \operatorname{rk} H = \mathcal{D}(E({}^{\circ})) = \mathcal{D}(E({}^{\circ}))$.

Consider the 2-dimensional CW-complex X determined by the presentation $hx_1; ...; x_m : r_1; r_2; ...; r_{m-1}i$. Clearly, $_1(X) = ^{\ell}$ and $_1(X) = 0$. By Remark

2.5, $E(^{\theta}) = I^{\theta}$ where $2\mathbb{Z}[H^{\theta}]$ and I^{θ} is the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[H^{\theta}]$. Applying pr we obtain that

(pr)() $J = (pr)(I^{\emptyset}) = (pr)(E(I^{\emptyset})) pr(E(I))$ () $\mathbb{Z}[G]$: Since rkH 2, we have gcd J = 1 and hence () is a divisor of (pr)() $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. Therefore pr(D) 2 (pr)($E(I^{\emptyset})$) = (pr)()J ()J.

3 Main theorem

To state our main theorem it is convenient to introduce a *trivial norm* $j:::j_0$ on the real 1-cohomology $H^1(X;\mathbb{R})$ of any CW-space X. If the rst Betti number of X is $\not \in 1$ then $jsj_0 = 0$ for all $s \ 2 \ H^1(X;\mathbb{R})$. If the rst Betti number of X is 1, then $j:::j_0$ is the unique homogeneous norm on $H^1(X;\mathbb{R})$ taking value 1 on both generators of $\mathbb{Z} = H^1(X;\mathbb{Z})$ $H^1(X;\mathbb{R})$.

3.1 Theorem Let X be a connected nite 2-complex with $@X = % \ .$ For any $S \supseteq H^1(X;\mathbb{R})$ and any $Q \subseteq (TorsH_1(X))$,

$$jjsjj_X \quad jjsjj \quad - \quad ^1jsj_0 \tag{3:a}$$

where jj:::jj is the Alexander-Fox norm on $H^1(X;\mathbb{R}) = H^1(\ _1(X);\mathbb{R})$ determined by and 1 = 1 if = 1 and 1 = 0 otherwise.

Theorem 3.1 will be proven in Sect. 4. Note that the norm jj:::jj on $H^1(X;\mathbb{R})$ does not depend on the choice of a base point in X because of the invariance of the AF-norms under group isomorphisms. In the case $\operatorname{rk} H_1(X) = 2$, (3.a) simplifies to $jjsjj_X = jjsjj$.

Inequality (3.a) has a version for 1-cohomology classes on 3-manifolds, where on the left hand side appears the Thurston norm of s and the right hand side is jjsjj - 2 1jsj_0 . The author plans to discuss this version of Theorem 3.1 elsewhere.

3.2 Corollary Let M be a connected manifold (possibly with boundary) of dimension S. Let S be a connected nite 2-complex with S = S embedded in S such that the inclusion homomorphism S = S (S = S =

$$j(j) = \max_{2(\text{Tors}H_1(M))} (jjsjj - {}^{1}jsj_0)$$
 (3:b)

where jj:::jj is the Alexander-Fox norm on $H^1(M;\mathbb{R})$ determined by .

The assumption $_1(X) = _1(M)$ ensures that $_1(M)$ is nitely generated so that the AF-norms on $H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ are well de ned.

To deduce Corollary 3.2 from Theorem 3.1, set $s^{g} = sj_{X} 2 H^{1}(X; \mathbb{R})$. Clearly, $s^{g} = s$. Therefore j ()j = -() $jjs^{g}jj$. By Theorem 3.1 and the assumption 1(X) = -1(M),

$$jjs^{J}jj \quad \max_{2(\operatorname{Tors} H_{1}(X))} (jjs^{J}jj - {}^{1}js^{J}j_{0}) = \max_{2(\operatorname{Tors} H_{1}(M))} (jjsjj - {}^{1}jsj_{0}):$$

Corollary 3.2 can be applied in various geometric situations. For instance, if M is a compact triangulated manifold of dimension 3 then we can take X to be the 2-skeleton of M. If M is a compact 3-manifold then we can take X to be a spine of M or a spine of punctured M.

3.3 Corollary Let be a group presented by a nite number of generators and relations $hx_1; ...; x_m : r_1; ...; r_n i$ where $r_1; ...; r_n$ are words in the alphabet $x_1^{-1}; ...; x_m^{-1}$ such that (in the notation of Sect. 1.8) $\#(x_i) = 2$ for i = 1; ...; m. Then for any $s \ge H^1(\ ; \mathbb{R})$,

$$(\#(x_i) = 2 - 1) js(x_i) j \qquad \max_{2(\text{Tors}H_1())} (jjsjj - {}^1 jsj_0) : \qquad (3:c)$$

This corollary is obtained by an application of Theorem 3.1 to the 2-complex determined by the presentation hx_1 ; ...; x_m : r_1 ; ...; r_ni .

- **3.4 Examples** (1) The computations in Sect. 1.8 and 2.4.2 show that for the group presentation $hx_iy : x^py^q = 1i$ the inequality (3.c) is an equality. Thus, this presentation is minimal in the sense of Sect. 1.8. (It would be interesting to extend this fact to groups of other bered knots in S^3).
- (2) Let $= hx_i y : x^k y^l x^{-k} y^{-l} = 1$; $y^m = 1i$ where k : l = 1; m = 2. We claim that if m does not divide l then this presentation is minimal. Indeed, there is

a nontrivial character of $\operatorname{Tors} H_1(\) = \mathbb{Z} = m\mathbb{Z}$ such that $(\ ([y]))^l \not \in 1$. The computations in Sect. 2.4.3 show that jjsjj = k-1 where s is a generator of $H^1(\) = \mathbb{Z}$. The left hand side of (3.c) is (2k) = 2 - 1 = k - 1. Hence (3.c) is an equality for this presentation of which is therefore minimal. Examples 1 and 2 show that the estimate in Theorem 3.1 is sharp.

(3) Consider f:X:s from the mapping torus of Example 1.7.2. We will deduce the equality jjsjj = -() from Theorem 3.1. We need only to prove _(). It is enough to consider the case of connected . By (3.a), it is enough to show that $_{-}()=jjsjj^{1}-jsj_{0}$. To this end we shall compute the (untwisted) AF-polynomial $(X) = (_1(X))$. We can deform so that it xes a point 2 . Let x_1 ; ...; x_n be free generators of the free group $_1(\ ;\)$ where n-1. The group $_1(X)$ can be presented by n+1 generators x_1 ; ...; x_n ; T subject to n relations $Tx_iT^{-1}(f_\#(x_i))^{-1}=1$ where i = 1; ...; n and $f_{\#}$ is the endomorphism of $_{1}($;) induced by f. Set $G = H_1(X) = \text{Tors } H_1(X)$ and let G^{\emptyset} be the corank 1 sublattice of G generated by the classes $[x_1]$; ...; $[x_n]$ 2 G of x_1 ; ...; x_n . Set = 1 if rkG 2 and = 0 if rkG = 1. A direct computation using the Fox di erential calculus gives $(X) = (t-1)^- \det(tE_n - A)$ where t = [T] 2 G is the class of T, E_n is the unit $(n \quad n)$ -matrix, and A is the $(n \quad n)$ -matrix over $\mathbb{Z}[G^{\emptyset}]$ obtained as the image of the matrix $(@f_{\#}(x_i) = @x_i)_{i:i=1::::n}$ under the natural ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[\ _1(\ ;\)]$! $\mathbb{Z}[G^{\emptyset}]$ sending each x_i to $[x_i]$. Clearly, $\det(tE_n-A)=a_0+a_1t+\cdots+a_{n-1}t^{n-1}+t^n$ where $a_0;\ldots;a_{n-1}$ 2 $\mathbb{Z}[G^{\emptyset}]$. Since $f_\#$ is an isomorphism, the sum of coe cients of $a_0 = \det A \ 2 \ \mathbb{Z}[G^{\emptyset}]$ is 1 and therefore $a_0 \ne 0$. By de nition, $s(G^{\emptyset}) = 0$ and s(t) = 1. If $\operatorname{rk} G = 2$ then $(X) = -a_0 + \dots + t^{n-1}$ and $jjsjj^1 - jsj_0 = jjsjj^1 = n - 1 = _()$. If rkG = 1 then $(X) = a_0 + ... + t^n$ and $jjsjj^1 - jsj_0 = n - 1 = _()$.

4 Proof of Theorem 3.1

4.1 Preliminaries on modules Let be a commutative ring with unit. For a nitely generated -module X consider a -linear homomorphism f: f and f with nite f and f coker f = f and f and f and f and f and f are f and f and f and f are f and f and f are f are f and f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f and f are f and f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f are f and f are f and f are f are f are f are f and f are f are f are f are f and f are f are f and f are f are f are f are f and f are f and f are f are f are f are f are f and f are f and f are f a

Let $= \mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]$. The Alexander invariants of a nitely generated -module X can be computed as follows. Since is a principal ideal domain, $X = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} (-1)^{m} = 0$ where $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ divides $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ for all $1 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is clear that $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ or $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is clear that $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a nite dimensional \mathbb{C} -linear space and dim \mathbb{C} $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a nonzero Laurent polynomial $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ then $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ then $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is a nonzero Laurent polynomial $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ then $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ in $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ is $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ in $1 \in \mathbb{R$

4.2 Preliminaries on twisted homology We recall the notion of twisted homology. Let X be a connected CW-space and $H = H_1(X)$. Let be a commutative ring with unit and 'be a ring homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[H]$! . We view as a (right) $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ -module via z = (z) for $z = z \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$. Let $z : X \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$ be the maximal abelian covering of $z \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$ (with induced CW-structure) corresponding to the commutant of $z \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$. The action of $z \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$ by deck transformations makes the cellular chain complex $z \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$ a complex of (free) left $z \in \mathbb{Z}[H]$ -modules. By definition,

$$H^{'}(X) = H(\mathbb{Z}[H] C(\hat{X}))$$
:

Note that $H^{'}(X)$ is a -module. The twisted homology extends to cellular pairs Y = X by

$$H^{'}\left(X;Y\right)=H\left(\sum_{\mathbb{Z}[H]}C\left(\hat{X}\right)=C\left(p^{-1}(Y)\right)\right)$$

where $C(p^{-1}(Y))$ is the chain subcomplex of $C(\hat{X})$ generated by cells of \hat{X} lying in $p^{-1}(Y)$.

The twisted homology is invariant under cellular subdivisions and forms the usual exact homology sequences such as the Mayer-Vietoris homology sequence and the homology sequence of a pair. Using a CW-decomposition of X with one 0-cell, one can check that $H_0^{'}(X) = - (I)$ where I is the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[H]$.

4.3 Preliminaries on weighted graphs The notion of weighted graphs formalizes graphs with parallel components. A *weighted graph* in a 2-complex X is a cooriented regular graph X such that each its component X is endowed with a positive integer X called the *weight* of X. We write X is a cooriented graph X is a cooriented graph X is a cooriented graph X obtained by replacing each X is a conjugate X obtained by replacing each X is a conjugate X obtained by replacing each X is a conjugate X obtained by replacing each X is a conjugate X obtained by replacing each X is a conjugate X obtained by replacing each X is a conjugate X obtained by replacing each X is a conjugate X obtained by replacing each X is a conjugate X obtained by replacing each X is a conjugate X obtained by replacing each X is a conjugate X obtained by replacing each X is a conjugate X obtained by replacing each X is a conjugate X obtained by replacing each X is a conjugate X obtained by replacing each X is a conjugate X is a conjugate X obtained by replacing each X is a conjugate X is a conjugate X obtained by replacing each X is a conjugate X obtained by replacing each X is a conjugate X in X is a conjugate X is a conjugate X is a conjugate X in X is a conjugate X is a co

4.4 Lemma Let X be a connected nite 2-complex with @X = : Every nonzero $S \supseteq H^1(X)$ can be represented by a weighted graph X such that $A \subseteq A$ is connected.

Proof Consider rst an arbitrary weighted graph $= [i, w_i]$ in X. By \decreasing the weight of i by 1" we mean the transformation which reduces w_i by 1 and keeps the other weights. If $w_i = 1$, then this transformation removes i from i.

Assume that Xn is not connected. For a component N of Xn, we dealong *N*. Let ₊ (resp. _) be the set of all / such ne a *reduction* of that N is adjacent to i only on the positive (resp. negative) side. The sets +; - are disjoint. Since $N \in Xn$, at least one of these two sets is non-void. Counting the number of entries and exits in N of a loop on X we observe that $S_i = S_i = S_i = S_i = S_i$. We modify as follows. If $S_i = S_i = S_i = S_i = S_i$ and $S_i = S_i = S_i = S_i = S_i$, then we decrease by 1 the weights of all $S_i = S_i = S_i$ i_{2} _ -(i_{2} _ -(i_{2} _ -(i_{2} _ -(i_{2}) then we increase by 1 the weights of all f $i_{3}g_{i_{2}}$ _ + and decrease by 1 the weights of all $f_{i}g_{i2}$. This yields another weighted graph $^{\emptyset}$ such that $S_{\emptyset} = S$ and $_{-}(^{\emptyset})$ _(). Iterating this transformation, we eventually remove from α at least one component incident to N on one side. Let us call this iteration the *reduction* of N. The reduction does not increase _, preserves s and strictly decreases the number of components of Xn. If $@\overline{N}$ is connected then the reduction along N removes $@\overline{N}$ from .

To prove the lemma, represent s by a cooriented regular graph S X such that $_{-}(S) = jjsjj$. We view S as a weighted graph with weights of all components equal to 1. If XnS is connected then S satis es the requirements of the lemma. If XnS is not connected then iteratively applying to S reductions along components of XnS we eventually obtain a weighted graph, , such that Xn is connected. Clearly, S = S. We have $_{-}(\) = jjsjj$, since

$$jjsjj$$
 $_{-}(^{u}) = _{-}(^{\circ})$ $_{-}(S) = jjsjj$:

4.5 Proof of Theorem 3.1 Set = $_1(X)$, $H = H_1(X)$, G = H=TorsH. If () = 0 then jjsjj = 0 and $jjsjj - ^1jsj_0 = 0$ jjsjj. Assume from now on that () $\neq 0$.

Fix a splitting $H = \operatorname{Tors} H$ G and consider the ring homomorphism \sim : $\mathbb{Z}[H]$! $\mathbb{C}[G]$ sending fg with f 2 $\operatorname{Tors} H$; g 2 G to (f)g. By assumption, $\gcd \sim (E(\)) = (\) \not = 0$ so that $\sim (E(\)) \not = 0$. Pick a representative

 $P_{g2G} c_g g$ of (). Pick a nonzero $= P_{g2G} gg \ 2 \sim (E(\))$ where $g \ 2 \ \mathbb{C}$. We call $s \ 2 \ H^1(X)$ regular if $s(g) \ne s(g^\emptyset)$ for any distinct $g; g^\emptyset \ 2 \ G$ such that $c_g c_{g^\emptyset} \ne 0$ or $g \ g^\emptyset \ne 0$. (For $rkG \ 2$, this notion depends on the choice of . In the case rkG = 1 all nonzero s are regular). The set of regular s is the complement in $H^1(X)$ of a nite set of sublattices of corank 1. We call $s \ 2 \ H^1(X)$ primitive if its evaluation on a certain element of H equals 1.

Since the norms jj:::jj::jj::jj, and $j:::j_0$ on $H^1(X;\mathbb{R})$ are continuous and homogeneous, it surces to prove that jjsjj=jjsjj=1 for primitive regular $s \ 2 \ H^1(X)$. Fix a primitive regular $s \ 2 \ H^1(X)$. (In the case $\mathrm{rk} \ G = 1$, s is any generator of $H^1(X) = \mathbb{Z}$). Let $f': \mathbb{Z}[H] \ f': \mathbb{Z}[H]$

Claim 1 $_{0}(H_{1}^{'}(X))$ 2 is non-zero and divisible by (t-1) $\stackrel{\triangleright}{g_{2G}}c_{g}t^{s(g)}$ where = 1 if = 1 and rkG 2 and = 0 otherwise.

By the regularity of s, the polynomial (t-1) $\int_g^p c_g t^{s(g)}$ is nonzero and its span equals + jjsjj . Claim 1 implies that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{1}^{'}(X) = \operatorname{span} \ _{0}(H_{1}^{'}(X)) + jjsjj = ^{1}(1 - jsj_{0}) + jjsjj :$$

The inequality $jjsjj = jjsjj = 1jsj_0$ follows now from the next claim.

Claim 2 jjsjj $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_1^{'}(X) - {}^1$.

Now we prove Claims 1 and 2.

Proof of Claim 1 Contracting recursively the 1-cells of X with distinct endpoints we obtain a nite 2-dimensional CW-complex homotopy equivalent to X and having only one 0-cell. Since $H_1'(X)$ and () are homotopy invariants, we can assume in the proof of Claim 1 that X has only one 0-cell, X. Consider the presentation of $= {}_1(X;X)$ determined by the cellular structure of X. The corresponding Alexander matrix is nothing but the matrix of the $\mathbb{Z}[H]$ -linear boundary homomorphism $C_2(\hat{X})$! $C_1(\hat{X})$ where \hat{X} is the maximal abelian covering of X. Applying $X' : \mathbb{Z}[H]$ to the entries of this matrix we obtain a presentation matrix of the X'-module X-module X-modul

Recall that '=s ~. By de nition of (), we have $\sim(E(\))$ () $\mathbb{C}[G]$. If =1 and $\mathrm{rk}G$ 2, then (2.a) implies a stronger inclusion $\sim(E(\))$ () \mathcal{J} where \mathcal{J} is the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{C}[G]$. Applying s, we obtain

that $'(E(\))=(s-)(E(\))$ is contained in the principal ideal generated by $(t-1)s(\)=(t-1)-gc_gt^{s(g)}$. The regularity of s implies that $'(E(\))=0$. Hence $_1(H_1^{'}(X;X))=\gcd^{'}(E(\))$ is non-zero and divisible by $(t-1)-g2Gc_gt^{s(g)}$. It remains to observe that $_0(H_1^{'}(X))=_1(H_1^{'}(X;X))$. Indeed, consider the exact sequence

$$0 ! H_{1}^{'}(X) ! H_{1}^{'}(X;x) ! H_{0}^{'}(x) ! H_{0}^{'}(X)$$

Clearly, $H_0^{'}(x) = \text{ and } H_0^{'}(X) = ='(I)$ where I is the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[H]$. The kernel I'(I) of the inclusion homomorphism $H_0^{'}(X)$ I'(X) is a free -module of rank 1. Hence $H_1^{'}(X;x) = H_1^{'}(X)$ and $H_0^{'}(X) = H_1^{'}(X;x)$.

Proof of Claim 2 Consider the ring homomorphism $\overline{} = \text{aug } \sim : \mathbb{Z}[H] ! \mathbb{C}$ mapping G H to 1 and mapping any f 2 TorsH to (f) 2 \mathbb{C} . We call a cellular set S X bad if $\overline{}$ is trivial on $H_1(S)$, i.e., if the composition of the inclusion homomorphism $H_1(S)$! H with $\overline{}$ maps $H_1(S)$ to 1.

By Lemma 4.4, there is a weighted graph $= [i(i, w_i)] \times X$ such that Xn is connected, s = s, and $_{-}() = jjsjj$. We rst compute the '-twisted homology $H^{'}(_{i})$ of a component $_{i}$ of $_{i}$. Observe that s annihilates $H_{1}(_{i})$ and therefore $'j_{H_{1}(_{i})}$ is the composition of $\overline{-}j_{H_{1}(_{i})}$ with the inclusion \mathbb{C} . Hence $H^{'}(_{i}) = _{\mathbb{C}} H^{-}(_{i})$. If $_{i}$ is bad then $H^{-}(_{i})$ is the usual untwisted homology of $_{i}$ with complex coe cients. If $_{i}$ is not bad then $H^{-}_{0}(_{i}) = 0$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{-}_{1}(_{i}) = -(_{i}) = _{-}(_{i}) = 0$.

Let U = [-1/1] be a closed regular neighborhood of in X such that = 0. We can assume that the given coorientation of is determined by (0/1] U. Set $N = \overline{XnU}$. By our assumptions, N is connected. Clearly, $N \setminus U = @N = @U$ contains two copies $V_i = V_i$ (1) of each V_i .

The Mayer-Vietoris homology sequence of the triple (X = N [U; N; U), gives an exact sequence

$$H_{1}^{'}(X) ! H_{0}^{'}(N \setminus U) ! H_{0}^{'}(N) H_{0}^{'}(U)$$
:

It is clear that s annihilates $H_1(N)$ and therefore $H_0(N) = \mathbb{C} H_0(N) = \mathbb{C}$

$$0 = \text{rk } H_{1}^{'}(X) \quad 2 \quad -(\quad + \quad) = \quad - \quad :$$

Hence = 0.1.

Case = 1 In this case N is bad and therefore all its boundary components j are bad. Thus, all the components of are bad. The inequality = 1 implies that is connected. Since the dual class s is primitive, the weight of (the only component of) is equal to 1. Thus jjsjj = -().

and its complement in X are bad, the group homomorphism $\neg j_H : H!$ $\mathbb C$ is a composition of $s:H!\mathbb Z$ with a certain group homomorphism $\mathbb Z!\mathbb C$. = 1. Then $H_1(X)$ = Such a composition is trivial on Tors H. Hence $H_1(X;\mathbb{C})$ where $X \mid X$ is the in nite cyclic covering determined by S. To prove Claim 2, it su ces to prove the inequality _() $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_1(X;\mathbb{C}) - 1.$ Observe that the graph lifts to a homeomorphic graph ~ X splitting X into two connected pieces, X_{-} and X_{+} . Let t be the generating deck transformation of the covering X ! X such that tX_+ X_+ . The characteristic polynomial of the action of t on $H_1(X;\mathbb{C})$ is $_0(H_1(X;\mathbb{C})) = _0(H_1(X)) \neq 0$. Applying to any compact subset of X a su ciently big positive (resp. negative) power of t we can translate this subset into X_{+} (resp. X_{-}). This implies that the inclusion homomorphisms $H_1(X_-;\mathbb{C})$! $H_1(X;\mathbb{C})$ and $H_1(X_+;\mathbb{C})$! $H_1(X;\mathbb{C})$ are surjective. The Mayer-Vietoris homology sequence for $X = X_+ [X_-]$ implies the surjectivity of the inclusion homomorphism $H_1(^{\sim};\mathbb{C})$! $H_1(X;\mathbb{C})$. Computing the dimensions, we obtain $_{-}(\)+1=\dim_{\mathbb{C}}H_{1}(\ ;\mathbb{C}) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}}H_{1}(X;\mathbb{C}).$

Now we compute $H_1(X)$. Let $_1; :::: _n$ be the components of with weights $w_1; :::: _w w_n$. As we know $H_0(N \setminus U) = ^2 = 0$. The Mayer-Vietoris homology sequence of the triple $(X = N \mid U; N; U)$ yields that the inclusion homomorphism $H_1(N) \mid H_1(X)$ is surjective and its kernel is generated by the vectors $in(t^{w_i}x - f_i(x))$ where $i = 1; :::: _n; x$ runs over $H_1(t^*); f_i : H_1(t^*) \mid H_1(t^*)$ is the isomorphism induced by the natural homeomorphisms $f_i = f_i$; and $f_i = f_i$ is the inclusion homomorphism $H_1(w) \mid H_1(w) \mid H_1(w)$. We claim that $f_i = f_i$ is surjective. Indeed, since $f_i = f_i = f_i$ where $f_i = f_i = f_i$ is not $f_i = f_i = f_i$ where $f_i = f_i = f_i$ is the inclusion homomorphism. If $f_i = f_i = f_i$ is not surjective then the cokernel of $f_i = f_i = f_i$ is a free $f_i = f_i$ module of rank $f_i = f_i$. On the other hand, this cokernel is a quotient of the nite dimensional $f_i = f_i$. Therefore $f_i = f_i$ is the quotient of

$$H_{1}^{'}(@N) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{V}|} (H_{1}^{'}(\ _{i}^{+}) \quad H_{1}^{'}(\ _{i}^{-})) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{V}|} (H_{1}^{-}(\ _{i}^{+}) \quad H_{1}^{-}(\ _{i}^{-}))$$

$$\underset{i=1}{\overset{\nearrow}{\nearrow}} w_i \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{\overline{1}}(\overset{+}{i}) = \underset{i=1}{\overset{\nearrow}{\nearrow}} w_i \quad \underline{(\overset{+}{i})} = \underline{(\overset{+}{i})} = \underline{(\overset{+}{i})} = \underline{jjsjj}$$

Therefore

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{1}^{'}(X) - {}^{1} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{1}^{'}(X) = \operatorname{span} {}_{0}(H_{1}^{'}(X)) \quad \textit{jjsjj:} \qquad \square$$

References

- [1] **D Auckly**, The Thurston norm and three-dimensional Seiberg-Witten theory, Osaka J. Math. 33 (1996) 737{750.
- [2] **R Fox**, Free di erential calculus. II. The isomorphism problem of groups, Ann. of Math. (2) 59 (1954) 196{210.
- [3] **P Kronheimer**, Minimal genus in S^1 M^3 , Invent. Math. 135 (1999) 45(61.
- [4] **P Kronheimer**, **T Mrowka**, *The genus of embedded surfaces in the projective plane*, Math. Res. Lett. 1 (1994) 797{808.
- [5] P Kronheimer, T Mrowka, Scalar curvature and the Thurston norm, Math. Res. Lett. 4 (1997) 931{937.
- [6] **C McMullen**, The Alexander polynomial of a 3-manifold and the Thurston norm on cohomology, Preprint (1998).
- [7] **W Thurston**, *A norm for the homology of 3-manifolds*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 59 (1986), no. 339, 99{130.
- [8] **V Turaev**, Torsion invariants of Spin^c-structures on 3-manifolds, Math. Research Letters 4 (1997) 679{695.
- [9] **V Turaev**, *Introduction to Combinatorial Torsions. Notes taken by Felix Schlenk*, Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (2001).

IRMA, Universite Louis Pasteur { CNRS 7 rue Rene Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg, France

Email: turaev@math.u-strasbq.fr Received: 1 October 2001